

A RESTful Web Service for Whois

Andy Newton Chief Engineer, ARIN

My Background on Whois

- Prototyped an LDAP alternative to Whois (RFC 3663)
- Principal author of CRISP (IRIS) documents

 RFC 3707, RFC 3981, RFC 3983, RFC 4698, RFC 4991, RFC 4992, RFC 4993, RFC 5144
- Worked with principal authors of Rwhois and Whois++ at VeriSign
- Most recently driver behind ARIN's Whois RESTful Web Service

Basics of All Protocols







American Registry for Internet Numbers

IRIS



The Control part is specific to the Data part.

American Registry for Internet Numbers



WHOIS/NICNAME



The Control part specifies nothing about the Data part.



RESTful Web Services



The Control part enables richness in the Data part.



Why a RESTful Web Service?

- I18N support
- referrals
- security
- ... see (RFC 3707)

• Leads to the following conclusion...

We Need to go beyond Port 43

The thin veneer of the NICNAME/WHOIS protocol does not allow for much expansion without a lot of work and complexity.



Non-Port 43 Solutions

- Rwhois
 - Problem specific technology
 - Only used by a subset of the ARIN community
- Whois++
 - Focuses on distributed indexes
- LDAP
 - Widely used in Intranets, not the Internet
- IRIS

- Requirements by lawyers, design by committee
- RESTful Web Services (RWS)
 - Simple reuse of web technologies

What is **REST**?

- Representation State Transfer
- As applied to web services
 - defines a pattern of usage with HTTP to create, read, update, and delete (CRUD) data
 - "Resources" are addressable in URLs
- Very popular protocol model
 Amazon S3, Yahoo & Google services, ...



How is this Useful to WHOIS?

- POC, ORG, NET, ASN resources have URLs that you can cut & paste
- Gives a very simple programmatic API into WHOIS data
- Compared to NICNAME TCP/43:
 - Better inputs and queries

- More meaningful array of outputs
- Uses HTTP infrastructure (e.g. caches)

Where can more information on REST be found?



- RESTful Web Services
 - O'Reilly Media
 - Leonard Richardson
 - Sam Ruby

Applicability to ICANN Whois

- This is a "framework" useful to ICANN/ Registries/Registrars
 - Not an out-of-the-box solution
 - Somebody has to decide how it is used
- But...
 - Well within the mainstream of modern Internet communications (i.e. not hard to find programmers who understand it)
 - As the RIRs are showing, it is easy to apply to the Internet Infrastructure space

Status of Services

- ARIN
 - Full Production as of July 2010
 - Our RESTful provisioning service goes operational in a few days
- RIPE NCC
 - Announced their RESTful proxy to Whois March 2010
 - Now in production
- APNIC
 - Has been using RESTful services internally for years

The BIG Advantage of REST

- Easily understood
 - Any modern programmer can incorporate it
 - Can look like web pages
- Re-uses HTTP in a simple manner
 - Many, many clients

- Other HTTP advantages
- This is why it is very, very popular with Google, Amazon, Yahoo, Twitter, Facebook, YouTube, Flickr, ...

What does it look like?

It is a standard URL. Go ahead, put it into your browser.

Addressable URLs

- Mark Kosters http://whois.arin.net/rest/poc/KOSTE-ARIN
- ARIN (the organization) http://whois.arin.net/rest/org/ARIN
- ARIN's autonomous systems numbers http://whois.arin.net/rest/org/ARIN/asns
- ARIN's POCs

http://whois.arin.net/rest/org/ARIN/pocs

ARIN-HOSTMASTER's networks

http://whois.arin.net/rest/poc/ARIN-HOSTMASTER/nets

Searches

- Same capabilities as port 43, but they can be refined
- Organizations by name <u>http://whois.arin.net/rest/orgs;name=ARIN</u>
- Organizations starting with "ARIN" http://whois.arin.net/rest/orgs;name=ARIN*
- Mark Kosters by first and last name <u>http://whois.arin.net/rest/pocs;first=Mark;last=Kosters</u>

Outputs

• XML

- Computers can easily digest XML

 With stylesheets, you can transform XML to pretty, user-friendly web pages

American Registry for Internet Nu

- JSON
- (your choice here)

Machine Readable & Pretty

Clients are Ubiquitous

- One of the problems with a non-port 43 solution is "Who will write the client software?"
- With RWS, your web browser is a client.
- Command line clients:
 - Curl, wget, xmllint, etc...
- Embedded clients:

Slide 22

- Libcurl, libraries for Perl, PHP, Java, etc...

