



Registration Abuse Policies Implementation Drafting Team *Presentation of Recommended Approach*

Mike O'Connor, Greg Aaron, Co-Chairs



CARTAGENA
no. 39 5 - 10 December 2010
DE INDIAS



Background




- Registries and registrars seem to lack uniform approaches to deal with domain name registration abuse
- What role ICANN should play in addressing registration abuse?
- What issues, if any, are suitable for GNSO policy development?
- RAP pre-PDP WG created

Background



- Final Report published on 29 May 2010 containing 14 recommendations addressing, amongst others, Cybersquatting, WHOIS access, Uniformity of Contracts
- GNSO Council tasked Implementation Drafting Team to develop approach for dealing with recommendations

RAP Implementation DT Approach



- RAP Implementation DT organized recommendations based on:
 - Consensus level achieved by RAP WG
 - Expected scope / complexity / size
 - Dependencies
 - Perceived priority
- In addition, DT identified “low hanging fruit”

Ranking of Recommendations




- **Low Hanging Fruit:**
 - WHOIS Access - Recommendation #2
 - Fake Renewal Notices - Recommendation #1
- **Others ranked in order:**
 - Malicious Use of Domain Names - Rec #1
 - WHOIS Access - Rec #1
 - Cybersquatting - Rec #1
 - Uniformity of Contracts
 - Gripe Sites; Deceptive and/or Offensive Domain Names - Rec #1

Ranking of Recommendations



- Cybersquatting - Rec #2
- Fake Renewal Notices - Rec #2
- Collection and Dissemination of Best Practices
- Cross-TLD Registration Scam
- Uniformity of Reporting
- Gripe Sites; Deceptive and/or Offensive Domain Names - Rec #2
- Domain Kiting / Tasting

Further Information



- Review the RAP-IDT recommended approach - <http://gnso.icann.org/correspondence/rap-idt-to-gnso-council-15nov10-en.pdf>
- Registration Abuse Policies Final Report - <http://gnso.icann.org/issues/rap/rap-wg-final-report-29may10-en.pdf>



Questions?

