Attachment to Module 2

Evaluation Questions and Criteria

Since ICANN was founded 10 years ago as a not-for-profit, multi-stakeholder organization, one of its key mandates has been to promote competition in the domain name market. ICANN's mission specifically calls for the corporation to maintain and build on processes that will ensure competition and consumer interests – without compromising Internet security and stability. This includes the consideration and implementation of new gTLDs. It is ICANN's goal to make the criteria and evaluation as objective as possible.

While new gTLDs are viewed by ICANN as important to fostering choice, innovation and competition in domain registration services, the decision to launch these coming new gTLD application rounds followed a detailed and lengthy consultation process with all constituencies of the global Internet community.

Any public or private sector organization can apply to create and operate a new gTLD. However the process is not like simply registering or buying a second-level domain name. Instead, the application process is to evaluate and select candidates capable of running a registry, a business that manages top level domains such as, for example, .COM or .INFO. Any successful applicant will need to meet published operational and technical criteria in order to preserve Internet stability and interoperability.

I. Principles of the Technical and Financial New gTLD Evaluation Criteria

- Principles of conservatism. This is the first round of what is to be an ongoing process for the introduction of new TLDs including Internationalized Domain Names. Therefore, the criteria in this round require applicants to provide a thorough and thoughtful analysis of the technical requirements to operate a registry and the proposed business model.
- The criteria and evaluation should be as objective as possible.
 - With that goal in mind, an important objective of the new TLD process is to <u>diversify</u> the namespace, with different registry business models and target audiences. In some cases, criteria that are objective, but that ignore the differences in business models and target audiences of new registries, will tend to make the process exclusionary. For example, the business model for a registry targeted to a small community need not possess the same robustness in funding and technical infrastructure as a registry intending to compete with large gTLDs. Therefore purely objective criteria such as a requirement for a certain amount of cash on hand will not provide for the flexibility to consider different business models. The process must <u>provide for an objective evaluation framework, but allow for adaptation according to the differing models applicants will present</u>. Within that framework, applicant's responses will be evaluated against the criteria in light of the proposed model.
 - Therefore the <u>criteria should be flexible</u>: able to scale with the business plan, providing that plan is consistent and coherent, and can withstand highs and lows.
 - Criteria can be objective in areas of registrant protection, for example:

- Providing for funds to continue operations in the event of a registry failure
- Adherence to data escrow and registry <u>failover and continuity</u>failur_e contingency-plans
- The evaluation must strike the correct <u>balance</u> between establishing the business and technical competence of the applicant to operate a registry (to <u>serve the interests of registrants</u>), while not asking for the detailed sort of information or making the judgment that a venture capitalist would. ICANN is not seeking to certify business success but instead seeks to encourage innovation while providing certain safeguards for registrants.
- New registries must be added in a way that maintains <u>DNS stability and security</u>. Therefore, ICANN asks several questions so that the applicant can demonstrate an understanding of the technical requirements to operate a registry. In certain cases, ICANN will ask the applicant to demonstrate actual operational technical compliance prior to delegation. This is in-line with current ICANN /IANA prerequisites for the delegation of a TLD.
- <u>Registrant protection</u> is emphasized in both the criteria and the scoring. Examples of this include asking the applicant to:
 - Plan for the <u>occurrence of contingencies and registry failure</u> by putting in place financial resources to fund the ongoing resolution of names while a replacement operator is found or extended notice can be given to registrants,
 - Demonstrate a capability to understand and plan for business contingencies to afford some protections through the marketplace,
 - Adhere to DNS stability and security requirements as described in the technical section, and
 - Provide <u>access</u> to the widest variety of services.

II. Aspects of the Questions Asked in the Application and Evaluation Criteria

The technical and financial questions are intended to inform and guide the applicant in aspects of registry start-up and operation. The established registry operator should find the questions straightforward while inexperienced applicants should find them a natural part of planning.

Evaluation and scoring (detailed below) will emphasize:

- How thorough are the answers? Are they well thought through and do they provide a sufficient basis for evaluation?
- Demonstration of the ability to operate and fund the registry on an ongoing basis:
 - Funding sources to support technical operations in a manner that ensures stability and security and supports planned expenses,
 - Resilience and sustainability in the face of ups and downs, anticipation of contingencies,
 - Bonding or other funding to carry on operations in the event of failure.
- Demonstration that the technical plan will likely deliver on best practices for a registry and identification of issues that might raise DNS stability and security issues.

- Ensures plan integration, consistency and compatibility (responses to questions are not evaluated individually but in comparison to others):
 - Funding adequately covers technical requirements,
 - Funding covers costs,
 - Risks are identified and addressed, in comparison to other aspects of the plan.

III. Scoring

Evaluation

- The questions, criteria, scoring and evaluation methodology are to be conducted in accordance with the principles described earlier in the paper. With that in mind, globally diverse evaluation panelists will staff evaluation panels. The diversity of evaluators and access to experts in all regions of the world will ensure application evaluations take into account cultural, technical and business norms in the regions from which applications originate.
- Independent evaluation teams will consist of two independent panels. One will evaluate the applications against the financial criteria. The other will evaluate the applications against the technical & operational criteria. Given the requirement that technical and financial planning be well integrated, it is likely that one organization will coordinate the information transfer between panels. Other relevant experts (e.g., technical, audit, legal, insurance, finance) in pertinent regions will provide advice as required.
- Precautions will be taken to ensure that no member of the Evaluation Teams will have any interest or association that may be viewed as a real or potential conflict of interest with an applicant or application. All members have signed a Deed of Confidentiality/ Non-Disclosure Agreement relating specifically to this tender/project.
- Communications between the evaluation teams and the applicants will be through an <u>online</u> interface. There will be <u>opportunities for one</u> communication round <u>dDuring the</u> <u>per</u>-evaluation, <u>for where evaluators panelists can to may</u> pose a set of clarifying questions to an applicant, to which the applicant may respond through the interface.
- Confidentiality: ICANN will post applications after the close of the application period. The applications consist of the answers to the questions below. The answers to all questions will be published except for the Demonstration of Financial Capability questions
 <u>(Questions 52 57) and the Registry Transition question (47)</u>. The answers to the<u>se financial</u> questions will be kept confidential.

Scoring

- Responses will be evaluated against each criterion. A score will be assigned according to the scoring schedule linked to each question or set of questions. In nearly all cases, 2 points are awarded for a response that exceeds requirements, 1 point is awarded for a response that meets requirements and 0 points are awarded for a response that fails to meet requirements. In several questions, 1 point is the maximum score that may be awarded. Each question must receive at least a score of "1," making each a "pass/fail" question...
- In the Continuity question in the financial <u>section</u><u>criteria</u>(<u>see Question #57</u>), up to 3 points are awarded if an applicant provides, at the application stage, a financial instrument of some type that will guarantee ongoing registry operations in the event of a business

failure. This extra point can serve to guarantee passing the financial criteria for applicants who score the minimum passing score for each of the individual criteria. The purpose of this weighting is to reward applicants who make early arrangements for the protection of registrants and to accept relatively riskier business plans where registrants are protected.

- There are 20 Technical & Operational questions. Each question has a criterion and scoring associated with it. The scoring for each is 0, 1, or 2 points as described above. Two of the questions (DNSSEC and IDN implementations) are optional. Other than the optional questions, all Technical & Operational criteria must be scored a 1 or more or the application will fail the evaluation.
- The total technical score must be equal to or greater than 20 for the application to pass. That means the applicant can pass by:
 - Receiving a 1 or more on <u>all questions, including the two</u> optional questions,
 - Scoring a 2 on least two mandatory questions,
 - Receiving a combination of high and optional scores.

This scoring methodology requires a minimum passing score for each question and a slightly higher average score than the per question minimum to pass.

