

## GNSO Standing Committee for Improvements Implementation (SCI) | Chat Transcript

Amr Elsadr: (6/20/2015 08:12) If I'm not mistaken, it isn't a resubmitted motion without the explanation.

Amr Elsadr: (08:14) Rudi is correct. Without fulfilling the requirement of the explanation before the 10-day deadline, the resubmitted motion is not properly submitted.

Avri Doria: (08:17) so Amr, are you saying they still have to submit the explanation 10+ days ahead, even if the motion doesn't come until after the 10 days?

Amr Elsadr: (08:18) I thought the whole point of this project was to allow for a waiver of the 10 day rule, so that it wouldn't apply to the motion/explanation.

Avri Doria: (08:18) my problem with legal language is that it looks like it is clear, but then as every court in the world shows, you need a judge to actually tell you what the legal language says.

Amr Elsadr: (08:19) or more accurately, so that there could be a waiver to the rule.

Amr Elsadr: (08:19) @Avri: True. :)

Amr Elsadr: (08:19) But it really is quite straight fwd.

Amr Elsadr: (08:20) resubmitted motions require explanations, and waivers of the 10 day rule require full consensus. A combo of both prerequisites could work.

Amr Elsadr: (08:21) Sorry. Couldn't dial in.

Avri Doria: (08:21) Amr, obviously to me it was not straightforward.

Amr Elsadr: (08:21) The operator didn't answer.

Terri Agnew: (08:22) will alert operator or if you provide me your phone number we can dial out to you

Avri Doria: (08:22) can't we turn on audio for the AC for him?

Mary Wong: (08:23) I think that with the ICANN Meeting setup for AC, you either dial in or listen to the livestream.

Julie Hedlund: (08:24) It is at: <http://stream.icann.org:8000/eze53-retirob-en-lo.m3u>.

Julie Hedlund: (08:24) The livestream, that is.

Terri Agnew: (08:25) We are dialing out to Amr at this time

Terri Agnew: (08:26) Amr is on audio

Amr Elsadr: (08:26) i'm on the bridge now.

Mary Wong: (08:26) OK Amr - we'll indicate to Anne

Mary Wong: (08:27) Thanks!

James Gannon: (08:32) Most likely it will have been a clarification rather than a position change

Avri Doria: (08:38) in which case, why could not they submit it in time. the waiver rule is for emergencies, not regular practice.

Amr Elsadr: (08:39) That's a good point Avri, but how could it hurt. Seems to me that more flexibility in the rules is better.

James Gannon: (08:40) Could there be a situation where the motion was timesensitive however?

Amr Elsadr: (08:40) @James: That is the concern.

Mary Wong: (08:41) But in either case we would not use the word "pursuant" :) :)

Amr Elsadr: (08:41) We really should have done this a year ago. :)

James Gannon: (08:42) Building in specific exceptions can be a slippery path

Amr Elsadr: (08:43) This hasn't happened in the past at all.

Lori Schulman: (08:43) Suggested alternatives to "pursuant" -- "in accordance with" or "under"

Amr Elsadr: (08:43) Thanks Lori. :)

James Gannon: (08:51) Its the beginning of the future of icann

Amr Elsadr: (08:51) We can't help being rabblers. :)

James Gannon: (08:51) Constructive activists Amr =)

Amr Elsadr: (08:57) Thanks all.

Amr Elsadr: (08:57) Would like to note though that NCUC doesn't have council reps. ;-)