

# Running a gTLD on a ccTLD infrastructure

A case of ZA, dotAfrica & other gTLDs



### In this presentation

**Understanding ZA environment** 

ZA, dotAfrica & other new gTLDs

Where are we now?



### **Understanding ZA environment**



### Statutory mandate

#### ECT Act: ZADNA is responsible for:

- Namespace management & administration
- Licensing, regulation & standards setting
- Domain name policy development
- Education & awareness
- Research, surveys & investigations
- Ability to make relevant regulations (s68 of ECTA)
- ZA domain name dispute resolution

# .zadna

### **Current priorities**

- Registry infrastructural improvements
- Regularly increasing Brand ZA's market share
- Improving stakeholder & customer relationships
- Building a domain name centre of excellence
- Investment in local DNS skills development
- Strengthening Africa's domain name community
- Focus on Internet policy, standards & governance fora

# .zadna

### Current landscape

Infrastructural upgrades on the way

- Introduction of locally developed co.za EPP system
   Co.za already on
- Appointment of Central Registry

   Conversion of UniForum into the CR
- Accreditation of EPP registrars
  - +66 local & international registrars already accredited
- Migration of other 2<sup>nd</sup> level domains into the CR
  - Net.za, org.za, web.za



### ZA, dotAfrica & new gTLDs

### AfTLD's dotAfrica project

.zadna

Jan 2011: AfTLD Board decides practical way forward:

- An open RFP process to select AfTLD's registry partner
- Evaluation process
  - Proposals received from non-African ccTLD & gTLD operators
  - May 2011: decision made on a preferred registry partner
- July 2011: negotiations began with preferred partner
  - This was not an easy process
- Delays on AUC's requirements meant uncertainty

The role & power of AUC



- 2010 AUC ICT Minister's decision
  - AUC to lead & own dotAfrica
- 2010 written confirmation: "nobody's been endorsed yet"
- AUC commitment to open tender process
- Delays in AUC tender requirements meant uncertainty
  - Effective positioning for bidders was a challenge
- Nov 2011: AUC RFP finally out

The role & power of AUC



- AUC dotAfrica bid key requirements
  - Eligibility: consortia of African ccTLDs, registrars, etc
    - Clearly stated & required African ccTLD involvement
  - Key requirements:
    - Demonstrated technical registry operation experience
    - Proven sound economic & financial health of the bidder
  - Primary duties of winning bidder:
    - Operate a first-class dotAfrica registry
- Emphasis on African investment, ownership & operation

#### The role & power of AUC



- AUC's requirements forced a rethink on AfTLD's side
  - AfTLD is not a registry operator & has no such experience
  - AfTLD's finances are limited to donor-funding & membership fees
  - AfTLD cannot operate a registry on its own
- A quick change in strategy was needed to avoid AfTLD & African Internet community missing out

#### AfTLD's dotAfrica project



- Key questions that required quick answers
  - Do we have time to set up a consortium of African ccTLDs?
  - Can such consortium provide enough funds?
  - Do we have a ccTLD with "good-enough" technology?
    - AUC preferred African registry technology & would give substantial evaluation points for it
- Our quick consultations made it clear that:
  - Setting a ccTLD consortium would require a lot of time
  - Collecting funds from ccTLDs could take even longer





Finally, after consultation with AfTLD & African internet community....

#### ZADNA offered ZA ccTLD to bid on behalf of African ccTLDs & provide the requisite financial, technological & project management experience

#### Enter ZA

# .zadna

What does ZA offer entail?

- At least US\$1.3m investment
  - More African ccTLDs can invest as well
- Usage of co.za EPP registry technology
- Cost-recovery operation by ZA Central Registry (UniForum SA)
- African ownership & leadership
  - Interim Steering Committee of African community
  - Establishment of a separate dotAfrica Foundation
    - Independent Board, AUC involvement, no ZA membership
    - Strong African ccTLD involvement in Steercom & Foundation

#### Enter ZA

# .zadna

What does ZA offer entail? (cont.)

- Separate operation from ZA
  - Non-ZA dotAfrica operational machinery
  - ZA takes a back-seat
- Detailed MOU with AfTLD guaranteeing:
  - African ownership:dotAfrica must be an Africa-owned, led & operated
    - Steercom & Foundation
  - Significant investment in African ccTLD & registrar development
  - Possibility for other ccTLDs to invest funds
  - Cost-recovery operation, etc

### Enter ZA

# .zadna

- Letters of support received from:
  - AfTLD (subject to conclusion of MOU before ICANN application)
  - Individual ccTLDs from at least 4 Africa regions
- ZA undertakings in dotAfrica proposal
  - Provisions of MOU enshrined as undertakings in the proposal
  - Commitment to detailed MOU with AfTLD
  - Commitment to African community meeting before ICANN application
    - Took place in January 2011 (Joburg)





After an anxious wait:

### The AUC announced its decision: the African community-owned, led & supported, ZA-backed dotAfrica bid was endorsed by the AUC!!!

Africa Top Level Domains Organization



### Where are we now?

Building dotAfrica's brand identity



### See dotAfrica branding....



### And what about other new gTLDs?

# .zadna

### Other new gTLD possibilities

- .durban
- .joburg
- .capetown
- ZA Central Registry to provide funding



### Inevitable next steps

- Structural & operational separation of dotAfrica from ZA
- Managing relations between ZA & local city gTLDs
- Developing a national domain policy & strategy
- Participation within gNSO



### Thank you<sup>©</sup>