
Chris Disspain: Good afternoon, everybody. Welcome to the meeting of the ccNSO Council, the 25th of June 2010, here in Brussels. Thank you very much for those of you who have stayed behind to observe.

Our apologies for the rushed way that this is being done. We knew before we started this meeting that we had a packed agenda. We knew that we were going to have to struggle and we did. But that's okay. We got through it in the end.

There is an agenda. It was sent out. It was a skeleton a while ago. Bart and I fleshed this out or rather Bart did. So let's get on with it. If Leslie suddenly leaps up you'll know that England has scored. Or I've said something completely appalling; one or the other.

The first item on our agenda is the Confirmation of the Minutes of the last meeting on the 8th of June and any action I took. Gabby, are there any action items? They're all done so no need to worry. Okay, excellent.

Okay. The second item is the Approval of the ccNSO Comments on the ICANN Operating and Final Budget which everybody has a copy of. Becky sent out a few last minute tweaks with punctuation and such which are fine.

We had this for a while. We'll all get it. Some people made comments. There's comments that's been included. Can we consider the resolution that the Council resolve to adopt the comments as documented in Document Blah, Blah, Blah, and submit them as ccNSO Council to the public forum on the operating plan and budget?

Any discussion? Can I have a proposal, please? Leslie, thank you. Byron, thank you for seconding. Is there anyone against? Okay, fantastic, so, that's approved.

Gabby, we're all here this time, aren't we? Oh, Patrick, how are you?

Patrick: I'm fine, Chris.

Chris Disspain: I didn't realize you were there.

Patrick: Yes, I'm on the phone.

Chris Disspain: Thank you very much for coming.

Okay, so, good. Gabby, would you PDF that Becky's version of that and send it to the ...? Yes, Leslie.

Leslie: Sorry. Could I also suggest that she may wish to cut and paste and submit comments on this?

Chris Disspain: That's fine.

Leslie: Themselves. I would strongly encourage that, given some of the current concerns about finances. Thank you.

Chris Disspain: Thank you. That's a very good point. So what we'll do is I will clear off Becky's red line version PDF there. We'll send it out to all of the lists. We'll send it to the comments thingy and when we send out to the lists we'll put a note in saying we've been highly recommend because it closes on Friday.

Okay. Good. Now, Gabby, you're looking puzzled. Are you confused about something? Don't worry about it. It's fine.

Good, excellent news. Now, Number Three, the Affirmation of Commitment Review Panels, the formation of the WHOIS and the Security Review Panels has been announced. The ccNSO supported members of the Security Panel is two people. The ccNSO's supported member of the WHOIS one. The reason is because obviously the Whois is generic, WHOIS, etc., where we obviously want input. It's much more of a GNSO thing.

What we're going to need to do is, s the Council is to publish a call for people to wish to be endorsed by the ccNSO so that they can do that, okay. That's all right. And so that they can then put their names forward. But they can't put their names forward until they're endorsed and etc.

If we could agree that we're going to publish a call for volunteers, well, volunteers for the both of the review groups. I don't think we need a resolution for that but that's okay.

Yes, Becky?

Becky Burr: If I could just make a comment to the group. If there is any cc that has among its regulatory and legal stuff, somebody who is particularly

knowledgeable about your European data of protection law. That would be an extremely valuable contribution to this group. My sense of what goes on in these discussions is that a bunch of people who don't know anything about data protection will talk to each other about what each other's laws are.

If there's a volunteer-inclined, somebody with that skill set would be great.

Chris Disspain: I don't know who is, obviously. One who is not obviously...

Becky Burr: Yes...

Chris Disspain: Just checking. How're we going, Leslie, still nil?

Leslie: Yes, and can I suggest [inaudible – 5:34.5] group might be a good source of input on that issue?

Chris Disspain: Yes, okay. Could we perhaps, Leslie, you and Andre and Ajani – Oh, [Inaudible - 5:47.1], sorry, you're here. Sorry, yes. If there was a way that we could get somebody as Becky suggested forward then that would be great. Okay?

We don't have to talk about it now. But okay, good. Gabby, the action item is that we Publish a Call for Volunteers for the Security and Affirmation Security Panel Review and the WHOIS Information Internet Review. We need to do that pretty soon because it closes in July. Okay, good.

And if you just check the publish dates of the comments, what they are but they publish the dates when you need to volunteer by. We need to pre-do that process so that we can endorse people.

The next item on the agenda is the ATRT. Something else? It's good, it's good. Yay. Fantastic. Was it against the other side, or was it a non-go? If you can't have fun, what's the point? Fantastic, excellent, wonderful. Good.

Now. The next item is the ATRT input, the Accountability Transparency Review Team input. When does that close?

Becky Burr: It officially closes on the 14th of August. We have said we will take it whenever it comes.

