

**APRALO\_20091028\_EN\_261346**

Karaitiana Taiuru: Good afternoon, everybody. My name is Karaitiana Taiuru. I'm the Chairman of Asia-Pacific Regional At-Large Organization. I'm just wondering if we could just very quickly go around the table and introduce ourselves, please. As I said, my name is Karaitiana Taiuru. I'm from New Zealand and represent the New Zealand Maori Internet Organization.

Pavan Budhrani: Pavan Budhrani, APRALO Secretariat.

Ada: We are NetMission ambassadors from DotAsia, and we are a US community from-- initialized by DotAsia. Is that okay? I'm Ada from the University of Hong Kong.

Evelyn: I'm Evelyn (ph) from Hong Kong Junior University.

Matthew: I'm Matthew. I come from the University of Hong Kong.

Richard: Hi, everyone. My name is Richard, and I'm from Hong Kong University of Science and Technology.

Jung Yun Kim: Hi. My name is Jung Yun Kim (ph). I'm from the University of Hawaii at Manila.

Unidentified Participant: Hi. My name is (Inaudible). I'm just barely brand-new member here.

Unidentified Participant: From OSIA.

Unidentified Participant: From OSIA. And, also, I am from Korean University.

Stefford Gyst: Hi. I'm Stefford Gyst (ph) from the Internet Users Society in Norway (ph).

Izumi Ays: Hi, everyone. My name is Izumi Ays (ph). I'm one of the LSs Internet Users Network in Tokyo and a former leading member of the ALAC.

James Sing: Hi. My name's James Sing (ph).

Matthias Langenegger: Hi. My name is Matthias. I'm part of ICANN staff.

Cheryl Langdon-Orr: My name's Cheryl Langdon-Orr, and I'm the Asia-Pacific Regional At-Large Organization representative, one of the two representatives to the At-Large Advisory Committee of ALAC. And I'm delighted to tell APRALO that they have one of their representatives as continuing Chair of the ALAC. I've done (ph) two terms as Chair, and I will be serving a third.

V.C. Vivekanandan: I'm Vivek from India. I'm part of ALAC.

Cheryl Langdon-Orr: The other half.

V.C. Vivekanandan: Yeah; other half.

Karaitiana Taiuru: Thank you. And do we have anybody online at the moment on the telephone?

Cheryl Langdon-Orr: If they are, they can hear us perfectly because we're streaming out. But I gather Colin has tested, and they can't--

Colin: Actually, we were able to talk to the operator on the line earlier.

Cheryl Langdon-Orr: Okay. Fantastic. Thanks for that, Colin.

Unidentified Participant: I think both Danny and Hong are (inaudible), so why don't we put them on the screen (ph)?

Karaitiana Taiuru: Our first agenda item is to welcome our new (inaudible) to APRALO. And it's quite-- It's nice to be able to introduce and welcome you to our organization. And it's the Open Standards and Internet Association of Korea. But, also, I'd just like to say you have a very beautiful country, and I'm very glad that, as APRALO, we can come here and talk and meet you in person. I'd like to invite you to discuss or talk about your organization while we're all here.

Unidentified Participant: Thank you, Mr. Chair. Is it possible to get on the homepage of OSIA now? [www.OSIA.co.kr](http://www.OSIA.co.kr) (ph)-- [org.kr](http://org.kr) (ph). Sorry.

Cheryl Langdon-Orr: And soon we hope to be in scripts that are not ASCII.

Unidentified Participant: OSIA?

Unidentified Participant: OR.KR.

Unidentified Participant: As in (Inaudible)?

Unidentified Participant: Yes, not org. Correct. Yeah. On the bottom, left-hand side, there is Introduction in English, you can see over there-- down there.

Unidentified Participant: Scroll down?

Unidentified Participant: No, no. You don't need. I want-- On the left side, KRNet. Just above the KRNet. There is Introduction in English over there.

Cheryl Langdon-Orr: You're being recorded, and, in fact audio streamed for posterity sake.

Unidentified Participant: I apologize for my ignorance. Okay. So our association was founded about 22 years ago, in 1987. We have a quite long history. As I remember, the global network was to be deployed. But the first time was with a network. And then we went (ph) to the internet, as you know. At the first time, about 100 professional specialists were gathered from the

corporations, (unintelligible), and academies. All of them are very enthusiastic to participate in the international activity.

So our purpose of the foundation was, as you see over here, is ITT (ph) research and development. And we also contribute to domestic and international standards. We have a good record to contribute to the international standards, especially in JTC1 and IQT and also IHS (ph) and other--

Anyway, now, let's see. What we're (ph) to say. OSIA has about 15 technical groups, with more than 1,000 members.

Could you scroll down a little bit? There you can see-- over there, down, please. Down, down. You can see the structure of-- down, down. More, please. Yeah. More, please, because there are-- You don't need-- I don't want to say about our projects-- I just want to introduce our structure. Go there. Yeah. Here.

In OSIA, we have 15 technical groups, and from 4G open service and some kind of a network-related, technical groups. So far, we were focused on these technical groups. However, as you know, one of our hot issues with OSIA is about future internet, and, also, it's not hot anymore but still is hot-- that is IPVC (ph). There was two technical groups-- There was domain names and IPVC. But, as you see, those were-- They formed a forum. So OSI plays a role as an incubator to form and provide that kind of a service.

Anyway, as you see, one of the hot issues, as I said, is the future internet. So far, all the internet services were provided by the content provider ISP so-called engineers. The users just use the service that the engineers provide. However, the market require a lot of diverse services. So we come to (unintelligible) and to gather some information-- what the user really wants for their internet service or network service. So that's why we come to join to this ALD.

I think this is the right time and the right place. And, on behalf of our President and the Chairman and some other members, we are really pleased to be here. And, at least, we can promise that we'll be very active members. So, from this moment, it will be very-- I have (unintelligible) here. That's it. Okay. Thank you.

Karaitiana Taiuru: Thank you very much for that.

Cheryl Langdon-Orr: Just while I have the opportunity, the Chair of the APRALO has to occasionally seat as Chair of the ALAC. I know you've joined the Asia-Pacific Regional At-Large Organization, and they're going to make excellent use of your skill sets, your experience, your resource, and bring your voice to the table. But, from the ALAC perspective, can I make my welcome to you and all your wonderful members and, particularly, the technical expertise-- the real, tangible input that you can make into policy development process from now on into all parts of ICANN-- Anything I can do to facilitate that. Believe me, your organization's name will be on my short list of people to put forward from now on. Thank you so much. And pass that on to your members.

