EXHIBIT D



UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK

----x

REGISTER.COM, INC.,

Plaintiff,

-against-

00 Civ. 5747 (Judge Jones)

VERIO, INC.,

Defendant.

August 24, 2000 9:15 A.M.

Deposition of Plaintiff, by
RICHARD FORMAN, taken by Defendant, pursuant
to Notice, at the offices of Morrison &
Foerster LLP, 1290 Avenue of the Americas, New
York, New York 10104-0012 before Arta
Pascullo, a Registered Professional Reporter
and Notary Public within and for the State of
New York.



CLASSIC REPORTING, INC.

TOTAL LITIGATION SUPPORT

13 WEST 36th STREET • NEW YORK, N.Y. 10018 TEL: (212) 268-2590 • FAX: (212) 268-2596

1	
_	

2	APPEAF	RANCES:
3	SKAI	DDEN ARPS SLATE MEAGHER & FLOM LLP
4		Attorneys for Plaintiff Four Times Square
5		New York, New York 10036
	BY:	SCOTT BROWN, ESQ.
6		
7		
8	MORR	ISON & FOERSTER LLP
9		Attorneys for Defendant 1290 Avenue of the Americas
10		New York, New York 10104-0012
	BY:	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
11		JAMES E. HOUGH, ESQ. MARK D. McPHERSON, ESQ.
12		,
13		
14		
15		
16		
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		

	ı	
н		
	L	

2	IT IS HEREBY STIPULATED AND AGREED by
3	and between the attorneys for the respective
4	parties herein that the sealing, filing and
5	certification of the within deposition be waived;
6	that such deposition may be signed and sworn to
7	before any officer authorized to administer an
8	oath, with the same force and effect as if signed
9	and sworn to before a judge of this court.
10	IT IS FURTHER STIPULATED AND AGREED
11	that all objections, except as to the form, are
12	reserved to the time of the trial.
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	

- 2 legal conclusion.
- I'm not asking for your lawyer's 3
- 4 judgment. I'm asking if you have any other
- information. 5
- 6 Α. Can you rephrase the question?
- 7 Sure. Have you had any
- conversations with ICANN in which ICANN has 8
- given an interpretation of the provisions of
- the accreditation agreement governing access 10
- to the WHOIS database? 11
- MR. BROWN: Objection on the 12
- ground of relevance. I also would like 13
- a time period quantified, please. 14
- Let's limit the time period for 15
- the next line of questioning to the period 16
- after the accreditation agreement was 17
- finalized. I believe that date is November 18
- 12, 1999? 19
- 2.0 Now, can you rephrase the Α.
- I understand the time period. question? 21
- is the question? 22
- Have you had any conversations 23 Ο.
- with ICANN about the interpretation of those 24
- 25 provisions?

1	R.	Forman
---	----	--------

- 2 MR. BROWN: Objection on the
- 3 grounds of relevance.
- 4 You may answer.
- 5 MR. JACOBS: Let me back up.
- 6 Forget it. Go ahead.
- 7 Q. Answer the question. You are in
- 8 the corporate sense now.
- 9 A. In the corporate sense?
- 10 Q. Yes.
- 11 A. I believe that we've had many
- 12 conversations with ICANN about the
- 13 accreditation agreement. And I believe that
- 14 the WHOIS license is probably one of the
- 15 things that were discussed.
- 16 Q. Can you summarize the substance
- 17 of those communications with reference to the
- 18 WHOIS database?
- 19 A. I think that -- can I summarize?
- Q. Would it help to break it down
- 21 into its component issues? I believe there
- 22 are at least a couple with respect to the
- 23 WHOIS database.
- One is bulk access provisions
- 25 regarding access to the WHOIS database.

