

**CONTENT OF CHATROOM – NBO Meeting –
ICANN PUBLIC FORUM**

Date:

Thursday, 11 March 2010 - 08:30 - 12:30

Room:

Tsavo A

Presentation:

Nominating Committee

[06:31] jeff_Neuman: Is there any audio?

[06:31] Jorge_Amodi: audio level from the video is very low

[06:33] Jorge_Amodi: I can hear Peter but the

[06:33] Jorge_Amodi: ohh camera is fine now

[06:35] scribe_2: can we swap the scribe text and presenter windows. The slides are going to be really hard to read at that size

[06:35] Jorge_Amodi: yep

[06:35] Jorge_Amodi: I'd switch camera with the slides

[06:35] scribe_2: thanks from the scribes in California

[06:36] Nick_Ashton: only one or two slides Jorge

[06:36] Jorge_Amodi: ok then

[06:36] Nick_Ashton: also, participants can use the 'full screen' button on the presentation, if that helps visibility

[06:36] Jorge_Amodi: wow

[06:36] Tina_Dam: wow here too

[06:36] Tina_Dam: :)

[06:36] Jorge_Amodi: audio level increased by 200%

[06:36] Nick_Ashton: Peter is definitely coming in loud and clear now

[06:37] Mikey: way loud and clear...

[06:37] Jorge_Amodi: my cat went flying through the window

[06:37] scribe_2: I was having problems with that yesterday in Safari, but it seems to be working in Firefox now. Thanks NAH

[06:37] RESTON: you mean .cat?

[06:37] Jorge_Amodi: no .MIAU

[06:38] jeff_Neuman: having lots of issues with audio coming in and out

[06:38] Nick_Ashton: lol Jorge

[06:38] Nick_Ashton: I hope you don't live in a high-rise!

[06:39] Mikey: lots of gaps in the feed -- skipping and gaps...

[06:39] Jorge_Amodi: no single fam home, ground level

[06:39] Nick_Ashton: <relieved for the cat>

[06:39] Jorge_Amodi: Mikey check if the audio feeds work better for you

[06:40] Jorge_Amodi: here audio through connect is solid and loud

[06:40] Nick_Ashton: also, under 'Meeting' there are options for 'Manage my session' which could help

[06:40] Nick_Ashton: also, you can mute the AC audio, and use the low-bandwidth stream if that's better

[06:40] Mikey: checkiing... good idea

[06:41] Bruce_Tonki: Good morning all

[06:41] Nick_Ashton: to mute AC audio, if you hover along the level of the words 'ICANN Camera' in the camera and voice pod, on the far right

[06:42] Mikey: ah. 64k feed is definitely the way to go -- much better than adobe feed

[06:42] Jothan_Frak: the questions form seems to be in 'maintenance mode'

[06:42] Nick_Ashton: there's a little audio speaker over there, you can click that, and a red line will go through the speaker and the AC audio will be muted for you

[06:42] Jorge_Amodi: ohh I'm gonna check the adobe connect app for ipod touch

[06:42] Jorge_Amodi: not sure if it will connect because of the swf chat

[06:43] Jorge_Amodi: we can see you Peter

[06:46] Jorge_Amodi: Cool testing also adobe connect pro mobile on an ipod touch with wifi

[06:46] Jorge_Amodi: works perfect !!! WOW

[06:47] Nick_Ashton: wow

[06:47] Doug_Brent2: That's amazing. Jorge, could you either do a screen capture, or snap a phone of that?

[06:47] Jorge_Amodi: video on the ipod is choppy but audio is perfect

[06:47] Nick_Ashton: there's a feature we didn't even try to enable :)

[06:47] Doug_Brent2: snap a photo

[06:47] Jorge_Amodi: let me take a pic

[06:47] Doug_Brent2: Great! Thanks!

[06:48] Constantine: Fight on Doug. Things looking good here!

[06:49] roman: great to hear...

[06:49] Doug_Brent2: Great Constantine.

[06:52] Mikey: oops -- feed just cut over to finder music. - classical piano

[06:52] Nick_Ashton: They're fixing an issue with the streams

[06:52] Nick_Ashton: but the AC audio's still working in the meantime

[06:52] Nick_Ashton: sorry about that...

[06:52] Mikey: now it's a mix of the room and the finder music. a fader is up

[06:52] Mikey: room is gone

[06:52] Mikey: finder music only

[06:52] Mikey: room now

[06:52] Mikey: thanks!

[06:53] Mikey: very hot

[06:53] Mikey: a bit of distortion...

[06:54] Nick_Ashton: was a power drop in a part of the room, which affected the streaming audio, it is coming back up

[06:54] Mikey: wow. nice catch...

[06:55] Mikey: settling down nicely. much less distortion, sounds good

[06:55] Nick_Ashton: streaming audio is back - if you don't hear it, reload the stream

[06:55] Nick_Ashton: sorry again, power has been an issue throughout the week, as many of you know

[06:59] Nick_Ashton: If for any reason any of you have issues with using the links to the form for this section

[06:59] Nick_Ashton: all links are on the agenda page, showing at the far right

[06:59] Nick_Ashton: and the direct link for the IRP submission form is

[06:59] Nick_Ashton: <https://icann.wufoo.com/forms/icann-37-public-forum-irp-decision/>

[07:01] Jorge_Amodi: toooooo long

[07:01] Doug_Brent2: It is being timed to three minutes - just like local comments here in Nairobi.

[07:01] Doug_Brent2: Will try to get the timer up on the screen

[07:01] Doug_Brent2: Which people in AC room should also be able to see (clearly not in text chat)

[07:02] Edward_Hasb: Will there be any answer?

[07:02] Jorge_Amodi: Nick, Doug, take a look at <http://picasaweb.google.com/jmamodio/ICANN37?feat=directlink>

[07:03] Nick_Ashton: Really cool Jorge :)

[07:03] Jorge_Amodi: as you will see on the pics the appl has 3 buttons on the bottom,

[07:03] Edward_Hasb: My complete comments are at: <http://hasbrouck.org/blog/archives/001842.html>

[07:03] Nick_Ashton: I will save that, I'm going to make a blog postig about these kinds of things later this week

[07:03] Jorge_Amodi: chat screen and camera

[07:03] Doug_Brent2: Way cool

[07:03] Jorge_Amodi: camera and screen work as expected

[07:03] Doug_Brent2: Edward, your comments will also be captured in this record as well.

[07:03] Jorge_Amodi: chat I guess is the native connect chat not this one

[07:03] Doug_Brent2:record of questions/comments submitted that is.

[07:04] Nick_Ashton: Jorge, this is a custom-made, IRC-based chat

[07:04] Nick_Ashton: the standard AC chat cannot be reached from outside of AC

[07:04] Jorge_Amodi: yes somebody from staff told me

[07:04] Edward_Hasb: Thanks, but I'd also like an aswer (and even more than an "answer", action).

[07:05] Nick_Ashton: so we built this (thanks Roman) to allow low-bandwidth clients to participate from outside this interface

[07:05] Doug_Brent2: Edward, I think that we should have some indicator of what will happen with the comment/question.

[07:05] Jorge_Amodi: audio on the ipod is excellent and with minimal delay compared with the desktop, so I can watch on the large screen but walk around with the ipod as wireless earphones :-)

[07:05] Jorge_Amodi: really cool app

[07:05] Jorge_Amodi: and its FREE !!!

[07:05] Mikey: feed is cutting in and out again

[07:06] James_Blade: Asking for a review date doesn't seem to be "complaining" to me....

[07:08] Jorge_Amodi: Nick do you want the hi-res version of the pics ?

[07:09] jeff_Neuman: Guys, can anything be done about the audio?

[07:09] Nick_Ashton: what's happening Jeff?

[07:09] Nick_Ashton: I'm on the 64K stream, it is perfect

[07:10] Nick_Ashton: AC sounds good here... in S France/Geneva

[07:10] Jorge_Amodi: @Jeff besides some changes in the level audio has been 99% ok via adobe connect

[07:10] Jorge_Amodi: well at least from here

[07:11] Mikey: 64k feed is completely hosed. sending several layers of sound simultaneously

[07:11] Jorge_Amodi: ouch

[07:11] Edward_Hasb: My formal request was filed 8 April 2005:
<http://hasbrouck.org/blog/archives/000554.html>

[07:11] Nick_Ashton: Mikey: try reloading,

[07:12] Nick_Ashton: I'm using it here, and it is very good

[07:12] Mikey: cutting out, two layers of speakers. massive drop outs -- same is happening to 16k, but with different delay

[07:12] Bruce_Tonki: Thanks for the input Jeff Neuman

[07:12] Mikey: Jeff can't hear his own question being asked

[07:13] Nick_Ashton: :(

[07:13] Edward_Hasb: And my unanswered questions are at:
<http://hasbrouck.org/blog/archives/001007.html#procedures>

[07:13] Kristina: It's impossible to follow this remotely given differing time lags between audio and scribing.