Unidentified Participant: Thank you.

Karaitiana Taiuru: Just very quickly, our second agenda item is to introduce our newly appointed (inaudible) APRALO member. Cheryl has just introduced herself. Cheryl's the ALAC Chair. Yeah. Most people will have interactions with her over the course of their duration with APRALO.

And we have a new IDN (ph) liaison person, James Sing. Jim, could you just very quickly introduce yourself, please?

James Sing: Hi. My name is James Sing. I've been working on IDN-related issues since 1999-- actually, probably 1998-- and the professor, (unintelligible). He's actually my professor mentor. And I started working the working group chair of the IDN working group in 2000-- (unintelligible) standardization in 2004. Over the last ten years, I've been attending almost every ICANN, except for (unintelligible), which I missed in various capacity but have always been looking and trying to get ideas and moving forward.

I'm a Malaysian (ph), have a Singapore (inaudible), but currently I'm based out of Shanghai and Beijing. My family is in Shanghai, and I live in Beijing. And I'm glad to be here. Thank you very much.

Karaitiana Taiuru: Thank you. And, for those who don't already know, we have Vivek here, who's also on ALAC.

V.C. Vivekanandan: I'm Vivekanandan. I'm a dean at a law school now in Indian Institute of Technology at Kharagpur. That's near Calcutta. I've taken on this new position in between. I was originally from Hyderabad (ph). I stood for the Asia-Pacific. I think I was elected (inaudible).

And I also want to share to the Chair and everybody here that I'm in the process of setting up a society, which is going to be called the Internet Society of Calcutta. There is (unintelligible). So that is one.

And then, to report a little bit, I had two (unintelligible) in the last months. One was the Cyber Security Summit at Bangalore, which was a major summit. So I was asked there to present about ALAC and ICANN (unintelligible) in terms of various issues. So I did speak about (unintelligible), as well as other (unintelligible).

And I did another thing in the Indian (unintelligible) Management in Ahmedabad, along with (unintelligible), called the Security and Identity Management. And so, there again, I gave a presentation representing APRALO and ALAC, again, on issues of security, identity management, and internet governance.

Those are two presentations I was given to do the last three months. Thank you.

Unidentified Participant: Just quick, (unintelligible), I understand that James is not only the IDN liaison but the recently nominated member of ALAC by the NonCom. There is some difference between NonCom appointee. And APRALO represents to the ALAC-- All of them are ALAC members from our region. So you are not only specialize in IDN, but you have to work with other ALAC pieces as well. Right?

James Sing: Yes.

Karaitiana Taiuru: Thanks for that clarification. I'd just also like to announce that we have a new ALS going through due diligence at the moment, (Unintelligible) Pakistan, where we'll wait to hear back from the staff about the due diligence in due time.

Agenda item three is the Seoul meeting update. I will pass over to Pavan, Cheryl, and James.

Cheryl Langdon-Orr: It's been really good. It's been really busy. And it does continue. From an APRALO perspective, the landmark moments are pretty clear, so far, in this meeting. The assumption that we will be having board approval of the Fast Track IDN CCTLDs (ph) is so hugely important. And I'm going to leave James to say a little bit more about that.

But what's happening in policy and workshops here for next steps is also very, very important. With the new GTLDs, we need to have a bigger voice in why it is important that the variance tables are looked at. Why is it important that--? It's all very nice to tell (unintelligible) three characters after the dot is not now going to be necessarily the only way that things have to be done. But why can't it just be one? There is much work which is of particular interest in just the scrutiny and language issues for Asia and Asia-Pacific. And it is so important that we see people (technical difficulty) when we are at these ICANN meetings but when we call for public comments, when we are putting out work papers, white papers, and things to get feedback during the process. And James' role-- Obviously, he's going to be taking the lion's share of the language issues.

But there is also issues that affect us as consumers, as end users. And, to that effect, we've already had a couple of workshops, for example, on post-expiree domain name registration and very important work on the RAA, the registrant agreement. We are having an opportunity right now to, even though there is a 2009 version, that some 86% or 87% (ph) of the registrars have signed up to-- so all new registrars will sign up to it. But many of the legacy registrars have also signed up to it.

The conversations happening right now, not within the ALAC but within what's called the GNSO, the generic support organization, we have ALAC and At-Large opportunity to be part of those workgroups. So, as you explore this meeting here in Seoul and you get a feel for this group is working on this, and this group is working on something else, we can find a pathway to get your voice into any of those interests. And we need your voice in as many of those interests as you have time and energy for.

With the RAA, what is important is that we've already had a very new review and a new document. But, as that was signed off on at the beginning of this year, it was recognized that it's an opportunity now to look at what might need to be changed to further improve protection for end users. And, when we're bringing many, many millions and, hopefully, billions of Asians online not just in a normal way but through mobile phones into these new GTLDs, the policies that are being built, the trust that our registrants can have that when they purchase their license for a domain name, even an existing one, let alone the new ones, that the person they've purchased it from will actually tell them it needs to be renewed, as opposed to put it up for auction and then say - How much will you pay me to keep it?

These are hugely important matters. They are very consumer matters. But, as more and more of us get involved, more and more of us are at risk, unless we have good policies in place. And it's the opportunity in the workgroups that we are all invited to to say right at the beginning why it is important-- why it is important to even think about if my name is hijacked, what happens to it. Okay? So it's not just malicious abuse. It's not all those things that assure that where there is a risk of the stability and the security internet. Technically, we all understand their problems. There's a soft side to some of this policy development as well. But, without that, than the billions of internet users from Asia could in fact be easy picking for the less honorable of those registries and resellers out there.

Pavan Budhrani: Just something that's come up from this Skype chat, Rajneesh Singh, who's actually on the call but can't speak up for some reason, just wanted to point out to Vivek that there already is ISOC Calcutta that exists. That's just what he wanted to say.

Cheryl Langdon-Orr: The question, because it has to go through to the microphone, or it doesn't go through--

V.C. Vivekanandan: (Unintelligible), or is it a little more detail (inaudible)?

Pavan Budhrani: I just asked on the Skype chat. I'll get more details from Rajneesh and let everyone know in due time then.

V.C. Vivekanandan: Okay.