- There are <u>sixeleven</u> Financial questions <u>and six</u>. There are seven sets of criteria that are scored by rating the answers to one or more of the questions. For example, the question concerning registry operation costs requires consistency between the technical plans (described in the answers to the Technical & Operational questions) and the costs (described in the answers to the costs question).
- The scoring for each of the Financial criteria is 0, 1 or 2 points as described above with one exception. The exception is the Continuity question described above, for which up to 3 points are possible. All questions must receive at least a 1 or the application will fail the evaluation.
- The total financial score on the <u>sixseven</u> criteria must be <u>89</u> or greater for the application to pass. That means the applicant can pass by:
 - Scoring a 3 on the continuity criteria, or
 - Scoring a 2 on any two financial criteria.
- Applications that do not pass can enter into an extended evaluation process as described in the Applicant Guidebook. The scoring is the same.

Note: This version was <u>amende</u>corrected on <u>24 January 2009</u>30 October 2008 to reflect the accurate number of technical and financial questions.

	uestion	Notoc	Scoring Range	Criteria	Scoring
		<u>Notes</u>	Sconing Range	Criteria	Scoring
N (D. Applicant Information Form Full legal name of the Applicant, being the established entity that will be entering into a contract with ICANN, following the latter's approval of this <u>applicationproposal</u> .	Responses to Questions <u>1 - 16 are required for a</u> <u>complete application.</u> <u>Responses are not</u> <u>scored.</u>			
2	Legal form of the Applicant (e.g., LLC, Corporation, Government Body, IO).				
3	The jurisdiction in which the Applicant's business is listed for legal and financial purposes.				
4	Address of the principal place of business of the Applicant. This address will be used for contractual purposes. No Post Office boxes are allowed.				
5	Phone number where the Applicant can be reached in its Principal Place of Business.			Required for completeness check	
6	Fax number where the Applicant can be reached at its Principal Place of Business.				
7	Email address where the Applicant can be reached at its Principal Place of Business.				
8 	If address of place where Applicant conducts business from is different to the principal place of business, specify <u>contact</u> details of that place for the purposes of receiving notices.				
9	Correspondence details for the Applicant, including a phone number, fax number and email address if different from the Principal Place of Business. The Applicant must be able to accept notices at this address.				
1(The address where the Applicant's proposed Registry will be operated and managed, if different than either principal or correspondence address.				

				_	
Ques	tion	<u>Notes</u>	Scoring Range	Criteria	Scoring
11	Primary Contact				
11a	Name				
11b	Title				
11c	Address				
11d	Phone Number				
11e	Fax Number				
11f	Email Address			Required for completeness check	
12	Secondary Contact			Required for completeness check	
12a	Name				
12b	Title				
12c	Address				
12d	Phone Number				
12e	Fax Number				
12f	Email Address				
	Applicant Governance				
13	Attach documentation demonstrating that the Applicant entity is legally established and in good standing. For proof of establishment, provide articles of association or equivalent document (e.g., statutes, membership agreement) of the entity. If the Applicant is a government body or organization,				
	provide a certified copy of the act wherein or governmental decision whereby the government body or organization has been established. For proof of good standing, provide a certificate of good standing or other equivalent official document issued by a competent government authority that is valid at the time of submission in respect of the Applicant entity. Under some laws or jurisdictions, it may be possible to prove both establishment and good standing			Required for completeness check. Authenticated documentation mus be furnished.	ŧ

0.0	with a single document. In case no such certificates or documents are available in the Applicant's jurisdiction, an affidavit drafted and signed by a notary public or a legal practitioner duly qualified to represent clients before the courts of the country wherein the Applicant's organization is established, declaring that the organization is established and in good standing, must be submitted.	Notes	Scoring Range	Criteria	Scoring
		NOICS	<u>Scoring Range</u>	Gitteria	Sconny
14	Business ID, Tax ID, VAT, or equivalent of the Applicant.			Required for completeness check	
15	Enter the full names and contact information, positions of all <u>directors,</u> <u>officers, and</u> shareholders holding at least 15% of the shares.	_		Required for completeness check	
	Application Deposit and Fee				
16	Enter the confirmation information of your payment of the application fee (e.g., wire transfer confirmation number).			Required for completeness check	
	Community-Based Designation				
17	Is the application for an open or a community-based TLD?	There is a presumption that the application is for an open TLD (as defined in the Applicant Guidebook) if this question is left unanswered.		There is a presumption that the application is an open TLD (as defined in the applicant guidebook) if this question is unanswered.	
<u>18</u>	If community-based, in the event of string contention does the applicant elect comparative evaluation?	If community-based, an applicant has the option to elect comparative evaluation in the event of string contention. See Module 4 of the Applicant			

Guidebook for more information on string contention resolution procedures.

198 (a) If community-based, provide the name and clearly describe the community that you represent...+

1)-(b)Describe how the applicant has an on-going relationship with a defined community that consists of a restricted population.

2)-(c)Describe how the applied-for gTLD string strongly and very specifically relates to its community (i.e., the TLD is the community label or a well-known abbreviation for it).

3)-(d) DescribeHas proposed community_ specific dedicated registration and use policies for its TLD. Include eligibility, string selection and use requirements; and policy compliance processes.

<u>(e)</u>

4)-<u>Attach written endorsement of the</u> application by Is endorsed in writing by the community <u>named in 19(a)it has</u> named. Responses to the elements in Question 19 are NOT evaluated in the Initial Evaluation. They may be evaluated at a later stage, in the event that applicant participates in a comparative evaluation.

Responses to Question 19 may be scored, if applicable, in a comparative evaluation. Criteria and scoring methodology for the comparative evaluation is described in Module 4 of the Applicant Guidebook. This element will NOT be evaluated in the initial evaluation. It will be evaluated as the initial phase of string contention. Criteria for evaluation include: A. Nexus between proposed string and community: String is name or well-known abbreviation of community institution B. Dedicated registration policies: Registration eligibility is strictly limited to members of the preestablished community identified in the application. Registration policies also include name selection and use requirements consistent with the articulated scope and community-based nature of the TLD. Proposed policies include specific enforcement measures including investigation practices, penalties, takedown procedures and appeal mechanisms. C. Community establishment. Clearly identified, organized and pre-established community of

considerable size and longevity.

Not scored in initial evaluation. Scoring for the comparative evaluation is described in the applicant guidebook.

D. Community endorsement. Endorsement by a recognized institution or by member organizations, including evidence of support such as meeting minutes, voting records, or divisional or sub-organizational member endorsements.

Questio		<u>Notes</u>	Scoring Range	Criteria	Scoring
<u>29</u> 19	Is the applied for string a meaningful representation of an ISO 3166 1 country or territory name; ISO 3166 2 sub region name, or intended to represent a city?(a)Is the application for a geographical name?	An applied-for gTLD string is considered a geographical name if it is: (a) a meaningful representation of a country or territory name listed in the ISO 3166-1 standard; (b) a sub-national place name listed in the ISO 3166-2 standard, (c) the capital city name of a country or territory listed in the ISO 3166-1 standard; (d) a city name, where the applicant declares that it intends to use the gTLD for purposes associated with the city name; or (e) a continent or UN region. The applicant is expected to identify whether its applied- for gTLD string is a geographical name and to provide the required documentation. See further description of geographical names requirements in Module 2 of the Applicant Guidebook.		Geographical place names require the approval of the relevant government. The applicant is expected to self- identify whether the applied for- string requires approval and provide the required documentation. All strings will be examined by a Geographic <u>Names Panel</u> to determine if it is a geographical name according to this definition and whether required documentation is furnished.	Applications that are not accompanied by approval of relevant governments when that approval is required will not be approved.
	(b) If a geographical name, attach documentation of support or non-objection from all relevant government(s) or public authority(ies).	See the documentation requirements in Module 2 of the Applicant Guidebook.			



