

Meeting of the ICANN Board

<http://brussels38.icann.org/node/12525>

Friday, 25 June 2010 – 10:30 – 14:00

[2010-06-25 10::30:10] Robert_Hoggarth: with so many new attendees i the last several minutes, reminder - If you have a question or statement to offer, please start your post with the words QUESTION or STATEMENT at the beginning of your post

[2010-06-25 10::30:11] SeanPowell: no, it's the scribe feed

[2010-06-25 10::30:16] Jim_Fleming_Test: Why is everything so divided and not integrated ?

[2010-06-25 10::30:23] SeanPowell: under low bandwidth

[2010-06-25 10::30:29] Neil_the_Engineer: Also, other than .xxx, are there likely to be any other gTLD approvals today?

[2010-06-25 10::30:45] Robert_Hoggarth: we also have Wufoo forms system for this session at <https://icann.wufoo.com/forms/icann-38-soac-chair-reports-session/>

[2010-06-25 10::31:05] George_Kirikos: Not today, Neil.

[2010-06-25 10::31:20] John_McCormac_HosterStats: If .xxx is approved the media will have to think up new terms for the Sunrise and Landrush phases

[2010-06-25 10::31:30] Flem_Jimming: lol John

[2010-06-25 10::31:36] George_Kirikos: I had a question (posted on the Wufoo form) re: Ombudsman.

[2010-06-25 10::31:46] Jim_Fleming_Test: Is .XXX the last TLD in the ICANN queue ? before we move to real systems...

[2010-06-25 10::31:55] Flem_Jimming: Foreplay & Get It On ?

[2010-06-25 10::32:33] Robin_Gross: good idea to have an outside opinion on this issue.

[2010-06-25 10::32:37] Jim_Fleming_Test: If it were not for .XXX (and .NEWS and the original .BIZ) ICANN would not exist

[2010-06-25 10::33:20] Robert_Hoggarth: got it in George ... once mic got tunred on

[2010-06-25 10::33:24] Jim_Fleming_Test: and .WEB

[2010-06-25 10::33:40] Robert_Hoggarth: thank you all for participating and attending

[2010-06-25 10::33:56] Neil_the_Engineer: Thanks George. Loud and clear Robert. If I have a question for the floor I'll begin with QUESTION or STATEMENT but those were just for the chat room.

[2010-06-25 10::34:33] George_Kirikos: Don't we stay here for the remainder of the meeting?

[2010-06-25 10::34:48] George_Kirikos: (looks like the chat got cleared?)

[2010-06-25 10::34:48] Frank_M: I bet not, GK

[2010-06-25 10::35:04] George_Kirikos: It's the same link on the website, I think. Hmmmm.

[2010-06-25 10::35:05] Jim_Fleming_Test: Adjourned ?

[2010-06-25 10::35:18] George_Kirikos: i.e. <http://ac.icann.org/goldhall>

[2010-06-25 10::35:33] Doug_Barton: If I had to guess I'd say that they have to flush the logs so that they can archive the chat for each session on an individual basis

[2010-06-25 10::35:37] Jim_Fleming_Test: the IRC is organized by Room and I doubt they move that room in MeatSpace

[2010-06-25 10::36:06] George_Kirikos: Ahh, that makes sense.

[2010-06-25 10::36:08] SeanPowell: chat will continue in the same room based on the rooms physical name

[2010-06-25 10::36:35] Jim_Fleming_Test: Moving from StarTrek to XXX

[2010-06-25 10::37:00] Frank_M: And what's going on with the elevator music

[2010-06-25 10::37:02] George_Kirikos: Anyone have predictions on .xxx today?

[2010-06-25 10::37:31] John_McCormac_HosterStats: Only that it should be yet another interesting experience for the end users.

[2010-06-25 10::37:40] Doug_Barton: I'd like to make a request that for the board meeting that we keep the chat a little bit closer to on topic

[2010-06-25 10::37:42] Jim_Fleming_Test: 3 Choices on XXX - Up Down Middle

[2010-06-25 10::37:47] John_McCormac_HosterStats: It is easier to defer than to make a decision.

[2010-06-25 10::38:14] Jim_Fleming_Test: Is someone going to Blog the Board Meeting ?