Pavan Budhrani: Okay. Well, I just want to give a little update. On Monday, I went to the GTLD overview in the morning time. And the one concern that was there was-- I'm sure everyone here is aware of it-- is the time, as in like there's no definite time of when it's going to be done. The one thing that concerns Asia-Pacific, especially people when they draw business plans and stuff, they want to have it in a direct timeline. Like, okay, this is going to happen now, and then now-- like, maybe, one year or two years. And then they can (unintelligible). Internet now is developing in a new way. You get the domain name. You get that first, and then you start your own business. So if you can't get plan one, then how can you go (ph) to plan two. So that was one of the other concerns over there I wanted to share.

Maybe James can give an update about IDN or something.

James Sing: So, on the IDN, the good news is that, if everything goes well, we should be starting IDN CCTLD (unintelligible) on the 16th of November. That's when ICANN is then to open up the application for CCTLD operators-- (unintelligible) application, so doing the form.

There will be no agreement with the CCTLD. The prolonged debate between CCNSO and ICANN staff-- that took a long while. But they finally come to agreement that, instead of having a signed contract, they would have a permission or commitment of certain things that the CCTLD has to abide with in order to get delegation of the IDN CCTLD.

ICANN expects to have about 50-plus IDN CCTLDs-- sorry-- CCTLD operators that will apply for IDNs. So, hopefully, we should see some soon.

Separately, there is some issues with the number of (unintelligible), but it was not very extensive (ph) because the IDN CCTLD Fast Track. We're always in for the working group-- the (unintelligible) working group that ICANN formed a couple months ago. We're (unintelligible) the report, and, hopefully, we see soon.

But, from-- I think I'll wrap up from here.

Karaitiana Taiuru: Okay. Thank you, everybody.

Colin: Hello? This is Colin in the back here. I'm testing the volume on the bridge, and I'm in the interpretation booth (ph) in the back of the room.

Cheryl Langdon-Orr: Fine. We definitely heard that.

Karaitiana Taiuru: For the record, we have a number of people online who can't talk to us, including Hong, who--

Hong Xue: Hello, Karaitiana? Hello.

Karaitiana Taiuru: Hello, Hong. Please--

Hong Xue: Hi. Hello. Well, I'm so glad that I'm able to speak. I've been waiting for a very long time. Okay. I guess it's my turn to talk about IRT, the trademark issue, because it's very controversial. And it's also a topic, an issue, that Asia-Pacific At-Large structures have been very active on.

For IRT, we can see something positive happened in the third version of the AG (ph). But something that's also positive, comparing with original IRT final report, we can see one of the most controversial parts has been removed. That is the GPML, the global protection for trademark list. The reserve list of famous marks has been removed because it's really not within the mandate or mission of ICANN. That's quite positive.

And we can see that the sort of (unintelligible) is not in the text of the AG. However, I don't believe (unintelligible) has been removed. Instead, it's been incorporated into the part of the proposed RAA. And I feel the staff's version names that who is sitting (ph) will be-- maintain the status quo. And status quo is actually (unintelligible). So that part has not been really changed.

With respect to the three section (ph) that's been reserved, they are IP clearinghouse, URS, and a post-delegation DRP. For the third one, post-delegate DRP has been changed into a best practice. So it's not a mandatory one. I feel this is really good. In the middle list of ALAC, we can see some discussion about the backfire of this post-delegate DRP. Even though you successfully acquire a top-level string (ph), you can eventually be confiscated by somebody else through this DRP.

About the URS, for this part, I feel a lot of the community should still persistently oppose this mechanism. This is because this is not only a duplication of UDRP but also a kind of quazi trial. It's not a full trial. The full facts of the case will not be heard or taken into account by the adjudicative panel. So it could be really unfair to the registrants and to the users.

As for the IP clearinghouse, I still have a very big concern because this is still not very clear whether ICANN is going to maintain that or is going to distribute (ph) that one. Basically, it remains that there will be a list for the reference of the potential registries - for the applications - for them to consider. So whenever the mark has come into this pool (ph), it will be reverted back to the trademark owner. However, they have to contend with a complicated scenario of trademark law. And think about-- There could be hundreds of trademarks show as BOSS (ph) around the world. I don't know where the clearinghouse is going to store and which supporter is going to-- pointing to.

So these are the change from IRT final report to the DAG (unintelligible) trademark issues. Of course, all of these are proposals by the staff and always subject to comments and revision. And I hope our community, our region, would be active on this, even-- and unfortunately, I can't be with you. My heart is with you. So I'm happy to do whatever I could to advocate the interests for end users.

Okay. Back to you, Mr. Chair. I'm sorry for taking more time than I'm supposed to.

Karaitiana Taiuru: No. Thank you very much, Hong. As always, very appreciated. Izumi, did you want to say something?

Izumi Ays: Not only (inaudible). Just one minute or two. May I? Thank you.

Well, with James description of the IDN CCTLD Fast Track, we are very happy to see it happen. In Japan, a number of organizations agreed to host the selection process of the TLD manager, which means they're not the existing Dot.AP (ph) manager (technical difficulty) getting the operational function. But we'll have-- with consultation by the government.

And that means the CCTLD has been, in any country, like in Hong Kong, Dot.HK is the only one-- Dot.CN in China. But it can be a plural (ph) operation. And it's very complicated. But, for the consumers' or users' benefit, which one would you like?

And there are two different schools of thought. If you have two different operators with different UDRP or different policies about IDN and trademarks, it's very confusing to the consumer. That has some point. On the other hand, if you introduce the competition amongst two operators, you can choose for better service, better quality, and stuff like that.

Besides that, there is the geographic (unintelligible) under the new CCTLD. I was contacted the city government of Tokyo and also (unintelligible) government (inaudible). I heard that Taipei government may or may not want to operate that. Beijing and Shanghai (unintelligible) so far, but there's opportunity for that. And whether they will use the hanzi characters, (unintelligible), or the alphabet for Tokyo-- It remains in a good question.

Fortunately, the Tokyo city last to host Olympics so that there's less business opportunity globally. But, still, they're considering that.

And, even though they are the GTLDs, there's a lot of interrelation with the CCTLD in that it's certainly under the national sovereignty areas. And so things are getting much more complex, perhaps, with this new introduction. Thank you.

Karaitiana Taiuru:

Thank you, Izumi. And Vivek?

V.C. Vivekanandan:

I don't know whether Hong is still there (inaudible)? Are you still there, Hong? Hong, it's good you are here to motivate us. I'm personally motivated. So, from your thing (unintelligible), how do we go about that? Is it possible for me to work with you to get something-- a base for (unintelligible) on the issues what you had briefed? If she could hear it?