	Applied for gTLD String		
20	Provide the applied-for gTLD string, i.e., the ASCII-label (if applying for an IDN, provide the A-label: the "xn—" label).	Responses to Questions 20 – 28 are not scored, but are used for database and validation purposes.	Required for completeness check
21	If an IDN, provide the "U-label." The U-label is an IDNA-valid string of Unicode characters, including at least one non-ASCII character.		Required for completeness check
22	If For an IDNs, provide what is the translation or transliteration of the string in English, that is, the literal meaning of the string in the opinion of the applicant.?		The literal meaning of the string in the opinion of the applicant for database purposes.
23	If an IDN, What is the provide the language of the label (in English and as referenced by ISO-639-1)?		Required for completeness check
24	If an IDN, provide the sScript of the label (in English and as referenced by ISO 15924).		Required for completeness check
25	If an IDN, IL ist all the code points contained in the U-label according to Unicode form.		Required for completeness check
26	If an IDN, upload IDN tables for the proposed registry. An IDN table must include: 1) the applied-for gTLD string relevant to the tables, 2) the script or language designator (as defined in BCP 47), 3) table version number, 4) effective date (DD Month YYYY), and 5) contact name, email address, and phone number. IDN tables are encouraged to be submitted in a standards-based format. Provide representation of label in International Phonetic Alphabet (http://www.arts.gla.ac.uk/IPA/ipachart.html).		For database purposes
27	If an IDN, describe the applicant's efforts to ensure that there are no known operational or rendering problems concerning the applied-for gTLD string. If such issues are		



	known, describe steps that will be taken to mitigate these issues in software and other applications.		
<u>28</u>	[Optional] - Provide representation of label in International Phonetic Alphabet (http://www.arts.gla.ac.uk/IPA/ipachart.html).	If provided, this information will be used as a guide to ICANN in communications regarding the application.	
	General Questions		
<u>30</u> 27	Describe What is the mission/purpose of your proposed TLD_? (Description of the purpose may include: who is eligible to register a name, acceptable use policy, technical requirements for registrants).		Complete response would include primary use with respect to business/community modality, define purpose for which registrants would use registration.



Question	Notes	Scoring Range	Criteria	Scoring
3128 Provide name and full description of all the Registry Services to be provided. Registry Services are defined as the following: (1) operations of the Registry critical to the following tasks: (i) the receipt of data from registrars concerning registrations of domain names and name servers: (ii) provision to registrars of status information relating to the zone servers for the TLD; (iii) dissemination of TLD zone files; (iv) operation of the Registry zone servers; and (v) dissemination of contact and other information concerning domain name server registrations in the TLD as required by the Registry Agreement; and (2) other products or services that the Registry Operator is required to provide because of the establishment of a Consensus Policy; (3) any other products or services that only a Registry Operator is capable of providing, by reason of its designation as the Registry Operator. A full definition of registry service can be found at http://www.icann.org/en/registrics/rscp/rscp.html and in the base agreement in Module 5. Registry services will be examined to determine if the proposed Registry Service might raise significant Stability or Security issues. Examples of services submitted to the registry services process by established registries can be found at http://www.icann.org/en/registries/rsop. The registration of domain names, for example, is a registry service. Lists of registry services currently provided by registries can be found in registry agreement appendices. In general cases, these services successfully pass this inquiry. See http://www.icann.org/en/registries/agreements.htm.	Registry Services are defined as the following: (1) operations of the Registry critical to the following tasks: (i) the receipt of data from registrars concerning registrations of domain names and name servers; (ii) provision to registrars of status information relating to the zone servers for the TLD; (iii) dissemination of TLD zone files; (iv) operation of the Registry zone servers; and (v) dissemination of contact and other information concerning domain name server registrations in the TLD as required by the Registry Agreement; and (2) other products or services that the Registry Operator is required to provide because of the establishment of a Consensus Policy; (3) any other products or services that only a Registry Operator is capable of providing, by reason of its designation as the Registry Operator. A full definition of Registry Services can be found at http://www.icann.org/en/registries/rsep/rsep.html.		Applicant can engage in only those services defined in the application. Complete response adequately describes services so that <u>a Registry</u> <u>ServicesRSEP type</u> evaluation can take place. Registry <u>Securices cannot</u> significantly impact DNS Stability or Security. Security: For purposes of this applicant guidebook, an effect on security by the proposed Registry Service means (1) the unauthorized disclosure, alteration, insertion or destruction of Registry Data, or (2) the unauthorized access to or disclosure of information or resources on the Internet by systems operating in accordance with applicable standards.	A preliminary assessment will be made to determine if there may be possible security or stability issues with any of the applicant's proposed Registry Services. If it does, the application will be referred for an extended review. See the description of the Registry Services Review process in Module 2 of the Applicant Guidebook. A preliminary assessment will be made to determine if there may be



Chability Ea	n na saible
Stability: Fo	
purposes of	
applicant gu	uidebook, stability issues
an effect on	
shall mean	
proposed R	egistry service. If so,
Service (1)	is not the application
compliant w	vith will be flagged
applicable r	ith will be flagged elevant for a review by
standards th	
authoritative	
published b	
established	
recognized	
authoritative	
standards b	
such as rele	
Standards-T	
Best Curren	
Practice RF	
sponsored b	
IETF, or (2)	
a condition	
a condition adversely a	
	in and brite
throughput,	<u>Stability</u>
response tir	
consistency	-
coherence of	
responses t	
servers or e	inipact on
systems, op	e eeeung ana
in accordan	olability raiooa
applicable r	
standards th	proposou
authoritative	e and service, the
published b	
established	
recognized	and applicant's
authoritative	e contract with
standards b	ody, ICANN.



such as relevant	If <u>the DNS</u>
Standards-Track or	<u>Stability</u>
Best Current	Panel RSTEP
Practice RFCs and	determines the
relying on Registry	proposed
Operator's	Registry
delegation	Service
information or	creates a
provisioning	reasonable
services.	risk of a
	meaningful
	adverse effect
	on Security or
	Stability
	security then
	the service
	may not be
	incorporated
	into the
	agreement
	and the
	applicant can
	decide
	whether to
	proceed with
	the application
	or withdraw.



Attachment to Module 2 Evaluation Questions and Criteria

Questi	on	<u>Notes</u>	Scoring Range	Criteria	Scoring
	Demonstration of Technical & Operational Capability				
<u>32</u> 29	Technical Overview of Proposed Registry: provide a technical overview of the proposed registry, including details concerning the type of registry that will be operated and the interfaces that will be provided for registration transactions. The technical plan must be adequately resourced, with appropriate expertise and allocation of costs in the registry plan. The applicant will provide financial descriptions of resources in the next section and those resources must be reasonably related to these technical requirements. This high level summary need not repeat answers to questions below.		<u>0-2</u>	Complete answer demonstrates: (1) complete knowledge and understanding of this aspect of registry technical requirements; (2) <u>athe</u> technical plan scope/scale <u>that</u> is consistent with the business model and planned size of the registry; <u>and (3) athe</u> technical plan <u>that</u> is adequately resourced in the planned costs in the registry business plan.	2 - exceeds requirements: Response includes (1) highly developed and detailed plans around these Registry operational criteria; (2) and providesprovision c a high level of resiliency (3) and full interplay and consistency of technical and business requirements; and (4) - t=echnical expertise that is already on hand or fut committed.
					1 - meets requirement Response includes (1) adequate level of detail substantially demonstra capability and knowled required to meet this
					element; (<u>2)</u> demonstrate <u>ds</u> understanding of
					business impacts of this requirement; and (3) demonstrationes that technical expertise required to carry throug
					the plans for this eleme is readily available.: (4) contains some weaknesses or omissio that are possible to
					readily correct or



overcome and make acceptable.
0 - fails requirements: Does not meet the requirements to score 1 or 2.



