

DNSSEC Status Report

DNSSEC Public Meeting
ICANN Cairo 2008

SAC 026

- SSAC Statement to ICANN and Community on Deployment of DNSSEC (30 January 2008)
 - Identified DNSSEC deployment issues recommends actions for
 - IANA
 - gTLD and ccTLD registries
 - Registrars
 - Committed to evaluating DNSSEC "readiness" in 7 key areas:
 - Protocol Completeness
 - Key Rollover Process
 - Trust Anchor Repositories
 - Implementation & Deployment Testing
 - Performance and Error Analysis
 - End User Application Development
 - Availability of DNSSEC features on name server platforms

DNSSEC Availability Among Name Servers

- Survey of DNSSEC Capable DNS Implementations (SAC 030)
 - Contacted 40 name server developers and vendors
 - Survey focused on three areas of interest:
 - RFC Support?
 - Interoperability Testing?
 - Key management, encryption support and administrative tools
 - Received vendor assertions from 13 commercial vendors
 - No responses from Open Source developers

Summary

- 65% (11 of 17) products support the core DNSSEC standards today and
 - 3 vendors indicate support by Q1 2009
- 5 products support NSEC3 today
 - 5 anticipate support by Q1 2009
 - 3 others intend to implement but did not identify a timeframe.
- 8 product developers reported that they had done interoperability testing
- 11 products offer some key management applications and DNSSEC-aware utilities.

RFC Support (4033-4035, 5155)

| Company (Developer) | Product and Version | Supports DNSSEC RFCs 4033-4035 (Survey Question 1) | | | | | Support NSEC3 (RFC5155) (Survey Question 2) | | |
|---------------------|---|--|---|----------------------------|--------------------------------|---|---|-------------------|-----------------------|
| | | YES (✓) or NO (✗) | Supports recursion (accept queries with RD=1) | Performs DNSSEC validation | Can act as an authority server | Can host a signed zone and return DNSSEC metadata when requested via DO bit | Today | Under development | No plans to implement |
| Cisco | Cisco Network Registrar and IOS | ✗ | ✓ | | ✓ | | | | ✗ |
| Infoblox | DNSone | ✗ | | | | | 👉 | 👉 | 👉 |
| InfoWeapons | SolidDNS 3.0 | ✗ | | | | | Q4 2008 | | Q4 2008 |
| INS | Sapphire 3.0 | ✓ | ✓ | ✓ | ✓ | ✓ | | | ✓ |
| INS | IPControl 3.0 | ✓ | ✓ | ✓ | ✓ | ✓ | | | ✓ |
| ISC | BIND 9.0 (all currently supported versions) | ✓ | ✓ | ✓ | ✓ | ✓ | | | Q4 2008 |
| Microsoft | Windows Server 2008 | ✗ | | | | | 👉 | | 👉 |
| Neustar | MetalP 5.7 Build 6067 | ✗ | ✓ | | ✓ | | Q1 2009 | | Q1 2009 |
| Nixu | NameSurfer Suite 6.1.2 (proprietary NS) | ✓ | | | ✓ | ✓ | | | Q4 2008 |
| Nixu | NameSurfer Suite 6.1.2 (Bind 9.3 included) | ✓ | ✓ | ✓ | ✓ | ✓ | | | Q4 2008 |
| Nlnet Labs | Name Server Daemon (NSD 3.08) | ✓ | | | ✓ | ✓ | | | ✓ |
| Nlnet Labs | Unbound 1.0 | ✓ | ✓ | ✓ | | | | | ✓ |
| Nominum | ANS 2.8.2, CNS 3.0.3, Vantio 3.3 | ✓ | ✓ | ✓ | ✓ | ✓ | | | ✓ |
| Secure64 | Secure64 DNS | ✓ | | | ✓ | ✓ | | | ✓ |
| Simple DNS | SimpleDNS Plus 5.0 | ✗ | ✓ | | ✓ | | Jun 2008 | | ✗ |
| Xelerance | DNSX Secure Resolver version 0.9 | ✓ | ✓ | ✓ | | | | | ✓ |
| Xelerance | DNSX Secure Signer version 1.3 | ✓ | | | ✓ | | | | ✓ |

KEY ✓ Supported ✗ Not supported 👉 No answer provided

Interoperability Testing

| Company (Developer) | Product and Version | Interoperability Testing | | | |
|---------------------|---|--------------------------|---|--|---|
| | | YES (✓) or NO (✗) | as an authoritative NS to a DNSSEC-aware recursive resolver with these products (Survey Question 4) | as a recursive resolver to DNSSEC-aware stub resolvers with these products (Survey Question 5) | as a DNSSEC-aware stub resolver to a recursive resolver with these products (Survey Question 6) |
| Cisco | Cisco Network Registrar and IOS | ✗ | | | |
| Infoblox | DNSOne | ✗ | | | |
| InfoWeapons | SolidDNS 3.0 | ✗ | | | |
| INS | IPControl 3.0 | ✓ | ✓ | ✓ | |
| INS | Sapphire 3.0 | ✓ | ✓ | ✓ | |
| ISC | BIND 9.0 (all currently supported versions) | ✓ | ✓ | ✓ | ✓ |
| Microsoft | Windows Server 2008 | ✗ | | | |
| Neustar | MetalP 5.7 Build 6067 | ✗ | | | |
| Nixu | NameSurfer Suite 6.1.2 (proprietary NS) | ✓ | ✓ | | |
| Nixu | NameSurfer Suite 6.1.2 (Bind 9.3 included) | ✗ | | | |
| Nlnet Labs | Name Server Daemon (NSD 3.07) | ✓ | ✓ | | |
| Nlnet Labs | Unbound 0.10 | ✗ | | | ✓ |
| Nominum | ANS 2.8.2, CNS 3.0.3, Vantio 3.3 | ✓ | ✓ | | |
| Secure64 | Secure64 DNS | ✓ | ✓ | | |
| Simple DNS | SimpleDNS Plus 5.0 | ✗ | | | |
| xelerance | DNSX Secure Resolver version 0.9 | ✗ | | ✓ | |
| Xelerance | DNSX Secure Signer version 1.3 | ✓ | ✓ | | |

KEY ✓ Supported ✗ No answer provided

Key Management, Encryption, Administration

| Company (Developer) | Product | Product provides key management tools (Survey Question 3) | | | Encryption Algorithms Supported (Survey Question 7) | DNSSEC-aware Utilities Supported (Survey Question 8) |
|---------------------|---|---|-------------------|-----------------------|---|--|
| | | Today | Under development | No plans to implement | | |
| Cisco | Cisco Network Registrar and IOS | x | | x | | |
| Infoblox | DNSone | x | 🔍 | | | |
| InfoWeapons | SolidDNS 3.0 | x | Q4 2008 | | | |
| INS | IPControl 3.0 | ✓ | | | RSASHA1, RSAMD5, DSA, DH, HMACMD5 | ✓ |
| INS | Sapphire 3.0 | ✓ | | | RSASHA1, RSAMD5, DSA, DH, HMACMD5 | ✓ |
| ISC | BIND 9.0 (all currently supported versions) | ✓ | | | RSASHA1, RSAMD5, DSA, DH, HMACMD5 | ✓ |
| Microsoft | Windows Server 2008 | x | 🔍 | | | |
| Neustar | MetaIP 5.7 Build 6067 | | Q1 2009 | | | |
| Nixu | NameSurfer Suite 6.1.2 (proprietary NS) | ✓ | Q3 2008 - Q1 2009 | | RSASHA1, DSA | ✓ |
| Nixu | NameSurfer Suite 6.1.2 (Bind 9.3 included) | ✓ | | | | |
| NIlnet Labs | Name Server Daemon (NSD 3.08) | ✓ | | | 🔍 | 🔍 |
| NIlnet Labs | Unbound 1.0 | ✓ | | | RSASHA1, RSADSA1, RSASHA256, RSADSA256, RSAMD5 | ✓ |
| Nominum | ANS 2.8.2, CNS 3.0.3, Vantio 3.3 | ✓ | | | RSASHA1, RSAMD5, DSASHA1 | ✓ |
| Secure64 | Secure64 DNS | | Q3 2008 | | RSASHA1, DSA | 🔍 |
| Simple DNS | SimpleDNS Plus 5.0 | x | | x | | |
| xelerance | DNSX Secure Resolver version 0.9 | ✓ | | | RSASHA1, RSADSA1, RSASHA256, RSADSA256, RSAMD5 | ✓ |
| Xelerance | DNSX Secure Signer version 1.3 | ✓ | | | RSASHA1, DSA | ✓ |

KEY ✓ Supported * Not supported 🔍 No answer provided

Implementation & Deployment Testing

- Tested 24 residential Internet routers and SOHO firewalls
 - Selected devices commonly used with broadband services
- Used controlled test beds to determine whether each unit correctly routes or proxies:
 - DNS queries requiring TCP or EDNS0 to convey lengthy DNSSEC responses
 - Non-DNSSEC queries on signed and unsigned domains
 - Non-DNSSEC queries that set other DNSSEC-related request flags
 - DNSSEC queries that request server-side validation
 - DNSSEC queries that request no server-side validation

Test Findings

- All 24 units could route DNSSEC queries addressed to upstream resolvers without size limitations
- Varying degrees of success with 22 units when proxying DNS queries
 - 6 of 22 DNS proxies had difficulty with DNSSEC-related flags and/or validated responses
 - 16 of 22 DNS proxies passed DNSSEC queries and return validated responses of some size.
 - 18 DNS proxies limited response sizes when DNS runs over UDP
 - Only 4 could process UDP encapsulated responses up to 4096 bytes
 - Majority of units operate in proxy mode when installed using factory defaults
- 25% of units operate are DNSSEC compatible using default defaults
- 37% of units can be reconfigured to bypass DNS proxy incompatibilities
- 37% of units lack reconfigurable DHCP DNS parameters
 - LAN clients cannot bypass interference with DNSSEC use
- Domain signing will have no impact on broadband consumers that do not use DNSSEC

End User Application Development

- Only informal communication and anecdotal information at this time...
- DNSSEC is not part of standard OS and application builds
- No Windows OS support
 - Vendors and developers cite lack of visibility of DNSSEC through API as major inhibitor
- DNS resolver and validating libraries are available as Open Source or custom builds for Linux, BSD, Solaris, Mac OS X
- DNSSEC validation libraries or patches for
 - Firefox browser and Thunderbird email client
 - Linux and BSD FTP clients (ncftp, lftp)
 - IPSec client (OpenSWAN)
 - OpenSSH

Ongoing Study Items

- Protocol Completeness
- Key Rollover Process
- Trust Anchor Repositories
- Performance and Error Analysis