Hong Xue:

Oh, yes. Sorry. I'm a bit confused. I saw this question for Izumi. Oh, it's a question for me.

Oh, yes, indeed. Our professor (inaudible) so nice to give (inaudible). First of all, I guess, we should very actively participate in the GNSO working group in response to the board's request on the trademark issues. I guess it's a very good channel that could directly be connected to the board. But, of course, we don't know who will be the true representatives to join that privileged group. I hope you could be one of them, if possible.

Another channel that is our channel-- This is our domain name task force is going to have its founding meeting tomorrow. I cannot be there. So, well, Vivek, you have a great task to bring our message there. I still don't know which direction this task force will lead to. But, hopefully, the task force could be independent voice to advocate the interest of end users. We're not only defensively opposed to aggressive trademarks but, also, proposing positive solutions on behalf of the end users. So, hopefully, we can do from that tool our perspectives.

But, of course, I do understand your research, pertinent, could also be help to enlighten (ph) us. Okay. Back to you. Thanks.

Cheryl Langdon-Orr: Hong Xue here. If I may just speak to that for a moment, later on in the ALAC agenda, we will be attending to this particular matter-- that it is very important, and it's terribly sad, as you know, that you're not able to be at the Wednesday meeting. But I'm sure Vivek will be at the (unintelligible).

What is going to happen-- Assuming that the GNSO meeting does in fact go forward and put together this particular work team-- let's call it a work team-- there's another word for it.

Unidentified Participant: Hello? I don't know what happened to (unintelligible).

Cheryl Langdon-Orr: I have no idea, and it doesn't really matter. I can ignore all voices like that. Anyway, what is going to happen is the team you were describing that we very much want Vivek on the ground floor of from Wednesday, whilst it will be doing the work you outlined as an independent voice, as we did when we had to have just one person involved in the GNSO improvement requirements after Paris-- While we're limited to two people to-- Remember this is a GNSO group. So we just have to come as we're invited. As we did with having Allen on that first workgroup after Paris, we formed an ALAC team, which basically met between each one of their weekly meetings. So, we were able to feed directly in and feedback from the team's activities and put it into the workgroup. So what the ALAC will be proposing is that we have the work team act as, I guess, guidance or a support team directly for the two people who will be going on to the GNSO-- I'll call it a drafting team, but it's not a drafting team.

The reason that we will be selecting Olivier Crispin and Alan Greenberg (ph) is because of the time they'll be making the calls. It will be at about 2:00 a.m. in Vivek's day. And he certainly has made it very clear that he won't do calls after midnight. (technical difficulty), but what we can do is make sure that the work team calls-- the team that you just described has them at, say 0500 UTC or whatever definitely suits so that we make sure we do have an Asia-Pacific RALO voice in there.

Karaitiana Taiuru: Thank you. Just moving on through the agenda, Robert Hogarth is going to participate in our next phone conference to give us a brief on the G&E (ph). So we'll just skip to agenda item number five. And back to Cheryl.

Cheryl Langdon-Orr: You'd better tell me what agenda item number five is. Ah, yes. Process to select the At-Large voting board director. We've had-- And those of you who were in the room just before our meeting, we've had a number of community calls regionally and cross-regionally. We've had the face-to-face meeting today, and we had a preparatory meeting on Sunday, looking at the mechanism by which we believe as a community an At-Large voting director can be brought forward.

Very happy to report back to APRALO that our preferred option, which is an option where the 15-person At-Large advisory committee and each of the regions will form the electorate. And I think it's very, very important that we see regions as part of the electorate.

What this does mean for APRALO, however, is we need, assuming that 5(c) (ph) will be the preferred option, we will have complete consensus, and the board will approve it-- So, assuming all of that, we will need to start now, because we have a very short time course, to look at how as a community of Asia-Pacific ALSs we are going to look at

candidates - proposed candidates and, indeed, all the candidates for the candidate list when it happens because this particular 5(c) means that we'll have the opportunity to direct a regional vote not just have James vote, Vivek vote, and me vote. But there will be (technical difficulty) vote, and a regional vote can be directed. You need to find a mechanism to make sure every ALS' view can be bought in. That's going to take time. And I've got to say we need to do it in November. We've got to start very, very soon.

Karaitiana Taiuru: Any questions? Great. We'll move on to item number six. Cheryl, again.

Cheryl Langdon-Orr: Is it? How did I get the short straw in all of these things? Heavens above. Okay. Can I just say, James, it's really-- You do realize that once you've joined Vivek and I now, we're going to see more names than just Cheryl on this list very soon.

Okay. Sorry. Review of At-Large implementation plan. That was actually part of the previous meeting as well. I think it would be very useful, Heidi, if we can organize copies, look at that, as it's said it is done-- the current implementation plan for the changes that the board has agreed to on the report of the ALAC or about the ALAC from its review working group.

We need to make sure that as a region we are happy with this process and that the priorities of the process, as outlined, are what we want. There are a number of points in here that you'll see in red that have the word "RALO." And so, when that happens, we need to prepare to have that input.

V.C. Vivekanandan: Just to clarify to Hong that I'll keep a copy for you when you come day after tomorrow.

Karaitiana Taiuru: I'm not sure if Hong can hear us at the moment. We were just having some technical issues.

It's my turn to talk now for item number seven. Increasingly (inaudible) participation. I guess this has increasingly been an issue for APRALO. And we've tried various different avenues. I guess, at the end of the day, as an organization, we won't be able to increase the participation until we truly believe in what we're doing as APRALO. So, I guess, some things that we should be doing is asking ourselves what would we like to make different about APRALO. What would I like to make different that I could go and talk to my friends and my professional colleagues and put my hand on my heart and say - Yes, APRALO is definitely the organization that we need to have in our region?

So, I guess I'd like to think about that. And, please, just contact me about the suggestions and ideas. If you think we're doing something wrong as an organization, please, feel free to say. There will be no offense taken whatsoever. And, for me personally, I've contacted some of the ISOCs in our region. The majority of them have replied back now.

The question I often get asked is: Why should I join APRALO? That's a hard question to answer for some organizations. And, also, if there's any ways we can improve our awareness of APRALO, (technical difficulty). Any suggestions or comments on that?

V.C. Vivekanandan: Let me also tell you that, in my experience in the last one year as part of this and then, when I keep meeting a lot of people, they do know something about ICANN. But it's something called ICANN, and they think it is not connected with (unintelligible) business. So that's the kind of thing which I'm talking in a city like Bangalore, which is considered the Silicon Valley of India. There, itself, I found that, in spite of-- I'm just talking about a region specific. I don't know. Others can give inputs from their own regions.

So one of the methods is to not (unintelligible) and in that context (unintelligible). So, probably, if it's possible for us to create some kind of electronic newsletter, where we do some highlights a couple of months at once-- And I can help, at least from my region, to get a database of the potential people who'll get more involved, like the institutes of technology and law schools. We have got a good database. Simply, that's one way of asking them-- We need not much (ph) spend time on that. It can be a functional newsletter of certain issues and certain things what we put in. So maybe we start with the connect (ph) to APRALO. And then, from there onwards, it gets reflected. So that could be the important issue between two big regions like India and China (unintelligible), in a sense.

Karaitiana Taiuru: And, Heidi?

Heidi Ullrich: Thank you, K.T. For those of you who may not know me, my name is Heidi Ullrich, and I'm ICANN staff. I'm the Manager for At-Large Regional Affairs. And it's my very great pleasure to work with the regions and including APRALO.

I wanted to highlight that, tomorrow, your regional officer leaders, Karaitiana and Pavan, will be participating in the secretariat meeting, which brings all--

Unidentified Participant: (Inaudible).

Heidi Ullrich: Thank you. Thank you very much. -- which will bring together the regional officers from all five RALOs. And two of the very important items that they will be discussing-- The first one is a secretariat improvement document, which they have been the last several weeks-- have been revising and adding items to, including the issue of participation-- greater participation and engagement. So we will be discussing that tomorrow and approving that. So then we can move forward with the implementation of that.

The second very important issue that they will be discussing is relevant to the At-Large implementation outline. There is one sub-point on that which deals with the issue of educating and engaging ALSs as a key item. And they will be-- They have been asked by the Chair of ALAC to discuss that-- that issue - what some of the issues they would like to see in terms, for example, capacity building and educating-- of educational materials, et cetera, and then feed back to the wrap-up meeting on Thursday.

So, perhaps, if I could ask Karaitiana and Pavan to feed back on those discussions tomorrow at the next APRALO meeting, which will take place on the 24th of November.

Unidentified Participant: Thank you, Heidi. Thank you. I'd just like to raise the issue of membership, especially from the area which I know particularly well, and that's the Pacific Islands. I think, from the word go, we've had great difficulty getting people interested in At-Large organizations, mainly because the technology is not all that great. And people in the Pacific who use the internet are not particularly concerned about how it reaches them but they do have access to it. So that's been a difficult one. It's also been difficult to get members at the top level domain areas from the Pacific Islands.

So it's extremely difficult. You probably know yourself that there's hardly any Pacific Island representation that actually comes to these meetings. I'm able to come here because I'm also involved in the top level domain, so I sort of get funded along.

But I heard this morning some discussion about using universities and getting internet governance in their computer science courses. And I think that's an extremely good idea. Take, for example, the University of the South Pacific, which has centers in most Pacific

Islands. I'm not sure whether they do teach internet governance in computer science, but I'd like to find out and suggest that they do that.

But I can just point out that it's extremely difficult to get grass roots folk in the Pacific interested in groups such as this.

Karaitiana Taiuru: Thank you for that. And Heidi is going to speak very quickly again.

Heidi Ullrich: On the issue of Pacific Island participation in ICANN meetings and from across the Asia-Pacific region, I wanted to highlight that the fellowship program-- the deadline for the Nairobi meeting is the 6th of November. And I have heard from the manager of the fellowship program that ALSs-- current ALSs are applicable to apply. Now, again, that would be from the lower-middle-class and upper-middle-class economies and for developing countries. I'm just reading from the World Bank classification system, to be exact. But, also, it's current ALSs from those countries, as well as non-- people who would like to possibly become and ALD can apply to this ICANN fellowship.

Karaitiana Taiuru: Great. Thank you, Heidi. Next agenda item is strategic planning, with Cheryl.

Cheryl Langdon-Orr: It's actually strategic planning with ICANN. It's just Cheryl's going to speak to it.

It's a perfect segue because part of the thing that is happening right now in the ICANN cycle-- They do an annual strategic planning and then-- There's an operational plan and a budget ramification. Now, clearly, unless our regional thoughts are brought into the operational plan and the strategic planning process that says outreach is important in our region and why, we won't be able to when we come up with a good idea or want to make a particular-- that a regional meeting or perhaps our use of future podcasts or radio (unintelligible) or something be able to say we would like it, because the funds aren't going to be there. So we actually need to get the ideas on the table, recognizing these are not project plans and requests for project plans. But we need to say at a high level outreach is good and important and is best done in these ways in our region; therefore, can we have budgetary allocations to look at whatever it is.

Now, that's something that we have a very short amount of time to do because, at this meeting, the comments on the existing plan open. So we need as a region to look very quickly, or in short order, at what comes out of this meeting. Please attend the workshop that we'll go through it. And then come back to perhaps our next APRALO meeting with some firm concepts about where we think that's a good idea or a not so good idea or a high priority, a medium priority, or whatever.

I'll also at this point-- I'd like to remind those around the table who are perhaps newer, rather than some of the old stock life (ph) like Izumi and I, that one of the aims APRALO established for itself some time ago, when Raj was the Chair-- Raj, of course, is with us online but is not here in the room with us, unfortunately. The concept was that we would have at least one ALD per country in our region. I still think that's a laudable aim. And I think, for our strategic planning, if we do little else than work towards that objective-- that's a lot of work to do but that (b) would be a very, very exciting outcome.

There's going to be limitations. There's going to be difficulties. What we've heard from the Pacific Islands is very much one of those things. But, if we have a go at it and then identify where are the challenges, where are the difficulties, then look at the next cycle of ICANN strategic planning and say, if we've got a particular challenge, such as getting buy-in from small island state/nations around the Oceania area, what can the ICANN

strategic plan do. Perhaps outreach focused regionally in that area, talking to policymakers and governments might shift the interest in a different way.

I don't know what the answers are. I'm saying we need to go through an exercise to establish where there are opportunities, where there are challenges, and then feed into these systems perhaps some answers that meet Asia-Pacific's needs.

