Agenda

- Background on new rights protection mechanisms
  - Trademark Clearinghouse
  - URS
  - PDDRP
Background

- New gTLD Program founded in GNSO policy recommendations

- GNSO Recommendation 3: Strings must not infringe the existing legal rights of others that are recognized or enforceable under generally accepted and internationally recognized principles of law.
Development of new RPMs

- Implementation Recommendations Team (IRT) Report *(May 2009)*
- Community discussion and comment
- Special Trademark Issues Review Team (STI) Recommendations *(Dec 2009)*
- Community discussion and comment
- New requirements reflected in gTLD registry agreement
Rights Protection Mechanisms

Pre-launch
- TM Clearinghouse
- Sunrise

Launch
- TM Claims

Ongoing Operations
- URS
- Post-delegation Process
- Thick Whois
- UDRP

Proposed RPMs
New Requirements

• At start-up:
  ─ Sunrise period
  ─ Trademark Claims service
  ─ Both supported by Trademark Clearinghouse data

• Ongoing:
  ─ Implement URS
  ─ Implement PDDRP
Trademark Clearinghouse
Trademark Clearinghouse

- A repository for trademark data supporting rights-protection mechanisms offered by new gTLD registries
- To be operated by third party, via agreement with ICANN
- Replaces need for trademark holders to register in many databases as TLDs are launched
Trademark Clearinghouse

- Performs authentication/validation and maintains database of trademark data
- Data supports Sunrise and Trademark Claims services offered by new gTLDs
Sunrise period

- Pre-launch phase: provides mark holders the opportunity to register domain names in a TLD before registration is generally available to the public.

- Eligibility: rights must be validated for proof of use to be eligible for sunrise registrations.

- Mandatory in all new gTLDs: at least 30 days.

- Notice of Sunrise registration provided rightsholders with matching records in Trademark Clearinghouse.
Trademark Claims service

- Generates real-time notice to a party attempting to register a domain name if it matches a trademark in the Clearinghouse.
- Also notifies trademark holders when domain names are registered that match marks in the Clearinghouse.
- Eligibility: All trademarks recorded in Trademark Clearinghouse are eligible.
- Mandatory in all new gTLDs: at least first 60 days of general registration.
Trademark Claims

1. Initiate domain name registration
2. Domain name matches Clearinghouse record? (Yes/No)
   - Yes: Notice to prospective registrant
   - No: Proceed? (Yes/No)
      - Yes: Complete domain name registration
      - No: End
3. Notice to rightsholders with relevant Clearinghouse records
Implementing the Clearinghouse

1) selection of one or more providers for clearinghouse services, and

2) development of supporting processes (e.g., Trademark Claims and Sunrise processes) for the Clearinghouse
Trademark Clearinghouse

Provider selection

• Request for Information (October 2011)
• Review of submissions, candidate discussions
• Completing selection process currently
Trademark Clearinghouse

Process development

• Implementation Assistance Group (IAG) formed November 2011

• Purpose of the group: provide advice on key Clearinghouse processes and high-level technical implementation issues (not to design solutions)

• Goal: ICANN to deliver a set of business requirements to the service provider(s) selected out of the RFI Process
Implementation Assistance Group (IAG)

- Tracks for process and technical issues
  - 13 rotated conference calls
  - Written submissions
- Now completing compilation and analysis to create model
- Completion of requirements expected March 2012

https://community.icann.org/display/cctrdmrkclrnghsiag/Home
## Topics discussed

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Topic</th>
<th>Issue</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Sunrise – P1</td>
<td>Domain Registration</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sunrise – P4</td>
<td>Audit/Logging/Compliance</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TM Claims – P2</td>
<td>Registrant Claims Notice</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TM Claims – P3</td>
<td>Trademark Holder Notice</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TM Claims – P5</td>
<td>TM Claims Check</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tech Implementation – T1</td>
<td>Data Locations</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tech Implementation – T2</td>
<td>Data Access</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tech Implementation – T3</td>
<td>Communications Protocols</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Data Maintenance – P6</td>
<td>Information Accuracy &amp; Update</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Authentication/Validation</td>
<td>Rights Verification</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Authentication/Validation</td>
<td>Proof-of-Use</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dispute Resolution</td>
<td>SDRP Administration</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IDNs</td>
<td>String Comparison and Matching</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Criteria for inclusion in Clearinghouse

• Nationally or regionally registered word marks from all jurisdictions.

• Any word mark that has been validated through a court of law or other judicial proceeding.

• Any word mark protected by a statute or treaty in effect at the time the mark is submitted to the Clearinghouse for inclusion.