[07:14] Mikey: both feeds are cutting out for 5-10 seconds at a time

[07:14] Mikey: one feed is about a minute ahead of the other...

[07:14] Constantine: IP Clearinghouse is not effective because inclusion in the Clearinghouse is not proof of any right, nor does it create any legal rights

[07:15] Nick_Ashton: Kristina, are you using AC, or loading the services a la carte?

[07:15] Jorge_Amodi: Cool thing about Adobe Connect Mobile is that you can step out for #1 or #2 with your iPod and don't miss a second of the meeting :-)

[07:15] Kristina: AC; am in Reston with everyone else

[07:15] jeff_Neuman: Thanks Bruce....was there a response to that comment?Our feeds are not working

[07:16] Nick_Ashton: I'm finding that the scribes and the standalone audio are in sync with one another

[07:16] Nick_Ashton: though the video is then different

[07:16] Bob_Connell: Good Morning from Henderson, NV:-)

[07:16] Nick_Ashton: There are different audio encoding systems in operation on the AC audio and the streaming audio, and the time the encoding takes is different

[07:16] Nick_Ashton: We will look at this between now and Brussels

[07:17] Nick_Ashton: Good morning Henderson

[07:17] Kristina: excellent question, Warner. Let's see if ICANN staff will acknowledge that the research they agreed to do for GPML was never done.

[07:18] Doug_Brent2: Kristina, I think you're right. I think it is also true that there was a request from staff for starter sets of information from IRT participants that wasn't provided. In both cases, it seemed like the bigger issue was the mechanism itself, not where the "line" would be drawn.

[07:18] Bruce_Tonki: Good question as usual Steve Metalitz.

[07:18] Doug_Brent2: There was a request for research that was not completed.

[07:20] James_Blade: But the CAC rules were commented upon, and resoundingly rejected.....

[07:20] Constantine: "we are in the process to look into a process"

[07:21] Mikey: solved the feed problem here in Reston -- we had too many people logged in simultaneously

[07:22] Kristina: thank you.

[07:22] Kathy_Kleim: The deep, sincere and heavily-negotiated compromises of the TM Clearinghouse and URS were based on the complete elimination of the GPML. That's the foundation principle of the work of many people.

[07:22] Bruce_Tonki: Ultimately it is for the community to judge whether the protections are appropriate

[07:23] Bruce_Tonki: The mechanisms have been developed through a bottom up ICANN process - not invented by the Board

[07:23] Constantine: .music is becoming pretty famous here

[07:24] Nick_Ashton: James Brown used to tell me that music was the world's only universal language

[07:24] Kristina: @Bruce: In the AoC, ICANN undertook to adequately address the issue of trademark protection in connection with new gTLDs so I have to disagree with you that it's completely up to the community.

[07:24] Paul_Farkas: @nick, it is

[07:24] Constantine: i am glad Bertrand likes the .music logo trademark

[07:24] Nick_Ashton: Perhaps that's why Constantine

[07:24] Nick_Ashton: (speaking personally, I believe he was and is right :))

[07:25] Kathy_Kleim: +1Bertrand

[07:27] Constantine: Farkas +1 .music +1

[07:27] Constantine: Peter +2

[07:27] Constantine: thanks Peter

[07:27] Nick_Ashton: (ps. if it was not clear, I was agreeing with James Brown earlier :))

[07:27] Jorge_Amodi: @Nick, I sent you an email with a zip file that has the pics I took from the connect mobile app

[07:30] Nick_Ashton: cheers Jorge :)

[07:30] Jorge_Amodi: you owe me a beer :-)

[07:30] Constantine: I wonder why Bertrand is always quoting .music as examples?

[07:32] Constantine: yes but .com is where the money really is and type in traffic.

[07:33] avri: isn't what is spent on TM defense as much motivated by fear as real threat?

[07:33] Paul_Farkas: thank you Wendy

[07:34] Constantine: but people do NOT search keyword.TLD using direct navigation. Does anyone go to

Grammy.museum when looking for the grammy museum?

[07:34] avri: so the different studies would have measured different things, one measure real threat, while the other measure the effects of fear.

[07:35] Kristina: @avri: no. generally speaking, trademark owners will secure them as a result of a cost-benefit analysis that takes into account past experience as a predictive measure

[07:35] wseltzer: Thanks Paul - I appreciated your comments

[07:35] Constantine: fear of what? in the end is all about bottom line. 99% of people do not even know .pro, .aero or .museum exist. Who says they'll search using direct navigation?

[07:36] Jorge_Amodi: Good statement Wendy

[07:36] Constantine: people use search engines and social media. Also search engines rank according to link popularity

[07:37] Paul_Farkas: to me, this is a no-brainer

[07:37] Paul_Farkas: re: vertica integration

[07:39] HaroldT: ;

[07:40] HaroldT: new gtlds do create new opportunities for abuse, that's why there's a sunrise process

[07:41] Constantine: bruce look at .cm and .co new gTLDs. Typosquatting galore. Why can't ICANN fix those issues? Yahoo made a lot of money through this deal with Kevin Ham.

[07:41] Constantine: oops i meant ccTLD

[07:41] HaroldT: those are cctlds

[07:41] Constantine: exactly what i mean. ccTLDs like .tv can't be touched

[07:42] Constantine: premium .tv domains cost tens of thousands of dollars per year. Courtesy of Verisign.

[07:42] Constantine: Well said Bruce.

[07:42] wseltzer: If Bruce is right regarding assumptions, that's a steep barrier to entry for new gTLDs

[07:43] wseltzer: and it gets higher with each new delay

[07:43] Constantine: hey wendy the abuse happens in .com and ccTLD hacks

[07:43] Constantine: Bertrand please do not mention .music again

[07:43] Kristina: they create a much bigger platform in which existing problems will be replicated

[07:44] Constantine: i guess he likes .music since he always mentions it :)

[07:45] Doug_Brent2: In the future, it might be useful to find a way to formally capture comments on statements by community, Board or staff by other community members in these dialogs (I'm thinking of the comment Kristina just made about growing the scale of abuse problem)

[07:45] Mikey: Rob/Nick -- just a note on the remote participation count -- we've logged most of us out of Adobe for bandwidth reasons. but we have about 20 people here.

[07:46] Nick_Ashton: OK, you know you can all see all the chat by using the low-bandwidth chatroom right?

[07:46] Mikey: yup -- that's been a life-saver

[07:46] Constantine: Bertrand excellent

[07:46] Nick_Ashton: ah good

[07:46] Nick_Ashton: or if you have an IRC client, that works too

[07:46] Constantine: Merci beaucoup Bertrand

[07:47] wseltzer: thanks Nick!

[07:47] Paul_Farkas: wendy, see you at sxsw

[07:47] James_Blade: 50% of =New= registrations.

[07:48] Jorge_Amodi: that's a wrong assumption

[07:48] Jorge_Amodi: it's 100% hypothetical for a market that yet does not exist

[07:48] Jorge_Amodi: 50% of nothing still nothing

[07:49] Jothan_Frak: As James says, it is 50% of new registrations but I think statistically it is around 30% of the overall marketplace

[07:49] Jorge_Amodi: even for new registrations assuming that 50% could be for new gTLDs is not supported by any market study

[07:49] Nick_Ashton: Doug, re capturing comments, we are posting chat transcripts to sessions, so what is said here will be preserved and annexed to the session

[07:49] Jorge_Amodi: 50% is 100% a guess

[07:50] Philip_Corw: The more relevant statistic is that Godaddy hit 40 million domain registration today

[07:50] Nick_Ashton: That doesn't extract the questions etc per se, but at least it is a start, we don't lose what is said

[07:50] James_Blade: And GoDaddy did that by expanding the market. Which is what new gTLDs should aspire to do.

[07:51] Jorge_Amodi: if only if potential customers are interested in the new names

[07:51] Frederick_F: Bruce â•„, we agree with your comments whole-heartedly and that domain name system abuse should be resolved now so the learning can be applied to new TLDs in the future. And, we encourage community members to work within the Registration Abuse Policy Working Group to effect this result.