- 2 Second issue is the specific provisions that
- we contend are at issue in this litigation,
- that is the public access to the WHOIS 4
- 5 database.
- Now, are there any other issues 6
- regarding issues to the WHOIS database; that 7
- level of extraction that you talked about with 8
- 9 ICANN.
- 10 Α. I don't believe so.
- 11 So now let's talk about the bulk
- access provisions. You have -- as a company, 12
- you've taken the position that you can limit 13
- 14 bulk access to preclude bulk access for people
- who want to market using the bulk form of 15
- WHOIS database, correct? 16
- MR. BROWN: Can I have that read 17
- back? 18
- (Record read.) 19
- I can't acknowledge the question 20
- that broadly. What I do know is that there is 21
- an incident with one company that wanted to 22
- license our database that was a confirmed 23
- spammer. And we had an assurance from them 24
- that they would not spam our customer base as 25

- 2 part of licensing the agreement.
- 3 Q. And?
- 4 A. And?
- 5 Q. Was that the only position you've
- 6 taken with respect to access under the bulk
- 7 access provisions?
- 8 A. I know that there is a contract.
- 9 I have not read the contract. And I know that
- 10 we are -- I know that we, to date, have not
- 11 licensed the data to any entity. But I know
- 12 for sure that we will not license it to a
- 13 spammer.
- Q. Again, just so there are no trick
- 15 questions, we have documents about a bunch of
- 16 these incidents with companies wanting to
- 17 license bulk access.
- Do you want to see them first or
- 19 do you recall, in fact, that there is more
- than one company that has sought bulk access?
- 21 A. I'm aware that there is more than
- one company that has sought bulk access.
- Q. You are also aware that you have
- 24 not licensed anyone who has asked for it;
- 25 correct?

- A. As I said before, yes.
- 3 Q. You are also aware that in
- 4 addition to the issue of marketing, that
- 5 you've asked for multi-million dollar bonds
- from the companies that have asked to license
- 7 data on a bulk access basis, correct?
- A. I'm aware of that.
- 9 Q. There are two sub-issues within
- 10 bulk issues; one is the bond issue and the
- 11 other is the marketing use to which the data
- 12 is put. Are there any other issues with
- 13 respect to bulk access that you are aware of?
- 14 A. I don't see an issue with any of
- 15 them, in terms of this bonds issue.
- Q. Are there any other issues?
- 17 A. Not that I'm aware of. Again,
- 18 people don't call me up and say: We have a
- 19 problem with X, Y and Z or whatnot. I'm not
- 20 on the front line of that. I'm not going back
- 21 to my counsel and saying: What is the latest
- 22 update on that budding license.
- 23 O. That is fine. The level at which
- 24 you are involved in these issues and the level
- 25 I'm involved in these issues, that is fine.

- 2 Have you had communications with
- 3 ICANN about either of those topics?
- 4 MR. BROWN: Objection as to
- 5 relevance. Go ahead.
- 6 I have personally not. On a
- 7 corporate basis, I believe that we have.
- 8 Ο. What has been the substance of
- 9 those communications?
- 10 A. I have not been involved with
- them. As I said, I have not been on the call. 11
- 12 But ICANN is aware of everything that is going
- on in terms of the licensing of the data. I 1.3
- believe they are. 14
- 15 Q. What do you mean they are aware
- of everything that is going on? 16
- They are aware that we have a Α. 17
- license. 18
- 19 Q. You've taken a position with
- 20 respect to marketing uses of the bulk access
- database? 21
- 22 Α. As I said, I'm not involved with
- 23 all the negotiations but I believe they are
- 24 aware.
- 25 Q. And they are aware of your bond

- 2 requirement?
- A. I believe they are aware.
- 4 Q. Have they taken a position one
- 5 way or the other as to whether those are
- 6 consistent with the accreditation?
- 7 A. I do not -- as far as I know, the
- 8 way that I know that there is an issue with
- 9 ICANN is that Louie will send a letter saying
- 10 you are in violation of your accreditation
- 11 agreement because of X, Y and Z. We are not
- in violation of our accreditation agreement
- 13 today.
- 14 Q. Meaning that you have not reached
- 15 such a letter on these topics?
- 16 A. I don't think.
- 17 Q. And has ICANN said you are not in
- 18 violation -- they affirmatively said these
- 19 positions you are taking do not represent
- violations of the accreditation agreement?
- MR. BROWN: Can I have that read
- 22 back.
- 23 (Record read.)
- MR. BROWN: I renew my relevance
- objection.