• Other marks that constitute intellectual property.
Authentication and validation

• **Goals:**
  - Clearly specified, available prior to submission
  - Yield consistent, predictable results
  - Efficient process available to all regions

**Authentication:** Establishing that trademark information is genuine and trademark belongs to the mark holder

**Validation:** Establishing proof of use or rights based on statute/treaty or court proceeding
Authentication

- Name of submitter
- Contact information
  - Email address verification as a minimum
- Declaration
  - Information submitted is true & current
  - Has not been supplied for an improper purpose.
- Registration number
  - Confirmation that numbers match
  - May be via online database or other process
Validation for proof of use

- Single standard
- Declaration:
  - The trademarks in the submission are currently in use in the manner in the accompanying specimen
  - Submitter will notify if mark is abandoned
- Specimen of use:
  - e.g., labels, tags, containers, marketing materials, advertising, brochures, screen shots
Trademark claims notice

- Message / display during registration process
- Roles of registry, registrar in performing query, providing notice
- Verification that notice was sent
- Verification of acknowledgement by registrant
Matching rules: “Identical Match”

Domain name consists of the complete and identical textual elements of the mark.

a. spaces can be replaced by hyphens (and vice versa) or omitted;

b. only certain special characters in a trademark are spelled out with appropriate words describing it (@ and &);

c. punctuation or special characters in a mark that are unable to be used in a domain name may be (i) omitted or (ii) replaced by spaces, hyphens or underscores and still be considered identical matches; and

d. no plurals and no “marks contained” qualify.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Relevant action</th>
<th>Basis of dispute</th>
<th>Initiated by</th>
<th>Mechanism</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Recording data in Clearinghouse</td>
<td>Record was accepted in error, due to faulty authentication or validation</td>
<td>Third party</td>
<td>Clearinghouse review/appeal process</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Recording data in Clearinghouse</td>
<td>Record was denied in error</td>
<td>Rightsholder</td>
<td>Clearinghouse review/appeal process</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sunrise</td>
<td>Sunrise registration was permitted in error</td>
<td>Third party or other rightsholder</td>
<td>Sunrise Dispute Resolution process</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sunrise</td>
<td>Sunrise registration was denied in error</td>
<td>Rightsholder</td>
<td>Registry process</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sunrise</td>
<td>Dispute over allocation between more than 1 qualified sunrise registrant for same name</td>
<td>Rightsholder</td>
<td>Registry process</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sunrise</td>
<td>Notice of sunrise registration not sent to rightsholder</td>
<td>Rightsholder</td>
<td>Dependent on party with responsibility for sending notice</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TM Claims</td>
<td>Notice sent to domain name applicant in error</td>
<td>Rightsholder or domain name applicant</td>
<td>Dependent on party with responsibility for sending notice</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TM Claims</td>
<td>Notice not sent to domain name applicant</td>
<td>Rightsholder or domain name applicant</td>
<td>Dependent on party with responsibility for sending notice</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TM Claims</td>
<td>Notice of registration not sent to rightsholder</td>
<td>Rightsholder</td>
<td>Dependent on party with responsibility for sending notice</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Principles

• “Closeness”: each party should generally communicate with those parties with whom it has an existing relationship
• Each party should have access only to limited data as required to perform its designated function
• Submission and renewal of Clearinghouse records should not be burdensome
• Implementation work for registries and registrars should not be excessive: existing protocols/processes should be used whenever possible
Clearinghouse costs

Costs to be borne by the parties using the services:

- Trademark holders will pay to record data in the Clearinghouse
- Registries will pay for Trademark Claims and Sunrise services
- Registrars and others who avail themselves of Clearinghouse services will pay the Clearinghouse directly

Pricing model to be worked out with service provider and will be published as available
Uniform Rapid Suspension (URS)
Uniform Rapid Suspension System

• Provides trademark holders with a rapid and efficient mechanism to "take down" clearly infringing domain names.
• A complement to UDRP
• Successful case results in suspension of domain name
• Compliance with results mandatory for all new gTLD operators.
Implementing the URS

- **Costs:** URS Provider will charge fees to the Complainant. Fees targeted at $300-500 per proceeding, but will ultimately be set by the Provider.

- **Timing:** 24 days for complete process

- **RFP for URS providers to be published**

- **Will engage community in developing processes**
Post-Delegation Dispute Resolution Procedure (PDDRP)
Trademark Post-Delegation Dispute Resolution Procedure (PDDRP)

• Provides trademark holders opportunity to seek redress from new gTLD registry operators exhibiting a bad faith intent to profit from the systemic registration of infringing domain names

• Complaints filed with a dispute resolution provider

• Remedies vary and may include termination.
Thank You
Questions