[07:51] Jothan_Frak: Jorge, please see registrarstats.com for support data

[07:51] Constantine: Jorge, potential customers are interested

[07:51] Constantine: visit <http://www.music.us>

[07:51] Jorge_Amodi: I'm aware of the stats Jothan

[07:52] Jorge_Amodi: have you noticed that .com has its own graph ?

[07:52] Jorge_Amodi: how do you expect to change that trend/demand ?

[07:52] Jacob: @nick - idea: public comment forum focussed on regional issues.

[07:53] Constantine: Jorge are you asking how to beat .com or are you asking if people want to identify themselves with other TLDs?

[07:53] Jorge_Amodi: public forum = we want gTLD + BoD disconnected + I Love Trademarks

[07:53] Jorge_Amodi: @Constantine how to beat .com

[07:54] Michele_Ney: what did I miss??

[07:54] Kristina: When we talk about market power in the registrar market, are we talking about it in the context of each individually accredited registrar? Or, are we talking about it in the context of registrar families? In other words, do we talk about the market power of eNom1009 Inc. by itself or all of the registrars in the eNom corporate family? Never been clear on t

[07:54] Paul_Farkas: sleeping in the back of the bus again Michele?

[07:54] Constantine: but .music does not want to beat .com. The .music is about service and the ecosystem, not a domain commodity

[07:54] Kristina: that should have been "never been clear on that". Anyone know?

[07:54] Jacob: Hard to tell from this forum that the meeting is in Africa and as Rod's opening noted there are manythings that could be discussed.

[07:54] Michele_Ney: Paul - I don't do mornings!

[07:54] Jorge_Amodi: Hi Michele

[07:55] Kathy_Kleim: +1 Peter!

[07:55] Michele_Ney: Peter's comment = brilliant

[07:55] HaroldT: cars and homes have become necessities, new gltds are not on the same par

[07:55] Jorge_Amodi: +2 Peter

[07:55] Tony_Kirsch: spot on

[07:56] Bruce_Tonki: Brian Cute - looks like he has just come from last nights party - with he MaaSai cloak

[07:56] Constantine: @Harold how do you know that a gTLD can not become a neccessity? You ar eplaying with old rules and zero innovation. Dont assume the past as what will happen in the future

[07:58] Paul_Farkas: facebook and other social media providers have moved to verifying user names, but hold control, perhaps this would carry over on the second level

[07:58] Jorge_Amodi: I can't tell you in the future domain names will have ZERO value

[07:58] Jorge_Amodi: one of the reasons why object identifiers are not evolving to a better more advance technology is for the amount of \$\$ and speculation that was created by the domain market

[07:59] Jorge_Amodi: if you want to talk about future get a new line of .biz

[07:59] HaroldT: @constantine-the day i can move into my domain name, i'll be a happy person

[07:59] Bruce_Tonki: Well said Brian :-)

[07:59] Steve_Metal: Can we pan out to see Brian's cloak?

[07:59] Jorge_Amodi: cool light

[08:00] Michele_Ney: yay - we can see his attire

[08:00] Steve_Metal: thanks can see it better now

[08:00] Constantine: Hey Harold, the goal is to solve industry issues. The RIAA has been having problems and i think there are ways to expand the pie for the music industry.

[08:00] Jacob: Maybe an hour of every public forum session could focus on regional issues.

[08:01] Constantine: it is all in the value creation process. this goes beyond just a domain name.

[08:02] Nick_Ashton: An interesting proposal, Jacob, do you have ideas on how we could define what would constitute regional issues?

[08:04] Jacob: Could assign to fellowship recipients from the region to gather regional input and propose an agenda and discussion in advance of the meeting?

[08:04] Constantine: Why wait? Vertical integration is a no brainer for some new gTLDs especially community applications

[08:04] jeff_Neuman: You cant use an excpetion and call it the status quo

[08:04] Constantine: @jeff exactly

[08:04] Paul_Farkas: status quo would be a good drinking game at this point

[08:07] Constantine: consumers create market power and have the option to not buy and find alternatives

[08:08] wseltzer: Amadeu highlights the problem with most economic studies: the background of the

economist predicts his answer more than tconditions of the specific market

[08:08] Bruce_Tonki: Rather than calling for studies on the economics of new gTLDs - which is hard to do, perhaps a more constructive request would befor an economic analysis of of the impact on the industry of domain name abuse TODAY, and use that to prioritse work on redcing the absues that cuase the most harm

[08:08] Bruce_Tonki: We can certainly study the current system in more detail - particularly from an economic impact point of view

[08:10] James_Blade: Lost audio here....

[08:10] Bob_Connell: What's happening?

[08:10] Ksenia_Golo: same here

[08:10] Jorge_Amodi: audio kaput here too

[08:10] Paul_Farkas: "

[08:10] Steve_Metal: Bruce, the study you are talking about is what the Board asked for in 2006 and it was never done.

[08:10] Bob_Connell: The scribe's notes are continuing.

[08:10] Hirokatsu_O: I lost audiocast too

[08:11] Frank_Michl: same here

[08:11] Philip_Corw: sounds of silence here

[08:11] Constantine: I did not like what this guy said. I promise I did not shut off the audio :)

[08:11] Jorge_Amodi: .AUDIO just went to the UDRP

[08:11] Ksenia_Golo: :)

[08:11] wseltzer: someone must have played a copyrighted song!

[08:11] Jorge_Amodi: good one Wendy !! :-)

[08:12] Michele_Ney: goddam RIAA / IRMA

[08:12] Jorge_Amodi: God Save the Scribes

[08:12] Nick_Ashton: phil, I hear audio clearly on the 64K stream

[08:12] Nick_Ashton: is the audio only off in AC?

[08:12] Constantine: @Michele

[08:12] Constantine: funny

[08:12] Doug_Brent2: Just lost video

[08:12] Doug_Brent2: in Nairobi

[08:12] Paul_Farkas: "

[08:12] Hirokatsu_O: audio 64k is back

[08:12] Jorge_Amodi: @Nick yes and video too now

[08:12] Mikey: just came back in reston

[08:12] Nick_Ashton: yeah, see that

[08:12] Michele_Ney: Nick - audio on acrobat dead

[08:12] Bob_Connell: No refresing of the camera, either.

[08:12] Mikey: kill the video -- save the audio

[08:12] Doug_Brent2: Bob, I see that here too

[08:12] Nick_Ashton: yeah, system issue

[08:13] Nick_Ashton: we're fixing now

[08:13] Nick_Ashton: Sorry everyone!

[08:13] Jorge_Amodi: no prob

[08:13] Mikey: if we have a choice, we'd rather have audio than video

[08:13] Nick_Ashton: streaming audio is 5x5 though

[08:13] Mikey: yep, audio is ok right now

[08:13] Doug_Brent2: Camera looks like it is coming back now....

[08:13] Jorge_Amodi: back in biz audio/video ok

[08:13] Tony_Kirsch: back on

[08:13] Hirokatsu_O: thanks :-)

[08:13] Nick_Ashton: audio streams: 16K: <http://stream.icann.org/tsavoa-16-en.m3u>

[08:14] Doug_Brent2: Sorry all. Both learning and some issues with power/infrastructure.

[08:14] Nick_Ashton: 64K: <http://stream.icann.org/tsavoa-64-en.m3u>

[08:14] Nick_Ashton: those are the English channels

[08:14] Nick_Ashton: French, spanish available too

[08:14] Constantine: "The silence and pause is as important as the note in music"

[08:14] Bob_Connell: Is this Bruce?

[08:14] Michele_Ney: Bob - yes

[08:14] Steve_Metal: scribe is attributing this to Alejandro Pisanty instead of Bruce Tonkin

[08:14] jeff_Neuman: Bruce, the new gTLD process was approved by Bdl 2 years ago. Things may have changed since then

[08:15] jeff_Neuman: including the notion of brand tlds

[08:16] Michele_Ney: Is that Chris Chaplow?

[08:16] Mikey: yep

[08:16] Michele_Ney: oh it is

[08:17] Constantine: but thats your clients choice

[08:20] Michele_Ney: 900 registrars? More like 300 really

[08:21] Jorge_Amodi: or less

[08:21] Constantine: +1 Stahura

[08:22] Tony_Kirsch: great job Paul

[08:23] Bruce_Tonki: Rob - where are you reading the questions from?

[08:24] Nick_Ashton: Bruce: See the 'view what others have to say' links to the right of this

[08:24] Nick_Ashton: These links are also on the page for the session

[08:24] Bruce_Tonki: Well said

[08:25] wseltzer: Good question, Hong

[08:26] Michele_Ney: Nick - why weren't the submissions viewable last night?

[08:26] Nick_Ashton: These submission forms opened today

[08:27] Michele_Ney: Nick - no they didn't.

[08:27] Kristina: bravo, Lee

[08:27] Steve_Metal: good statement from leigh williams

[08:27] wseltzer: It's especially important that we think about how ICANN rules will be applied in practice, and may create further restrictions than intended

[08:27] Nick_Ashton: I personally created them last night

[08:27] Michele_Ney: Nick - the forms were accessible yesterday - the comments weren't viewable though

[08:28] Nick_Ashton: I sent the updates to the web team to create the links for both the forms, and the views of the submissions, at the same time

[08:28] Nick_Ashton: I know there was a problem with one report, I think, which was incorrectly set not to be viewable until this morning, my fault

[08:28] Nick_Ashton: But all the links were posted at the same time

[08:29] Jothan_Frak: Nick it seems like whatever problem it was resolved quickly.

[08:29] Jothan_Frak: you're doing a good job

[08:29] Nick_Ashton: I requested the posting to be made for both at 1801 CET, webadmin reported them done at 2113 CET :)

[08:29] Nick_Ashton: tyvm Jothan :)

[08:30] jeff_Neuman: The only reason people are concerned about categories is because ICANN has taken a one-size fits all approach. Perhaps applicants should be able to apply for exceptions to certain rules and be given a fair hearing on those. Then the issue of having categories or not may go away

[08:30] avri: disagree with Bertrand on this. simply talking about categories does not slow anything down. trying to change the new gTLD process to deal with them would.

[08:31] Constantine: @avri agreed

[08:31] avri: certainly for round 2 categories may make sense, but to have designed in categories before round 1 would have been simple a paper exercise.

[08:32] Phil_Buckin: avri - agreed

[08:32] HaroldT_2: d

[08:33] Ksenia_Golo: people will come up with categories anyway, this is already happening, even if it is not going to be in the bag

[08:33] HaroldT_2: did gns0 recommend open/community categories?

[08:33] Constantine: .cm = biggest typosquatters of all time thanks to Yahoo

[08:33] Constantine: courtesy of agogo.com

[08:34] Kristina: umm. .cm, which is up and running, is a haven for cybersquatters

[08:34] Constantine: wild cards redirects

[08:34] Constantine: yea it was worse before

[08:36] Constantine:
http://money.cnn.com/magazines/business2/business2_archive/2007/06/01/100050989/

[08:38] Jorge_Amodi: they are not paid yet, but looking too

[08:38] Jorge_Amodi: but great comment

[08:38] Phil_Buckin: Dan- very well said

[08:38] Constantine: wait isn't Rod making more than the US president?

[08:39] Michele_Ney: Constantine - the comment was about the board NOT the CEO

[08:39] Jorge_Amodi: yes but Barack does not play the harmonica

[08:39] Constantine: true - Rod +1

[08:39] Jorge_Amodi: @Michele one of the studies on the pipe is to provide compensation to the Chairman and later to the rest of the Board

[08:40] Constantine: Rod is pretty good harmonica player

[08:40] Michele_Ney: Jorge - that wouldn't bother me tbh

[08:40] Jorge_Amodi: Welcome to the Bartrand Show !!! 2010

[08:40] Michele_Ney: Jorge - I think I want Bertrand to be my GAC rep - since our govt don't care enough

[08:40] Jorge_Amodi: @Michele, me neither if they do a good job

[08:41] Michele_Ney: Jorge - agreed

[08:41] Jorge_Amodi: there will be always categories

[08:41] Jorge_Amodi: at least two

[08:41] Jorge_Amodi: good domains and bad domains :-)

[08:42] Steve_Metal: well said Bertrand

[08:42] Jorge_Amodi: that will facilitate the big firewall that France is planning to emulate China

[08:44] Jorge_Amodi: I'd not call that categories

[08:45] HaroldT_2: the eoi wasn't part of the policy development

[08:48] Jorge_Amodi: as a CEO that's your job, get things done. Good one

[08:48] Doug_Brent2: Everyone enjoy their coffee around the world!

[08:49] Jorge_Amodi: I'd need it administerd via IV at this hour

[08:49] Michele_Ney: Jorge - It's nearly 8 am here - my staff will be coming into the office soon

[08:49] Constantine: Thanks Doug! Im sticking to tea and honey

[08:50] James_Blade: New challenge: stay awake during breaks.

[08:51] Eberhard: Thanks I just got myself a cup of coffee. It is 8:51 in Berlin, Germany

[08:51] Jorge_Amodi: @Michele but you usually are up late

[08:52] Jorge_Amodi: I'm really impressed how good the Connect-Mobile app thingy works

[09:01] Nick_Ashton: Same here in Geneva Eberharrrd

[09:02] Phil_Buckin: There really appears to be positive momentum from all Board members. James - wake up

[09:04] James_Blade: Skyping with Michele. He's always good for a wakeup call.

[09:06] Michele_Ney: grr

[09:06] Michele_Ney: can't see the damn video

[09:06] Riccardo: .

[09:08] James_Blade: Less art & architecture, more breweries! :)

[09:08] Michele_Ney: James - so true :)

[09:08] Mikey: i'm in

[09:08] Mikey: but let's change out the music when we go to the bar

[09:08] James_Blade: Trappist ales

[09:09] Jorge_Amodi: now I'm hungry, hmmm waffles

[09:10] Michele_Ney: when is the Brussels site going online?

[09:10] Jorge_Amodi: Yes the elevator music does not help

[09:10] Jorge_Amodi: waffles and beer

[09:11] Michele_Ney: moules frites

[09:11] Jorge_Amodi: yesss

[09:11] Jothan_Frak: and what is the URL?

[09:12] Jothan_Frak: bru.icann.org?

[09:12] Michele_Ney: where is the Brussels site??? (and don't say on the internet)

[09:12] James_Blade: Jothan: not active.

[09:12] Michele_Ney: Jothan - think I tried that

[09:12] Bryan_Bueck: bru.icann.org = 404

[09:13] RESTON_2: woot

[09:13] Tim_Ruiz: They forgot Iowa!

[09:13] Jorge_Amodi: Heyy I'm in San Antonio, Don't mess with Texas !!!

[09:13] Michele_Ney: I've got my own hub in Ireland goddam it!

[09:13] Michele_Ney: bloody racism!

[09:13] Michele_Ney: *grr*

[09:13] Jorge_Amodi: hehe

[09:13] Constantine: SXSU

[09:13] Kathy_Kleim: Regards from Reston!

[09:14] Jorge_Amodi: Hi Kathy

[09:14] Jorge_Amodi: @Kathy is that a group setup ?

[09:14] Constantine: communication process is ongoing and has been for years

[09:15] Michele_Ney: Constantine - most people still don't have a clue what's going on

[09:15] Kristina: @Michele: +1

[09:16] Constantine: most people do not care about what is going on

[09:16] Constantine: and most people don't know what icann is

[09:16] Michele_Ney: ICANN need to do basic marketing / PR about itself and what it does

[09:16] Constantine: to who and how?

[09:16] Constantine: facebook, myspace and google adwords?

[09:16] Michele_Ney: Constantine - to everyone

[09:17] Constantine: what's the budget?

[09:17] Constantine: how?

[09:17] Constantine: budget it where?

[09:17] Bryan_Bueck: The contention process used a closed auction that might mitigate some of these gaming issues.

[09:17] Nick_Ashton: Out of curiosity, what countries do we have represented here now - meaning, where people are physically, rather than where people are from?

[09:17] Constantine: tell GAC to do it

[09:17] Bryan_Bueck: Japan

[09:17] Jacob_Willi: Tokyo, Japan

[09:18] Constantine: exactly. Rod is right. Government nets and all those big ISPs as well

[09:18] lansipuro: Finland

[09:18] Constantine: ICANN can't do it

[09:18] Constantine: on their own

[09:18] Constantine: Rod +2

[09:18] Michele_Ney: Constantine - nobody suggested that they do it all alone

[09:18] Constantine: Michele, I am doing my job with .music

[09:18] Constantine: spreading it well beyond anything

[09:18] Constantine: the rest can do their job too

[09:19] Constantine: and stop complaining

[09:19] Michele_Ney: Constantine - the difference is that you are promoting a dream

[09:19] Constantine: Verisign included

[09:19] Michele_Ney: you are not promoting reality

[09:19] Constantine: a dream?

[09:19] Kristina: does he mean applicants can choose not to disclose on the applications themselves?

[09:19] Constantine: you do know me well buddy

[09:19] Constantine: i am not here for dreams. I am pretty real

[09:19] Jothan_Frak: good q Kristina

[09:20] Kristina: you can still have transparency by having all data go to an intermediary that will hold it?

[09:20] Jorge_Amodi: good point Rod

[09:23] Tim_Ruiz: Kristina: Perhaps, if it is still made public at the end of the EOI.

[09:23] Jothan_Frak: correction for the transcript, it is .GAL

[09:29] sam: what is the webinar on?

[09:30] Bruce_Tonki: Just to be clear - Melbourne IT webinars report on the outcomes of the ICANN meeting that are public.

[09:30] Bruce_Tonki: EOI is on the agenda for the Board meeting.

[09:30] Bruce_Tonki: If the Board votes against EOI that would simply be reported in the Webinar.

[09:32] sam: what is the icann webinar on?

[09:32] Michele_Ney: what's all this talk of webinars?

[09:33] Kristina: The ICANN webinar will "provide an overview of the current Expressions of Interest and Pre-Registrations (EOI/pre-registrations) model proposal." There would be absolutely no point in having a webinar on that topic unless the proposal was, by the webinar date, adopted.

[09:33] Kristina: see <http://www.icann.org/en/learning/webinars.htm>

[09:33] Michele_Ney: Kristina - thanks

[09:34] Jothan_Frak: Thanks Kristina

[09:34] Kristina: you're welcome.

[09:35] Frank_Michl: Good night. Michele, please take over for me ;-) LOL

[09:35] Michele_Ney: Frank - jawohl

[09:36] Frank_Michl: hehe

[09:36] Michele_Ney: Frank - anything else? :)

[09:36] Michele_Ney: Frank - want me to ghost write something for you?

[09:36] Frank_Michl: @Michele: That will be all for now. I'm expecting a full report later

[09:36] Michele_Ney: Ok boss - I am standing at attention beside my desk

[09:36] Frank_Michl: LOL

[09:37] Frank_Michl: night

[09:37] Michele_Ney: cya Frank

[09:38] Jothan_Frak: scribe correction this is herald alvestrand speaking

[09:39] Jothan_Frak: looks like you caught

[09:41] Jothan_Frak: That is Michael Silbert speaking

[09:41] Tim_Ruiz: Now that's actually Mike Silber.

[09:43] CLO: For any one who wishes to read what the MIT information re their Webinar ACTUALLY says please go to <http://promoter.melbourneit.com.au/em/mail/view.php?id=75695025&k=4fb07c1>

[09:43] CLO: and I have no idea why a face is in that :-{

[09:43] CLO: <http://promoter.melbourneit.com.au/em/mail/view.php?id=75695025&k=4fb07c1>

[09:44] Constantine: I have not been part of the group. New entrant here

[09:45] Mikey: @CLO -- "forbidden" URL -- blocked

[09:45] Jorge_Amodi: ?

[09:45] Michele_Ney: what the hell is Foody going on about?

[09:45] Constantine: im not getting this

[09:45] Constantine: so is he saying Verisign needs to email all registrants to tell them they can get .TLDs ?

[09:46] Jorge_Amodi: We need the music, instead of Save the World we need Spam the World about new gTLDs

[09:46] Michele_Ney: Constantine - Verisign don't know who the registrants are

[09:46] sam: i still don't understand what the icann webinar is about?

[09:46] Constantine: Frakes on a plane +1

[09:46] Jorge_Amodi: lobbyist meeting

[09:47] Steve_Metal: Rob H is doing a good job as "reading clerk"

[09:47] Jorge_Amodi: agree

[09:47] Constantine: @metalitz agreed

[09:48] Mikey: yup, agreed here too

[09:48] Jeff_Neuman: I agree...Claps for Rob! His intonation is great as well!

[09:48] Jorge_Amodi: actually they don't need them

[09:48] Nick_Ashton: Yeah, I just told colleagues that I will have a tough act to follow doing this for Brussels :)

[09:50] Jorge_Amodi: I'd really love to hear wath non-commercial interests think about EOI

[09:50] Constantine: great job ICANN staff on remote participation. very impressive

[09:50] Jothan_Frak: +1, remote participation has been helpful

[09:51] Marco_Loren: test ignore

[09:51] Jorge_Amodi: ignored

[09:51] Doug_Barton: Agreed, much better than previous attempts, and offered real value, kudos

[09:51] Nick_Ashton: It has been a big team effort - thanks very much for the compliments

[09:54] Siranush_Va: thanks to ICANN staff for such a helpful remote participation possibility, it is really very helpful and working very well

[09:54] Constantine: .Radio +2 thumbs up bundy

[09:55] Kristina: Haven't heard from Steve Metalitz yet.

[09:55] Jorge_Amodi: everybody drying out of VC money

[09:56] Jothan_Frak: I think we missed Steve Metalitz' comments

[09:56] Jeff_Neuman: Steve had comments read into the record earlier today

[09:57] Jeff_Neuman: so that could be what peter was thinking

[09:57] Jorge_Amodi: ask him if he will drop his .COM name for .AFRICA ?

[09:58] Constantine: i think the business plan should be confidential not the string. The string alone is just a string and not a business plan

[09:58] Jothan_Frak: the concept of confidentiality came up during the drafting period where all the stakeholders were helping to draft something before staff did

[09:59] Jothan_Frak: the concept of confidentiality is a two edged sword

[09:59] Kristina: how so, Jothan?

[09:59] Jorge_Amodi: Would you believe that Anthony is the guy who sold me our first international line to connect Argentina to the Internet about 20 years ago !!!

[09:59] Jothan_Frak: it might also be a shield for bad actors

[09:59] Constantine: you can not address community if you are confidential

[09:59] Jorge_Amodi: glad to see him involved with ICANN and .LAT

[09:59] Constantine: or get input or feedback

[10:00] Constantine: need to be open, accountable and need significant outreach effort

[10:00] Jothan_Frak: I definitely see the very important point of proprietary protections

[10:00] Jothan_Frak: and respect it

[10:00] Steve_Metal: Nick, since mine was a question regarding the decision the Board will take tomorrow, how will this be communicated to Board in timely manner ?

[10:00] Kristina: but ICANN isn't going to be doing any due diligence on the EOI applicants so how does that matter?

[10:01] Jothan_Frak: well, a couple of the benefits to the transparent process were that A) it exposes issues of public morality

[10:01] Tim_Ruiz: If EOI applicants can request confidentiality, they all will.

[10:01] Doug_Barton: Jothan, I've been giving that some thought, assuming a mandatory EOI (i.e., no official app without an EOI entry) I don't see the value of confidentiality for the string, it's not as if someone else will be able to jump the queue

[10:01] Jothan_Frak: B) it was a tool for letting parties see and address things that the strings might expose

[10:01] Jothan_Frak: ie infringement

[10:02] Constantine: i agree with frakes on this one

[10:02] Constantine: also if you are going for community application how can you be confidential and in stealth?

[10:02] Jothan_Frak: there would need to be a measure for this... how to have confidential strings without introduction of harm from it

[10:03] Tim_Ruiz: Jothan: add three months...

[10:03] Eric_Brunne: the claim of confidentiality does not benefit public authorities applying for city strings or regional strings or cultural or linguistic strings

[10:03] Phil_Buckin: Kristina - are you sure that there is no due diligence on EOI - if it goes ahead . Think this is still under discussion ?

[10:03] Jothan_Frak: no thanks tim

[10:04] Kristina: It's my understanding, Phil, that there will not be any due diligence. If I'm incorrect, I'm sure ICANN staff reading the chat will correct me. :-)

[10:04] Jothan_Frak: @EBW are you saying confidential good or confidential bad

[10:05] sam: the butterfly effect

[10:05] Jorge_Amodi: upps audio gone

[10:05] Eric_Brunne: it is irrelevant to public entities making applications, e.g., "paris" is hardly an unknown string, nor is the city government an unknown applicant

[10:06] Phil_Buckin: audio gone

[10:06] Steve_Metal: audio down?

[10:06] Michele_Ney: audio completely dead

[10:06] Jorge_Amodi: yep

[10:06] RESTON_2: 64k up

[10:06] Susan_Reyno: yes, audio down

[10:06] James_Blade: Yep, lost audio here.

[10:06] Susan_Reyno: and video too

[10:06] Hirokatsu_O: looks like it

[10:06] Susan_Reyno: I hope they can fix this

[10:06] Steve_Metal: The "you've spoken before" rule does not apply to Antony I guess.

[10:07] Constantine: sound slike spam

[10:07] Kristina: Apparently not.

[10:07] Kristina: Eric: That

[10:07] Jothan_Frak: Can Steve Metalitz question be asked?

[10:07] Eric_Brunne: well, it is his EOI ...

[10:07] Nick_Ashton: Sorry all, they're working on it now

[10:07] Kristina: Eric: oops. That's fine. Then make the default confidentiality and make disclosure an opt in.

[10:08] Nick_Ashton: streaming audio is operating normally

[10:08] mib_5y14t2: Internet stream: <http://stream.icann.org/tsavoa-64-en.m3u> is up

[10:08] Nick_Ashton: 16K: <http://stream.icann.org/tsavoa-16-en.m3u>

[10:09] Doug_Barton: A/V back now for me

[10:09] Steve_Metal: audio back

[10:09] Eric_Brunne: it is a issue which is a non-issue for non-profit applicants, it is the "closure" that is a real issue, the idea that new york has to "eoi" to inform the process

[10:09] Eric_Brunne: or wait some unknown number of additional years

[10:10] Steve_Metal: Unless I missed it Nick has not answered re how "unreached" questions will be communicated to the Board so maybe someone else on staff can help respond.

[10:11] Jothan_Frak: @EBW, re Confidentiality if city is applicant, say the city of Hershey PA - there is a brand of chocolate also who might submit with confidential, as well as say the actress barbara hershey

[10:11] Nick_Ashton: Sorry if I missed something, here's the sttory

[10:11] Nick_Ashton: You can find the details of what will happen with questions not read out, and statements, on the agenda page for the meeting at <http://nbo.icann.org/node/9030>

[10:12] Nick_Ashton: We will annex the complete chat comments to the record of the session too

[10:12] Eric_Brunne: you propose to make policy affecting the urban half of humanity based on a chocolate bar???

[10:12] Nick_Ashton: I will ask in particular if the Board will see them, I feel sure that it is possible to

ensure that the board receives them

[10:13] Constantine: economics is not a measure of demand either

[10:13] Steve_Metal: Clearly only remote participants are prevented from participating if they have already spoken

[10:13] Constantine: demand can only be determined by the marketplace.

[10:13] Jothan_Frak: @EBW it is a last name, a brand, and a geo

[10:13] Constantine: no economic study is accurate

[10:14] Jothan_Frak: this year is the 25th anniversary of .com

[10:15] Jothan_Frak: the story behind the fight to get .com and other gtlds included an argument that was to prove demand

[10:15] Kristina: so, are we skipping the other topics on the agenda?

[10:15] Susan_Reyno: are we out of time now?

[10:16] Steve_Metal: Nick, that page also makes other assertions which have not been carried out such as "Answerable questions are much preferred to comments, and both are preferred to statements - which can be incorporated into the official record without the need to read them out"

[10:17] Doug_Brent7: Steve, you're right. This is brand new. We're learning.

[10:17] Doug_Brent7: Including staff and Peter.

[10:17] Doug_Brent7: We'll get the hard copy questions/comments to the Board this afternoon.

[10:17] Doug_Brent7: Before they meet.

[10:17] Steve_Metal: Thanks Doug

[10:18] Nick_Ashton: Steve, in hindsight, I should have made clear that those preferences did/do not affect whether one is read

[10:18] Constantine: wow why was this question avoided?

[10:18] Michele_Ney: *shrug*

[10:18] Eric_Brunne: @doug, in comments i've raised the point that no benefit can be identified for public applicants, if staff has sold the "eoi" to themselves and the board, would staff please identify the public benefit to public applicants, please?

[10:18] James_Blade: Michele's question clumsily dodged....

[10:18] Constantine: Blacknight had a great point

[10:19] Michele_Ney: so much for transparency ..

[10:19] Constantine: so wait, i sign and contract with ICANN and then they can change it?

[10:19] Michele_Ney: you question it and they ignore you

[10:19] Mikey: Agreed. Michele's point also a subject of discussion this week in Reston

[10:19] Nick_Ashton: Merely that it is possible to get through more questions, since they are often shorter, than would be possible if many people made unilateral statements

[10:19] James_Blade: Michele: He'll answer your question about transparency after consulting staff behind closed doors.

[10:19] Doug_Brent7: Michele, I will say this was a discussion at the registry constituency meeting yesterday; certainly not a dead topic

[10:19] Constantine: this is a huge question

[10:19] Michele_Ney: Doug - obviously :)

[10:20] Nick_Ashton: Steve, did that help vis a vis your question/statement?

[10:20] Jothan_Frak: @Zuck... Freeze water and it does become stable

[10:20] Constantine: @doug lets hope Michele's question is answered because unilateral changes are not akin to ICANN bylaw

[10:20] Doug_Brent7: Well...right; just saying that it is active in staff, Board, registry. I don't agree with the trade-off between transparency and contracts as you stated it; but chat probably not the right medium to have that discussion

[10:21] Doug_Brent7: By contrast, many in the community have pushed very hard that the RAA should be easier to modify.

[10:21] Steve_Metal: Nick, thank you, I appreciate Doug's commitment to make sure these are brought to the Board today

[10:21] Doug_Brent7: It is not a black-and-white issue.

[10:21] Michele_Ney: Doug - making a contract easier to modify is NOT the same as having it unilaterally changeable

[10:22] Doug_Brent7: Michele, I'll agree with your last word... but think this is a longer conversation

[10:22] Nick_Ashton: And it goes without saying: any suggestions on improvement of how we can do remote participation are encouraged: send to remote-participation@icann.org

[10:22] Nick_Ashton: or me, of course

[10:22] James_Blade: One could argue that a contract that can be unilaterally modified no longer meets the definition of 'contract.'

[10:23] Steve_Metal: Good night all. Kudos again for remote participation.

[10:23] Jorge_Amodi: include coupons for starbucks

[10:23] Nick_Ashton: all the best Steve

[10:23] Nick_Ashton: thank you for joining in

[10:23] Jorge_Amodi: you guys there get all the coffee brakes, I've to brew my own coffee here :-)

[10:23] Doug_Brent7: Bye Steve

[10:23] Doug_Brent7: Jorge, bet yours is better

[10:23] Jorge_Amodi: hehe

[10:24] Michele_Ney: Doug - I concur, this chat probably isn't the best medium for a proper discussion on the topic

[10:24] Jorge_Amodi: nothing better than a good home brewed cup of java and participating at ICANN in PJ's

[10:24] Constantine: the internet is 365/7 already. people take it for granted

[10:24] Jeff_Neuman: I just submitted a question to remote on accountability

[10:24] Jeff_Neuman: Can you please read

[10:24] Jeff_Neuman: PDT was too quick to close

[10:24] Jeff_Neuman: I couldnt type that quick

[10:25] Constantine: public interest means nothing? interesting opinion

[10:26] Jorge_Amodi: yess, less time for Bertrand

[10:26] Mikey: we're in our PJ's here in Reston --
<http://www.facebook.com/photo.php?pid=4890209&l=eefc6df358&id=501359467>

[10:26] Michele_Ney: lets talk offline @doug @james

[10:27] Doug_Brent7: Michele, happy to. This isn't directly my topic, but happyto discuss.

doug.brent@icann.org

[10:27] Nick_Ashton: great photo Mikey

[10:27] Michele_Ney: Jeff Neuman - is now an individual as well?

[10:28] Constantine: Jeff is a drummer too

[10:28] Constantine: +1 Neuman

[10:28] Eric_Brunne: @nick, please read into record, the inability to identify a power not present in the contract, in the consensus policies, in the emergency powers, to motivate unilateral change to the RAA is a transparency issue

[10:28] Michele_Ney: I'd prefer a bodharn tbh

[10:28] Jorge_Amodi: @Mikey like the butterflies !!!

[10:28] Bruce_Tonki: Jeff Neuman - actually the Board has been pushing for all staff reports on EOI to be made public and you have seen nearly all there is.

[10:28] Mikey: an

[10:28] Bruce_Tonki: The staff analysis of comments was made public at the same time it was available to the Board.

[10:29] Jeff_Neuman: Thanks Bruce, but I was actually talking about all staff reports on all items

[10:29] Jeff_Neuman: Not EOI specific

[10:29] Jorge_Amodi: Ohh my is that Chuck with the blue PJ's ?

