

**CONTENT OF CHATROOM – NBO Meeting –
GNSO Open Council Meeting**

Date:

Wednesday, 10 March 2010 - 08:00 - 12:30

Room:

Tsavo A

Presentation:

Registration Abuse Policies WG Initial Report

GNSO Working Group Guidelines

Work Prioritization Model (WPM) Team Status Update

Meeting Leaders:

Glen de Saint Géry

GNSO Secretariat

<http://nbo.icann.org/node/8887>

[06:33] Reston: testing chat... pls ignore

[07:31] Marika_Koni: Test

[07:35] mib_ccal98: Regarding trademark concerns, might the new gTLD registrars consider creating two classes of domains: 1) active; and 2) inactive. Companies that purchase trademark domains during the sunrise period could choose either class depending on whether, or not, they intend to use the domain. If they plan to use the domain, the domain goes into the active class and

[07:35] mib_ccal98: they pay an annual fee like any other domain holder. However, if they plan not to use the domain, they pay nothing and the domain goes into the inactive class. However, if they pay nothing, the inactive domain will resolve to the registrar's website whenever someone types that inactive domain in their web browser so no economic value accrues to the

[07:35] mib_ccal98: trademark holder. Perhaps this is the middle ground we need to address the concerns of the trademark holders while moving the new gTLD process forward. It could save trademark holders significant money while allowing ICANN to expedite the new gTLD process.

[07:36] nashton: chat test - ignore please

[08:03] Marika_Koni: Test

[08:03] Margie_Mila: test

[08:04] Kristina_Ro: Greetings from Reston!

[08:04] Mikey: testing

[08:04] Mikey: big echo on the bridge

[08:05] Mikey: is anybody coming in through skype?

[08:06] Mikey: still huge echo on the bridge

[08:07] Nick_Ashton: They're working on it now

[08:07] Mikey: thanks Nick!

[08:07] Marika_Koni: Stephane says: we are sorting out some technical issues and will get started shortly

[08:07] Mikey: the echo is quite long -- 5-8 seconds

[08:08] Mikey: again -- is anybody dialing in through Skype or a VoIP line?

[08:08] Mikey: if you are, you may want to mute...

[08:09] Nick_Ashton: and if you are dialling in and expect only to listen, please use the streaming audio services. The sound quality will be much better, and the fewer people on a bridge, the better the quality there too :)

[08:10] Mikey: echo is much better

[08:10] Mikey: pretty much gone.

[08:10] Mikey: thanks!

[08:11] wseltzer: morning

[08:12] Bruce_Tonki: Good morning Wendy

[08:12] Olga_Cavall: Hi there is noise ande echo on the line

[08:12] wseltzer: here too Olga

[08:13] Olga_Cavall: glwn im hwew

[08:13] Olga_Cavall: HI GLEN IM ON THE LINE

[08:13] Chuck_Gomes: Kristina, Tim, Caroline & Chuck are on. Can you hear us?

[08:14] Olga_Cavall: AUDIO IS VERY BAD

[08:16] Marika_Koni: We are looking into the hand raising issue

[08:17] Marika_Koni: should be solved now

[08:18] Nick_Ashton: Reston: The handraising problem should be fixed, please text

[08:18] Nick_Ashton: test

[08:18] Marika_Koni: Please confirm whether you can raise your hand

[08:19] Olga_Cavall: it works for me

[08:21] Bill_Drake: terrible sound

[08:23] wseltzer: and so much clutter on the adobe screen I can't make the motion readable

[08:24] Marika_Koni: You should be able to enhance the adobe creen with the charter by using the slider at the top (with the percentage next to it)

[08:24] wseltzer: Thanks Marika, but I can't increase the area it gets

[08:25] Marika_Koni: You should also be able to select full screen at the top of the window (under meeting)

[08:25] Marika_Koni: maybe that helps a bit?

[08:25] Mikey: it IS causing an echo

[08:26] Roman_Pelik: i just enabled full screen togle

[08:27] wseltzer: Is there no scribing?

