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IDN ccTLD Policy

• Recommendations overall policy selection of IDN ccTLD strings

• inclusion of IDN ccTLD’s in ccNSO

• Replace Fast Track methodology
Structure of Policy

- Recommendations selection of IDN ccTLD strings
  - Overarching principles
  - Criteria
  - Procedures and documentation
  - Miscellaneous recommendations
- Recommendations on inclusion
  - Overarching principles
  - Recommendations
  - Proposed changes ICANN Bylaws Article IX and Annex B
- Notes and comments not part of policy, but to assist interpretation
Inclusion of IDN ccTLD’s in ccNSO

IDN ccTLD’s and ASCII ccTLD’s should be treated similar

• Adjustment of membership definition

• One vote per Territory
  — only for Council elections and vote on PDP
  — Board selection: voluntary arrangement

• Initiation of PDP: 10 members of the ccNSO from different territories

• No further major changes
Policy Selection IDN ccTLD strings

Current policy
Delegation and redelegation applies

• Proposed policy builds on Fast Track methodology

• Major substantive changes:
  • String contains at least one non-ASCII character
  • Confusing similarity issues addressed
  • Placeholder IDN variant management
  • Update and clarification of processes
Procedural changes

• Review of Policy in five years
• Creation of Advisory Group to assist:
  – Implementation
  – Operational

• ccNSO: monitor and adopt Implementation Plan
IDN ccPDP: Confusing similarity

- Issue is not technical in itself, but is threat to stability and security of DNS
- Balancing overall interest SSR of DNS, political interest in IDN ccTLD string and accountability and transparency
  - Strong interest of the GAC (members) in adjusting method under Fast Track process (GAC Prague communiqué)
  - Strong Interest technical community to ensure SSR
  - Implementable and Manageable process
IDN ccPDP: Confusing similarity Recommendations

• Adjusted standard for confusing similarity
• Method and criteria for review to be developed as part of implementation
• Two stage review
  • Role and responsibilities need to be specified
  • Explicit communications, and what they should contain (names of panelist, rationale for decision)
• Transitional arrangement for current application under Fast Track Process
Next steps

- Final Report is submitted to ccNSO Council for adoption (Beijing meeting)
  - Closure of WG 1 and WG 2
  - Request to implement confusing similarity to experiment new approach
- GAC has formally been asked for advise (ccPDP rules).
- ccNSO Members vote
- Recommendations to the Board (Durban meeting)