Assessment of CCWG proposal by CWG

Lise Fuhr, CWG co-chair

Marrakech, 8 March 2016

Why conditionalities to CCWG proposal?

- The two working groups have been working in parallel.
- This was to avoid overlapping work and to ensure consistency.
- So the CWG IANA Stewardship Transition made their proposal conditional on certain requirements in the CCWG proposal.

Dependencies

1. ICANN Budget
   Community rights regarding development and consideration

2. ICANN Board
   Community rights, specifically to appoint/remove members, recall entire Board

3. IANA Function Review
   Incorporated into the bylaws

Dependencies

4. **Customer Standing Committee (CSC)**
   Incorporated into the bylaws

5. **Appeals Mechanism**
   Independent Review Panel should be made applicable to IANA Functions and accessible by TLD managers

6. **Fundamental bylaws**
   All foregoing mechanisms are to be provided for in the bylaws as “fundamental bylaws”

First response to the CCWG draft proposal

In December the CWG wrote a response to the CCWG third draft.

- The response highlighted two issues in the draft which needed further work:
  - IANA Functions Budget
  - Appeal Mechanism

Dependencies – CWG requirements

The CCWG draft proposal had the following issues:

IANA budget

- Lacked detail
- Specific acknowledge that the CWG will develop a process for the budget review

Appeal Mechanism

- Needed a possibility to appeal action or inactions of the PTI

Dependencies – CWG requirements

CWG has worked closely with CCWG to address these issues

- The changes to the IANA functions budget now meet the requirements
- The changes to the appeal mechanism also meet the requirements.

The actual assessment

The 29 February 2016 the CWG confirmed that the CCWG-Accountability Supplemental Final Proposal on Work Stream 1 Recommendations meets the requirements of the CWG Stewardship Final Transition Proposal