[2010-06-25 10::38:41] George_Kirikos: Even though there are reports it will be approved (conditional on GAC, etc.)....I dunno. I think that's what they will *vote* on, but it might not get the votes.

[2010-06-25 10::39:25] Jim_Fleming_Test: Petere Dengate Thrush has made it clear he just wants to demonstrate process not outcome

[2010-06-25 10::40:14] Jim_Fleming_Test: Given that, they may do a simple vote and count them

[2010-06-25 10::40:31] Jim_Fleming_Test: 20 votes ?

[2010-06-25 10::42:42] John_McCormac_HosterStats: What was interesting from some of the DNS sessions was that registries seem to regard cross TLD analysis as hard

[2010-06-25 10::42:45] →^a gpmgroup joined the chat room.

[2010-06-25 10::43:05] John_McCormac_HosterStats: there seems to be a disconnect between domainers/analysis people and the registries

[2010-06-25 10::46:22] Doug_Barton: John, Yes, I found that interestin g as well

[2010-06-25 10::47:08] noXXX: will the xxx go thru?

[2010-06-25 10::47:34] George_Kirikos: We'll see in a few moments. I guess we know which side you're on, noXXX? :-)

[2010-06-25 10::47:36] Doug_Barton: we'll all just have to wait and see :)

[2010-06-25 10::47:38] Robert_Hoggarth: ICANN Board Meeting about to start

[2010-06-25 10::47:51] George_Kirikos: (I oppose it too, just for the record, along with all other new TLDs)

[2010-06-25 10::47:52] John_McCormac_HosterStats: Doug - the cross TLD stuff is quite simple to do on a domain by domain basis but a full cross TLD comparison of all the zones just takes a few hours. It is like the registries never thought about it like domainers.

[2010-06-25 10::48:14] George_Kirikos: Not all ccTLDs publish zone files, though.

[2010-06-25 10::48:21] John_McCormac_HosterStats: very true :)

[2010-06-25 10::48:24] Neil_the_Engineer: did every one else just get ladies and gentlemen plz take your seats followed by silence?

[2010-06-25 10::48:33] George_Kirikos: Plus, the zone files don't include domains that have no name servers, but are still registered.

[2010-06-25 10::48:46] John_McCormac_HosterStats: most EU ccTLD registries don't.

[2010-06-25 10::48:55] George_Kirikos: (or was it just muted?)

[2010-06-25 10::48:58] Robert_Hoggarth: no one speaking at the moment

[2010-06-25 10::49:11] George_Kirikos: Nice to hear the ambiance of the audience, though. :)

[2010-06-25 10::49:29] George_Kirikos: Sets the tone for the drama ahead!

[2010-06-25 10::49:31] Robert_Hoggarth: still setting up

[2010-06-25 10::49:37] John_McCormac_HosterStats: George - they show up as domains with very unusual profiles. (regged in net/all others but not .com for example)

[2010-06-25 10::49:55] Doug_Barton: yes, live mics are always fun, as various US politicians have discovered recently :)

[2010-06-25 10::50:34] George_Kirikos: Right. It would be nice if the registries published complete lists of all registered domains (to complement the zone file). It would allow analysis to be so much easier (plus registrars could cache the file, and save bandwidth instead of doing live checks)

[2010-06-25 10::50:39] George_Kirikos: So true, Doug! lol

[2010-06-25 10::54:32] Kieren_McCarthy: I'm a big fan of not dragging through Board admin - but when it comes to thanks, you really need to actually thank people

[2010-06-25 10::54:58] →^a webovative joined the chat room.

[2010-06-25 10::55:52] →^a Baher joined the chat room.

[2010-06-25 10::56:23] George_Kirikos: is this a record for remote participation? (I posted the link on a few boards)

[2010-06-25 10::56:30] Jim_Fleming6155: IPv8 Cool !!

[2010-06-25 10::57:48] Roman_Pelikh: no the record was in Niarobi

[2010-06-25 10::58:02] George_Kirikos: What was the max?

[2010-06-25 10::58:10] Roman_Pelikh: @ 180 participants

[2010-06-25 10::58:14] George_Kirikos: Wow.

[2010-06-25 10::58:36] Roman_Pelikh: and 2 remote sites of ~25

[2010-06-25 11::00:42] Jim_Fleming6155: and Protocol Diversity ?