[08:28] wseltzer: thanks Roman

[08:28] Berry_Cobb: I do not think a full blown market study is required...however I do believe the WG can come up with use cases and educated swags about what we may expect with VI.

[08:29] Marika_Koni: no scribing, sorry

[08:29] Bruce_Tonki: Marika have we lost the feed to the scribes or there are no scribes for the session?

[08:30] Marika_Koni: There is no scribing for this session as far as I am aware

[08:30] Marika_Koni: There will be a transcription of the session afterwards

[08:31] Berry_Cobb: It will be up for the WG to figure out on what can be accomplished within the 16 weeks

[08:31] Berry_Cobb: I should clarify, what methods to use on info gathering....surveys, use cases, etc...

[08:37] wseltzer: this audio is still painful

[08:38] wseltzer: and the mic switches don't work on-stage

[08:40] mib_wj0gg9: vk

[08:43] Kristina: Stephane - given that the Reston Councilors have to come to a central polycom to speak, would you please give us a minute to get to the phone before closing discussion or taking votes? many thanks!

[08:49] Olga_Cavall: Did you hear my vote? my audio is not good

[08:50] Jothan_Frak: comment : additional study = introduce delay

[08:55] Olga_Cavall: i lost audio on the telephone

[08:55] Mikey: We've lost audio -- Mary needs to punch on her mic

[08:55] Olga_Cavall: I lost audio on the phone

[08:56] Kristina: we can't hear Mary in Reston

[08:56] MarkMonitor: we can hear via the room

[08:56] McTim: I can hear all in Acrobat

[08:56] MarkMonitor: is there a dial-up as well?

[08:56] wseltzer: next time, we should use this internet thing

[08:57] Kristina: than vote no, mary.

[08:57] Zahid_Jamil: wondering what was the understanding of the footnote by the NCSG?

[08:58] ICANN_Came: Audio Issues are with the bridge only. Working them out.

[09:00] Steve_Sheng: there is no audio in adobe connect now.

[09:01] Mike_Rodenb: still would provide clear direction to WG, just would note differing views, which are likely to reoccur as the WG proceeds with its work

[09:01] Scott_Pinzo: Steve, I had the same issue and had to refresh my browser. That brought audio back. Wortha try.

[09:08] Zahid_Jamil: not sure if those who have been actviley speaking on this will be awake in 3 hrs

[09:09] Zahid_Jamil: Kristina will you be on the call in the next 3 hrs

[09:19] Bruce_Tonki: Chuck pretty hard to chair this remotely. WOUld have been better if you had real-time scribing.

[09:24] wseltzer: congrats, Bruce

[09:25] Olga_Cavall: Congrats Bruce!

[09:25] Bruce_Tonki: Thank you for the re-election, and I will continue to do my best to serve the ICANN community.

[09:25] Bruce_Tonki: As always I am always keen to hear the view of the GNSO COuncil as a whole, but also individual Council members

[09:25] Bruce_Tonki: Thanks Olga.

[09:27] jeff: So was there ever an answer to Kristina's question?

[09:33] Nick_Ashton: FYI, there was meant to be scribing from local scribes, I'm asking internally what

the issue was

[09:33] Nick_Ashton: is

[09:33] Nick_Ashton: and congratulations Bruce, from the cheap seats :)

[09:34] ICANN__Came: In a break for 10 minutes.

[09:36] Scott_Pinzo: For newcomers: meeting resumes at quarter 'til the hour.

[09:59] Marika_Koni: Meeting is starting again

[10:11] Bob_Connell: Here I am:-)

[10:12] Bruce_Tonki: Chuck we can't hear you in Nairobi.

[10:12] Bob_Connell: I here now.

[10:13] MarkMonitor: we are having audio problems here in SF with j.scott , faisal and fred -- is there a dialup that we can use?

[10:13] Bob_Connell: I hear in Henderson, NV.

[10:13] Mikey: Chuck's ready to try again... tech trouble...

