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- RfP widely advertised on professional websites worldwide
- 8 proposals received, from USA, Russia, New Zealand, Senegal, France, South Africa
### Selection criteria known in advance by bidders
- Selection panel (4 people)
- Final selection endorsed by Structural Improvements Committee

**And the winner is....** Items International (FR)

They scored first under the criteria Qualification of bidder (22/25), Proposed methodology and tools (31/40), and Financial offer (17.5/20). They scored second under the criterion Understanding of the assignment (22/25)
Independent review: milestones

• Mid November: start of review – kick off(s)
• Inception: document analysis, phone interviews, survey
• March 2010, Nairobi – f2f interviews, validation
• Early May 2010 – draft final report
• Second half May 2010 – final report
• June 2010, Brussels – presentation final report
• Public consultation
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