[2010-06-25 11::03:09] George_Kirikos: Hi HuntingMoon. :)

[2010-06-25 11::03:21] Jim_Fleming6155: they are just ASCII

[2010-06-25 11::03:35] HuntingMoon: Hi George thanks for the heads up.

[2010-06-25 11::03:52] George_Kirikos: No problem. :) Doesn't xPays still have a TM for "XXX"?

[2010-06-25 11::04:35] Jim_Fleming6155: Are these the .XXX in Chinese ?

[2010-06-25 11::05:03] George_Kirikos: You can be sure .xxx won't work from China's root.

[2010-06-25 11::05:29] Oprano: Lawley will figure a scam for that

[2010-06-25 11::05:34] Jim_Fleming6155: and China's IPv9 ?

[2010-06-25 11::06:57] noXXX: wow so much bla bla bla bla bla

[2010-06-25 11::07:08] Jim_Fleming6155: They still call these "ccTLDs" and not 2-Letter ASCII TLDs ?

[2010-06-25 11::08:58] Jim_Fleming6155: Was that .XX ?

[2010-06-25 11::09:15] John_McCormac_HosterStats: Treasure Island?

[2010-06-25 11::09:45] John_McCormac_HosterStats: X marking the spot :)

[2010-06-25 11::10:16] Jim_Fleming6155: Does PayPal still own X.com ?

[2010-06-25 11::10:39] George_Kirikos: Yes.

[2010-06-25 11::11:08] Oprano: They are keeping it safe from the kids

[2010-06-25 11::11:12] Jim_Fleming6155: How about .X ?

[2010-06-25 11::11:29] Doug_Barton: single-character TLDs are currently not allowed

[2010-06-25 11::11:54] Doug_Barton: that rule may be relaxed in the next TLD round

[2010-06-25 11::11:56] Jim_Fleming6155: "not allowed" where ?

[2010-06-25 11::12:26] JFK: so whats the feeling in here, will it get approved or not ?

[2010-06-25 11::12:28] guest123456789: is sound muted? not hearing anything

[2010-06-25 11::12:41] George_Kirikos: It's working here.

[2010-06-25 11::12:45] Pushcube: sound is nice and clear here

[2010-06-25 11::12:55] Oprano: JFK they look pretty uptight this morning

[2010-06-25 11::13:03] guest123456789: ok thanks just me i guess

[2010-06-25 11::13:07] Jim_Fleming6155: This must be .XX ?

[2010-06-25 11::13:08] Doug_Barton: Jim, their are a lot of historical reasons, best summarized starting with RFC 1591

[2010-06-25 11::13:27] Doug_Barton: errr, "there are ..."

[2010-06-25 11::13:29] Jim_Fleming6155: "historical BS" ?

[2010-06-25 11::13:29] George_Kirikos: Here we go.

[2010-06-25 11::13:33] Oprano: yup

[2010-06-25 11::13:34] Pushcube: here we go

[2010-06-25 11::13:39] Shaun_P: drun roll...

[2010-06-25 11::13:40] Robert_Hoggarth: audio links can be found at <http://brussels38.icann.org/node/12525>

[2010-06-25 11::13:42] Shaun_P: drum

[2010-06-25 11::14:03] Eberhard: now listen carefully !!

[2010-06-25 11::14:09] George_Kirikos: Any predictions? I think it'll be another split vote....

[2010-06-25 11::14:22] John_McCormac_HosterStats: another deferral?

[2010-06-25 11::14:25] Jim_Fleming6155: The Process is Everything

[2010-06-25 11::14:42] George_Kirikos: (if they approve .xxx.....all hell might break loose, with politicians, religious right, adult industry, etc. getting involved)

[2010-06-25 11::15:01] →^a giovanni_seppia joined the chat room.

[2010-06-25 11::15:10] Jim_Fleming6155: They delayed another 6 months

[2010-06-25 11::16:12] Shaun_P: sounds like they sound like they they've really worked hard on this .. ICANN I mean ;(

[2010-06-25 11::18:18] George_Kirikos: "is still current" and "taking into account the GAC advice" might mean a long delay.....

[2010-06-25 11::18:42] Jim_Fleming6155: a poison pill strategy

[2010-06-25 11::18:58] Eberhard: why don't the XXX guys just admit defeat? This is ridiculous

[2010-06-25 11::19:02] George_Kirikos: Right, it could mean they'll leave it to GAC to kill it? US govt has done this before.