[10:14] Bruce_Tonki: Yes - we can hear you now

[10:15] Bob_Connell: Copy on lap top is very small, too small to read.

[10:15] wseltzer: does the "full screen" button still work?

[10:16] Brian_Cute_: Doesn't appear to be working.

[10:16] Nick_Ashton: I have just enabled the full-screen toggle for participants

[10:16] Jothan_Frak: Meeting->Manage My Settings->Full Screen

[10:17] Nick_Ashton: in the item being shared

[10:17] Jothan_Frak: then there's another trick on the PC hit f11

[10:17] Nick_Ashton: You should see a button at the bottom of the presentation, called 'full screen'

[10:17] Nick_Ashton: which you can then use

[10:17] Nick_Ashton: sorry, following many rooms at once :)

[10:18] Bob_Connell: Both are "full screen" but the portion of the full screen which is in use is 3/4ths of desktop and less than half the screen on the lap top. The desk top used the full screen yesterday.

[10:20] Jaime_Wagne: think the full screen is set to 800x600 resolution. Wonder if it could be increased?

[10:21] Nick_Ashton: Room is set to 1024x768

[10:23] Nick_Ashton: Did using 'full screen' help on the presentation?

[10:24] Mikey: how does Greg sound right now? i'm dialed in on another line and it sounds OK on the bridge...

[10:24] Mikey: bump...

[10:25] Mikey: Greg is here in the room with us...

[10:26] Mikey: i'm doing this stupid "bump" thing because the "Full Screen" button covers up the bottom line of the chat in the Adobe room. any way to move that?

[10:26] Mikey: bump

[10:26] Bob_Connell: I think I specified high bandwidth.

[10:27] Nick_Ashton: only by disabling the ability of participants to make the chat window full-screen

[10:27] Nick_Ashton: I've just done that

[10:27] Mikey: way to go Nick. thanks

[10:27] Nick_Ashton: np

[10:28] Mikey: is Greg's sound clear? he's here with us -- i'm dialed in on another line and we could take a short moment to shift over, but that would be pretty disruptive

[10:28] Marika_Koni: Sounds good

[10:28] Mikey: coolio. we'll leave well enough alone. thanks Marika!

[10:29] SeanPowell: test for tim

[10:37] Bob_Connell: Too small from lap top.

[10:44] Bob_Connell: Domains Only's Eli Connelly has joined the remote audience.

[10:46] Bob_Connell: How many persons are gathered in Reston?

[10:46] Mikey: about a dozen

[10:50] Bob_Connell: I just clicked on the URL to the right of "ToR" and it opened an opportunity to download the document shown. Very impressive.

[10:56] Bob_Connell: I'm back:-)

[11:05] Bob_Connell: It was said that DoD Secretary McNamera knew the price of overeverything and value of nothing.

[11:05] Bob_Connell: ... and the value of nothing.

[11:09] * wseltzer still hates adobe connect

[11:10] wseltzer: (and repeat my thanks for the IRC version!)

[11:10] Mikey: grump grump grump... some people are never satisfied. :-)

[11:11] Mikey: yep -- IRC is the one i'm using -- it's showing up in Adobe

[11:15] wseltzer: what's a DELPHI process?

[11:15] wseltzer: (visiting the oracle and smelling the vapors?)

[11:15] avri_: http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Delphi_method

[11:16] avri_: yes

[11:16] avri_: a panel of oracles

[11:16] Mikey: another yes. good stuff

[11:16] avri_: you, our illustrious councilors are the oracles.

[11:16] wseltzer: where's my set of entrails?

[11:17] Mikey: tarot cards. not so messy

[11:18] avri_: entrails: the dog breakfast that has become the VI process. take a proposal, subject it to the Drafting process, then the council process, then look at what results and start making predictions.

[11:18] wseltzer: but doesn't wisdom of crowds suggest that expertx may be systemically wrong?

[11:18] Mikey: group think. yes

[11:18] wseltzer: viz. council :-P

[11:21] Olga_Cavall: Thanks Jaime!!!

[11:21] avri_: have there been any dry runs to see how this delphi process works?

