



# DNSSEC Disaster Recovery

Edward Lewis

[ed.lewis@neustar.biz](mailto:ed.lewis@neustar.biz)

DNSSEC Workshop @ ICANN 46

April 10, 2013



# In 5 minutes or less

- » I'll assume we have a plan for disaster recovery for mundane items like loss of a data center, machine, network, power, staff, operating funds and so on
- » What is at risk that is unique to DNSSEC?
- » It's the secrecy of the private key!



# How can a secret be exposed?

- » It doesn't matter.
- » Maybe an employee walks.
- » Maybe someone just guesses it.
- » It doesn't matter, you have to deal with it.
- » A poorly managed registry can have its secret exposed
- » A well run registry can have its secret exposed
- » Don't be concerned with "why did this happen"



# What happens next?

- » Some one can forge data and then poison caches “Kaminsky style”
- » The global percentage of caches poisoned will be low
  - » Could be damaging, but not “globally”
- » Eventually you (the “owner”) find out
  - » Mean time to discovery...can be lowered with monitoring
- » What’s the worst thing you can do?



# Panic - don't!

- » The only workable solution is to perform an unscheduled but otherwise normal key roll
- » Sudden disruption will harm the majority of caches that weren't already hit
  - » You can then force as many poisoned caches to reload, but think of the consequences
  - » Not all data in all caches are poisoned
  - » You don't know all of the caches
- » All you can do is an unscheduled key roll!



# MTTR

- » Mean time to recovery is what you can manage
- » How quickly can you change a key?
  - » Lowering TTLs quickens changes, but raises normal query rates
  - » Once you have a problem, it's too late to change TTLs
  - » Shortening signature durations limits the time a key can be abused, but raises the amount of signing you must do
  - » Once you have a problem, it's too late to change durations
- » At “design time” you have to decide these numbers
  - » Balance “sunny day” costs with “rainy day” risks