Chris Disspain: Okay, fine. I guess obviously if anyone has examples and things that they want to put in, they can obviously put them in for their own ccTLD. I would – I wonder whether they cancel things that we should at least try to write a paper that deals with what we've already talked about and the board decision on [inaudible – 7:58.5], the finance, etc.

I'm wondering whether we should try and do that if we think that's a good idea. Does anyone object to that? Yes, Bart.

Bart Boswinkel: Maybe as in order to avoid too much work during the holidays, is it possible to just point to issues, factual issues and possibly background material as an input document?

Becky Burr: Yes. I think that the notion is that there's going to be research. There's experts, some consultants that are getting hired. So there will be background research done on this. I mean, on the delegation and re-delegation one, I think we have the work already done.

I think, frankly, this group on interims of the financial stuff also has the work done. So, just a list and putting those things together would be fantastic.

Chris Disspain: Okay. What about you and I try and get that done before the end of next week, while I'm in Geneva? Is that okay? Okay.

Bart and I will try to fix that up and then we'll send it out to everybody and have them look at them, etc. Wonderful.

The ccNSO Review, we again, clearly comment needs to be made from that. We have a proposed resolution. The Council knows the publication and report and recommends that ccTLDs provide their own comments on the report as recommendations.

Discussion on that, Leslie?

Leslie: I don't support that resolution. I think this is a particular issue that's cancel and the ccNSO should make input on. In particular with that regard, I would ask for an extension of the deadline from the end of July, which is a very short timeframe.

Chris Disspain: I agree with that and I don't think it was being suggested that the ccNSO shouldn't do that. I don't think we had gotten around to that. You're absolutely right. I agree with you completely. I mean the decision in and of itself and through its Council should certainly be making comment.

But I still think it would be wish and encouraged for people to make their own. In that case, Bart, can I – why don't I write to the, I guess it's the board working group thingy saying that we think that that's too short a timeframe for comment. That we would like it to be extended through until September, September 15th, something like that...2011?

Okay, and in that case, then I'm not sure that we need actually to pass a resolution. Maybe we should simply agree that we're going to ask for an extension. I would be amazed if we don't get that, given that we are the other side of the equation, so to speak. We should just agree that we are going to work on responses now.

Is the best way to do that for each of us to write comments and then have them synthesized? What do we think? Those that want to chuck then all into the big pot and see what...that's probably the easiest way of doing it, because some people will be passionate about one particular recommendation. Others won't be.

Yes? Okay, so, we'll start basically, assume we get the extension and we will send a note out again in the next few days to the Council saying we need you to respond and please provide comments.

Okay, excellent. Item Number Six is New Working Groups, are the ccTLD financial contributions to ICANN working group. This is the one that we discussed with the members yesterday, and there was consensus that we should set this up. So, the resolution is that the Council resolved the drafted charter for approval at its next meeting after adoption of the charter and the call for volunteers that we sent out.

What we're going to do is have our draft as usual, drafted charter. We will then approve that on a telephone meeting, whenever the next one is. Then we'll call for the volunteers for that working group. Any discussion on that resolution?

Then if I could have a proposal for that resolution, please? Ajani, thank you. And Andre seconds. Thank you very much. Is anyone against the resolution?

Are you okay there, Patrick? Still with us?

Patrick: Yes, yes, I'm fine with it.

Chris Disspain: Thank you. Jolly good. All right, so that one is passed. The next one is the Joint ccNSO and the Charter Draft and Committee Working Groups, Security Working Groups. There's no resolution on this because we actually agreed upon our [inaudible – 13:12.3] a couple of weeks ago. But I can tell you that the situation currently is that the GNSO has approved the setting up of a charter drafting committee, so has the ALAC and the GAC in their communiqué say currently.

ccNSO has a decision to act jointly with the GNSO, SSAC and ALAC to charge for potential for cross-constituency working group to consider further ICANN's proposal regarding the security of the DNS. The GAC knows that these issues are of significant public policy and interest is indication as a willingness in collaborating with other parts of the ICANN community on this important issue.

I'm just waiting for final confirmation from Steve Crocker for the SSAC assuming I get that I will go to the microphone and public forum tomorrow and I will say that this is what we are doing. Okay? Good.

Number Seven is Specific Working Groups Updates, the *Ad Hoc* Wild Card Study Group. I went through - read through the background but we have a final report from that group and I seriously doubt that any of us has had an opportunity to consider it in any detail. I certainly haven't.

So, the proposed resolution is that Council acknowledges receipt of the final report of the working group and we'll discuss the recommendations at its next meeting. Council notes that according to its description of purpose and activities following the submission of the final report, the study group will close at the end of the Brussels meeting.