Attachment to Module 2 Evaluation Questions and Criteria

Question	<u>Notes</u>	Scoring Range	Criteria	Scoring
230 Registration Life Cycle: provide a detailed description of the proposed registration lifecycle for domain names in the proposed gTLD. The description must explain the various registration states as well as the criteria and procedures that are used to change state. It must describe the typical registration lifecycle of create/update/delete and all intervening steps such as pending, locked, expired, and transferred that may apply. Any time elements that are involved - for instance details of add-grace or redemption grace periods, or notice periods for renewals or transfers - must also be clearly explained.		0-1	Complete answer demonstrates: (1) complete knowledge and understanding of this aspect of registry technical requirements; and (2) lifecycle is consisten <u>cy with</u> t any specific commitments made to registrants (in the purpose of the registration) as adapted to the business of the proposed gTLD.	2 - exceeds requirements: Response includes highly developed an detailed plans aroun these Registry operational criteria a provides a high leve resiliency; and full interplay and consistency of technical and busine requirements. Technical expertise already on hand or committed. 1 - meets requirements: Response includes adequate level of de to substantially demonstrate capabil and knowledge required to meet this element; demonstrat understanding of business impacts of this requirement; demonstrates that technical expertise required to carry through the plans for this element is readi available;contains some weaknesses of omissions that are possible to readily correct or overcome and make acceptabl



					0 - fails requirements: Does not meet the requirements to score 1 or 2.
<u>43</u> 31	Measures against Abuse: aApplicants should describe how their proposal will create policies and practices that minimize abusive registrations and other activities that affect the legal rights of others. Describe how the proposal will implement safeguards against allowing unqualified registrations, and reduce opportunities for behaviors such as phishing or pharming. Answers may also include additional measures such as aAbusive uUse policies, er-takedown procedures, registrant pre-verification or authentication procedures, or other covenants.		<u>0-2</u>	Complete Applicant describes answer describes mechanisms designed to (1) prevent abusive registrations, and (2) to identify & address the abusive use of registered names on an ongoing basis, registrations after the registrations are made.	 2 - exceeds requirements: (1) Protection of rights mechanisms are specified in detail for inclusion into registry agreement. (2) Mechanisms provide pre-registration and post-registration (beyond UDRP) protections, and (3) - Mechanisms address registry start-up and on-going operations. 1 - meets expectations: (1) Proposed registry operator commits to and describes protection of rights mechanisms, and- (2) These mechanisms provide protections at least at registry start- up. 0 - fails requirements: Does not meet the requirements to score 1 or 2.
Quest	ion	<u>Notes</u>	Scoring Range	Criteria	Scoring
3 <u>3</u> 2	Network Architecture: provide present plan details of the system and network architecture that will support the operation of the Registry for the proposed scale of the registry. Answers		<u>0-2</u>	Complete answer demonstrates: (1) <u>detailed</u> <u>network architecture, physical</u> <u>and logical security</u>	2 - exceeds requirements: Response includes (1) highly developed and



should include information such as

- architecture and network diagrams,
- •_____details of hardware and software platforms,
- network bandwidth provision,
- IPv6 connectivity,
- ____firewalls,
- and the intended physical location of systems, operations centers, and other infrastructure.

<u>Provide</u> a description of backup power systems (with multi-day powered source) and

 security and physical safeguards against intrusion, including. Provide a description of remote alternate sites or locations for this purpose. infrastructure deployed, solutions used to monitor the registry operationscomplete knowledge and understanding of this aspect of registry technical requirements; (2) athe technical plan scope/scale that is consistent with the business model and planned size of the registry; and (3) athe technical plan that is adequately resourced in the planned costs in the registry business plan.

detailed plans around these Registry operational criteria. <u>Plans and</u>-provides (2) a high level of resiliency- and (3) full interplay and consistency of technical and business requirements, and (4)-Technical expertise is already on hand or <u>fully</u> committed. **1 - meets**

requirements:

Response includes (1) adequate level of detail to substantially demonstrate capability and knowledge required to meet this element; (2) demonstrates understanding of business impacts of this requirement; and (3) demonstrates that technical expertise required to carry through the plans for this element is readily available.; contains some weaknesses or omissions that are possible to readily correct or overcome and make acceptable. 0 - fails requirements: Does not meet the requirements to score 1



				or 2.
3 <u>4</u> 3	Database Capabilities: provide details of database capabilities including database software, size, throughput, scalability, procedures for object creation, editing, and deletion, change notifications, registrar transfer procedures, grace period implementation and reporting capabilities.	<u>0-2</u>	Complete answer demonstrates: (1) complete knowledge and understanding of this aspect of registry technical requirements; (2) the technical plan scope/scale is database capabilities are consistent with the business model and planned size of the registry; and (3) athe technical plan thatis adequately resourced in the planned costs in the registry business plan.	2 - exceeds requirements: Response includes (1) highly developed and detailed plans around these Registry operational criteria. <u>Plans and</u> -provides (2) a high level of resiliency; and (3) full interplay and consistency of technical and business requirements, and (4). Technical expertise is already on hand or <u>fully</u> committed. 1 - meets requirements:
				Response includes (1) adequate level of detail to substantially demonstrate capability and knowledge required to meet this element;(2) demonstrates understanding of business impacts of this requirement; and (3) demonstrates that
				technical expertise required to carry through the plans for this element is readily available. <u>contains</u> some weaknesses or omissions that are possible to readily



				 correct or overcome and make acceptable. 0 - fails requirements: Does not meet the requirements to score 1 or 2.
3 <u>5</u> 4	Geographic Diversity: provide a description of plans for geographic diversity of name servers and operations centers. This may included Registry plans to use anycast or other geo- diversity measure.	<u>0-2</u>	Complete answer demonstrates: (1) <u>geographic</u> <u>diversity of nameservers and</u> <u>operations centerscomplete</u> knowledge and understanding of this aspect of registry technical requirements; (2) <u>athe</u> technical plan scope/scale <u>that</u> is consistent with the business model and planned size of the registry; <u>and (3)</u> <u>athe</u> technical plan is adequately resourced in the planned costs in the registry business plan.	2 - exceeds requirements: Response includes (1) highly developed and detailed plans around these Registry operational criteria. <u>Plans and provides (2)</u> a high level of resiliency; and (3) full interplay and consistency of technical and business requirements, and (4),- tTechnical expertise is already on hand or committed. 1 - meets
				requirements: Response includes (1) adequate level of detail to substantially demonstrate capability and knowledge required to meet this element; (2)
				demonstrates understanding of business impacts of this requirement; and (3) demonstrates that technical expertise required to carry through the plans for



			this element is readily available <u>.</u> ; contains some weaknesses or omissions that are possible to readily correct or overcome and make acceptable. 0 - fails requirements: Does not meet the requirements to score 1 or 2.
365 DNS Protocol Compliance Nameservice: describe the configuration and operation of nameservers, including compliance with RFCs. All name servers used for the new gTLD must be operated in compliance with the DNS protocol specifications defined in the following IETF Requests for Comments (RFCs): 1034, 1035, 1101, 1996, 2181, 2182, 2308, 3596, 3597 and 3671.	<u>0-2</u>	Complete answer demonstrates: (1) <u>adequate</u> <u>description of configurations</u> <u>of nameservers and</u> <u>compliance with respective</u> <u>DNS protocol-related</u> <u>RFCscomplete knowledge</u> and understanding of this <u>aspect of registry technical</u> <u>requirements;</u> (2) <u>athe</u> technical plan scope/scale <u>that</u> is consistent with the business model and planned size of the registry; <u>and (3)</u> <u>athe</u> technical plan <u>that</u> is adequately resourced in the planned costs in the registry business plan.	 2 - exceeds requirements: Response includes (1) highly developed and detailed plans around these Registry operational criteria. <u>Plans and provides (2)</u> a high level of resiliency; and (3) full interplay and consistency of technical and business requirements. <u>and (4)-</u> <u>t</u>-echnical expertise is already on hand or committed. 1 - meets requirements: Response includes (1) adequate level of detail to substantially demonstrate capability and knowledge required to meet this element; (2) demonstrates understanding of business impacts of



