Nick_Ashton-Hart19635199310990: Welcome everyone who is online (like me)
nashton: Don't know what was happening there Michele
nashton: I think I might have done somethign that will stop it
Eric_Brunner-Williams: has the issue of whether or not the nomcom makes appointments been
resolved, and if so, what is the resolution of the question?
Eric_Brunner-Williams: that was a question for nick/staff
Marco: do you want to ask it at the meeting?
Eric_Brunner-Williams: yes
jeff: Test - ignore
Eric_Brunner-Williams: the idenfication in the application is self-identification, i put in an application
and checked the gnso box -- i could have checked any box
Kristina_Rosette10809: Where, other than the ICANN website, was the call for Volunteers published?
I've been asked and didn't know the answer. Thanks.
jeff: Is there a list of all of those that volunteered?
Eric_Brunner-Williams: that's where i saw it, i don't think i saw it on any industry tracking site, or
received it via constituency mail
Ron_2: I saw it in a posting on the BC list that came from the ICANN secretariat (Glenn)
Jothan_Frakes: the last speaker did not identify themselves was that Steve Del Bianco?
Eric_Brunner-Williams: yes.
Jothan_Frakes: Thanks Eric
Kristina_Rosette10809: Thanks very much for answering my question. I suggest that, for the other
review teams, ICANN publish the call in other media that are likely to reach potential volunteers with
expertise relevant to those RTs. It may be the case that the media sources being used for the new gTLD
communications plan could be used for this purpose, too.
Nick_Ashton-Hart19635199310990: Remote participants: you can submit a question or comment to be
read out to the meeting from the 'Ask Question' link next to this chat window, simply click the title and
then choose 'Browse to"
Eric_Brunner-Williams: ah, so that's what the micro text is.
Nick_Ashton-Hart19635199310990: You can also find the URL to submit questions on the agenda page
for this meeting
Nick_Ashton-Hart19635199310990: Right now, we have zero comments or questions to be read from any remote participant
Nick_Ashton-Hart19635199310990: And I cannot believe any group of ICANN participants would ever have zero comments or questions on this topic...
Michele_Neylon: ROFL
Michele_Neylon: Nick - forcing a window to open on my end at beginning of session = bad user experience
Michele_Neylon: it's like Adobe has caputred my browser
Nick_Ashton-Hart19635199310990: Not sure why that happened, tbh....
Nick_Ashton-Hart19635199310990: The only thing I can think is that I saw the URL in the 'browse to' box
Michele_Neylon: it's happened to me twice - and I definitely didn't click on the link
Nick_Ashton-Hart19635199310990: perhaps somehow the keyboard buffer was pressing the button, could have been any host or presenter
nashton: sorry
Eric_Brunner-Williams: i know that the public comments, steve's and mine, have been read, so i'm not repeating them now. i do however want to remind both peter and janis that when the topic of "public interest" came up, in the new format discussion at paris, two very well known members of the community attempted to define what each ment by "public interest". this is not a point on which we can assume consensus.
Eric_Brunner-Williams: thank you for your response peter.
Jothan_Frakes: we see you nick
Jothan_Frakes: ok now we don't
Eric_Brunner-Williams: to answer the how-to-staff question, i hope that the accountability and transparancy review has access to icann counsel and deputy counsel, not as staff but as resourses
Eric_Brunner-Williams: thank you peter and janis
nashton: Sorry about that, was the victim of personal technical incompetence