1	R.	Fo	rman

- MR. JACOBS: Do you want to have
- 3 a standing relevance objection to
- 4 communications with ICANN so we can
- 5 speed this up?
- 6 MR. BROWN: That would be
- 7 wonderful.
- 8 Mr. Forman, you can answer the
- 9 question?
- 10 A. ICANN doesn't issue, I quess,
- 11 estoppel certificates to say that you are
- 12 okay. They don't do that. They don't say
- 13 everything is hunky-dory. They tell you when
- 14 you are doing something wrong, and they don't
- 15 talk to you when things are okay.
- 16 Q. They have been silent on this
- 17 issue. To be particular, they have been
- 18 silent on the question of whether you can
- 19 restrict access under the bulk access
- 20 provisions of your accreditation agreements to
- 21 persons --
- 22 A. We are not in violation of our
- 23 ICANN accreditation agreement.
- Q. You are not letting me ask my
- 25 question. I think you've established that

- they haven't sent you a -- you are in 2
- violation letter? 3
- 4 Α. Correct.
- 5 They have not sent you that on Q.
- any topic in the last say four months? 6
- I don't know, but I don't think Α.
- 8 so.
- And now I'm trying to find out if
- 10 they affirmatively agreed with your position
- with respect to what I have identified as 11
- issues. And you can just give me a clean 12
- 13 answer?
- I believe the 14 MR. BROWN:
- 15 question has been asked and answered.
- 16 Mr. Forman, if you have a
- 17 yes-or-no answer, please provide it to
- 18 counsel.
- 19 Let's be specific. Have they Q.
- affirmatively agreed with your position, or on 20
- 21 the other hand, have they been silent with
- respect to restricting access under the bulk 22
- access provisions on the basis of the 23
- marketing use to which the recipient would put 24
- the data? 25

1	R. Forman
2	MR. BROWN: Objection. Compound
3	question.
4	MR. BROWN: If you want to ask
5	two separate questions, feel free to do
6	so.
7	Q. Do you understand my question?
8	A. Say it one more time.
9	Q. Let's do it this way. Let me
10	show you an exhibit that we premarked as
11	Exhibit 8. It's a collection of E-mails from
12	the register.com's document production. It's
13	in sequential order starting with Bates No.
14	R-545 and ending with R-573.
15	(Collection of E-mails produced
16	by register.com bearing
17	production Nos. R-545 through
18	R-573 premarked Exhibit 8 for
19	identification, as of this date.)
20	A. Okay.
21	Q. This is an interaction with Dunn
22	& Bradstreet concerning the licensing of the
23	WHOIS database, correct?

CLASSIC REPORTING, INC. (212) 268-2590

It looks that way.

What is the status of Dunn &

24

25

Α.

Q.

- 2 Bradstreet -- you'll see on the page 546 that
- 3 Dunn & Bradstreet writes to Mr. Mornell and
- 4 says:
- 5 "I am interested in purchasing
- 6 register.com's WHOIS file --"
- 7 Do you see that?
- 8 A. Yes.
- 9 Q. And then Mr. Mornell refers him
- 10 to Lauren Gaviser, correct?
- 11 A. Yes.
- 12 Q. And what is Lauren Gaviser's
- 13 title?
- 14 A. Director of strategic
- 15 initiatives.
- 16 Q. Does she report to you?
- 17 A. No.
- Q. Who does she report to?
- 19 A. Ronald Fried.
- Q. Does he report to you?
- 21 A. Yes, he does.
- Q. What is the status of Dunn &
- 23 Bradstreet's request to license WHOIS data?
- 24 MR. BROWN: Objection. Asked and
- 25 answered. Mr. Forman has testified

1 R. Forman

2 that register.com has not yet licensed

- 3 their WHOIS data to anyone, including
- 4 Dunn & Bradstreet.
- 5 Q. What was the last action on that?
- A. I have no idea.
- 7 Q. Do you know anything other than
- 8 the fact that you sent the license -- the
- 9 company sent a license agreement to Dan Rucker
- on July 24th as the last thing that happened?
- 11 A. This is the first time I have
- 12 ever seen this E-mail.
- 13 Q. Is this the first time that you
- 14 heard that Dunn & Bradstreet licensed the
- 15 WHOIS data?
- 16 A. Yes.
- 17 Q. And in this proposed license
- 18 agreement, you have in this section a
- 19 requirement for a bond of \$5 million. Do you
- 20 see that?
- 21 A. Yes.
- O. Leaving aside the amount of the
- 23 bond for a moment, let's say a multi-million
- 24 dollar bond, has the -- has ICANN said
- anything one way or the other to register.com

