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Background

- Registries and registrars seem to lack uniform approaches to deal with domain name registration abuse
- What role ICANN should play in addressing registration abuse?
- What issues, if any, are suitable for GNSO policy development?
- RAP pre-PDP WG created
Background

- Final Report published on 29 May 2010 containing 14 recommendations addressing, amongst others, Cybersquatting, WHOIS access, Uniformity of Contracts
- GNSO Council tasked Implementation Drafting Team to develop approach for dealing with recommendations
RAP Implementation DT Approach

- RAP Implementation DT organized recommendations based on:
  - Consensus level achieved by RAP WG
  - Expected scope / complexity / size
  - Dependencies
  - Perceived priority

- In addition, DT identified “low hanging fruit”
Ranking of Recommendations

• Low Hanging Fruit:
  – WHOIS Access - Recommendation #2
  – Fake Renewal Notices - Recommendation #1

• Others ranked in order:
  – Malicious Use of Domain Names - Rec #1
  – WHOIS Access - Rec #1
  – Cybersquatting - Rec #1
  – Uniformity of Contracts
  – Gripe Sites; Deceptive and/or Offensive Domain Names - Rec #1
Ranking of Recommendations

- Cybersquatting - Rec #2
- Fake Renewal Notices - Rec #2
- Collection and Dissemination of Best Practices
- Cross-TLD Registration Scam
- Uniformity of Reporting
- Gripe Sites; Deceptive and/or Offensive Domain Names - Rec #2
- Domain Kiting / Tasting
Further Information

• Review the RAP-IDT recommended approach -

• Registration Abuse Policies Final Report -
Questions?