[11:23] Olga_Cavall: avri, sorry what is a dry run?

[11:24] Jaime_Wagne: Avri, I'll be happy to explain- it's very simple.

[11:24] avri_: when you run the test, for example on the current stuff that is in process, and then see if the tools results in results that match what yu would expect it to.

[11:26] Jaime_Wagne: yes we did

[11:26] avri_: that was all i was asking, did you talk through those results when i wasn't paying attention, in which case i apologize.

[11:40] wseltzer: the big LED board in front of the conference center is scrolling "No message for ICANN"

[11:43] avri_: the definitions should stand as set. all of the definitions are policy statements when you get right down to it.

[11:44] avri_: e.g changing domain name supplier to Registry is a policy decision

[11:45] avri_: by defining it as Registry Operator instead of domain name supplier, one has curtailed the discussion, a policy decision.

[11:47] avri_: i am happy for Stephane as well.

[11:49] avri_: and then the WG can spend the rest of it allotted time arguing over definitions- sure fire recipe

[11:49] wseltzer: Of all the meetings not to have scribing...

[11:49] MarkMonitor: J. Scptt Evans: I was on the drafting team and I believe that ALL definitions should be removed.

[11:50] MarkMonitor: J. Scott: The definitions should come from ICANN's attorneys since they are the ones that have drafted agreements and built a scheme base on their understanding of the terms.

[11:50] avri_: removing the definitions means the working needs to repeat the work of the Dt, yet another schedule buster.

[11:51] Bruce_Tonki: Mary - right now there is a degree of structural separation between the function of registry and the function of registrars. There is a requirement that these be separate entities. I believe the PDP is trying to look at ownership issues - e.g can the two functions be owned by the same party

[11:51] Bruce_Tonki: A registry and a registrar are managed through separate agreements with ICANN

[11:51] Bruce_Tonki: Now of course this can be changed - but there is an entire legal framework that relates to registries, registrars and registrants

[11:52] Jothan_Frak: I'd like to remind the chair that we shall have surrendered many weeks of effort

[11:52] Jothan_Frak: within the drafting team. though not perfect, it could be further refined

[11:53] Jothan_Frak: or build from existing definitions

[11:54] Bob_Connell: I thought the person making the original motion had the right to accept the proposed amendment as friendly (or not).

[11:55] avri_: i think it is the person and the seconder. of course this is not in Robert's rule where the notion of friendly amendment is ridiculed since the motion is owned by the group once it is made and seconded.

[11:55] Jothan_Frak: I suppose then we'd use existing definitions to avoid the need to redo this

[11:56] avri_: problem with existing definitions is that there are many of them. so you have to decide which existing ones you use

[11:57] avri_: which amendment was just accepted as friendly

[11:57] wseltzer: tell the WG to figure out Obj. 5

[11:57] Bob_Connell: I think you are right, the maker and seconder may accept an amendment as "friendly". It is certainly not a part of Robert's Rules of Order.

[11:58] Ron: This exercise could not demonstrate more clearly how deeply divided the community is on this issue. It is NOT an overarching issue and should be parked until after the launch of new TLDs when we will have more empirical evidence as to its necessity.

[11:59] Ron: That confirms my point: "the amendment to the amendment did not pass..."

[12:01] Mikey: Reston cracking up!!
[12:02] wseltzer: that would be the second amendment (the right to bear arms)?
[12:02] Mikey: is that the second amendment of the amendment?
[12:05] Mikey: pretty nifty remote participation peepul
[12:07] Mikey: heartfelt sighs all around...
[12:12] Mikey: more laughter in Reston
[12:14] Olga_Cavall: great job stephane!!
[12:14] Bob_Connell: Agreed!!!
[12:14] Mikey: applause echoed in Reston
[12:15] Jothan_Frak: next adobeconnect url is <http://icann.na3.acrobat.com/impala/>
[12:16] Marika_Koni: Meeting has ended, thank you everyone
[12:16] avri_: this is a much more fun perspective on the council