[2010-06-23 16:16:30] lightIRC_9967: This is Marika - as I am projecting the slides on the screen, I do not see whether there are any hands raised in the room. If you have any questions or want to get into the queue, please notify me as well in the chat.
[2010-06-23 16:17:37] George_Kirikos: I'd like to be in the queue to ask questions, once it opens up.
[2010-06-23 16:18:27] lightIRC_9967: ok - noted. Do you want to wait until the end, or do you have a specific question on this issue.
[2010-06-23 16:18:42] George_Kirikos: I have many questions on all issues.
[2010-06-23 16:19:36] AttyJones: Hi George, is that you I hear?
[2010-06-23 16:21:32] AttyJones: I see you've joined the conversation for one of your favorite topics.
[2010-06-23 16:28:43] AttyJones: Yes, all people think their issues are ugent. So, you can see how important it is for us to follow SOPs on this.
[2010-06-23 16:29:38] George_Kirikos: I'd like to respond to Rob, when everyone else had a chance.
[2010-06-23 16:36:08] AttyJones: That may be your best practice, but for a
George_Kirikos: Old registrant accepts the transfer from old registrar, to new registrar, and at the new registrar the registrant is changed.

AttyJones: George, you need to put on your think-like-a-criminal hat for this discussion. You're way too honest for this.

George_Kirikos: I'd like to respond.

AttyJones: Again, because you're not a crook. Put yourself in the shoes of the bad guy for a minute. What you're suggesting only works for honest buyers/sellers and, sadly, we have to shape policies that work for all people, not just the good guys.

George_Kirikos: Christine: You're thinking that all sellers are honest.

Anil_George: We should not got bogged down in a semantic threshold issue about "urgent." If there are concrete comments that anyone has about improving the process, then we should take that up when we return to improving the first draft. We should not get sidetracked in a discussion whether it's worthy for us to propose a process for wrongful transfer.

George_Kirikos: Yes, we should, because you're undoing a transfer which may be 100% legitimate.

George_Kirikos: They could have also put the domain on "executive lock", VeriSign lock, out of band verification, etc.

Anil_George: Regarding your concern about legitimate transfers, the appropriate approach would be to suggest steps that can be included in the process to avoid affecting legitimate transfers, not whether there should be a process at all.

George_Kirikos: Or where a guy backed out of CamRoulette.com (and sold to someone else), and was sued for 6-figures later.

George_Kirikos: (and it's directly related to this EPP question)

George_Kirikos: Lessons learned -- don't keep your domains at insecure registrars......

George_Kirikos: I'd like to rejoin.