Oh, dear. Okay. And I will take a deep breath (technical difficulty) agenda item, which is-- I think I did cover, to some extent, the RAA when I was talking earlier about the opportunities here at the Seoul meeting but, also, ongoing with the GNSO (ph) work groups on RAA.

You've had a general introduction from me, so I shan't repeat that again. Now you are seeing me on bended knee. That's not literally, Hong. I'm not actually on bended knee, because, as you know, it would be difficult getting back up again at this time of the day. I am quite literally concerned not just at the lack of voices of ordinary registrants and end users in these work groups; I am devastated at the fact that I can't find anything but North American influence. And we as a region need to be hugely concerned about this.

So work groups are open. The RAA work groups are now RAA Part A and Part B. One of those is looking at what changes should we have in the RAA, high-level stuff. But it's going to be implementable. So, if you're the sort of person who likes to have task, line to run (ph), and reward, that's the one for you to go to. But, please, join us.

The other one is looking at something a little bit more nebulous (technical difficulty) would we like to have for registrants?

One's already going to have a direct line into possible next changes to contract. The other is looking at what type of registrant rights should we have enshrined.

So I think there should be plenty of opportunity for people to find something that fits their passion and fits their needs and fits their interest. But what I'm seeing is the same three people representing billions of internet users in all of these work groups. And, as vociferous and verbally dexterous as I am, I cannot claim to know the minds of you all. I would like to think that you would join me and give me your own views.

So, please, if you see a call for a work group and you're interested, don't wait to be asked. Just say - I'd like to do that. And it's easy to do so. Okay? The RAA is vital.

I should probably give this next one to Vivek, then, because I'm tired of hearing my voice.

Karaitiana Taiuru:

Just while we're having a little brief moment, I'd just like to pass on some apologies that we have. One from Siva (ph) from India. Due to a health reason, he can't attend. He dearly wanted to be here but was advised not to. And I'd also like to acknowledge that we have Itman (ph) and Raj online, who can't participate verbally.

And now we have Vivek for agenda item number ten.

V.C. Vivekanandan:

Okay. I'll try to mimic Cheryl's voice, if she's tired. I'll just give a brief about ALAC recent and upcoming activities off this session what we are really having. And I think we had a whole-day opening session on Sunday, which very closely looked at GTLD issues, which has been taken up in the later sessions. And they come through very finely section-

wise and, you know, certain improvements-- what to be presented as being made on Sunday.

And then you had yesterday various meetings which ALAC members have been assigned to. And I was in person at the registrant-variant (ph) sessions. And some of the sessions were remarkably conducted in terms of participation yesterday.

And today, again, we had a very long meeting-- a real long meeting, I would call it. And what do you call it? It's very (unintelligible) to minorities because breakfast/lunch is okay for all, but smokers don't have a break here.

Unidentified Participant: (Inaudible).

V.C. Vivekanandan: Wow. Very paternalistic; I agree. (Unintelligible). So today I had a very long meeting, very interesting sessions, with-- I spell it correctly-- SIC members, because it has been pointed out again and again. Again, we went through a lot of issues raised today. And we also had a session on (unintelligible) today, and a very interesting presentation was done. And doubts were clarified on that. And then we are into our own meeting of APRALO.

And, following that-- the next two days, if you will look at it, tomorrow, again, various ALAC members have been assigned to participate in various things and then were asked to directly put their input-- already their inputs to the (unintelligible) page. That is what is scheduled for tomorrow. Of course, the other (unintelligible) part is always there in the evening-- tomorrow evening and then-- you know, today evening and then tomorrow evening. And I think that Cheryl is the best person to talk about that.

And then that is going to wind up on the last day, where we are going to come back and then report and have (inaudible) meeting.

Cheryl Langdon-Orr: In terms of the fun, the only thing I think I should mention to anyone that is going to the gala and who wasn't at the end of our lunchtime meeting for the housekeeping is that it is quite a distance to the event. And the traffic is terrible at this time of day. The shuttles will be leaving every five minutes from 5:30 on. So it's sort of go early or go very late, I think, is the option.

Unidentified Participant: Having been to the National Museum of Seoul, you may be arriving early if the traffic is not bad. It's just not that far. But then you can enjoy seeing all the nice and great treasures of the culture of Korea. And so, if you have nothing to do, then jump onto the bus earlier.

Unidentified Participant: It's a bad traffic time in general. But there's a lane that is designated only for the buses. So we may be able to just (inaudible).

Karaitiana Taiuru: Well, I'd like to ask Pavan (ph) to introduce our NetMission team (inaudible).

Pavan Budhrani: Okay. Actually, there's nothing to introduce. They're here. They're ready. They have their introduction right over there as well. So they're good to go. The good thing about-- It will be a way to look at how youngsters feel about the internet and how they look at it. Sorry; not youngsters-- the younger generation. My bad. All right. So they're ready.

Richard: (Unintelligible). And it's my pleasure in joining APRALO here in this lovely country. So, basically, my name is Richard, and I'm, together with NetMission (unintelligible) with Ada, Evelyn, and also Matthew.

So here we are. We are joined-- We are introducing a very short introduction about the NetMission DotAsia.

So, actually, who we are-- We are the-- how to say-- This presentation is from ambassadors to you. So we would like to use our NetMission to inspire you to have your own NetMission.

So, what is our aim? There are three steps, actually. The first step is all about (unintelligible), as well as (unintelligible). We would like to raise the awareness-- the public awareness of these issues, and we would like to make our own (unintelligible) in this world.

And so, why are we so special? Well, we are independent, and we are nonprofit-making (ph). So we are not driven by any kind of (unintelligible). We are volunteers, and we are joining this organization because we wanted to make internet (unintelligible).

So, we are fresh, we are young, and we are university students. We don't know anything about ICANN, IGS, all these kind of things yet. So we are-- I'm sure we are the fresh experience, and our (unintelligible) are very fresh to these kind of organizations. And we are very well equipped to (unintelligible) many, many mentors from different kind of organizations. They have taught us what it is happening now in the internet industry. And I think we have a very comprehensive, supporting network, even though we are in Hong Kong supported by (unintelligible) organization. But there are some colleges, universities, governments, NGOs, and a lot of MPOs supporting us.

So the road so far. What we have experienced is-- Well, we have, of course, our inauguration ceremony. We have to use all our efforts in this NetMission and to push this NetMission from Hong Kong to the whole of Asia and to each one of you.

