

ADOBE CONNECT Chat Transcript  
**Enhancing ICANN Accountability Engagement Session I**  
**19 October 2015 from 10:15-11:45 IST**

Jimm Phillips: (10/19/2015 09:57) What's the url for the captioning  
>transcript?  
> MTS - Chuck: (09:58)  
><https://www.streamtext.net/player?event=Auditorium19Oct2015>  
> Jimm Phillips: (09:58) thanks!  
> Mathieu Weill, ccNSO, co-chair: (10:21) Hello and welcome  
> Alice Jansen: (10:21) Welcome to the Enhancing ICANN Accountability  
>Engagement Session !! Please note that this session is being  
>recorded. All chat sessions are being archived and follow the ICANN  
>Expected Standards of  
>Behavior:<http://www.icann.org/en/nes/in-focus/accountability/expected-standards>. Hillary and I are the voice for remote participants and will  
>be reading your comments and questions today. When submitting  
>comment/question that you want me to read out loud of the mic, please  
>provide your name and affiliation if you have one then start your  
>sentence with a <COMMENT>/<QUESTION> and end it with  
><COMMENT>/<QUESTION>. Text outside these quotes will be considered as  
>part of chat and will not be read out loud on the mic.  
> Becky Burr: (10:23) hello all  
> Jordan Carter (.nz, WP1 rapporteur): (10:23) hi all - we'll try and  
>keep an eye on the room too  
> Sébastien (ALAC): (10:25) Thanks Jordan  
> Sébastien (ALAC): (10:26) Good to do that in different languages  
> Sébastien (ALAC): (10:26) I know that it is not easy  
> Sidley: (10:27) Sidley in the participants list is Holly Gregory.  
> Dietmar Stefitz: (10:29) Is there a way to switch to original  
>language in his platform?  
> Cheryl Langdon-Orr - CLO: (10:29) thanks for that I'd Holly  
> Sébastien (ALAC): (10:29) No in Adobe just one canal (in english)  
> Alice Jansen: (10:29) Links to all languages are available on session  
>page  
><https://meetings.icann.org/en/dublin54/schedule/mon-enhancing-accountability>  
>ili  
>ty  
> Sébastien (ALAC): (10:30) but you can join other tool with other  
>languages  
> Holly J. Gregory (Sidley): (10:30) I have resigned in now .  
> Dietmar Stefitz: (10:30) Thanks  
> Seun Ojedeji: (10:32) You resigned @Holly?  
> Holly J. Gregory (Sidley): (10:33) No. Apologies -- I have signed  
>in again  
> Cheryl Langdon-Orr - CLO: (10:33) auto correct. Holly re assigned her  
>name

> Cheryl Langdon-Orr - CLO: (10:36) we also welcome a hub joining us  
>from Pakistan... WELCOME

> Dave Kissoondoyal: (10:42) Will the decisions of the IRP be final or  
>they can be overuled by any other instance

> Becky Burr: (10:44) the decisions of the IRP may be appealed in  
>specified circumstances to the entire 7 member panel

> Becky Burr: (10:46) also, the IRP does not tell ICANN "how" it must  
>resolve a bylaw violation. It will determine whether an action or  
>inaction violated the bylaws, then ICANN must decide how to address the  
>problem.

> David McAuley (RySG): (10:46) Alice, can you post URL to the scribe  
>caption?

> Dave Kissoondoyal: (10:46)  
><https://www.streamtext.net/player?event=Auditorium19Oct2015>

> David McAuley (RySG): (10:46) Thanks Dave

> Alice Jansen: (10:46) Thanks, Dave !

> Dave Kissoondoyal: (10:46) Welcome

> David McAuley (RySG): (10:48) Good points, thanks Becky

> Cheryl Langdon-Orr - CLO: (10:52) welcome to the hub from Brazil

> Cheryl Langdon-Orr - CLO: (10:53) we now have hubs running. in  
>Kenya, Dubai, Pakistan and Brazil

> Alice Jansen: (10:55) Reminder - When submitting a comment/question  
>that you would like to read out loud of the mic, please start your  
>sentence with <COMMENT>/<QUESTION> and end it with <COMMENT>/<QUESTION>

> Bruce Tonkin: (10:56) Great slides. Is a copy of those slides  
>available somewhere?

> Mathieu Weill, ccNSO, co-chair: (10:57) Great work by Hillary, Alice,  
>XPLANE and staff !

