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1. Statistical Survey
Background to the survey

MOTIVATION
There is a lack of centralised and formalised data in the CENTR community relating to basic ccTLD statistics.

OBJECTIVES OF THE SURVEY
• To contribute to more formalised structure of statistics in the community allowing for a better visualization of the evolution of our industry.
• To allow for a benchmark on differing metrics to aid members in making business decisions.
• To highlight any trends emerging in our sector
• To show possible strengths and weakness’
Examples of potential use of data
1. Internal reports for full members only
2. Public reports on specific topics (IDNs, DNSSEC etc) such as CENTR Issue Papers
3. Newsletters
4. Background/additional support data.
5. Contribution to CENTR database (centralising European ccTLD data)
6. To make a distinction from A Level survey

The Survey:
- 53 questions on: domain counts, registry budget allocations, domain holder information, registrar market shares, registry growth and expected growth, pricing structures and much more.
- 29 registries responded
22 ccTLD Registries representing more than 32 million domains
Largest movements were:

.me with 5-10% growth in 2010 and expecting 20-25% in 2011
.ru with 20-25% growth in 2010 and expecting 10-15% in 2011
Breakdown of domain holder type

Average of Private holders
Average of Business holders

Total Breakdown of domain holder type over all respondents

Private holders
Business holders
Budget Allocations Average

**Other Budget allocations include:** policy, security, internal governance, business development, other office admin, HR, Travel expense
Other metrics for benchmarking

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>2010</th>
<th>2008</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>REGISTRY</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Average (median) % of parked domains</td>
<td>6.1%</td>
<td>6.6%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Country holding most amount of a ccTLD domain after members country residents</td>
<td>Germany</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Average Renewal Rate (2009)</td>
<td>78.7%</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>PRICING AND REGISTRATION</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Average price of a direct registration</td>
<td>40 EUR</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Average Registrar price of a domain (1 year)</td>
<td>10.2 EUR</td>
<td>16.5EUR</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>% of members offering volume discount</td>
<td>18%</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>% of members offering direct registration</td>
<td>45%</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>REGISTRANT</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Average % of domains held by 10 largest registrants of a member</td>
<td>2.5%</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Average Domains per registrant</td>
<td>2.15</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>% of private domain holders</td>
<td>35%</td>
<td>37.2%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

2008 data based on CENTR A-Level Survey and ccTLD statistics report
Stats on demand

- Objective: to provide ad hoc statistical charts, tables, comparisons etc upon member request.
- Examples:
  - member would like to see their growth rate in a given period shown graphically along with their peers according to registry size.
  - member would like to see the number of employees they have compared with their peers (geographical or registry size)
2. ccTLD as Intermediary?
Mounting pressure on ccTLD operators

- Growing pressure from different actors to ask ccTLDs to become more (pro)active in the fight against cybercrime.
  - European Parliament (fight against child abuse)
    - Equalling ccTLDs and ISPs
  - National Governments (Phishing)
    - Request to block a site without court order
  - Council of Europe (fundamental rights)
    - Free speech protection
  - Law Enforcement
    - Whois access
Mounting pressure on ccTLD operators

- What can we do?
  - Educating regional, national and local authorities
  - Explain the difference between gTLDs and ccTLDs
    - ICANN whois obligations for gTLDs

- Current status Whois Access for LE
  - Out of 25:
    - 24 have current processes to assist national LE
    - 15 provide conditional access – 10 unconditional
    - 25 enforce T&Cs that terminate an agreement based on false whois info
THE END!

Thanks for listening