[10:29] Bruce_Tonki: Other Board members have also been pushing for advice from economists to be made public - and this was recently done

[10:29] Bruce_Tonki: SO progress is being made

[10:29] Ron: What some may be missing with regard to those who note in which capacity they speak is that they are acting in the interest of transparency...

[10:30] Bruce_Tonki: Speaking personally - I think only staff briefings on legal issues should be made private, and possibly some confidential matters for some ccTLD redelegations

[10:30] Ron: Those that obfuscate are not helping ICANN building integrity as an institution

[10:30] Jeff_Neuman: @Bruce I agree...plus internal staff matters should be confidential

[10:31] Bruce_Tonki: AGreed Jeff.

[10:31] Doug_Barton: Bruce, I agree on both points, most information about redels can be made public, but it is occasionally necessary to undergo confidential communication in order to ensure that the communities served by the TLD have the opportunity to make their voices heard

[10:32] Doug_Barton: OTOH, I tried to be very thorough in my reports on redels, which were all posted

[10:33] Jorge_Amodi: hmmm, the comment was directed to service providers tampering with DNS protocol responses

[10:34] Eric_Brunne: good for Steve for taking some of the hot air out of that fabulous statement to the gac

[10:35] Michele_Ney: I'd agree with Rod - we're seeing more attacks than before (though not just DNS)

[10:35] Jeff_Neuman: DNS outages in registries????

[10:35] Eric_Brunne: none of these claims are supported by data at caida

[10:35] Michele_Ney: Jeff - cctlds I assume

[10:35] Eric_Brunne: he is full of shit

[10:36] Nick_Ashton: Eric, sorry, but please keep your comments cordial and within the bounds of the

behaviour guidelines

[10:36] Jorge_Amodi: eeeeeeeeeehhhhhh

[10:36] Nick_Ashton: Thanks in advance

[10:36] Tim_Cole133: test

[10:36] Eric_Brunne: sorry, he is making statements which are not supported in the public record

[10:36] Michele_Ney: tim - I can see that

[10:36] Nick_Ashton: read you tim

[10:37] Michele_Ney: Eric - so your answer is to abuse him?

[10:37] Jorge_Amodi: Hmm I'm dialing right now Jeffrey Williams and Joe Baptista to help Rod on his defense

[10:38] Jorge_Amodi: @Me looking to the tin hat

[10:38] Jeff_Neuman: More consultation on this is necessary and it should not be in the operating plan

[10:38] Jeff_Neuman: and not in the strategic plan

[10:39] Jorge_Amodi: it can't be done

[10:39] Constantine: what Rod is saying can not be solved 100%

[10:39] Eric_Brunne: apparently he's not heard of caida, and all the rest of the venues where data analysis is done, and his amazing claims are unsupported

[10:39] Jorge_Amodi: creating a model for dns simulation

[10:39] Constantine: you can address the issues but the biggest holes in security are human errors

[10:40] avri: REGISTRANT support the business model - not registries and registrars

[10:40] Michele_Ney: Constantine - err no. You don't pay ICANN.

[10:40] Jorge_Amodi: the only thing that supports the model is VAPORWARE

[10:40] Michele_Ney: Constantine - registrar can charge as much or as little for domain as they want

[10:40] Eric_Brunne: YES!

[10:40] Michele_Ney: this rubbish about "registrants finance ICANN" is factually incorrect

[10:41] Constantine: Michele i was referring to security holes

[10:41] avri: we the registrants pay ICANN a tax on every name that supports ICANN. the rest is all gravy the registries and registrars get to rake in.

[10:41] Michele_Ney: avri - again. you don't. You just see it broken out on some invoices.

[10:41] Jorge_Amodi: ha, now ccns0 trying to play hardball

[10:41] Jeff_Neuman: Avri - Neustar actually absorbs the icann tax at the registry level

[10:41] Jeff_Neuman: We do not pass it on to the registrants

[10:41] James_Blade: avri: Not entirely. Registrars can (and do) provide registrations at little to no cost when providing other products.

[10:41] Michele_Ney: registrars pay icann the fee per transaction plus a whole lot more

[10:42] Michele_Ney: James - exactly

[10:42] Eric_Brunne: good for chris despain

[10:42] Doug_Barton: Jeff, registrants pay the fees, how you handle the accounting is a separate issue :)

[10:42] Andy_Gardne: ultimately the money has to come from somewhere -registrants. If it doesn't come from registrants where does it come from?

[10:42] Jeff_Neuman: Doug - that is like arguing that those who consume coca cola products pay coca cola's taxes

[10:42] James_Blade: Customers of other services (webhosting, blog tools, ssl certs, etc.) who also need domain names.

[10:43] Michele_Ney: Jeff - thank you

[10:43] James_Blade: Similar to demanding a police officer not write a ticket becausee the speeder "pays his salary.

[10:43] James_Blade: cops love that line, btw.

[10:43] avri: in other words you take a little bit of the enormous sums you take from registrants and pass it on. we are the ones who provide ALL of the money in the first place.

[10:43] Jeff_Neuman: Andy - actually if you back to the registrant, dont you have to go back to the employer of hte registrant that pays the registrant's salary that allows the registrant to pay for the name

[10:43] Doug_Barton: Jeff, yes of course, if they're not getting the money from customers, where are they getting the money from?

[10:44] Andy_Gardne: taxation without rrepresentation

[10:44] Constantine: Chris -2

[10:44] Andy_Gardne: now you're just being silly

[10:44] Eric_Brunne: BRAVO CHRIS!!!

[10:44] Jeff_Neuman: Great comments from Chris

[10:45] Constantine: why is Chris the only guy talking at the ccNSO meetings?

[10:45] Jorge_Amodi: Rod needs a crush course on DNS IETF couple of RFCs, he made a good point

[10:45] Andy_Gardne: if registrtants stops using domain names, there would be no tax to be paid to ICANN - registrants are the ultimate source

[10:46] SeanPowell: test for tim

[10:46] Tim_Cole133: received

[10:46] Jorge_Amodi: if you remove all the VAPORWARE from DNS names and their commercial value all these goes pufff

[10:46] SeanPowell: ack

[10:46] Phil_Buckin: It is about the value chain and transfer pricing . Registrants are one part of the value chain. ICANN has a budget deficit . Something is seriously wrong in the pricing model. ICANN is a not for profit !

[10:47] Constantine: ccTLDs in my opinion are running loose

[10:47] Doug_Brent7: Phil: ICANN has no budget deficit, for your information.

[10:47] Michele_Ney: "running loose" ?

[10:47] Constantine: especially the hacks

[10:47] Constantine: running loose, yes

[10:47] Michele_Ney: Phil - they have an overrun, not a deficit. they actually have loads of money

[10:47] Eric_Brunne: @nick, the question link goes to an IPC question page from the 8th

[10:47] Andy_Gardne: ccTLD's are sovereign

[10:47] Andy_Gardne: they can do whatever they want with their ccTLD

[10:47] Michele_Ney: Constantine you'll really need to qualify that with something other than fluff

[10:47] Constantine: gTLDs get screwed while ccTLDs bath in the glory of accidental naming benefits

[10:48] Constantine: .me, .co, .tv, .om, .cm

[10:48] Andy_Gardne: them's the breaks

[10:48] Nick_Ashton: @eric: which link?

[10:48] Eric_Brunne: @nick, in my remote screen in a/c, the one to the right of the chat window

[10:48] Doug_Barton: Andy, that's not quite accurate, RFC 1591, ICP-1, etc. all state clearly that ccTLD managers must serve their local community, they can't just do whatever they want

[10:48] James_Blade: Michele: My concern is that ccTLDs often do not make proportional contributions vs. gTLDs. And they don't always live up to their (meager) commitments.

[10:49] Doug_Brent7: Back regarding budget, it may well be this year that ICANN contributes less to its reserve than previously planned. Seems like there is lots of incorrect information about this.

[10:49] Constantine: Michele are you kidding me? Fluff? you think that people trate .me, .co, .tv as ccTLDs?

[10:49] Constantine: treat

[10:49] Constantine: .co is branding as company, .tv ad television

[10:49] Michele_Ney: Constantine - you really love your fluffy comments, don't you?

[10:49] Jorge_Amodi: .me

[10:49] Constantine: and .me and me

[10:49] Michele_Ney: why don't you say something actually clear and tangible

[10:49] Michele_Ney: instead of broad sweeping buzzwords that don't actually make any sense

[10:49] Michele_Ney: "cctlds are running loose"

[10:50] Michele_Ney: how?