- 2 about the imposition of such a bond
- 3 requirement on licensees of the WHOIS
- 4 database?
- 5 A. They haven't spoken to me
- 6 directly, but I get the sense that no one is
- 7 happy with the bond.
- 8 O. Including ICANN?
- A. I'm referring to ICANN.
- 10 O. And that sense comes from
- 11 conversations they had with Lauren Gaviser?
- 12 A. I don't know.
- 13 Q. Where do you get the sense?
- 14 A. Either from Jack or Lauren or
- 15 Ronnie. I don't know.
- Q. What did they convey to you?
- 17 A. ICANN is not happy with the
- 18 bonds -- not ICANN is not happy with the
- 19 bonds, rather things would be a lot easier if
- 20 we didn't have this bond requirements.
- Q. What does that mean, "things
- 22 would be a lot easier"?
- A. I believe certain people are not
- 24 licensing our data because of the bond
- 25 requirements and hence without the bond

- 2 requirement, people would license it out.
- We are talking now about ICANN's 3
- reaction to this issue?
- 5 Α. ICANN is a bureaucracy. They
- 6 don't want phone calls. They don't want to
- get bothered. 7
- So the substance --8 Ο.
- 9 Α. Leave me alone.
- 10 Ο. The substance that has been
- reported to register.com of ICANN's reaction 11
- 12 to the bond requirement is: Our lives would
- be easier if you didn't have the bond 13
- 14 requirement, because we wouldn't be bothered
- 15 by the bond requirement issue and why don't
- 16 you guys sort this out?
- 17 Α. I would say the reaction No.
- 18 is -- again. I have never had any direct
- 19 conversations with ICANN about this issue.
- 20 You've been designated by the 0.
- 21 company to testify on Register's policy and
- 22 practices with respect to providing bulk
- access to the WHOIS database. I get to ask 23
- you corporate questions about this topic. 24
- 25 If I'm not happy with your level

____61

- of preparation, we have been able to work it
- 3 out by taking breaks and making phone calls.
- A. The question is --
- 5 (Record read.)
- 6 Q. Please complete your answer.
- 7 A. Because some entities are
- 8 reluctant to post a bond. They have
- 9 complained to ICANN. And ICANN has informed
- 10 us of it. However, that is where it ends.
- It's not -- we're not in
- 12 violation of the accreditation agreement, and
- 13 if there were no bond provision, there would
- 14 be one fewer phone call to make and one fewer
- 15 conversation from ICANN's perspective.
- 16 Q. Let me tie this up. There has
- 17 been no substantive statement from ICANN, one
- 18 way or the other, about whether the bond
- 19 requirement is consistent with the
- 20 accreditation agreement, rather there has been
- 21 silence on that substantive question?
- 22 A. There is definitely no --
- 23 neither. There is no determination or rather
- 24 we are allowed to put that bond requirement
- 25 into the license agreement. We are allowed

____62

- 2 to.
- Q. That is your position or that is
- 4 something that ICANN has said to you or both?
- 5 A. ICANN is lightweight government.
- 6 They don't tell you what you can do. They
- 7 tell you what you can't do. So if they are
- 8 silent about it, you can do it.
- 9 Q. I'm not asking you for your
- interpretation of the silence. I'm asking for
- 11 a clean answer as to whether they have said
- 12 something about whether it's permissible or
- 13 not or been silent on whether it's permissible
- 14 or not?
- A. They have been silent.
- Q. Now, the other interesting
- 17 provision of the proposed license agreement
- 18 with Dunn & Bradstreet is the use of the data
- 19 provision.
- 20 And in particular I want to ask
- 21 you about Section 2.3 first. That says that
- the recipient of the data company shall not
- use the data "for unlawful purposes,
- 24 including, but not limited to the
- 25 transmission" -- I think there is a missing