And, of course, the training is tough, but we still have fun together. And you couldn't believe that we have already got our own exhibition booth in the Hong Kong Exhibition Center already. So we are promoting to the public in a very large scale in Hong Kong. And we are starting, and we are ready to move it into a global scale.

And this is our community project. We would like to do something by our hands to the lowest level of the societies-- So, in this picture, I am teaching a kid about how to use the internet properly by not just playing with all our games but using some very useful education Websites. So, besides me, there is her parents, and they are just listening very carefully. We are really want to do something but get our hands dirty, because we would like to do something by our hands.

So, about our community project, it's really about our own 23 (unintelligible), including the (unintelligible), youth, elderly, and housewives. So we have four teams into focus, and we have four different community projects. Some of them are already achieved, and some of them are undergoing.

Unidentified Participant: (Inaudible).

Richard: They are already very familiar with those kind of computers. Yes. We are serving the cyber underprivileged. And we also attend a lot of international conferences: the APN, GAP (ph), and, of course, this ICANN. And we are going to the IGS (ph), as well as Africa, to learn more from the mentors and the experts from the industry. And we would like to use our own voice to listen your voice and to create a new and greater voice.

So, from Asia, to world, to you. So, now, three of our ambassadors will introduce what we actually (inaudible).

Evelyn:

Hello, everyone. And I'm Evelyn from Hong Kong Junior University. And I'm in charge of the workshop and (unintelligible) we joined in the previous month. And, first, about the (unintelligible), we joined it in August. And during the meeting, we heard about (unintelligible) and about the current issues in the digital world, such as GTLD and the CCTLD.

And, besides, we researched IBM scientific and information center and gave a presentation to them about our commercial project. And, also, we researched the (unintelligible) in Beijing and mixed with the scholars. And we have (unintelligible) with them on the internet development in China during that visit.

And, from this trip, we gained a brighter perspective about the latest situation in China and the internet. And, from the internet development and also (unintelligible) application. And we also learned some current issues in the digital world from the APNet meeting, such as the ITD (ph) and the GTLD.

And, last, we learned from the Beijing trip is that we know more about the motherland during the cultural exchange (inaudible).

And one more thing. We have already shared our APNet meeting our experience and our real-- attributable to Dot-- NetMission DotAsia. And 16 of 23 of us have blogs in that Website so that you can regularly (unintelligible) and have a look about our interests and situation.

And, for the IG workshop, it was held in the July with a three-day internet governance workshop. And in that workshop, the focus-- (Unintelligible) and scholars are involved, and they share much experience and discuss some scenario (unintelligible), like the (unintelligible) on the internet and the (unintelligible) spam in China. And the workshop also have a series of discussions on the (unintelligible), open source, and the internet (unintelligible). And we know more about the digital world and the controversial issues in the internet governance workshop. And we realize that even a regular internet user-- he or she is affected by the internet governance issues. And we gained clear concept about internet governance from the workshop. Thank you.

Ada:

So now I may introduce two of our community projects to you. And the first project is the project from the youth group, the cyber (unintelligible). As was mentioned by Richard just now, we go to the families-- the families for Hong Kong residents to teach both the parents and the youth about how to use internet correctly.

Actually, the program is conducted together with Hong Kong Federation of Youth Groups. And that's one of the largest youth committees in Hong Kong. About 21 families are involved in this program.

And, as you can see from the pictures just now, we not only teach them how to use internet correctly; we also help them to install cyber (unintelligible). We also discuss or promote the idea of (unintelligible) to them.

And why do we want to conduct this program? There are two reasons. The first one is the scheme is actually an observatory experiment to test the community response of this program. Our larger program will be launched in the next few years, which will recruit about 300 youth (unintelligible) from high schools in Hong Kong. And with the proposals

and education (unintelligible) generated by our ambassadors, the (unintelligible) will be trained to carry out the palette scheme (ph) to around 1,000 families in Hong Kong.

And this is our achievements. As mentioned by me just now, the education (unintelligible). This is a book to teach the families how to use internet. And the cyber CIY (ph) Website-- This is a Website created by our youth group. And we will teach the youth volunteers how to promote the (unintelligible) safety ideas to the families. And, also, the volunteer guidance book. This is a guidance book to tell you how to make a call to the family and to make (unintelligible).

And you may ask-- This is a second program from the kids (ph) group. In the kids group - This is similar to the youth groups. You can (unintelligible) kids and the youth. They are both family-based users. This is different from the adults because their usage of the internet is controlled by their parents. And that's why lots of the family arguments are raised. And after our investigation, we found that (unintelligible) kids in Hong Kong-- they know how to use the internet, but they don't know how to use internet effectively because, for them, it not only means entertainment (inaudible). And that's why we want to start our next project.

On the cyber carnival, we want to provide a more interesting approach for the kids and the parents. And, through-- Still in these carnivals we will tell the-- we will teach the children-- We will demonstrate usage of the internet such as how to do photo editing and how to use search engine. And we want to tell the kids that internet has more functions rather than entertainment. And we want to tell the parents that internet is not the origin of evils. It's the way how you use the internet or the time levels and the time you spend in internet that really matters.

And Matthew will tell you more about another two projects.

Matthew:

Hello, everyone. Matthew. And I come from Senior University of Hong Kong. Now I'm going to introduce what kind of community project the housewives group and the elder group are doing these recent months.

First, for the middle-aged women group, before we conduct these projects, we do some research on the internet. There are about 3 million middle-aged women in Hong Kong. And the middle-aged women are sometimes considered as the housewife. She maybe takes care of the whole family; generally, maybe one husband and two kids and two elderly in Hong Kong. And most of them do not know how to use the computer. But they said they want to learn it. But few of them really did it.

Why they didn't-- Why they do not really learn how to use the computer? First, some of them, because they cannot afford a computer. And another reason is the (unintelligible) training course provided by the societies are expensive and not suitable for housewives. And the third reason is that they're so busy. You know, life in Hong Kong in very busy. And the most important problem, I think, is, even they have access to the computer, they do not know how to use input method (inaudible).

So when we conduct these projects, we run our workshops to train a middle-aged woman how to use the computer, mainly focus on how to use-- (unintelligible) input method called Quick (ph). Actually, it's a simple program that maybe you learn in one day. Then you can use it generally for communication with people on the internet. And we focus on each district-- on each station (ph) in Hong Kong. And about ten women (unintelligible) one session. And you can see here some photos where we are doing this community project.

