•	•	٠	•	٠	•	•	•	٠	•	٠	•	٠		٠	٠	•	•	٠	٠	•	•	•	•	•	•	٠	٠	٠	•	٠	•	•	•	٠	٠	٠
•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	٠	•	•	•	٠	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•
•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•
	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•		•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•

DNS anycast in CZ

Jaromir Talir • jaromir.talir@nic.cz • 7.3.2016



Agenda

- IP structure
- Geographical structure
- Software and hardware diversity

CZ.

- DNS hosting
- Thoughts about future

IP structure

- Since 2009 we have 4 anycast prefixes
 - IPv4 + IPv6
 - Change of policy in RIPE (only 1 anycast prefix allowed at that time)
 - Names are [abcd].ns.nic.cz
- Until 2013 we had 1 unicast using Vienna university IP address
 - Decision to drop it in favor of stronger anycast
 - Blocked by IANA MinimumNetworkDiversityCheck



IP structure

- We asked RIPE for another AS
 - Got 200070
 - RIPE requirement is to have "new unique routing policy" for new AS limitation for using this AS
 - [abd].ns.nic.cz distributed from AS25192
 - c.ns.nic.cz distributed from AS200070
- There are planned changes in IANA technical checks to relax this rule

CZ.

- Vienna (AT) global + VIX indirectly
- Frankfurt (DE) global + DECIX directly
- London (UK) global + LINX indirectly
- Stockholm (SE) global
- Tokyo (JP) local in JPNAP directly
- Redwood City (US) global
- Reston (US) global
- Santiago de Chile (CL) global

- Two physical servers in hosted environment
- Both servers announces single anycast prefix
 - Each server has BGP connection with host
 - One server has lower metric
 - No load-balancing negotiated with host
- Host expect any of our prefixes
 - We can easily switch to another anycast prefix
 - We can potentially announce all prefixes



https://www.nic.cz/infrastructure/



CZ_NIC CZ DOMAIN REGISTRY

- Measurement of reachability
 - Several pingable addresses selected in each country - based on DSC statistics
 - Ping from one anycast to this address
 - Listening on every instance one should see the response.
- Currently RIPE Atlas is much better tool for such analysis

Geographical structure – local in CZ

- Upgrade in 2016
- 6→15 servers
- $1Gb \rightarrow 10Gb$
 - based on CZ.NIC Labs performance tests we selected network cards Intel X520

CZ.

• $8GB \rightarrow 32GB RAM$

Geographical structure – local in CZ

- Geographically distributed, but in a single VLAN
- Load balanced via BGP multipath
- Each server announce single prefix
 - 5x A, 5x B, 5x D
- 3 Tranzit providers (each 10Gb)
- Local IXPs
 - 2x 10Gb to NIX.CZ
 - 1x 10Gb to NIX.SK

Software diversity

- Operating systems
 - Ubuntu, Debian, OpenBSD
- DNS servers
 - Knot, NSD, Bind
 - All 3 installed on each server
- BGP servers
 - Bird, Quagga, OpenBGPD

CZ.

Orchestrated by Ansible

Hardware diversity

- Servers
 - Dell, HP, Intel
- Switches
 - Juniper EX4550, Cisco Nexus 5548
 - Tried Brocade ICX 6650 but not satisfied
- Routers
 - Juniper MX480, Cisco ASR9006
 - Tried Brocade CER2024C-4X-RT but not satisfied

CZ

DNS hosting

- We offer secondary DNS hosting for a few other registries
 - Angola it.ao, co.ao
 - Tanzania tz, ac.tz, go.tz, ne.tz, co.tz, or.tz
 - Macedonia mk
- Free of charge
 - Partner registries that use our open source registry solution Fred https://fred.nic.cz
 - Anyone interested?

Thoughts about future

- Better distribution of prefixes?
- Virtualization?
- More locations?
 - We are looking for partners

CZ.

• TLD at ISP?

•	٠	٠	•	٠	•	•	•	•	•	٠	٠	٠		٠	٠	•	•	٠	٠	•	•	•	•	•	٠	٠	٠	٠	•	٠	٠	٠	•	٠	•	٠
•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	٠	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	٠	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•
•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•
	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•		•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•

Thank You

Jaromir Talir • jaromir.talir@nic.cz