R. Forman

2 ||of|| thoro ||maga or targeted uncelled to

- 2 "of" there -- "mass or targeted unsolicited,
- 3 commercial advertising or solicitations via
- 4 electronic mail."
- 5 Then it goes on to say:
- 6 "To collect or harvest the names,
- 7 addresses, telephone numbers, facsimile
- 8 numbers or electronic mail addresses
- 9 from the Data for the purpose of (a)
- sending mass unsolicited, commercial
- 11 advertising or solicitations via direct
- mail, facsimile, or electronic mail, or
- (b) making --" unsolicited -- "making
- mass unsolicited telephone calls."
- Those are the two subsections of
- 16 that paragraph that I want to focus on, okay?
- 17 A. Uh-huh.
- 18 Q. First of all, has any party
- 19 objected to those restrictions on use of data
- 20 from the WHOIS database?
- A. You mean proposed licensees?
- 22 Q. Yes.
- A. I do not know.
- Q. Has there been any interaction
- 25 with ICANN as to whether those provisions are

- 2 consistent with the accreditation agreement?
- A. Similar to the bond provision,
- 4 they are aware of these cross-clauses and they
- 5 are silent regarding them.
- Q. This is a segue. The segue is to
- 7 Section 2.5 of this agreement.
- 8 A. Uh-huh.
- 9 Q. You've been designated on this
- 10 topic too, which is why this segue is useful:
- "In the event register.com
- develops an opt-out policy, Company
- shall abide by the terms of that
- policy, pursuant to which SLD holders
- who are individuals have elected not to
- 16 have Personal Data concerning their
- 17 registrations available for bulk access
- for marketing purposes."
- Do you see that?
- A. Uh-huh.
- Q. First of all, has register.com
- 22 developed such as opt-out policy?
- 23 A. Yes.
- Q. What is that policy?
- 25 A. Customers can opt-out of

- 2 solicitation.
- 3 Q. Is that an opt-out policy or
- 4 opt-in policy?
- 5 No trick questions. Mornell
- 6 yesterday described it as a opt-in policy. He
- 7 referred to the survey that somebody
- 8 approaching the register.com registration site
- 9 would fill out and opt-in to receiving certain
- 10 kind of solicitations.
- 11 That is I want to be sure that
- 12 you are aware of that when you are answering
- my question about an opt-out policy.
- 14 A. I'm the designated representative
- 15 for this topic?
- 16 Q. Yes.
- 17 A. Can we take a break?
- 18 (Recess taken.)
- 19 Q. There was a pending question, do
- 20 you have it in mind?
- 21 A. You have to repeat it.
- Q. Is the policy that you were
- 23 referring to in your answer earlier an opt-in
- 24 or opt-out policy?
- 25 A. Opt-in policy.

- Q. You are referring to the survey
- 3 that Mr. Mornell -- you've now had a chance to
- 4 explore this issue.
- 5 You are aware that you are
- 6 referring to the survey that Mr. Mornell is
- 7 referring to?
- 8 A. Yes.
- 9 Q. Have you considered developing an
- 10 opt-out policy in which someone would signal
- 11 to you we do not wish to be marketed and we
- 12 want you to -- strike that.
- 13 Have you considered the
- 14 development of an opt-out policy?
- A. People that receive E-mail can
- 16 opt-out. People that receive the newsletter
- 17 can opt-out in receiving any future
- 18 newsletter.
- 19 Q. That is more of a subscription
- 20 unsubscribed, correct?
- 21 A. Correct.
- Q. Have you done any other opt-out
- 23 policy considerations?
- A. We have considered masking the
- 25 name of the registrants, but we've not yet

- 2 implemented it.
- Q. That is a service in which you
- 4 would service the agent for the registrant?
- 5 A. Effectively. I don't know the
- 6 legal definition.
- 7 Q. From the practical standpoint,
- 8 it's not that the customer -- information
- 9 would be there, but there would be a box
- 10 saying that I have opted out, but rather, that
- 11 the customer's information would not be
- 12 present; is that correct?
- 13 A. I don't know about -- you said a
- 14 couple of things. For sure, this sort of
- 15 consistent theme that I would agree with you
- on is that the customer's information, true
- 17 information, would not be present.
- 18 Q. Now, any other consideration of
- 19 an opt-out policy?
- A. None that I'm aware of.
- Q. Am I right in understanding
- 22 Section 2.5 as referring them to some policy
- 23 that you have not yet developed?
- MR. BROWN: Objection. The
- 25 document speaks for itself.