> Hillary Jett: (10:57) @Bruce the slides were circulated to the CCWG  
>here:  
><http://mm.icann.org/pipermail/accountability-cross-community/2015-Octob>  
>er/  
>006966.html

> Matthew Shears: (10:57) yes, good clear slides - should consider  
>using more of these visuals in the next iteration of the proposal

> Jordan Carter (.nz, WP1 rapporteur): (10:58) Definitely will be, M.

> robin Gross [GNSO - NCSG]: (10:58) yes, great pictures

> Alice Jansen: (10:59) You can also find the slides on the  
>CCWG-Accountability wiki -  
><https://community.icann.org/pages/viewpage.action?pageId=56143659>

> Anne Aikman-Scalase - IPC: (11:00) This is an excellent presentation  
>- very clear and easy to understand. Thank you!

> Alan Greenberg: (11:00) I think that Steve said 2 ACs plus @ SOs. I  
>thought is was any 4 ACs or SOs.

> Steve DelBianco [GNSO - CSG]: (11:01) Right, Alan

> Jordan Carter (.nz, WP1 rapporteur): (11:01) as per the slide as it  
>shows now

> Anne Aikman-Scalase - IPC: (11:01) @Alann - I think any two can raise

>at early stage after pre-call - later taking action requires 4 I think  
>if there are 7 participating.

> Alan Greenberg: (11:03) @Anne. yes. I \*thought\* I heard Steve say  
>that to exercise a power requiring 4, this it required 2 ACs plus 2  
>SOs. and I thought it was any 4 ACs or SOs.. I can live with either, I  
>was just asking which it was.

> Alice Jansen: (11:05) You are more than welcome to ask questions and  
>provide input. When submitting a comment/question that you would like  
>to read out loud of the mic, please start your sentence with  
><COMMENT>/<QUESTION> and end it with <COMMENT>/<QUESTION>

> Anne Aikman-Scalese - IPC: (11:06) @Alan - I think I thought any 4 of  
>the 7, not two from each. Steve?

> Steve DelBianco [GNSO - CSG]: (11:06) @Alan -- the proposal is that  
>ANY  
>4 of the 7 ACs and SOs would signal support for exercising a Community  
>Power such as blocking a budget, approving a fundamental bylaw,  
>recalling the entire board

> Holly J. Gregory (Sidley): (11:06) Great slides -- well done Hillary,  
>Alice, XPLANE and staff (+1 @Mathieu)

> Steve DelBianco [GNSO - CSG]: (11:07) The support threshold would any  
>3 ACs/SOs for exercising community powers to block a regular bylaw,  
>remove an individual director, or launch a community-based IRP

> robin Gross [GNSO - NCSG]: (11:08) people have to climb over desks to  
>get to the microphone though

> Anne Aikman-Scalese - IPC: (11:09) Thanks Steve. Okay - so at  
>present we have two classes of community powers - one that requires 3  
>of the 7 and one that requires 4 of the 7.

> Jay Sudowski (I2C): (11:11) Just came here to say the same thing  
>about the microphone situation, it is not very well setup for people  
>being able to get to the microphones

> Steve DelBianco [GNSO - CSG]: (11:11) And our proposed consensus rule  
>would require those levels of support and no more than 1 objection from  
>ACs/SOs

> Anne Aikman-Scalese - IPC: (11:11) Could the sound people activate  
>some roving mikes?

> Arthur Zonnenberg: (11:12) <QUESTION> What influence will these  
>community powers give to contracted parties when renegotiating their  
>own contract? <QUESTION>

> robin Gross [GNSO - NCSG]: (11:12) good idea, Anne

> Alice Jansen: (11:14) Thank you for your question, Arthur. My  
>colleague  
>- Hillary - will read it in the room.

> Hillary Jett: (11:16) @Anne, Robin, unfortunately we do not have  
>roving microphones. Apologies for any inconvenience.

> James Gannon: (11:18) Its near impossible to get people out of seats  
>and up to the mics

> Milton Mueller: (11:19) QUESTION: There were many public comments  
>questioning the role of ACs in the membership or designator structure.

>Why has the group on stage not commented on this? It is unclear whether  
>ACs and SOs should have the same status/QUESTION

> Jay Sudowski (I2C): (11:20) <QUESTION> With regards to the caretaker  
>budget, has any consideration been given to the potential impacts to  
>human capital at ICANN? Would employees of ICANN continue to get paid  
>during this kind of dispute

> Jonathan Zuck (IPC): (11:22) @Jay, this is a great question and has  
>been raised. Xavier is considering carefully what would go into a  
>caretaker budget. As discussed we would not send anyone home but we  
>might hold off on making the 5 new hires for project X, for example.  
>The point would be to minimize any "human" suffering as the result of a veto.