				this requirement; and (3) demonstrates that technical expertise required to carry through the plans for this element is readily available.: contains some weaknesses or omissions that are possible to readily correct or overcome and make acceptable. 0 - fails requirements: Does not meet the requirements to score 1 or 2.
3 <u>7</u> 6	SRS Performance: describe the plan for operation of a robust and reliable Shared Registry System. Please refer to the requirements in the Registry Interoperability, Continuity and Performance Specification attached to the draft Base Agreement.	<u>0-1</u>	Complete answer demonstrates: (1) complete knowledge and understanding of this aspect of registry technical requirements; (2) <u>athe</u> technical plan scope/scale <u>that</u> is consistent with the business model and planned size of the registry; <u>and (3)</u> <u>athe</u> technical plan <u>that</u> is adequately resourced in the planned costs in the registry business plan.	<u>12</u> - <u>meets</u> exceeds requirements: Response includes <u>(1)</u> <u>adequate level of detail</u> to substantially demonstrate capability <u>and knowledge</u> required to meet this <u>element; (2) highly</u> developed and detailed plans around the <u>proposed Shared</u> Registry System for the <u>TLD; (3) se Registry</u> operational criteria and provides a high level of resiliency; and full interplay and consistency of technical and business requirements; and <u>(4)</u> <u>demonstratione that t</u> - <u>Fechnical expertise is</u> already on hand <u>or</u>





	 policies, plans and processes; 4. plans to minimize the risk of unauthorized access to its systems or tampering with registry data, and how the registry proposed to mitigate denial of service attacks; 5. details of audit trails and intrusion detection mechanisms; 6. a threat analysis for the proposed registry and the defenses that will be deployed against those threats; 7. details for auditing capability on all network access 8. independent assessment report to demonstrate security capabilities. 		detecting threats and security vulnerabilities and taking appropriate steps to resolve them; (2) the <u>atechnical plan</u> scope/scale is <u>security</u> capabilities consistentare consistent with the business model and planned size of the registry; (3) <u>athe</u> technical plan <u>that</u> is adequately resourced in the planned costs in the registry business plan; <u>and (4) security policy is</u> <u>security measures are</u> consisten <u>t</u> with the commitments made to registrants (in the purpose of the registration) regarding security levels.	consistency of technical and business requirements, and (4) t_Technical expertise is already on hand or fully committed. 1 - meets requirements: Response includes (1) adequate level of detail to substantially demonstrate capability and knowledge required to meet this element; (2) demonstrates understanding of business impacts of this requirement; and (3) demonstrates that technical expertise required to carry through the plans for this element is readily available. ; contains some weaknesses or omissions that are possible to readily correct or overcome and make acceptable. 0 - fails requirements: Does not meet the requirements to score 1 or 2.
<u>44</u> 38	Backup: provide details of frequency and procedures for backup of data, hardware and systems used for backup, data format, escrow or other data	<u>0-2</u>	Complete answer demonstrates: (1) complete knowledge and understanding of this aspect of registry technical requirements <u>detailed backup</u>	2 - exceeds requirements: Response includes (1) highly developed and detailed plans around these Registry



1	backup features			processes deployed, retrieval	operational criteria.
	 and procedures for retrieval of 			process and frequency; (2)	Plans and provides (2)
	data/rebuild of database.			<u>athe</u> technical plan	a high level of
	data/rebuild of database.			scope/scale that is consistent	resiliency; and (3) full
				with the business model and	interplay and
				planned size of the registry;	consistency of
				and (3) athe technical plan	technical and business
				that is adequately resourced	requirements, and (4).
				in the planned costs in the	trechnical expertise is
				registry business plan.	already on hand or <u>fully</u>
					committed.
					1 - meets
					requirements:
					Response includes (1)
I					adequate level of detail
					to substantially
					demonstrate capability
					and knowledge
					required to meet this
					element; (2)
					demonstrates
					understanding of
					business impacts of
					this requirement; and
					(3) demonstrates that
					technical expertise
					required to carry
					through the plans for
1					this element is readily
					available <u>.</u> ; contains some weaknesses or
					0-2 omissions that are
					<u>0-2</u> 0missions that are possible to readily
					correct or overcome
1					and make acceptable.
I					0 - fails requirements:
					•
					Does not meet the
					requirements to score 1 or 2.
1500		For an additional measure		2	
<u>45</u> 39	Escrow: describe how the applicant will comply	For an additional measure	<u>0-2</u>	Complete answer	2 - exceeds

with the escrow arrangements documented in the Registry Data Escrow Specifications (attached as part of the draft Base Agreement), including plans for daily backups and archiving of registry information.

of registrant protection. ICANN is considering an approach where an applicant proposing escrowing thick registry data will obtain a higher score (note that this does not require the applicant to display all data that is escrowed in its Whois records). ICANN invites public comment on this approach, particularly suggestions on ensuring that the applicant implements this commitment, e.g., a clause in the registry agreement or other means.

demonstrates: (1) complete knowledge and understanding of this aspect of registry technical requirements; compliance with registry data escrow specifications; (2) athe reasonable plan to perform daily backups and archiving of registry informationtechnical plan scope/scale is consistent with the business model and planned size of the registry; and (3) athe technical plan that is adequately resourced in the planned costs in the registry business plan, (4) the escrow arrangement is consistent with the business model and size/scope of the registry.-

requirements: Response includes (1) highly developed and detailed plans around these Registry operational criteria and provides (2) a high level of resiliency; and (3) full interplay and consistency of technical and business requirements, and (4),-Technical expertise is already on hand or committed.

1 - meets requirements:

Response includes (1) adequate level of detail to substantially demonstrate capability and knowledge required to meet this element; (2) demonstrates understanding of business impacts of this requirement; and (3) demonstrates that technical expertise required to carry through the plans for this element is readily available<u>.</u>; contains omissions that are possible to readily correct or overcome and make acceptable. 0 - fails requirements:



				Does not meet the requirements to score 1 or 2.
4 <u>6</u> 0	Registry Continuity: describe how the applicant will comply with registry continuity obligations as described in the Registry Interoperability, Continuity and Performance Specification, attached as part of the draft Base Agreement. For reference, applicants should review the ICANN gTLD Registry Continuity Plan at http://www.icann.org/registries/failover/icann- registry-failover-plan-15jul08.pdf and ICANN's draft registry practices recommendations at http://www.icann.org/en/registries/continuity/draft- plan-best-practices-20oct07.pdf.	<u>0-2</u>	Complete answer demonstrates: (1) complete knowledge and understanding of this aspect of registry technical requirements/detailed description showing plans for compliance with registry continuity obligations; (2) athe technical plan scope/scale that is consistent with the business model and planned size of the registry; (3) best practices are mapping of best practicesed to aspects of registry operations described elsewhere in answers to these questions; and (4) athe technical plan_that is adequately resourced in the planned costs in the registry business plan.	 2 - exceeds requirements: Response includes (1) highly developed and detailed plans around these Registry operational criteria. Plans and-provides (2) a high level of resiliency; and (3) full interplay and consistency of technical and business requirements, and (4),- Technical expertise is already on hand or committed. 1 - meets requirements: Response includes (1) adequate level of detail to substantially demonstrate capability and knowledge required to meet this element; (2) demonstrates understanding of business impacts of this requirement; and (3) demonstrates that technical expertise required to carry through the plans for this element is readily available,; contains some weaknesses or