B. Forman

1		R. Forman
2		Mr. Forman, you may answer the
3	quest	ion.
4	Α.	I think it does speak for itself
5	in the event	register.com develops an opt-out
6	policy. One	is not developed.
7	Q.	Let's look at Exhibit 4 and 18.
8		(Series of E-mails from
9		netnames.com bearing production
10		Nos. R-562, R-563, R-151, R-501
11		and R-513 premarked Exhibit 4 for
12		identification, as of this date.)
13		
14		(Document entitled "Registrar
15		Accreditation Agreement"
16		premarked Exhibit 18 for
L 7		identification, as of this date.)
L 8	Q.	Exhibit 4 is several E-mails that
L 9	we have assem	abled in a single exhibit, Bates
2 0	No. R-562, 56	3, 151, 501 and 513, and they are
21	communication	s from netnames.com, regarding
22	bulk license	agreement; do you see that?
23	Α.	Yes.
24		MR. JACOBS: Do we have a

25

standing stipulation on authenticity?

1 R. Forman

2 MR. BROWN: For purposes of

- 3 preliminary injunction, yes.
- 4 Q. J. Levy is Jack Levy at
- 5 register.com?
- A. Yes.
- 7 Q. He's your in-house counsel?
- 8 A. Yes.
- 9 Q. This exchange is consistent with
- 10 your testimony that you haven't licensed the
- 11 WHOIS database on a bulk basis to anyone,
- 12 correct?
- 13 A. Yes.
- Q. Seeing this doesn't refresh your
- recollection as to any of the answers you've
- 16 given so far about your policy -- this is not
- 17 a trick question. I just -- I don't know of
- 18 something that is inconsistent with anything
- 19 that you've said so far.
- I want to know by seeing the
- 21 netnames exchanged, it causes you to think
- 22 that any of the global answers you gave
- 23 previously were incorrect?
- A. I don't think it changes anything
- 25 that I have said.

- Q. I believe you said as part of the
- 3 informal exchanges with ICANN about bulk WHOIS
- 4 database licensing, that complaints from
- 5 potential licensees were, in fact, forwarded
- 6 to you, is that correct, or reported to you?
- 7 A. Yes.
- Q. Was the identity of the
- 9 complainant reported to you?
- 10 A. To the best of my knowledge, just
- 11 ENIC.
- Q. And just to make that a complete
- answer, ENIC is the only complainant whose
- identity has been reported to you by ICANN?
- 15 A. In an informal manner, I believe,
- 16 yes.
- Q. And I realize there is another
- 18 way of looking at it.
- 19 Are you aware of complainants
- 20 whose identity has not been forwarded to you?
- A. I just read an E-mail right now.
- Q. Complainants to ICANN?
- A. Oh, complainants to ICANN
- 24 regarding our bulk WHOIS licensing data
- 25 requirements?

- 2 Q. Right.
- 3 I would probably say there are Α.
- 4 others, but I'm not aware of any specific
- companies. 5
- 6 0. So --
- 7 I would not be surprised if there
- 8 were others.
- 9 0. You would not?
- 10 I would not be surprised if there
- 11 were others.
- 12 Ο. Let's take a look at Exhibit 18.
- Exhibit 18 is a document entitled "Registrar 13
- Accreditation Agreement," and it's signed by 14
- 15 you on page 18.
- 16 Α. Uh-huh.
- 17 Q. Is this a registrar accreditation
- agreement that register.com signed with ICANN? 18
- 19 Α. Yes.
- 20 Q. And to the best of your
- 21 knowledge, is this the current registrar
- 22 accreditation agreement in force between the
- 23 companies?
- 24 MR. JACOBS: Scott, if you want
- 25 to help him on this. I'm not sure if

1 R. Forman

there have been any amendments to this

- 3 accreditation agreement or supplements
- 4 or extension.
- 5 A. I don't know if this is the
- 6 latest one.
- 7 THE WITNESS: You may have better
- 8 knowledge of that. I thought there was
- 9 one in May.
- MR. BROWN: The effective date is
- April 27, 2000. I believe that this
- is, in fact, the current registrar
- accreditation agreement between
- 14 register.com and ICANN.
- 15 A. There is no date on it.
- Q. No signature date?
- 17 A. No.
- MR. BROWN: Let's go off the
- record for one moment.
- MR. JACOBS: All right.
- 21 (Discussion off the record.)
- Q. Is this Exhibit 18 the
- 23 accreditation agreement currently in force
- 24 between ICANN and register.com?
- A. I'm not positive, but I believe