> Jordan Carter (.nz, WP1 rapporteur): (11:23) Bertrand's points have  
>been canvassed and considered carefully by the CCWG but the bulk of  
>feedback supports the proposed approach.

> Dave Kissoondoyal: (11:24) Very good question from Bertrand

> Jordan Carter (.nz, WP1 rapporteur): (11:24) The process stepped out  
>on the slide is designed to make sure the community perspectives are  
>expressed and listened to

> Jordan Carter (.nz, WP1 rapporteur): (11:24) but we could not, based  
>on the feedback we have had, move the proposal to be in line with the  
>opinion he has expressed

> JJS: (11:24) @Bertrand +1.

> Brett Schaefer: (11:26) Jonathan -- I just arrived in the room, so I  
>apologize if you covered this, but is the amount of the caretaker  
>budget still under discussion? The Board wanted the previous budget  
>plus 10 percent, which strikes me as lessening the pressure on the  
>Board to heed community concerns that led to the veto in the first place.

> Jonathan Zuck (IPC): (11:29) Hi Brett. It's a new concept. the  
>"caretaker" budget is the minimum budget for the organization to run so  
>it's actually a lower number than this or any year's budget

> Dave Kissoondoyal: (11:29) waiting for the term to end and not  
>re-electing the board member as mentioned by Chris Despain frankly  
>defeats the element of accountability as the board member could just be  
>elected and waiting the end of his full term to totally unacceptable

> Brett Schaefer: (11:30) Jonathan, thanks. A better approach.

> Jonathan Zuck (IPC): (11:31) yes, inspiration from Cherine

> Alice Jansen: (11:36) Co-Chairs have just announced that the queue is  
>now closed. Please note that the CCWG-Accountability will receive a  
>transcript of this chat. You are more than welcome to keep posting  
>comments.

> Jordan Carter (.nz, WP1 rapporteur): (11:37) Ken Stubbs: the answer  
>to your question is in Para 407 of the second draft proposal: "No new  
>call to consider the removal of that same director can be made during  
>the term they are serving on the Board following a vote to remove them  
>failing or no decision being made."

> Cheryl Langdon-Orr - CLO: (11:40) reminder if you have questions and  
>can not make the microphone list Please type your question here in Chat

> Jonathan Zuck (IPC): (11:40) WE have a working session coming up soon

>so that will be a good time for those who just sat down to get back to  
>the microphone

> Chris Disspain: (11:42) Mathieu..superbly well handled re the queue

> Jordan Carter (.nz, WP1 rapporteur): (11:46) this session will run to  
>11.50am

> Jordan Carter (.nz, WP1 rapporteur): (11:49) Working session begins  
>at 2pm at Liffey B

> Cheryl Langdon-Orr - CLO: (11:49) allwelcome to that session. and we  
>will have more engagement sessions later in the week...

> Wolfgang: (11:50) Axel 1+

> Alice Jansen: (11:50) You can listen to the CCWG-Accountability's  
>working session taking place today at 13:00-17:30 UTC - see  
><https://meetings.icann.org/en/dublin54/schedule/mon-ccwg-accountability>  
>for details

> Cheryl Langdon-Orr - CLO: (11:54) thank you all.

> Andrew Sullivan: (11:55) I did want to get a more general comment on  
>the record, speaking for myself

> Andrew Sullivan: (11:55) The progress I'm observing on accountability  
>measures is tremendous.This community deserves sufficient power to  
>ensure that ICANN actionsreflect community will. That's how any kind of  
>multi-stakeholderorganization ought to work: the power rests in the  
>community. Yet newpower arrangements must not themsselves create new  
>accountabilityissues. And of course, we owe the transition to the rest  
>of theInternet. Other operational communities have invested in  
>thattransition. If we can't all make it happen together, we'll lose  
>thepeople's confidence. We'd have to face bad options in disunity.  
>Soplease, keep working to place practical, legitmate, and  
>appropriatepower in community hands so the transition can go ahead in  
>areasonable time frame. Thanks for the hard work.

> Alice Jansen: (11:56) Thank you all for attending this session -  
>archives will be made available at  
><https://meetings.icann.org/en/dublin54/schedule/mon-enhancing-accountab>  
>ili  
>ty