Council wants to thank the members – the Council thanks actually – wanted to thank – thanks the members of the study group for their outstanding work. Any discussion on that resolution? Jero.

Jero: Yes. Let me confirm that they are in the purpose of resolution, it says that the Council will discuss the recommendations. Does this mean that the Council will discuss if the recommendation is appropriate or not, and if appropriate, how to proceed with the discussion? They are the same question.

Chris Disspain: Yes, but you prefer it to say that. You happy that that's what it means?

Jero: Yes.

Chris Disspain Okay. Excellent. Anybody else? So would it be sensible for Youngham to propose the resolution and for Andre to second the resolution, given that they chaired the committee? Excellent. Does anyone object to the resolution? Then it's passed. Thank you very much.

The next one is the SOP Working Group, and I will do the background on this. According to under the current charter the members of the working group were appointed for a term of one year. As of June 2010 this meeting, members of the working group need to be reappointed.

So, the proposed resolution is that the Council resolves to reappoint the members in accordance with its current charter. That is assuming, of course, that the members wish to be re-appointed. So clearly there is no – clearly one is welcome to not to say, “Thank you, and good-bye.” But on the assumption that...

That resolution before we take it one we'll go to the second one just so that we do it all in one go, the background is that the Chair, as Byron has told everybody, there is a suggestion to change the charter of the working group to enable the working group to interact directly and on behalf of ICANN's other SOs and ACs, that the membership of the working group is limited to one year per term. It's supposed to extend the term of the members to two years, and finally the charter of the working group activities that will be reviewed again in 2012.

Yes, Leslie....?

Leslie: I certainly support the continuance of [inaudible – 17:2.8]. Clearly whether we need to reappoint the membership at this meeting, given that we were talking earlier about a call for new members, I think, a rotation of people is normally very productive and it's quite difficult to change that if the current group has already been reappointed?

Chris Disspain: Yes, that's true. Maybe we should reappoint Byron. What do you want to do? Bart, you decide.

Bart Boswinkel: I would suggest to reappoint the current members. What is included now in the new one is a mechanism to appoint new members during the term. If somebody is willing to – wants to step down.

Chris Disspain: No, I think Leslie's right, that first of all, if you appoint all of these people and all of these people don't step down, then new people come along and then suddenly you have a working group with a gazillion people in them, and that's not really workable.

I would suggest that the way we do this is that we call for volunteers to join the revamped working group, and we don't need a resolution for that. We just do that. We call volunteers. We set originally a tight timeline so that the new working group can pick up almost immediately. Would that work for you, Bart, working on the assumption that you're prepared to re-volunteer?

Bart: Sure, so I'm happy to be appointed or whatever logistics require at this point. I would suggest that, yes, we take some time for people to put themselves forward and not force-volunteer anybody at this stage, beyond myself.

Chris Disspain: Be our guest. All right. Yes, Leslie. Someone's microphone is on.

Leslie: Just to remind us that that open invitation goes to both non-members and members of the ccNSO.

Chris Disspain: Perfect. That goes out to the community list and our lists. All right. In that case then there's no resolution on that. There's a call for volunteers. Gabby, you've got that? Okay.

The second resolution is to change the charter. I'm tempted to actually, given that we put it up, we put it up this afternoon. I wonder whether, I mean, I don't think there's an issue with it but for process purposes, I wonder whether we shouldn't send it out to the lists and just ask them to comment within seven days and approve it in our next telephone call, rather than approving it here. Is that okay? Good. And that's all right with you? All right. That's what we'll do then.

Good. Item Number Eight is the Publication of an Activity Update of the ccTLD Community. The background is that the staff have proposed to publish an activity update each month, and then there's an example of what that sort of update might look like, links to various things and so on, which I think is great.

The resolution there is that they're sitting as a council resolves to request staff to publish an activity update each month. Is there any discussion on that, on that resolution? Okay, then may I please have a proposal for that resolution? Becky, Ajani seconds. Thank you. Is anyone against? Gabby, you've got yourself a job.

Patrick, you okay with that?

Patrick: Yes, yes, I'm fine with it. Thank you.

Chris Disspain: You'll shout if you have a problem I'm sure. While we're on the subject, can I commend the YouTube channel to you principally because I'm on it? I encourage everybody to make a video if you believe that you can. It's an interesting experience doing it, and it's worth having those things up on the site.

It's kind of what those guys from Iceland were talking about this morning, about a community, building a community.

That will bring us to Item Nine which is the Liaison Updates. Ron? Do you have anything to say?

Ron: Good afternoon, councilors.

Chris Disspain: Good afternoon, Ron.

Ron: I have Gabby to distribute the results of the ALS survey. I presume you'll have to like it since you earlier heard the raw results. They have now been analyzed by the regions and you should have a copy of the regional analysis with an overall analysis.