[2010-06-25 11::20:02] George_Kirikos: Just a "yes/no" isn't really educational.

[2010-06-25 11::20:23] Shaun_P: very strong "maybe possibly" ...

[2010-06-25 11::20:26] Eberhard: @George This is not an important vote. This is a no-brainer and it is unfair for XXX to take up so much of the community's time and effort

[2010-06-25 11::20:28] Pushcube: sounds like they're passing the ball to GAC.

[2010-06-25 11::20:56] Oprano: Looks like a bad day for Lawley

[2010-06-25 11::21:02] George_Kirikos: I'm opposed to .xxx too, Eberhard. But, if they approve it, they should explain their vote.

[2010-06-25 11::22:09] Jim_Fleming6155: they will never make it thru the obstacle courses being constructed

[2010-06-25 11::22:24] George_Kirikos: So, FSC will be going to Cartagena. :-)

[2010-06-25 11::22:53] Oprano: ANOTHER MEMBERSHIP DRIVE!

[2010-06-25 11::23:03] →^a Godsmack joined the chat room.

[2010-06-25 11::23:03] JFK: he he he

[2010-06-25 11::23:06] Pushcube: hehe

[2010-06-25 11::23:08] George_Kirikos: lol Oprano

[2010-06-25 11::23:16] Oprano: They saved us YET again. ON TO THE TUBES!

[2010-06-25 11::23:22] George_Kirikos: More fees for the lobbyists and lawyers. ICANN is good for that industry.

[2010-06-25 11::24:00] George_Kirikos: (Rod Beckstrom is the CEO, for the newbies)

[2010-06-25 11::24:33] Eberhard: Rod is afraid of even more opposition, that's why he decided to abstain.

[2010-06-25 11::24:43] Pushcube: agreed

[2010-06-25 11::25:05] George_Kirikos: Doesn't want to affect his post-ICANN political/business aspirations, perhaps?

[2010-06-25 11::25:17] Jim_Fleming6155: XXX dead

[2010-06-25 11::25:31] Eberhard: post-ICANN, George?

[2010-06-25 11::25:35] George_Kirikos: So, on to more lobbying, 'til Cartagena.

[2010-06-25 11::25:50] John_McCormac_HosterStats: half dead/half unborn

[2010-06-25 11::25:52] Bob_Olea: Hi George!

[2010-06-25 11::25:56] George_Kirikos: Eberhard: He used to work for the US govt. And is a venture capitalist.

[2010-06-25 11::25:56] JFK: drive a steak thru its heart

[2010-06-25 11::25:59] →^a Xiaodong_Lee joined the chat room.

[2010-06-25 11::26:08] George_Kirikos: Approving .xxx might not look good on the resume.

[2010-06-25 11::26:26] George_Kirikos: Lawley will claim victory today.

[2010-06-25 11::26:37] Oprano: so will the FSC

[2010-06-25 11::26:40] George_Kirikos: As, staying half-born is better than being dead.

[2010-06-25 11::26:58] Doug_Barton: Yay Raimundo :)

[2010-06-25 11::27:00] George_Kirikos: Another comment period coming up, lol.

[2010-06-25 11::27:25] Bob_Olea: Funny to see these guys play. I may have to volunteer for a committee one of these days!

[2010-06-25 11::27:29] John_McCormac_HosterStats: a classic civil service maneuver - don't say no but don't say yes either.

[2010-06-25 11::27:32] George_Kirikos: Show some courage, Board, and decide one side or the other.

[2010-06-25 11::27:47] Abe_Wouters: ICANN policy engineers building giant Rube Goldberg machine for decision processing

[2010-06-25 11::27:52] Shaun_P: Join the ICANN Travel & Vacation Club Bob ;)

[2010-06-25 11::28:06] George_Kirikos: John: True.....it maintains thier "importance", by not deciding it today.

[2010-06-25 11::28:20] Bob_Olea: Is that the one that has jacuzzis and massages?

[2010-06-25 11::28:33] Abe_Wouters: and the improved mouse trap

[2010-06-25 11::29:17] Bob_Olea: How many of the people deciding on the 'rules of the game' have ANY skin in the game?

