# DNS/DNSSEC and Domain Transfers: Are they compatible ?

Olafur Gudmundsson
Steve Crocker
Shinkuro inc.
{ogud,steve}@shinkuro.com

### Background

- Shinkuro was asked by ORG to look into how DNSSEC affects transfers of signed domains,
  - In particular when Registrar operates the DNS service for the Domain holder.
- We have spend many months working out solutions that fit into the real world
  - Running DNSSEC transfer tests with early adopting registrars for org.

### Approach

 This presentation is from the perspective of the DNS protocol, DNS software and is aimed at highlighting the real world issues.

#### Goals:

- Eliminate and/or minimize DNS resolution errors and service calls
- Minimize work by "old" operators

### Approach (cont)

- Assumptions:
  - All parties are willing to be minimally cooperative.
    - Without cooperation → DNS resolution errors
  - Only DNS is being changed all other services are ignored.

### Approach (cont)

- How the behavior of certain DNS architectural elements affect the steps, at the time of:
  - DNS operator change
  - Registrar transfer
  - DNSSEC key change
- What DNS components need to be taken into account when changing operators
  - Parent/Registry/Registrar behavior
  - Authoritative server behavior
  - Resolver's behavior
  - TTL values and impact

### Roles and Notation

- Domain holder: (H)
  - The entity that has the registration for a domain
- DNS operator: (O = old) (N = new)
  - Operates the DNS servers for the domain and maintains the zone
- Registrar: (R)
  - The party that the Domain holder has contracted with to register the domain
    - From H's perspective Registry is not visible.
- Parent:
  - The DNS domain that has the delegation to the zone
- Content Provider:
  - Ignored in this presentation
- Red = ERROR, Blue = Optional, Orange = not desired/partial failure

# DNS control plane for domains: Record types

- NS lists the set of hosts that act as authoritative name servers for a zone
  - Appears in two places
    - as a hint in the parent, unsigned
    - Authoritative in the child, signed.
- DNSKEY the key(s) that can sign the data in the zone,
  - Resides at the child size of the delegation
- **DS** the key(s) authorized to sign the child DNSKEY set
  - Resides at the parent side of the delegation, signed by parent.

## Simplified model


- New operator creates and loads a zone
  - Data is available but not visible as parent points to old operator.
- Moment of DNS change:
  - When parent changes NS set to point to new operator.
- New operator's data becomes visible

# -BUT

## Complication #1: TTL

- All DNS RRsets can be stored and reused by DNS resolvers/caches for certain time after reception.
  - Resolvers that know about old operator will keep asking old operator until the NS set expiries.
    - Until NS set expires the only reason for resolver to ask parent any question about the domain is to refresh the DS record.

### DNS Operator Change: what happens



# Complication #2: Resolver behaviors

#### Centricity:

- Some resolvers only use the NS set from the child
- Others just use the one from the parent

#### TTL stretching:

- When an **identical** copy of a cached RRset from the same source is seen
  - some resolvers use the new copy to refresh the TTL
  - → resolvers can be Sticky to old operator.

#### Error recovery:

- Even when NONE of the authoritative servers answers resolvers will not ask parent for newer copy of NS.
  - This is common operator mistake/......
  - asking parent repeatedly will only yield same bad data,
    - » Only causes extra load

### DNS operator change (script)

- Domain holder (H) is using O as DNS operator
- H asks N to become new DNS operator
- H assists N in instantiating a copy of the zone
  - O may or may not be involved.
- N gives H a new NS set.
- H via R (registrar) to changes the NS set to point to N
- H asks O to change its NS set to N's
  - This is optional for O
- **H** waits for old copies of NS sets to expire i.e. new NS set to become *globally visible*.
- H asks O to stop DNS service
  - O should stop service as soon as possible.

## What can go wrong:

- If O stops service before parent NS is changed:
  - Total DNS failure on all lookups
- If O stops service before all resolvers have migrated over:
  - Some resolvers may experience outage
    - Hard to diagnose as this depends on the state of local resolvers
- If O does not stop service when asked to
  - Some child-centric sticky resolvers may never discover the operator change
- N is not ready when NS is changed:
  - DNS resolution failure

### TTL effects

- How fast operators can be changed: is dictated by the TTL on the DNS control plane RRsets!
- In many cases the PARENT selected TTL's dominate the wait times.
  - Many TLD's have TTL's on NS sets that are in day's

### DNSSEC operator change

#### Assumption:

 New and Old DNS operators will use different keys to sign data in the zone.

#### Goal:

- Want to avoid both DNS resolution failures and DNSSEC validation errors!!
  - Follow same approach
  - During change resolvers MUST be able to validate signatures by both operators.
- Actually this is Key Rollover and Operator change rolled into one

### **DNSSEC** preconditions

- DS set MUST contain authorization for both operators KSK's during the change
- Both DNSKEY RRset's MUST contain ZSK's for both operators during change.
- → New DNSKEY and DS sets MUST be globally visible
  - before NS set in parent is changed.

### Script: Before DNSSEC operator change

- H contracts with N to operate zone
- N instantiates a zone,
  - Generates new KSK and ZSK,
    - DNSKEY set includes ZSK O is using.
  - Provides H with new NS and DS records
- H asks O to add N's ZSK to its copy of zone
- H via R adds N's DS record to the ones for O
- H waits for new DS and DNSKEY to become globally visible.
  - Max( O's NS TTL, P's NS TTL, DS TTL)

### Operator Change and after

- H via R changes NS set to point to N
- H asks O to change NS set to point to N
  - Optional step
- H waits for old NS's to expire max TTL on NS sets
- H asks O to stop service.
- H waits for laggard resolvers to detect change
- H via R to removes DS records for O
- H asks N to remove ZSK records for O

## How can change go wrong?

- O refuses to add N's ZSK →
  - signed Operator Change not possible ->
    - this behavior complicates things.
- O turns off service before changes in parent have had time to propagate
  - DNS resolution failures.
- H can not update DS records
  - Operator Change not possible

### Considerations

- H does not wait long enough for old data for expire from the system
  - Some resolvers may experience failures
    - This is H's choice
- O does not change NS to reflect N
  - Mitigations:
    - O can slave from N and then things work great
    - O can lower TTL on NS and DNSKEY to force resolvers to forget its NS set.