[10:50] Michele_Ney: provide proper examples

[10:50] Constantine: they abide by different contracts with ICANN

[10:50] Constantine: stricter

[10:50] Constantine: look at .cm

[10:50] James_Blade: cctlds do not have contracts.

[10:50] Constantine: aha

[10:50] Jorge_Amodi: well many of them have no contract

[10:50] Kristina: no contracts, memoranda of understanding

[10:50] Michele_Ney: constantine you don't have a clue what you are talking about

[10:50] Constantine: they do as they please

[10:50] wseltzer: that timeline just keeps slipping for new gTLDs

[10:50] Jorge_Amodi: why not ?

[10:50] Constantine: why could .cm do a wild redirect - wild card?

[10:50] Constantine: fluff?

[10:51] James_Blade: They claim sovereignty. But governments enter in to contracts all the time without diminishing sovereignty.

[10:51] Mikey: can we chop off the Constantine/Michele flame war?

[10:51] Doug_Barton: Actually many cc's have agreements with ICANN, where "agreement" has various definitions including "contract," "MOU" etc.

[10:51] Constantine: why is Verisign charing me thousands of dollars to renew premium .tv names?

[10:51] Michele_Ney: Constantine - they haven't been doing the wildcard for a couple of years

[10:51] Michele_Ney: Mikey - ok I'll shut up

[10:51] Constantine: yea they made millions of dollars being illegal

[10:51] Jorge_Amodi: many countries don't even have a MOU
[10:51] Jorge_Amodi: why illegal ? define illegal, where ?
[10:52] Mikey: thanks Michele, you're a gentleman and a scholar
[10:52] Kristina: amen, Avri. (sorry, couldn't resist.)
[10:52] Michele_Ney: Mikey - I'm going to put you on mute next week :)
[10:52] Nick_Ashton: Gents, please...
[10:52] Constantine: illegal was when domain such as google.cm, myspace.cm, facebook.cm, ebaycm would redirect to agogo.com
[10:53] Jorge_Amodi: why?
[10:53] Constantine: yahoo made money, cameroon made money and so did kevin ham
[10:53] Jorge_Amodi: what law makes them illegal ?
[10:53] James_Blade: It wasn't "illegal."
[10:53] James_Blade: The government of Cameroon was involved.
[10:54] Mikey: +1 Avri
[10:54] Andy_Gardne: Thank you Avri!
[10:54] James_Blade: But so many are praying for new TLDs....
[10:54] Susan_Reyno: what's with religion? was there a pray at the opening?
[10:54] Eric_Brunne: +1 avri
[10:55] James_Blade: Just kidding, excellent comment Avri!
[10:55] Nick_Wood: Agree with Avril very strongly
[10:55] Constantine: +1 avri
[10:55] Eric_Brunne: yes. unfortunately
[10:55] Susan_Reyno: oh
[10:55] Patricio_Po: Here, here!
[10:55] Kristina: yes, there was a prayer at the opening. almost fell out of my chair.
[10:55] Susan_Reyno: well, I agree with Avri then
[10:55] Andy_Gardne: gaaaaa
[10:55] Andy_Gardne: I would have walked out
[10:55] Andy_Gardne: Or is ICANN a chruch now?
[10:55] James_Blade: MOre like a cult. ;)
[10:56] Jorge_Amodi: .GOD
[10:56] Andy_Gardne: :)
[10:56] Michele_Ney: So someone should ask the quesion, as to why we can't have a .god or a .satan :)
[10:56] Susan_Reyno: ;)
[10:57] Bruce_Tonki: I think ICANN is probably a religion for some people
[10:57] Jorge_Amodi: don't say .satan that we will have another statement from the GAV
[10:57] Jorge_Amodi: I mean GAC
[10:57] Ron5285: @ Bruce: Agred
[10:57] Dennis_Jenn: Who says we can't have a .god ?
[10:58] Jorge_Amodi: isn't that an airport code ?
[10:58] Michele_Ney: Dennis - ICANN :) I think it was in an earlier version of the DAG
[10:58] Constantine: Greek.god :)

[10:58] Doug_Barton: Thanks for rubbing it in Marilyn, I wish I could have attended :)

[10:58] James_Blade: @Marilyn: Once the state dept. put out an advisory, it was no longer our decision. Would have loved to be there.

[10:59] Jorge_Amodi: Rod left his tin hat on that meeting

[11:00] Jorge_Amodi: the issue is that for some companies if there is an advisory, insurance does not provide coverage if you blow up in pieces

[11:00] Jorge_Amodi: not a good thing for your family to deal with

[11:00] Kathy_Kleim: remote comments?

[11:01] Nick_Ashton: Kathy: Asking

[11:01] Michele_Ney: Nick - you need to limit some people more tightly

[11:01] Jay_Daley: .god would have people arguing on whether to allow more than one registration. .scripture sounds more promising

[11:02] Nick_Ashton: We're learning, FYI I'm not physically there

[11:02] James_Blade: Public forum should be part of board meetings.

[11:02] Kristina: cool idea.

[11:03] Kathy_Kleim: That's a great idea -- remote video/audio contributions!

[11:03] Michele_Ney: Nick - I know, but it's your dept :)

[11:03] Doug_Barton: Nick, you realize of course you just opened yourself up for jokes about being mentally there? :)

[11:03] Michele_Ney: Doug :)

[11:03] Nick_Ashton: umm, yes :)

[11:03] Nick_Ashton: I'm always pretty open to that though ;)

[11:04] Michele_Ney: While I love Bertrand, how many times has he been up?

[11:05] Susan_Reyno: thank you

[11:05] Constantine: great job Nick

[11:05] Doug_Brent7: Thanks all.

[11:05] Constantine: thank Doug

[11:05] Constantine: and the rest of the ICANN team

[11:05] Bryan_Bueck: Thanks to all.

[11:05] Doug_Brent7: We need to improve this, but it was a big improvement I think.

[11:05] Jothan_Frak: thank you to corey, nick allyall for our remote resources

[11:05] Siranush_Va: thanks to all

[11:05] Michele_Ney: 1pm Nairobi time?

[11:05] Bruce_Tonki: SPeaking personally Bertrand - I would be happy with an audio transcript being made available as is current practice for GNSO Council meetings

[11:05] Doug_Brent7: The social integration still needs to be improved.

[11:05] Michele_Ney: remote thing - very good :)

[11:05] Susan_Reyno: let's see what happens tomorrow at the Board meeting

[11:05] Michele_Ney: Doug - the what now?

[11:05] Susan_Reyno: see you in Brussels

[11:05] Kathy_Kleim: Tx for the remote participation -- hope it will set a standard for remote participation for all.

[11:06] Nick_Ashton: We will keep improving it Kathy :)

[11:06] Eric_Brunne: @doug, i don't see how remote participation in this session, unlike earlier ones, can pose questions to the venue, on parity with those present

[11:06] Andy_Gardne: would be nice if we could make the chat screen bigger

[11:06] Nick_Ashton: screen real-estate is in short supply

[11:06] Eric_Brunne: and we don't want to repeat that when the meeting is in the "first world"

[11:06] Andy_Gardne: often, if lots of people comment at once, it scrolls too fast and if you scroll, when someone posts a new comment the scroll resets

[11:07] Nick_Ashton: ah, thanks Andy, we'll add that to the queue of requests for the chat

[11:07] Doug_Barton: Doug, I made the comment to Nick earlier this week that it would be helpful to publicize more readily how in-house participants can join the chat. That model works well for IETF, and provides an additional level of interaction that can be very useful for both local and remote participants

[11:07] Nick_Ashton: You reminded me of something that I was experiencing myself yesterday :)

[11:07] Andy_Gardne: the whole remote participation screen only takes up about 1/4 of one of my screens here

[11:08] Andy_Gardne: so it would be nice to be able to expand it rather than it being a fixed size

[11:08] Nick_Ashton: we set it to 1024x768, since it seemed a good standard size that many people would already use

[11:08] Andy_Gardne: needs to be flexible, I think :)

[11:08] Andy_Gardne: cheers

[11:08] Michele_Ney: Nick - afaik it is doable

[11:09] Andy_Gardne: excellent

[11:09] Michele_Ney: Nick - if I'm on my super sized desktop the standard icann setting looks stupidly small

[11:09] Nick_Ashton: please, anything you think of like this later, send to remote-participation@icann.org

[11:10] Andy_Welch: hello

[11:12] Doug_Barton: Nick, one other thing I thought of, embedding a "local time" clock on the web page with the remote agenda bonus points for one of those cool javascript widgets that "follows" you down the page when you scroll :)