			omissions that are possible to readily correct or overcome and make acceptable. 0 - fails requirements: Does not meet the requirements to score 1 or 2.
474 Registry Transition: provide a plan that could be followed in the event that it becomes necessary to transition the proposed gTLD to a new operator, including a transition process. (Responses to this question will be kept confidential.)	<u>0-2</u>	Complete answer demonstrates: (1) complete knowledge and understanding of this aspect of registry technical requirements; (2) <u>athe</u> technical plan scope/scale <u>that</u> is consistent with the business model and planned size of the registry; <u>and (3)</u> <u>athe</u> technical plan <u>that</u> is adequately resourced in the planned costs in the registry business plan.	2 - exceeds requirements: Response includes (1) highly developed and detailed plans around these Registry operational criteria. <u>Plans and</u> -provides (2) a high level of resiliency <u>and</u> -and (3) full interplay and consistency of technical and business requirements, and (4) - Technical expertise is already on hand or committed. 1 - meets requirements: Response includes (1) adequate level of detail to substantially demonstrate capability and knowledge required to meet this element; (2) demonstrates understanding of business impacts of this requirement; and



				required to carry through the plans for this element is readily available,-: contains some weaknesses or omissions that are possible to readily correct or overcome and make acceptable. 0 - fails requirements: Does not meet the requirements to score 1 or 2.
4 <u>8</u> 2 	Failover Testing: provide a description of the failover testing plan, including mandatory annual testing of the plan. Examples may include a description of plans to test failover of data centers or operations to alternate sites, from a hot to a cold facility, registry data escrow testing, or continuity testing with other gTLD registries and ICANN.	<u>0-2</u>	Complete answer demonstrates: (1) complete knowledge and understanding of this aspect of registry technical requirements; (2) <u>athe</u> technical plan scope/scale <u>that</u> is consistent with the business model and planned size of the registry; <u>and (3)</u> <u>athe</u> technical plan <u>that</u> is adequately resourced in the planned costs in the registry business plan.	2 - exceeds requirements: Response includes (1) highly developed and detailed plans around these Registry operational criteria. <u>Plans and provides (2)</u> a high level of resiliency; and (3) full interplay and consistency of technical and business requirements, and (4). Technical expertise is already on hand or committed.
				1 - meets requirements: Response includes (1) adequate level of detail to substantially demonstrate capability and knowledge required to meet this element; (2) demonstrates



				understanding of business impacts of this requirement; <u>and</u> (<u>3</u>) demonstrates that technical expertise required to carry through the plans for this element is readily available <u>;</u> -contains some weaknesses or omissions that are possible to readily correct or overcome and make acceptable. 0 - fails requirements: Does not meet the requirements to score 1 or 2.
4 <u>9</u> 3 	Monitoring and Fault Escalation Processes: provide a description of the proposed (or actual) arrangements for monitoring critical registry systems (including SRS, database systems, DNS servers, publicly available registration data (Whois) policy network connectivity, routers and firewall). This description should explain how these systems are monitored and the mechanisms that will be used for fault escalation and reporting, and should provide details of the proposed support arrangements for these registry systems.	<u>0-2</u>	Complete answer demonstrates: (1) complete knowledge and understanding of this aspect of registry technical requirements; (2) <u>athe</u> technical plan scope/scale <u>that</u> is consistent with the business model and planned size of the registry; (3) <u>athe</u> technical plan <u>that</u> is adequately resourced in the planned costs in the registry business plan; <u>and</u> (4) <u>plan is</u> econsistencyt with the commitments made to registrants regarding system maintenance.	2 - exceeds requirements: Response includes (1) highly developed and detailed plans around these Registry operational criteria. <u>Plans and</u> -provides (2) a high level of resiliency; and (3) full interplay and consistency of technical and business requirements, and (4); Technical expertise is already on hand or committed. 1 - meets requirements: Response includes (1) adequate level of detail to substantially



			demonstrate capability and knowledge required to meet this element; (2) demonstrates understanding of business impacts of this requirement; and (3) demonstrates that technical expertise required to carry through the plans for this element is readily available. ; contains some weaknesses or omissions that are possible to readily correct or overcome and make acceptable. 0 - fails requirements: Does not meet the requirements to score 1 or 2.
3844 EPP: provide a detailed description of the interface with registrars, including compliance with Extensible Provisioning Protocol in RFCs 4930, 4931, 4932, 4933, and 49343730, 3731, 3732, 3733, 3734, and 3735. Provide the EPP templates and schemas that will be used.	<u>0-1</u>	Complete answer demonstrates: (1) complete knowledge and understanding of this aspect of registry technical requirements; (2) <u>athe</u> technical plan scope/scale <u>that</u> is consistent with the business model and planned size of the registry; <u>and (3)</u> <u>athe</u> technical plan <u>that</u> is adequately resourced in the planned costs in the registry business plan.	<u>12 — meets exceeds</u> requirements: Response includes <u>(1)</u> <u>adequate level of detail</u> <u>to substantially</u> <u>demonstrate capability</u> <u>and knowledge</u> <u>required to meet this</u> <u>element.</u> ; highly <u>developed and detailed</u> <u>plans around these</u> <u>Registry operational</u> <u>criteria and provides a</u> <u>high level of resiliency;</u> <u>and Plans provide (2) a</u> <u>high level of resiliency</u> <u>and (3) full interplay</u>



				and consistency of technical and business requirements and (4) D;-demonstrates that t- Technical expertise is already on hand, or committed or readily available.
				1 - meets requirements: Response includes adequate level of detail
				to substantially demonstrate capability and knowledge required to meet this
				element; demonstrates understanding of business impacts of this requirement;
				demonstrates that technical expertise required to carry
				through the plans for this element is readily available; contains some weaknesses or
				omissions that are possible to readily correct or overcome and make acceptable.
				0 - fails requirements: Does not meet the requirements to score 1 or 2.
4 <u>1</u> 5	Whois: describe how the applicant will comply with ICANN's Registry Publicly Available Registration Data (Whois) specifications for data objects, bulk access, and lookups as defined in the base agreement: "Specification for	<u>0-1</u>	Complete answer demonstrates: (1) complete knowledge and understanding of this aspect of registry technical	2 - exceeds requirements: Response includes highly developed and detailed plans around





Registration Data Publication Services." Describe how the Applicant's Registry Publicly Available Registration Data (Whois) service will comply with RFC 3912. requirements; (2) <u>athe</u> technical plan scope/scale <u>that</u> is consistent with the business model and planned size of the registry and the number of inquiries expected; <u>and (3) athe</u> technical plan <u>that</u> is adequately resourced in the planned costs in the registry business plan. these Registry operational criteria and provides a high level of resiliency; and full interplay and consistency of technical and business requirements. Technical expertise is already on hand or committed.

1 - meets requirements:

Response includes (1) adequate level of detail to substantially demonstrate capability and knowledge required to meet this element; (2) demonstrates understanding of business impacts of this requirement; and (3) demonstrates that technical expertise required to carry through the plans for this element is readily available<u>.</u>; contains omissions that are possible to readily correct or overcome and make acceptable. 0 - fails requirements: Does not meet the requirements to score 1 or 2.

5046 OPTIONAL.



This is an optional feature. 2 - exceeds

requirements:

DNSSEC: if the proposed gTLD will offer DNSSEC as a registry service at the time of launch, describe the policies and procedures the proposed registry will follow, for example, how keying material will be securely exchanged and stored. <u>C:-complete answer</u> demonstrates: (1) complete knowledge and understanding of this aspect of registry technical requirements; (2) <u>athe</u> technical plan scope/scale <u>that</u> is consistent with the business model and planned size of the registry; <u>and (3)</u> <u>athe</u> technical plan<u>that</u> is adequately resourced in the planned costs in the registry business plan.

Response includes (1) highly developed and detailed implementation plan that provides a high level of resiliency; (2) key management procedures for registrants in the proposed TLDs around these Registry operational criteria and provides a high level of resiliency; (3) and full interplay and consistency of technical and business requirements; and (4) tTechnical expertise that is is already on hand or committed. Applicant mustshould also be able to pass requirements for DNSSEC in predelegation check.

1 - meets requirements:

Response includes (1) adequate level of detail to substantially demonstrate capability and knowledge required to meet this element; (2) demonstrates understanding of business impacts of this requirement; and



				 (3) demonstrates that technical expertise required to carry through the plans for this element is readily available; contains some weaknesses or omissions that are possible to readily correct or overcome and make acceptable. 0 - fails requirements: Does not meet the requirements to score 1 or 2.
<u>51</u> 47	OPTIONAL. IDNs: state whether the proposed registry will support the registration of IDN labels in the TLD, and if so, how. For example, explain which characters will be supported, and the associated IDN Table with variants identified along with a corresponding registration policy. This includes also public interfaces to the databases such as Whois and EPP. Describe what steps the applicant has taken to address any rendering or other operational issues with the applied-for TLD string. IDNs can cause rendering problems in certain applications. See the IDNA protocol (link from <u>http://www.icann.org/en/topics/idn/rfcs.htm</u>) for information and the IDN wiki (http://idn.icann.org).	<u>0-2</u>	This is an optional feature. <u>C</u> :-complete answer demonstrates: (1) complete knowledge and understanding of this aspect of registry technical requirements; (2) <u>a</u> the technical plan <u>that</u> is adequately resourced in the planned costs in the registry business plan; <u>and (4) the</u> <u>IDN plan is</u> -consisten <u>cyt</u> with the commitments made to registrants in the purpose of the registration and registry services descriptions; (5) issues regarding use of scripts are settled and language variant tables are posted.	2 - exceeds requirements: Response includes (1) highly developed and detailed implementation plans around these Registry operational criteriafor IDNs and providinges a high level of resiliency; (2)and full interplay and consistency of technical and business requirements; (3) t- Technical expertise-is already on hand or committed; (4)- IDN tables are complete; and (5) d- Demonstrated knowledge and experience with IDNA and IDN guidelines-and a detailed

implementation plan.

. . . .



	1 - meets
	requirements:
	Response includes (1)
	adequate level of detail
	to substantially
	demonstrate capability
	and knowledge
	required to meet this
	element; (2)
	demonstrates
	understanding of
	business impacts of
	this requirement; (3)
	demonstrates that
	technical expertise
	required to carry
	through the plans for
	this element is readily
	available; and (4) may
	contain s some
	weaknesses or
	omissions that are
	possible to readily
	correct or overcome
	and make acceptable.
	For example, IDN
	tables are not complete
	but significant work has
	been done. (IDN table
	must be completed
	prior to delegation.) A
	detailed plan for
	submitting language
	variant tables is
	provided.
	Demonstrated
	awareness of IDNA
	and IDN guidelines and a plan for securing
	a plan for securing resources for to create
	an implementation.
2	an implementation <u>.</u>



			plan. 0 - fails requirements: Does not meet the requirements to score 1 or 2.
408 IPv6 Reachability: the registry supports access to DNS servers over an IPv6 network. IANA currently has a minimum set of technical requirements for IPv4 name service. These include two nameservers separated by geography and by network topology, that each serve a consistent set of data, and are reachable from multiple locations across the globe. The registry will meet this same criterion for IPv6, requiring IPv6 transport to their network.	<u>0-2</u>	Complete answer demonstrates: (1) complete knowledge and understanding of this aspect of registry technical requirements; (2) <u>athe</u> technical plan scope/scale <u>that</u> is consistent with the business model and planned size of the registry; (3) <u>athe</u> technical plan <u>that</u> is adequately resourced in the planned costs in the registry business plan; <u>and (4)</u> <u>Applicant will ijdentification</u> <u>ofy</u> IPv6-reachable name servers that meet these requirements.	2 - exceeds requirements: Response includes (1) highly developed and detailed plans around these Registry operational criteria. <u>Plans and</u> -provides (2) a high level of resiliency; and (3) full interplay and consistency of technical and business requirements. (4)- Technical expertise is already on hand or committed, and (5)- <u>Response</u> <u>dDemonstrates</u> knowledge and experience with IPv6 requirements and a detailed implementation plan. 1 - meets requirements: Response includes (1) adequate level of detail to substantially demonstrate capability and knowledge required to meet this element; (2) demonstrates



	Demonstration of Financial Capability (the information provided in answer to these questions is confidential)		Important note: In some instances, the criteria and scoring require information from answers to more than one of the questions in this and other sections; and not	understanding of business impacts of this requirement; (<u>3</u>) demonstrates that technical expertise required to carry through the plans for this element is readily available, <u>;and (4)</u> contains some weaknesses or omissions that are possible to readily correct or overcome and make acceptable. <u>Response</u> <u>dD</u> emonstrated awareness of IPv6 requirements and a plan for securing resources <u>forto create</u> an implementation plan. 0 - fails requirements: Does not meet the requirements to score 1 or 2.
			and other sections, and not all questions have criteria and scoring associated specifically with them.	
<u>52</u> 49	CONFIDENTIAL. Provide audited financial statements for the most	<u>0-2</u>	Audited financial statements are prepared in accordance with IFRS (International	2 - completely meets requirements:
	recently completed fiscal year for the applicant, and unaudited financial statements for the most		Financial Reporting	(1)Complete and authenticated



	recently ended interim financial period for the applicant. For newly-formed applicants, provide the latest available financial statements.		Standards) adopted by the IASB (International Accounting Standards Board) or U.S. GAAP (Generally Accepted Accounting Principles). This will include a balance sheet and income statement reflecting the applicant's financial position and results of operations. In the event the applicant is a newly formed entity for the purposes of applying for a gTLD and without an operating history, the applicant must submit pro forma financial statements reflecting the entity's projected capitalization for the registry operator. Funding in this latter case must be verifiable as a true and accurate reflection and cannot include prospective funding.	statements are provided as well as (2)unaudited statements for most recent period. 1 - minimally meets requirements: For applicants where audited statements are not available, pro forma financial statements reflecting the entity's projected capitalization for the registry operator are provided. 0 - fails requirements: Does not meet the requirements to score 1 or 2. For example, entity with an operating history fails to provide audited statements.
5 <u>3</u> 0 	CONFIDENTIAL. Provide financial projections for costs and funding using the attached table of numbers template. The start-up period is the time from submission of the application to delegation of the TLD. The projections arespreadsheet is intended to provide commonality among TLD applications and thereby facilitate the evaluation process. Include explanations for any significant variances between years (or expected in years beyond the timeframe of the template) in any category of costing or funding.	<u>0-2</u>	Applicant has provided a thorough model that demonstrates a sustainable business (even if break-even is not achieved through the first three years of operation).	2 - exceeds requirements: (1)Model is described in sufficient detail to be determined as a conservative balance of cost, funding and risk by an <u>industry</u> expert-in registry operations. I.e., funding and costs are highly consistent and are representative of a robust on-going concern. (2)Anticipated ranges in revenue and



			cost are explained in detail. (3)All operations are funded even at negative ends of expected ranges. 1 - meets requirements: (1)Demonstrates resources and plan for a sustainable business. (2)Most important, financial assumptions about the registry services, funding and market are identified. (3)Financial estimates are defensible. (4)Model is described in sufficient detail to be determined as a reasonable balance of cost, funding and risk by an industryexpert in registry operations. I.e., funding and costs are consistent and are representative of an on-going concern. 0 - fails requirements: Does not meet the requirements to score 1
 541 <u>CONFIDENTIAL.</u> (A) Describe and explain the expected costs of setting up and operating the proposed Registry. As described in the Applicant Guidebook, the information provided will be considered in light of 	<u>0-2</u>	Costs identified are consistent with the proposed registry services, adequately fund technical requirements, and is consistent with proposed mission/purpose of	or 2. 2 - exceeds requirements: (1)Cost elements described are clearly and separately tied to