[2010-06-25 11::29:31] George_Kirikos: BTW, would be nice if there was a slider in Adobe Connect to adjust the volume (it's really loud on a Mac).

[2010-06-25 11::30:12] George_Kirikos: Bob: Yes, it's like an auto commission composed of folks who don't have driver's licenses....

[2010-06-25 11::30:21] Shaun_P: ;)

[2010-06-25 11::31:05] Bob_Olea: If 'domainers' weren't so F'ing lazy they could probably run the whole show!

[2010-06-25 11::31:32] Shaun_P: Not if we have to be at meetings at 8 AM...

[2010-06-25 11::31:46] Bob_Olea: What's the ICANN payroll like these days?

[2010-06-25 11::32:03] John_McCormac_HosterStats: Bob - millions

[2010-06-25 11::32:06] George_Kirikos: Lots of spin doctoring out of all this. Some are claiming on Twitter that .xxx is approved, rather than it really being deferred again.

[2010-06-25 11::32:14] Bob_Olea: Yeah, 8am meetings? I'm out!

[2010-06-25 11::32:23] George_Kirikos: 16 people at ICANN make more than \$200K/yr, 8 of those over \$300K.

[2010-06-25 11::32:36] Bob_Olea: OK - I'm back in!!

[2010-06-25 11::32:53] George_Kirikos: lol They want to pay board members now, too.

[2010-06-25 11::33:08] Shaun_P: Not enough for me, but the wife would do it for that...

[2010-06-25 11::33:15] Bob_Olea: Evemn better! Now that's what I'm talkin' about!

[2010-06-25 11::34:35] Neil_the_Engineer: Roll on the life storie x)

[2010-06-25 11::34:45] Neil_the_Engineer: *stories

[2010-06-25 11::34:53] Shaun_P: basically he is saying after 16 years all he got was a lousy yellow t shirt...

[2010-06-25 11::35:33] Bob_Olea: what - no gold watch?!

[2010-06-25 11::36:00] Shaun_P: there's the shirt

[2010-06-25 11::36:19] Bob_Olea: that's like a really bad reverse strip tease!

[2010-06-25 11::36:21] Shaun_P: uuggg, like me he needs XXL

[2010-06-25 11::37:10] Shaun_P: whole lot of thanking going on...

[2010-06-25 11::37:35] biscuit: defintely one of the best organised events I've been to.

[2010-06-25 11::38:07] Shaun_P: biscuit ... and we all paid for it...

[2010-06-25 11::38:10] George_Kirikos: Yes, lots of pomp, not enough content. Having more explanations live would be more transparent, rather than simply deciding the issues in private at "retreats", and then staging an 'event' where the results are predetermined.

[2010-06-25 11::41:26] John_McCormac_HosterStats: I wonder what kind of impact these IDN ccTLDs will have on the existing ASCII ccTLDs?

[2010-06-25 11::47:56] →^a arinne joined the chat room.

[2010-06-25 11::48:48] George_Kirikos: *cough*

[2010-06-25 11::51:39] Jim_Fleming: Wonder if the public will ever elect the Board ?

[2010-06-25 11::51:58] Bob_Olea: so this is all 'show' after the fact?.

[2010-06-25 11::52:28] George_Kirikos: Yeah.....kabuki theatre is how Bret Fausset describes it all.

[2010-06-25 11::52:58] Shaun_P: beauracracy in action ...

[2010-06-25 11::53:19] Bob_Olea: sound like a bunch of career beaureacrats!

[2010-06-25 11::54:00] Ram_Mohan: Shaun - how would you do it differently and still get through the items under consideration in the time allotted?

[2010-06-25 11::54:02] Jim_Fleming: The Internet was designed to free people from this sort of thing

[2010-06-25 11::54:09] munki: I'm very dissappointed to see this getting railroaded through...

[2010-06-25 11::54:43] Shaun_P: Ram ... hand out/publish minutes ... annnouce results ...

[2010-06-25 11::54:51] Bob_Olea: which one munki?

[2010-06-25 11::55:15] Jim_Fleming: "community" => their small club

[2010-06-25 11::55:24] Shaun_P: there is not real interaction .. just statements after the fact...

[2010-06-25 11::55:47] Ram_Mohan: ok - how would that reduce the bureacratic nature you dislike?