### Now back to the real world ©

- The previous slides assumed H knew what to do and had the ability to do so.
  - H can give N the authorization to perform its tasks
- When Registrar is also the DNS Operator
  - Change the DNS Operator first
  - -Then change the Registrar
    - ISSUE: H not able to insert new DS records before change.

### Registry DNSSEC requirements

- Sign zone and process updates in near realtime.
- Accept DS records via EPP
  - Accept more than one DS record per delegation
    - Org allows 12
    - Rollovers work better if DS is published before change
  - Optional: accept DNSKEY records and generate DS records

# Requirements for Registrars: DNSSEC Signed Domains

- Registrars must support DNSSEC EPP extensions
- Interfaces must be updated to accept DS records
  - add + delete operations
  - Optional: accept DNSKEY records
- Separate account for Technical Contact
  - Can only change NS and DS records

### Requirements for DNS operators

- MUST accept DNSKEY record from domain holder
- Should change NS when asked
- MUST turn off service when asked but not before.

### **DNSSEC Transfer Testing for ORG**

- As a demonstration that it is possible to change DNS operators and Registrars we have worked with org and two registrars
  - Names Beyond
  - DynDNS
- For each registrar there are up to 13 tests where it is the original registrar
- There are up to 4 tests where it is destination registrar.

# Testing sheet

variant 7AcAd		Transfer of DNS operation for a signed zone			
Actors		Neither operator is associated with a registrar			
R	PIR/Afilias				
Н	Shinkuro	This variant tests just the transfer of signed DNS service. It			
Α	NBC	is assumed that other services, e.g. mail and web, are			
В		provided on separate machines and do not need to be			
С	Shinkuro	transitioned.			
d	Sparta	Initial State: domain name is registered via A and operating			
FQDN	dnesacytri i IH/H-//c//d ord	on c1 and c2			
NS	c1, c2, d1, d2	on crand cz			

Step	Date/Time Notes Actor Actions	R esults
1	H R equest d to operate zone	Positive Ack from d with credentials
2	Get copy of zone and instantiate in on d1, d2. Create new ZSKs and KSKs. Replace KSKs with new d KSKs. Add the new ZSKs with old ZSKs. Replace all RRSIGs with new signatures. Domain Holder gets DS records and ZSKs from d.	Verify that servers are

## Testing sheet (cont)

	 	T-II - +	Desirius Aslufacion
3	I H	Tell c to add new ZSKs to keyset.	Positive Ack from c
4	С	Add ZSKs to the zone's keyset	
5	H I	Tell A to update DS records at parent	
6	A	send EPP command to add new DS records to the parent	query shows new DS records at the parent
7	н	Wait for the later of two sequences: ZSK to appear in c, then for the maxTTLof ZSKs, and the DS TTL after the DS records appear at the parent.	
8	1 H	Tell c to replace name servers with d1, d2	Positive Ack from c
9	C	R eplace name servers with d1, d2	query shows change at c
10	<u>.</u> ⊢ I	Tell A to replace name servers with d1, d2	Positive Ack from A
11	A	SendEPP command to registry to replace the name servers with d1, d2 at the parent	
12	R	Replace c1, c2 with d1, d2	Parent DNS and Whois both reflect the change
13	2 Н	Wait for d1, d2 to appear in c and in parent	d1, d2 appear in both c and parent
14	Н	Wait max(TTLs on the NS sets)	No visible result. Caches are presumably drained throughout the net
15	H I	Tell c to turn off service	c Acks
16	<u>.                                    </u>	c turns off service	c servers respond to queries with "notauth"
17	H I	Tells d to remove old DNSKEYs and tells A to remove DS records from parent.	
18	d 1	DNSKEYs from c are removed from d's zone	query shows records gone
19	A I	Send EPP command to remove old (c's) DS records from the parent	query shows DS records gone
20	R	Remove old (c's) DS records from the parent	Parent DNS and Whois both reflect the change

### **Testing Results**

- Registrar interfaces needed fixing
  - All minor issues
- Most of testing performed by outsiders (us)
- Time to perform tests dominated by ORG's TTL of 1 day
- Actual tests in progress.

### **DNSSEC** Registrar Considerations

- Registrar that operates ONLY as registrar for a domain
  - Needs to update UI and EPP with parents
    - Add/delete DS/DNSKEY

### **Bundled DNSSEC Registrar considerations**

- Registrar that operates DNS as value added service
- Needs to understand the extra requirements that being a DNSSEC operator means
  - Must accept new DNSKEY records from domain holder
  - Transfer policies: ?
    - Block Transfers until after DNS operation has been transferred.
    - Operate DNS service for a grace period after Transfer
    - Other

### Registry Policy Questions

- When can a DNSSEC domain be transferred?
  - Between DNSSEC capable registrars ?
- How many DS record are allowed?
- Will registry lower TTL's on upon demand?
- What certification testing is required for DNSSEC registrars?
- Does registry accept DS and/or DNSKEY records?

### Conclusions

• "All at once" DNSSEC Transfer is impossible

• With "DNS first, Registration second" Transfer

is:

