

GNSO Working Session Saturday | Chat Transcript [EN]

Lars Hoffmann: (6/20/2015 08:59) Welcome to ICANN53 and the GNSO Weekend Session, Saturday 20 June 2015.

Lars Hoffmann: (08:59) If you are participating remotely and would like to be added to the queue, please raise your virtual arm and we will add you to the queue. Alternatively, you can type a question or comments into this chat window - marking them clearly as such.

Lars Hoffmann: (09:08) Please note that all presentations can be found here:

<https://community.icann.org/x/SYMOAw>

Lars Hoffmann: (09:12) First item on the agenda is the Update from the SCI

Volker A. Greimann: (09:23) as per usual, if the remote participants have questions, please raise your hands in this room

Lars Hoffmann: (09:24) Next item on the agenda is an Update from the IGO-INGO Access to Curative Rights PDP

Lars Hoffmann: (09:35) Up next is a Program Status update from Sarmad Hussein on IDN Variant

Lars Hoffmann: (09:35) Please note that all presentations can be found here:

<https://community.icann.org/x/SYMOAw>

Lars Hoffmann: (09:36) If you are participating remotely and would like to be added to the queue, please raise your virtual arm and we will add you to the queue. Alternatively, you can type a question or comments into this chat window - marking them clearly as such.

Carlos Raul: (09:50) Thank you

ken stubbs - Afiliias: (09:58) please keep speaker closer to mic

Avri Doria: (10:08) noticed that the Americas (N&S) were not prepresented in the work. Not only do we have French, Portugese and Spanasih, but we have written indigenou languagues. Are any of these to be covered at some point?

Mary Wong: (10:12) @Avri, did you mean for the LGR development panels?

Avri Doria: (10:18) yes. do the LGR also include the Latin?

Lars Hoffmann: (10:19) Next up ta very quick update on the Purpose of gTLD Registration Data.

Bladel: (10:19) Are the slides being cut off (on the right side) for others, or just me?

Mary Wong: (10:19) @Avri, the scripts for the LGR panels were selected based on the new gTLD applications.

Bladel: (10:20) Thanks!

Lars Hoffmann: (10:27) Next up is an update on the new gTLD Auction Proceeds CWG Drafting

Amr Elsadr: (10:27) There was a limit per group on how many could join the charter drafting team. The volunteer number might have been larger if this limit didn't exist.

Volker A. Greimann: (10:28) thanks Amr, I will read it out

Amr Elsadr: (10:28) Thanks Volker.

Marika Konings: (10:29) @Amr - I think the issue was that we actually got more than 2 reps from each groups, not necessarily volunteers from all groups :-)

Volker A. Greimann: (10:29) or do you want to raise it over the phone?

Amr Elsadr: (10:29) @Marika: The NCSG was directed to appoint no more than two members of the charter drafting team. There was a lot of interest in joining it, but a lot of interested applicants had to be turned down.

Marika Konings: (10:29) the limit was suggested to keep the DT manageable and ensure the focus is on the charter drafting - the substantive discussion is for the CWG

Amr Elsadr: (10:30) @Volker: I could go ahead, and speak to this myself. Thanks.

Volker A. Greimann: (10:32) ok, you are in the q

James Gannon: (10:39) But we are not exclusive, I myself spent many months on the CWG as an independent from ICANN participant

Olivier Crepin-Leblond 2: (10:39) apologies for now being in the GNSO meeting room but I am in another meeting. I would like to convey the ALAC's apologies for not contributing to the charter drafting working group due to lack of resources. May I please be added to the charter drafting team? Members of At-Large will look forward to participating in the working group.

Amr Elsadr: (10:40) @Chuck: Technically, GNSO WGs are more open to "membership" than CCWGs. ;-)

James Gannon: (10:42) THATS FOR THE WG TO DISCUSS AND IM SURE IT WILL BE AN OPTION

James Gannon: (10:42) OOps caps

Lars Hoffmann: (10:48) Meeting is now on a 15min break. We will start again with an update from the Policy and Implementation Working Group

Lars Hoffmann: (11:03) The meeting is restarting now with a presentation of the Final Report from the Policy & Implementation Working Group

Lars Hoffmann: (11:03) The presentation for this agenda item (and all others) are online:

<https://community.icann.org/x/SYM0Aw>

Amr Elsadr: (11:04) Is someone speaking already?

Lars Hoffmann: (11:05) yes.

Lars Hoffmann: (11:05) you cannot hear it?

Wolf-Ulrich Knoben: (11:05) Amr, did you stand up?

Amr Elsadr: (11:06) Getting no audio on the bridge. Only the AC room.

Terri Agnew: (11:06) @Amr, we are working on audio

Amr Elsadr: (11:06) @WUK: Stand up? :)

Amr Elsadr: (11:07) Now lost audio in the AC room too.

Wolf-Ulrich Knoben: (11:07) @Amr: Chuck was asking the WG members to stand up :-)

Amr Elsadr: (11:07) Ah. Missed that bit. :)

Amr Elsadr: (11:09) Audio working on the bridge now. Thnx.

Mary Wong: (11:09) Here is the link to the Policy & Implementation WG's Final Report:

<http://gnso.icann.org/en/issues/policy-implementation/pi-wg-final-recommendations-01jun15-en.pdf>

Mary Wong: (11:09) The Principles being mentioned by Chuck are in Chapter 4

Michael R. Graham: (11:09) Thanks for getting audio back. Good morning all.

Amr Elsadr: (11:10) Hi Michael. Also participating remotely?

Michael R. Graham: (11:10) Yes -- from Seattle, and you?

Amr Elsadr: (11:10) Tromsø. Arctic circle. :)

Michael R. Graham: (11:11) A bit warmer here, I presume.

Amr Elsadr: (11:11) :)

Michael R. Graham: (11:12) Audio out again.

chokri: (11:12) yes

Amr Elsadr: (11:12) That sucks. Still working for me, though.

Guest: (11:13) Is there a scribe feed?

Amr Elsadr: (11:13) Not for this meeting. I don't think so.

Glen de Saint Gery 2: (11:14) no there is no scribing for these meetings, but transcripts will be posted

Michael R. Graham: (11:15) Back, thank you.

Mary Wong: (11:15) FYI there is a live audiostream (just in case):

<http://buenosaires53.icann.org/en/schedule/sat-gnso-working>

Guest: (11:17) the live audiostream link is not working for me

Avri Doria: (11:18) the CCWG stuff is not nearly done. this will come to the Board before that.

Mary Wong: (11:20) However, it's important to remember also that these processes are primarily designed to provide the GNSO with more nimbleness and flexibility, so they may not be appropriately or easily translatable to broader processes.

Amr Elsadr: (11:20) I agree Mary.

Tech: (11:22) @guest - do you have have the audio turned on

Tech: (11:22) it would be green

Tech: (11:22) and i am able to hear audio as well

Guest: (11:23) I do. It is working now

Guest: (11:23) But I frequently get error messages saying the stream isn't found

Amr Elsadr: (11:27) Probably important to also note that the recommendations of the WG include that it is the GNSO Council that would determine which process is most appropriate to address any given topic.

Michael R. Graham: (11:27) Part of rationale for development of these processes was to ensure there is a flexible, expeditious process to address issues raised during the implementation of Policy. And the request for Input or Guidance could come at any point during the implementation -- even years down the road from first implementation. @Bret, of course, as well.

Michael R. Graham: (11:29) Optional processes where a PDP would not be sufficiently nimble or required.

Mary Wong: (11:29) @Michael, all - let us know if you want us to read out any of your comments/questions; or raise your hand in AC so we can flag that you'd like to speak up

Michael R. Graham: (11:30) Will do. I don't have good audio to speak, but will if appropriate -- Thanks!

Michael R. Graham: (11:33) Part of rationale for development of these processes was to ensure there is a flexible, expeditious process to address issues raised during the implementation of Policy. And the request for Input or Guidance could come at any point during the implementation -- even years down the road from first implementation. @Bret, of course, as well.

Lars Hoffmann: (11:35) @Michael - since we moved on from this point, we will not read out your comment at the moment. This chat is part of the record though so it is not lost.

Avri Doria: (11:35) the thing to remember is that the judgement of the council is required in deciding whether something merits being an EPDP instead of a PDP.

Michael R. Graham: (11:36) @Avri -- Yes -- to avoid having it used to "break the scab"

Marika Konings: (11:38) and it may also be important to note that the main difference between the PDP and EPDP is that there is no Issue Report phase - all the other checks and balances are in place.

Amr Elsadr: (11:39) Yes..., criteria number 2 on the slide is why there would be no issues report phase for an EPDP.

Avri Doria: (11:41) and so if there is an issue that needs to be scoped in a report, the EPDP is the wrong tool.

Amr Elsadr: (11:41) Yup.

Mary Wong: (11:41) @Avri, yes - and it would be for the Council as manager of the process to have that discussion and decision

Amr Elsadr: (11:42) What Bret is suggesting: Is that common practice with traditional PDPs?

Donna Austin, RySG: (11:43) I would agree with Bret's point about notification, and note that it is probably more important now given the large number of new Registry Operators in the last 12 months.

Avri Doria: (11:43) no, i do not think so, but perhaps it should be.

Marika Konings: (11:43) @Donna - what role would the RySG/RrSG play in this regard?

Avri Doria: (11:44) as i was reminded when i asked a similar question : not all registries are members

of the RysG

Amr Elsadr: (11:44) Would have been helpful to get this input during the public comment period on the initial report. :)

Marika Konings: (11:44) Wouldn't those groups flag it to their members before it gets to the Council for a vote?

Mary Wong: (11:44) Presumably when the issue is raised to the Council then each SG rep would inform his/her constituency/group?

Donna Austin, RySG: (11:44) Good point Marika, and one we probably should explore. I would note that not all contracted parties are members of the RrSG or the RySG.

Bladel: (11:44) @Marika: One would hope so ! But then no assurances it would be widely read (& understood)

Avri Doria: (11:45) of course that could be one of the selling points of joining the RySG.

Marika Konings: (11:45) I don't think there is any specific notification to contracted parties currently when a PDP is initiated on an issue that may affect their contractual obligations. Only when changes to contractual obligations are implemented are all contracted parties notified.

Marika Konings: (11:46) but the Council / SG / C may want to put further thought into how to ensure how all directly but also indirectly affected parties are aware of policy development activities and how they can participate in these processes.

Michael R. Graham: (11:53) @Chuck -- Excellent call-out to those fundamental principles!

Anne Aikman-Scalese, SCI Chair: (11:55) It may also be fruitful to consider how these tools may be applied in connection with GNSO response to GAC advice.

Lars Hoffmann: (11:56) Next up a quick update on PDP Update

Lars Hoffmann: (12:05) Next up an update from Bret Fausett on the new gTLD Subsequent Proedures Discussion Group

Lars Hoffmann: (12:05) If you are participating remotely and would like to be added to the queue, please raise your virtual arm and we will add you to the queue. Alternatively, you can type a question or comments into this chat window - marking them clearly as such.

Michele Neylon: (12:09) Lars - so you've moved from hands to full arms?

Michele Neylon: (12:09) I know that ICANN likes to expands its scope, but really?

Michele Neylon: (12:09) /me ducks

Lars Hoffmann: (12:13) i thought i'd start a new season

Mary Wong: (12:14) @Michele, maybe you should be glad that Lars picked just the arm (the AC icon actually shows the entire upper body) :) :)

James Gannon: (12:17) Hes obviously sticking to the limited misson =)

Volker A. Greimann, RrSG (Key-Systems): (12:35) Wednesday 24 June at 07 :45 – 08 :45 in RETIRO A

Bladel: (12:42) Heather makes some good points.

Bladel: (12:42) Esp. about how every issue is becoming a cross-community effort.

Amr Elsadr: (12:50) Good point Stephanie.

Avri Doria: (12:51) As someone siad, it is a done deal, lets just try it and see how we adapt and it accomodates.