[2010-06-25 11::56:37] Jim_Fleming: New software will be accelerated to help people route around this

[2010-06-25 11::56:43] Shaun_P: Ram ... I have a couple businesses to run ... I don't have time for bureacratic BS ... time is money..

[2010-06-25 11::57:24] Doug_Barton: what a lot of people call "bureacracy" is often just careful attention to detail

[2010-06-25 11::57:34] Doug_Barton: If ICANN deviates from it's processes, it gets hammered

[2010-06-25 11::57:36] Ram_Mohan: Shaun, your comment is that this board process is bureacratic - i dont see how your response addresses my question? many of us have businesses to run, too.

[2010-06-25 11::57:41] Doug_Barton: if it pays careful attention to them, it gets hammered

[2010-06-25 11::57:42] →^a David_Olive joined the chat room.

[2010-06-25 11::57:44] Doug_Barton: you do the math :)

[2010-06-25 11::57:55] Jim_Fleming: There is no need for ICANN

[2010-06-25 11::58:17] Shaun_P: Reduce bureacracy ... they stop talking and start doing..

[2010-06-25 11::58:25] Doug_Barton: Jim, if you really believe that, toddle along and have a nice day :)

[2010-06-25 11::58:50] Jim_Fleming: Your way or the highway ?

[2010-06-25 11::58:57] Shaun_P: enough constant circle jerk consultation and dely ...

[2010-06-25 11::59:13] Doug_Barton: Shaun, I believe that you were not present this morning during the many reports of the things that ARE being done

[2010-06-25 11::59:27] Jim_Fleming: 150 people doing what ?

[2010-06-25 11::59:42] Jim_Fleming: any time records ?

[2010-06-25 11::59:45] Ram_Mohan: Shaun - as someone who runs a business, you know that as volume of work and business size grows, it is essential to add process which can sometimes look like bureacracy. But without those kinds of processes (which can admittedly be boring) we get to a much more ad-hoc way that no one likes

[2010-06-25 11::59:54] Shaun_P: Doug, you are right .. I just keep watching this unfold over the 12 years I have been in the business...

[2010-06-25 12::00:10] Bob_Olea: Doug - examples please? And why couldn't it be done more efficiently/cheaply?

[2010-06-25 12::00:21] Jim_Fleming: Any accountability for what each employee does ?

[2010-06-25 12::00:42] Doug_Barton: lots of exciting stuff is happening, DNSSEC is the main one in the immediate past/future

[2010-06-25 12::00:51] Jim_Fleming: DNSSEC is a joke

[2010-06-25 12::00:54] George_Kirikos: If I was in charge, I'd (1) freeze IANA (IANA is good) (2) kill new TLDs, .xxx, (3) bring in Verified WHOIS, (4) eliminate about 2/3rds of staff (5) eliminate most of the reserve (6) reduce fees (7) bring in regular tenders for com/net/org

[2010-06-25 12::01:16] Jim_Fleming: When is the COM Rebid ?

[2010-06-25 12::01:17] George_Kirikos: Vote for me, and I'll set you free. :)

[2010-06-25 12::01:24] Jim_Fleming: When is the .NET Rebid ?

[2010-06-25 12::02:11] George_Kirikos: Yes, ISOC and Afilias milk .org, rather than run it at lowest cost for consumers.

[2010-06-25 12::02:12] Shaun_P: hallelujah George

[2010-06-25 12::02:36] George_Kirikos: If it was tendered regularly, .org would cost about \$2.50 to \$3.5/yr.

[2010-06-25 12::03:12] Jim_Fleming: .ORG will not even be resolved in new consumer systems

[2010-06-25 12::03:50] Shaun_P: dot com would be a buck George ...

[2010-06-25 12::03:59] George_Kirikos: Probably around that, yes.

[2010-06-25 12::04:05] biscuit: why do you think .org will not be re-tendered ever?

[2010-06-25 12::04:08] George_Kirikos: And so would VRSN's stock price, instead of \$28.

[2010-06-25 12::04:23] Shaun_P: but thanks to ICANN we have a perpetual 7% increase...