Applying RESTful Web Services to Whois simply re-uses all the web infrastructure we have been using for years.

The Future Enabled: Caching

- Addressable URLs make HTTP caching work with WHOIS data
- Useful for automated security analysis

 For ARIN, 99% of WHOIS queries are IP address lookups

The Future Enabled: Referrals

NetName:	ARIN-2610	
NetHandle:	NET6-2610-1	
NetType:	Allocated to Big Network Provider	
RegDate:	2005-11-17	
Updated:	2009-09-14	
CIDR:	2620::/23	
Ref:	http://arin.net/rest/net/NET6-2610-1	
HolderRef:	http://example.com/rest/net/NET6-2610-1	

Not just for Orgs

SI

 Nats 			1
	NetHandle:	NET6-2610-1	
• $POCs$	NetType:	Allocated to Customer	
	CIDR:	2620::/23	
• Etc	Comment:	Problems?	
	Commnet:	Contact our NOC +1-202-555-1212	
			TNT
ide 25			
		American Registry	for Internet Numbers

The Future Enabled: Auth*

Log In			
This site is asking you to login. Please provide your username and password.			
Domain: whoisrws-demo.arin.net			
Realm: JBoss JMX Console			
Username:	1		
Password:			
	Cancel Log In		

- Authentication allows tiered Authorization
 - Policies no longer need to assume all or nothing

The Future Enabled: Versioning

- With standard HTTP headers, we can version our output
 - Changes the data model with as little disruption as possible

GET /rest/poc/DUDE1-ARIN HTTP/1.0 Accept: application/arin.whoisrws-v1+xml

You always get the latest if you don't specify

What Would It Take?

- Just saying "do RWS" is not enough.
- A "standard" is needed
 - Define the proper URL patterns
 - Define extensible output
 - DREG could be used as a starting point
 - Make it more flexible
 - Switch to RelaxNG or other schema language
 - Define pattern for referrals
 - HTTP referrals and/or embedded links

REST is Easy

- Re-use the web technologies
- Define patterns
- Use definitions you already have
- Done!

What We Set Out To Do

- ARIN's problem wasn't with Whois.
- We needed a fundamental change in our data model to accommodate better zone delegation management and DNSSEC in the reverse DNS.
 - Our legacy Whois would need to be completely rewritten.
 - So we felt if it had to be completely rewritten, then we should do more than a simple rewrite.

Technical Approach

- We wanted to reuse our new web infrastructure
 - Original RWS technology demonstrator took me a couple of weeks to implement as a side project
- Our Port 43 server would be a proxy into the RWS

Level of Effort

• Once greenlighted

- Single senior developer for a couple of months
- Then a small team for a couple of months
- But we had non-protocol problems to solve as well
 - Added in a new near-realtime replication system
 - Developed geometric search system to add CIDR search capabilities
 - Non-trivial amount of time was spent trying to make Port 43 service as backward compatible as possible

Adoption

- Before we even got to production...
 - Several people started using the public pilot to reconcile their records with ours programmatically
 - Somebody wrote a Flash application against our service
- After release...
 - Hard to tell what real adoption of RWS is because right after release our query rates skyrocketed
 - We added a psuedo-resource called "PFT" to help web browser users
 - Our previous stats indicated little use of port 80 Whois
 - Demand now for a RESTful provisioning interface... to be released real soon now

Conclusion

- ARIN's Whois-RWS:
 - http://whois.arin.net
 - Technical questions for all our services can be directed to <u>arin-tech-discuss@arin.net</u>.
- Q&A