	 information provided in the table of numbers to: 1. maintain registry operations; 2. provide registry services you described above; and 3. satisfy the technical requirements described in the section Demonstration of Technical & Operational Capability above. 	operation experience would agree the costs projected are reasonable for a registry of size <u>and scope</u> described in the application.	 registry services, technical requirements and other aspects as described by
			the applicant. (2)Estimated costs are conservative and consistent with an operation of the registry volume/scope/size as
			described by the applicant. (3)Most estimates are derived from actual examples of previous registry operations or equivalent.
			(<u>4</u>)Conservatives estimates are based on those experiences and describe a range of anticipated costs and use the high end of those estimates.
			1 - meets requirements: (1)Cost elements described reasonably cover all of the aspects of registry operations: registry services, technical requirements
			and other aspects as described by the applicant. Estimated costs are (2) consistent and (3) defensible with



			an operation the registry volume/scope/size as described by the applicant. 0 - fails requirements: Does not meet the requirements to score 1 or 2.
5 <u>41</u> <u>CONFIDENTIAL</u> (<u>B)</u> 2 projected costs.	Describe anticipated ranges in Describe factors that affect	<u>0-2</u>	

those ranges.



Question	<u>Notes</u>	Scoring Range	Criteria	Scoring	
derived from se capital or proce of the proposed (as applicable), I. How existing for both: 1. initial start 2. on-going of II. A description including projec (if the Applicant registration reve costs of the reg clarify how the f be developed a sustainable mai III. Outside sour must (where ap	funds will provide resources up of operations, and perations, of the revenue model ctions for transaction volumes t does not intend to rely on enue in order to cover the istry's operation, it must funding for the operation will nd maintained in a stable and	<u>0-2</u>	adequately provide Sources of capital apart from other po available. The plar from available cap applicable) is desc are documented a prospective source funding required to on-going basis are	are clearly identified and e for registry cost projections. funding are clearly identified, held otential uses of those funds and n for transition of funding sources ital to revenue from operations (if ribed. Outside sources of funding nd verified and must not include es of funds. Sources of capital o sustain registry operations on an identified. The projected revenues the size and projected penetration ets.	2 - exceeds requirements: (1)Existing funds are quantified, segregated and earmarked for registry operations. (2)If on-going operations are to be resourced from existing funds (rather than revenue from on-going operations) that funding is segregated and earmarked for this purpose only in an amount
					adequate for three years operation. (3)Revenues are clearly tied to projected business volumes, market size
					market size and penetration. (4)Assumptions made are regarded as conservative by

Draft – for discussion only – please refer to the disclaimer on the title page of this draft RFP.



industry
expert <u>s</u>
1 - meets
requirements:
(<u>1)</u> Existing
funds are
quantified,
identified as
available and
budgeted. (2)If
on-going
operations are
to be resourced
from existing
funds (rather
than revenue
from on-going
operations) that
funding is
quantified and
its sources
identified in an
amount
adequate for
three years
operation.
(3) Revenues
are directly
related to
projected
business
volumes,
market size
and
penetration.
(4)Assumptions
made are
regarded as
reasonable by
industry expert



			0 - fails requirements:
			Does not meet the requirements to score 1 or 2.
5 <u>5</u> 4	(b) CONFIDENTIAL. Describe anticipated ranges in projected funding and revenue. Describe factors that affect those ranges.		t scored but adds Evaluators to properly estions in this section.
<u>54</u> 5	Provide an explanation for significant variances between years (or expected in years beyond the timeframe of the template) in any category of costing or funding.	This question is not scored but adds detail that enables Evaluators to properly score the other questions in this section.	



Question	<u>Notes</u>	Scoring Range	Criteria	Scoring
554(a) CONFIDENTIAL. Describ contingency planning: ider projected barriers to implementation of your bur plan and how they affect of funding or timeline in your planning. E.g., have you io any particular regulation, la policy that might impact th Registry Services offering'	ntífy any siness ost, lentified aw or e	<u>0-2</u>	Contingencies and risks are identified and included in the cost and funding analyses. Action plans are identified in the event contingencies occur. The model is resilient in the event those contingencies occur. Responses address the probability and resource impact of the contingencies identified.	 2 - exceeds requirements: (1)Model identifies thoroughly the key risks and the chances that each will occur: operational, business, legal, and other outside risks. (2)Action plans and operations adequately resourced in the existing funding and revenue plan even if contingencies occur. 1 - meets requirements: (1)Model identifies the key risks with sufficient detail to be understood by a business person with experience in this area. (2)Response gives some consideration to probability of contingencies identified. (3)If resources are not available to fund contingencies in the existing plan, funding sources and a plan for obtaining them are identified. 0 - fails

Draft – for discussion only – please refer to the disclaimer on the title page of this draft RFP.



					requirements: Does not meet the requirements to score 1 or 2.	
5 <u>67(b)</u>	<u>CONFIDENTIAL.</u> Describe your contingency planning where funding sources so significantly under run your business plan that material deviations from your implementation model are required. In particular, how will on- going technical requirements be met?			This question is not scored but adds detail that enables Evaluators to properly score the other questions in this section.		
5 <u>68(c)</u>	<u>CONFIDENTIAL.</u> Describe your contingency planning where activity volumes so significantly exceed the high projections that material deviation from your implementation model are required. In particular, how will on-going technical requirements be met?			This question is not scored but enables Evaluators to properly questions in this section.		
5 <u>7</u> 9	<u>CONFIDENTIAL.</u> Continuity: provide evidence of ability to fund on-going basic registry operations for then existing registrants for a period of three to five years in the event of registry failure, default or until a successor operator can be designated. The detail must include the amount and timing of funding required for sustaining these operations at the activity levels planned. This obligation can be met by: securing a financial instrument such as a bond or letter of credit (i.e., evidence of your ability to provide financial security guaranteed by a credit worthy financial institution); contracting with and funding a services provider to extend services; segregating funding; or other means.	As an alternative to this requirement, ICANN is considering the option of a pooled registry continuity plan where the proposed registry pays a fixed fee in addition to the application fee to fund an outsourced entity to provide name resolution services.	<u>0-3</u>	Documented evidence or detai on-going basic registry operati registrants for a period of three of registry failure, default or un can be designated. Evidence of fund this requirement prior to of requirement must be met prior registry. As an alternative to th considering the option of a poor where the proposed registry pa the application fee to fund an of name resolution services.	ons for then existing to five years in the event til a successor operator of financial wherewithal to lelegation. This to delegation of the is requirement ICANN is	Note: In addition to the scoring below, applicant must satisfy the requirements to achieve a <u>2</u> or <u>3</u> or <u>4</u> -in scoring as a prerequisite to delegation. 3 - exceeds requirements: Bond or other financial instrument is secured:



formal
agreement is
made and fully
funded with
back-up
services
provider; or
other financing
and
arrangements
are in place to
provide for on-
going
operations of
five years in
the event of
failure.
2 - exceeds
requirements:
Bond or other
financial
instrument is
secured;
formal
agreement is
made and fully
funded with
back-up
services
provider; or
other financing
and
arrangements
are in place to
provide for on-
going
operations of
three years in
the event of
failure.



1 - meets requirements:
Separate
funding is
identified and
instrument is
described to
provide for on-
going
operations of
at least three
years in the event of
failure.
0 - fails
requirements:
Does not meet
the
requirements
to score 1 or
more.

