Joint GAC  ccNSO Meeting

ICANN Singapore

22 June 2011
Agenda

- Identification of shared GAC and ccNSO priorities
- ccNSO Perspectives on ICANN Strategic and Operating Planning
- Update from Framework of Interpretation Working Group
- Names of Countries and Territories Study Group Update
- Impact of new Territory (Geo) gTLDs on ccTLDs
- The GAC / ccNSO Liaison Committee
- ccNSO update on Security Stability Resilience (SSR)
- Any other issues?
Shared GAC and ccNSO priorities

<GAC Chair and ccNSO Chair>
ccNSO Perspectives on ICANN SOP

<Roelof Meijer, ccNSO>

• Comments on 2012 Ops Plan – highlights:
  – Concerns about lack of clarity, description of specific goals, measurable objectives
  
  – Concerns about spiraling costs, 13% expenditure increase compared to 6.5% increase revenue
  
  – Concerns about calculation, height (US$ 10,3mio) and increase (7%) of ccTLD costs w/o explanation
  
  – Concerns about 21% increase of costs of IDN ccTLD requests
  
  – Concerns about height and increase of costs of professional services (with gTLD launch US$ 50mio, 50% of budget)
  
  – Concerns about lack of (financial) management information
FOIWG Update

<Keith Davidson, ccNSO and Frank March, GAC>

• Multi-constituency composition

• Work plan and timeline

• Methodology

• Meeting Thursday 14:00 – 17:00 (Olivia Room)
Names of Countries and Territories Study Group

<Bart Boswinkel, ICANN>
Impact of Geo gTLDs on ccTLDs

Ørnulf Storm, Norwegian GAC-representative
Annebeth Lange, Norwegian ccTLD .no

• National sovereignty and geographical names
  • Subsidiarity principle
• Focus on support/non-objection and post delegation
  • Support empower Governments to set terms and conditions according to national policy
  • Non-objection – give up influence?
• Influence on ccTLDs dependent on which solution the government choose
• Still → Geo gTLDs hybrids where ICANN policy must be followed to a large extent
GAC / ccNSO Liaison Committee

<Keith Davidson>

- ccNSO Charter constitutes for the Liaison Committee

- Would appreciate understanding of GAC expectations, e.g.
  - The joint meeting agenda setting?
  - Some more significant degree of liaison?
Security Stability Resilience

<Paul Szyndler, ccNSO>

• Recent ICANN comment period on FY2012 SSR framework
• ccNSO Council lodged comments on 7 June.
• Points of concern:
  • Suboptimal consultation timeframe (approx. 1 month)
  • Need better engagement and consultation:
    • SSR accounts for $12m or 17% of ICANN’s overall budget
    • SSR is a relatively new work area for ICANN
    • Allay stakeholder concerns and use their expertise and experience
  • Recommended better preparation, planning and communication
    • “Environmental scan” of Internet security ecosystem
    • Strategic planning, gap and impact analyses, benchmarks, objectives, milestones.
• Definitional clarifications:
  • e.g. “DNS Operations”, “capacity-building”
  • Work areas like “Whois Internationalised Registration Data”, “IDN variant case studies” and “Technical evolution of Whois”.
Other / Emerging Issues
Links

• SOPWG  http://ccnso.icann.org/workinggroups/sopiwg.htm
• FOIWG  http://ccnso.icann.org/workinggroups/foiwg.htm
• ccNSO GAC  http://ccnso.icann.org/workinggroups/gacwg.htm

• Norway geoTLDs  www.norid.no/nytt/arbgr-nyetoppdomener-sluttrapport.en.html

• Security Stability Resilience  http://ccnso.icann.org/node/24807