That work is still not completed. We have a number of languages for free-form responses. They have not yet all been translated. Fairly soon, I hope, I'll be able to send to you the free-form information which is very interesting because of what people really think, or at least what they say they think.

The results have been interesting from my perspective and I hope from yours because they show and there are a number of – Rudy has put together a rough analysis of the results which show interesting statistics, such things as the very high percentage of responses, over 50 percent of ALSs responded to the survey.

Another one was that the very large number of responses, 42 percent of ALSs, have nothing to do with ccTLDs but there are 78 percent of not members and almost 5 percent are voting members in ccTLDs. Twenty-two percent of ALSs are in fact partners in this ccTLDs.

This sort of information is going to be interesting when we continue with our survey of cc's because the results of the ALS surveys is going to help us produce, I think, a better survey than what we would have otherwise.

Chris Disspain: Excellent. Thank you. Don, anything else?

Don: No.

Chris Disspain: Cool. Thank you. Ron, could you turn your microphone off, please? Thank you very much.

Dom: I've sent my [inaudible – 24:10.4]. I would like to highlight two things. The GNSO Council is doing a WHOIS study of - WHOIS is a topic that's been discussed quite a bit over the past few years. So they are looking to a study that can provide them certain data that they need for future policy-making. The Council that commends on various ALS and SOs so which one of them is SO?

The GNSO chair will be sending a formal request to the ccNSO asking for any input that we have on the WHOIS study. For the WHOIS Study where we are at the moment, they proposed so that the [inaudible – 24:52.2] in the PDF that I sent to you so you will look at it first and see if you have any comments.

The other thing is on the joint GNSO working group [inaudible] and GNSO to drop the charter for the work group. They might to hear from the GNSO web site. On the GNSO side, will we be calling for volunteers. Yes.

Chris Disspain: Leslie...

Leslie: A request that is the study's on WHOIS demonstrate a need for policy development process on WHOIS, but we have better cross-constituency engagement because it's very likely that change indeed WHOIS policy will somehow affect ccTLDs.

Chris Disspain: I agree. Any other questions or comments for Dom? Rather than the reports? Okay, thank you, gentlemen. And that brings us to the very end. We have some thank you's to do.

We – Keith Drosac left the council since the last meeting because he no longer works for new levels – do you see stars with Vista? He runs off US so therefore he remains councilor. I think we should pass a resolution thanking Keith for his services as a councilor. He was on the Council actually twice. Once he disappeared for a while and came back again.

So, I think we should pass a resolution thanking him for his hard work on the Council. I think we should pass that in the usual way by acclamation.

[Audience claps]

Chris Disspain: Welcome back, Fernando. It's good to have you. Thank you very much for being prepared to do this again. Good. Yes, we're looking forward to getting you hard at working so you can feel like you really are back.

Most of you who were in the GAC room yesterday, [inaudible – 27:19.2] session, I thank Johannes. I think again we should probably read into the record to go to a formal resolution thanking him for doing such an extraordinary job as chair of the GAC and for the work that he's done to build relationships between the Governmental Advisory Committee and the ccNSO. Those words can be taken down. Gary, that would be great so I don't have to remember what I just said.

If that's okay, we'll pass that also by acclamation. Leslie, you wanted to say something?

Leslie: Should we not also welcome Heather in the role as interim chairman?

Chris Disspain: Yes, we can do that in the same resolution, so why not? We welcome the new interim chair of the Governmental Advisory Committee, Heather Graham from Canada. If we could perhaps pass that resolution by acclamation...

[Audience claps]

Chris Disspain: It's not on the agenda, but I would also like us to pass a resolution thanking our colleagues at the URITE WU for doing such a fantastic job and for sponsoring our dinner last night. We can make that up, yes? And perhaps we could pass that with acclamation.

[Audience claps]

Chris Disspain: All right. Do we have any other business for the Council? Patrick, you okay out there?

Patrick: Yes, I'm fine. I have no further questions.

Chris Disspain: Gabby...

Gabby: We have this photographer that was supposed to come in now but he didn't have time to wait until the Council finishes. I wanted to ask as many as possible if you could come – I'm trying to set up a new session with him. Are you still around tomorrow?

Chris Disspain: Pretty much.

Gabby: Can you raise your hand? I will check what time he's available and I'll send you an email and if as many as possible could come at that time, that would be great.

Chris Disspain: Sure. They probably will, Gabby. Thank you. You'll figure out a place obviously and let us know. All right.

I guess that brings us to the end of the meeting. It's been a long couple of days, but that's all right. We will convene again in Colombia. A long stretch we've got, like six months effectively or certainly five months. Let's all make sure that we don't let go of our leashes in the meantime.

Thank you all very, very much indeed, and we'll see you around at the next couple of days. Thanks.