And I think the feedback from the target women and the society now are very good. We are trying to approach some NGOs in Hong Kong to make this project bigger-- maybe recruit more volunteers to make a bigger project and try to help them, because when we are doing this project, we found there are some social problems-- other social problems related to those housewives, such as family violence. Yeah. So we are trying to use the internet method to help them in the future and maybe-- Because they are to talk about it. Yeah. So maybe through internet through some other NGO community to (unintelligible) internet.

And for elderly people, (unintelligible) problem among elderly people is serious. Most of the old people do not know how to use computers. And there's no such social awareness because people usually think that the older people do not use computers. They all live (ph) happy. But, actually, the older people want to communicate with people on the internet and do not want to feel apart from the world. They want to get in the internet communication.

And another problem is no statistic data available about the issue now. And we conduct a project that works with the cyber senior. It's an NGO organization (unintelligible) older people. And we teach the older people how to use computers in something like video chatting. You know, they want to talk with their family far away from them. Yeah. And we teach them how to design a Webpage and flash development.

But what makes us embarrassing is we found some older people even know more technical skills than us. When we were doing this project, I found that there are two different groups of elder people. Some of them know the computer well. But some of them know nothing about the computer and the internet.

So we conduct a survey to collect the opinion from the older people towards the internet. And now the 300 questionnaires have been collected and another 700 questionnaires will be distributed later. And, because this project is just conducted by five volunteers-- five or six volunteers, it's always a huge job for them. And the results now-- At this stage, we have found that from the data we got from the questionnaire that most elderly people just use computer for entertainment and not on linking to the world. And most of the elderly people have difficulty reading the text on the computer monitor. Maybe the monitor is too small. Maybe there is something with the Webpage. They should develop another interface for the old people to do maybe a big text.

And for the future plan of this group, we will collect the last 700 questionnaires and do the data manipulation later and maybe generate a (inaudible) report with clear graphs and release the final results to the public to raise (ph) awareness towards the digital divide among old people. And, based on the results, we'll keep on working with cyber senior, this organization, and do more projects.

And thank you for listening. We have finished all the four projects. The NetMission and that program is (unintelligible) an entity. And we are young, we are independent, we are passionate. And please support us in the future and have your own NetMission in your mind.

Cheryl Langdon-Orr:

Not a problem at all, Richard. We can multitask here. All disasters have been averted. Richard, and particularly to the last point, there are a number of organizations which many of the ALSs that are within APRALO would be doing or thinking about similar things. I'm wondering, K.T., if it might be a good place for us in our wiki somewhere to perhaps have a portal where we can-- or just do some (technical difficulty) or something,

so the excellent work that you're doing and the good ideas that are happening can be shared more widely and vice versa.

For example, some place a little similar to your senior group that you're working with-- the last lot you've been reporting to, cyber seniors or whatever-- cyber seniors. We have a nationally federated group in Australia which has many hundreds of senior computer clubs. And they've done national research. So it would be really interesting if your people could look at the research that's being done and do comparisons. It's just using the tools to share.

So, if that's welcome, I think that's something perhaps K.T. and APRALO could look at. It's certainly something I'd be endorsing anyway.

Unidentified Participant: I'm so touched by your presentation. You'll recall, Cheryl, in Mexico, I made a comment about how ICANN's definition of users should be greatly expanded. And they should not limit to current users. But future, potential users, and these presentations demonstrate how valuable that definition is. And I know you kept saying 1.5 (unintelligible) and counting. And that counting is a key word. And so I'm so pleased. Thank you.

Unidentified Participant: I just wanted to, first off, congratulate you for an excellent presentation. Thank you very much. I do have my card, so I'm going to chase you out of the room. So you can apply for an At-Large structure. I just wanted to-- As I'm dealing with all of the RALOs in ICANN, I just wanted to highlight that your RALO-- we've had recently an ALS approved for e-seniors, who are doing very similar work that you are for the elderly. So you could get in touch with them.

Also, increasingly, several of our ALSs in APRALO in Africa are now also working with the youth. They're developing brochures on their own to work with the youth in terms of the elementary, as well as the secondary students. So, again, you might want to broaden your horizons not only with APRALO and all the work there but also globally as well. Thank you.

Unidentified Participant: I'd just like also to join in thanking you and commenting. And, also, one fact that DotAsia is your sponsor. And it indicates a very good proper use of the funding, which the users of the DotAsia sort of contributed. And I invited Edmon, who just left the room, to our meeting last month in Tokyo for the (unintelligible) thing. This is a very good example of how the public interest can be further expanded within the internet space and more. I really appreciate you guys. Thank you.

Karaitiana Taiuru: I'm not too sure what else I could add from what our members have said. But I'm truly humbled and excited to see young people who are so motivated and creative in such a wide scope to cover everything. It's truly humbling.

Cheryl Langdon-Orr: (Inaudible).

Karaitiana Taiuru: It certainly is. And, also, I know that within APRALO we have some quite well experienced leaders - Izumi, Cheryl, and Hong, who was on the internet before. I'm sure they'd all be happy to talk to you and-- Yeah. Use their minds.

Cheryl Langdon-Orr: Or what's left of it.

Karaitiana Taiuru: Make the most of it while it's still around. I also hope that the work that you're doing can be duplicated in other countries.

Cheryl Langdon-Orr: (Inaudible) NetMission.

Karaitiana Taiuru: Exactly, and especially in the Pacific. As we heard before, a lot of people don't look at the internet as being to a central (ph)--

And I guess I haven't been around that long, but I've seen motivated individuals who just turn up for one conference, like the AP&G (ph) last year. And you never see them ever again until maybe the next AP&G. It's exciting that you're going to all the different conferences whether they might be boring or exciting.

Cheryl Langdon-Orr: Boring? (Inaudible)?

Karaitiana Taiuru: Of course ICANN conferences aren't boring. Yeah. I just wish you guys all the best of luck for the future. I've got this feeling that we're going to be seeing each other a lot more and seeing each other's names.

Cheryl Langdon-Orr: And we look forward to the ALD (inaudible).

Karaitiana Taiuru: Most definitely. Great idea. So, on behalf of APRALO, thank you again. Thank you.

Okay. Thank you, everybody, for your time. We're a little bit over schedule. So--

Unidentified Participant: That's APRALO's style.

Karaitiana Taiuru: Yeah. We never run on time. Okay. Thank you for your time, everybody. And, yeah, we'll talk to you throughout the meetings.