[2010-06-25 12::04:42] George_Kirikos: biscuit: because their contract has "presumptive renewal", which lets them renew indefinitely, with 10% price increases for .org (7% for .com)

[2010-06-25 12::04:49] Jim_Fleming: PNRP is going to change this game

[2010-06-25 12::05:03] Shaun_P: now that progress for bureaucracy

[2010-06-25 12::05:11] George_Kirikos: The US Department of Justice, or a lawsuit (like the CFIT one for .com) would need to be won, declaring them an abusive monopolist, etc.

[2010-06-25 12::05:47] Bob_Olea: i wish i had a guaranteed 7 or 10% increase in my income every year!

[2010-06-25 12::05:49] →^a munki left the chat room.

[2010-06-25 12::05:53] Jim_Fleming: Ironic that Microsoft's FREE domains will free people

[2010-06-25 12::06:18] George_Kirikos: That "George" on the Board is not me, btw. :)

[2010-06-25 12::06:23] Jim_Fleming: sort of a repeat of the NetScape IE story - what part of FREE don't you understand ?

[2010-06-25 12::07:02] George_Kirikos: Here's a quote on Twitter: Stuart Lawley: "It's been a long time coming, but I'm excited about the fact that .xxx will soon become a reality. This is great news."

[2010-06-25 12::07:34] George_Kirikos: The Board retreats more than the French army.

[2010-06-25 12::07:43] Jim_Fleming: .XXX now gets to follow the yellow brick road

[2010-06-25 12::10:30] Shaun_P: Do you think many of the new gTLD's will achieve the same success as .info, .biz, .aero . museum .travel .pro etc ?

[2010-06-25 12::10:48] Jim_Fleming: .COOP

[2010-06-25 12::12:03] Bob_Olea: maybe xxx!

[2010-06-25 12::12:30] Jim_Fleming: At the end of the day, it all boils down to eyeballs and market share - do the math

[2010-06-25 12::12:31] Shaun_P: these new gTLD's are the biggest money grab and bureaucratic self justification ever... in five years ... iit will be "I told you so" ...

[2010-06-25 12::13:22] Jim_Fleming: incumbents stall to protect their cash cows

[2010-06-25 12::14:19] Jim_Fleming: then you have strange opportunities such as IDN "up-sells" - ISPs can charge more for consumers that demand IDNs

[2010-06-25 12::16:35] Jim_Fleming: all face-to-face MeatSpace meetings

[2010-06-25 12::16:38] →^a Frederick_Felman joined the chat room.

[2010-06-25 12::16:42] Jim_Fleming: no Board on-line

[2010-06-25 12::17:30] Shaun_P: wonder why they never hold meeting in "crappy" cities... non in Flint, Michigan or Saskatoon, SK

[2010-06-25 12::18:05] Jim_Fleming: Another insider search ?

[2010-06-25 12::18:58] George_Kirikos: Get those \$200K/yr jobs, now! :)

[2010-06-25 12::19:07] Jim_Fleming: \$500K

[2010-06-25 12::19:48] Jim_Fleming: complex ?

[2010-06-25 12::20:07] Shaun_P: CTO .. Chief Travel Officer...

[2010-06-25 12::20:22] Jim_Fleming: work from home ?

[2010-06-25 12::20:32] Guest_4: Big thanks to Doug for his work for all ICANN communities! He will be missed.

[2010-06-25 12::20:35] Jim_Fleming: play on the net all day on mail lists?

[2010-06-25 12::20:39] George_Kirikos: They suffer the perils of first class travel, 5 star hotels....working from home, etc. The dangers of papercuts. :)

[2010-06-25 12::20:40] →^a Sivasubramanian_M joined the chat room.

[2010-06-25 12::21:12] Doug_Barton: ICANN staff, serious request, the signal to noise ratio in this chat is pretty close to non-existent

[2010-06-25 12::21:44] Doug_Barton: I can't help thinking that people with serious things to say would be discouraged by the non-stop blather

[2010-06-25 12::22:04] Jim_Fleming: "serious things" ?

[2010-06-25 12::22:09] Shaun_P: Doug ... they are doing a great job of communicating what a great job they are doing ..

[2010-06-25 12::22:10] Jim_Fleming: such as ?

[2010-06-25 12::22:10] George_Kirikos: Bye folks.

[2010-06-25 12::22:38] Robert_Hoggarth: the meeting is adjourned. thanks everyone for participating!

[2010-06-25 12::22:50] Shaun_P: AGree George .. back to real life