

ICANN|56 | POLICY
HELSINKI | FORUM
27-30 June 2016





IGO/INGO Identifiers Protection Policy Implementation

Implementation Review Team Meeting | 28 June 2016

Agenda

1

Session
Objective

2

Project
Background

3

Project
Status

4

Implementation
Approach

5

Community
Feedback

6

Next Steps

Session Objective

- ⦿ This Policy Implementation Review Team session at ICANN56 is open to public
- ⦿ Community is invited to learn about this policy implementation project, ask questions and provide inputs to the Implementation Team
- ⦿ Implementation Project Team consists of ICANN Staff and Implementation Review Team (IRT)
- ⦿ Implementation Review Team is made up of community volunteers:

Jean-François Vanden Eynde – Nameshield Registry
Brian Cimbolic – PIR
Crystal Ondo - Donuts
David Maher – PIR
Petter Rindforth - Fenix Legal KB

Lori Schulman - INTA
Mike Rodenbaugh - Rodenbaugh Law
Jim Bikoff - SGR LLP
Holly Lance - SGR LLP

Project Background

This Policy Development Process (PDP) was initiated to develop policy recommendations for the provision of protection for identifiers of certain International Governmental Organizations (IGOs) and International Non-Governmental Organizations (INGOs), including the Red Cross/Red Crescent Movement (RCRC) and the International Olympic Committee (IOC).


The PDP Working Group completed its work in November 2013 and its consensus recommendations were approved by the GNSO Council. In April 2014, the ICANN Board adopted those of the PDP recommendations that were “not inconsistent” with GAC advice received on the topic, and requested more time to consider the remaining, inconsistent recommendations.

The adopted recommendations relate to protection at the top and second level for specific RCRC, IOC and IGO names (with an Exception Procedure to be designed for the affected organizations), and a 90-days Claims Notification process at the second level for certain INGO acronyms.

Policy Recommendation Scope Tracking

Org	Board Resolution (A): “Not Inconsistent” Implementation Authorized	Board Res (B) GNSO differing GAC Implementation Not Authorized
RCRC	Reserve Full Names of Red Cross, Red Crescent, Red Crystal, Red Lion & Sun at Top and Second levels in the 6 UN languages with Exceptions Procedure	189 National RC Societies: permanent protection vs 90-days claims only? Full name only vs acronyms also for ICRC and IFRC, etc?
IOC	Reserve Full Names of OLYMPIC and OLYMPIAD at Top and Second Levels in UN6+German, Greek, & Korean with Exceptions Procedure	No difference
IGO	Reserve Full Names of IGOs in GAC List at Top and Second Levels, in 2 languages with Exceptions Procedures	Protection for Acronyms? 90-days or permanent claims? Access to Curative rights? + other ?
INGO	Reserve Full Names of INGOs in ECOSOC General List at Top Level with Exceptions Procedure; Second Level: TMCH+90-days Claims Protection for INGO Full Names on ECOSOC General and Special Lists	No difference

Current Activities on IGO/INGOs Protections



This Policy Implementation Project is focused on the
“GNSO Recommendations Not Inconsistent with GAC Advice”

Implementation Plan and Status

- ⦿ **Secure Initial Authoritative Lists of Identifiers and Required Data**
 - RCRC, IOC, INGO Identifiers – Complete
 - INGOs Contact Data – Complete
 - IGOs Identifiers – seeking “up to two languages” from GAC

- ⦿ **Define List Update Process**
 - INGO – in development working with UNDESA
 - IGO/IOC/RCRC - in definition with IRT

- ⦿ **Develop Systems and Processes**
 - Full name reservation process
 - Use current system/process
 - 90-day claims system
 - TMCH-like system in design phase

IGO/INGO Identifier Initial Lists Status

Lists	Status	Action
RCRC	Received; complete	No further action
IOC	Received; complete	No further action
IGO	Incomplete; Received in one language only	Letter to GAC requesting the “up to two languages” list (17 June 2016)
INGO	Received; complete	No further action

IGO/INGO Identifier Lists Update Process

◉ INGO

- List Authority: ECOSOC List – UNDESA
- Annual updated list provided by UNDESA to ICANN
- ICANN provides the updated list to Claims system

◉ IGO

- List Authority: GAC
- GAC may provide updated list “time to time” per policy recommendation
- ICANN updates the reservation list upon receipt

◉ RCRC

- No policy recommendation language received regarding updates
- Follow the same process as IGO

◉ IOC


- No policy recommendation language received regarding updates
- Follow the same process as IGO

INGO Identifier 90-Day Claims System/Process

⦿ Design approach in consideration

- Coordinate and collaborate with UNDESA as the ECOSOC authority
- UNDESA provides ECOSOC list with Contact Data to ICANN
- ICANN does not evaluate or adjust the ECOSOC list; use the list exactly as received
- ICANN produces DNS labels using the same algorithm used for existing Spec. 5 Reserved Names List
- If the length of any label produced by the algorithm is not between 1 and 63, there is no resulting label
- The list and data are bulk loaded to the Claims System
- A notice similar to the TMCH notices will be provided
- New entries to ECOSOC list can only be made through UNDESA as the list authority
- UNDESA provides an updated ECOSOC list to ICANN on an annual basis per UNDES update cycle
- ICANN updates the Claims System with each update from UNDESA

Current Timeline Assumptions for Implementation



Required Deliverables for Key Milestones

- ⦿ **Implementation Plan Release for Public Comment (target August 2016)**
 1. INGO: Agreement with UNDESA for ECOSOC list
 2. INGO: 90-day claims solution defined
 3. IGO, IOC, RCRC list update process defined
 4. Draft consensus policy language document
 - with implementation notes (community input?)
- ⦿ **Announce Policy Effective Date (February 2017)**
 1. IGO list: up to two language from GAC
 2. IOC List
 3. RCRC List
 4. INGO List: ECOSOC list with contact info
 5. Claims system designed and ready to implement
 6. Implementation Notes for Registries
 7. Final Consensus Policy Language
- ⦿ **Policy Effective Date (6 months from announcement)**
 1. The Policy Language posted
 2. Claims system operational

Next Steps for Implementation Team

- ⦿ Pursue MoU with USDESA
- ⦿ Define 90 day claims system
- ⦿ Define list update process for IGO, IOC, and RCRC
- ⦿ Develop Draft Consensus Policy Language for Public Comment

⦿ Next scheduled IRT Meetings

- IRT call 28 July 2016
- IRT call 25 August 2016 (last review before Public Comment)

⦿ IRT Community wiki page

<https://community.icann.org/pages/viewpage.action?pageId=54694212>

Other Meetings of Interest at ICANN56

Tuesday, June 28 • 13:30 - 15:00

[GNSO IGO-INGO Access to Curative Rights Mechanisms Policy Development Process WG](#)

The PDP WG considers whether or not existing processes such as the Uniform Dispute Resolution Policy and the Uniform Rapid Suspension procedure should be modified, or possibly a new process developed, to address the specific needs of international governmental organizations (IGOs) and international non-governmental organizations (INGOs)

Thursday, June 30 • 11:00 - 11:30

[IGO/INGO Names and Acronyms Protections](#)

The objective of the session is to update the GAC on the developments on this issue and recommend further discussion from the GAC

Engage with ICANN



Thank You and Questions

Reach me at:

Email: dennis.chang@icann.org

Website: icann.org



twitter.com/icann



[gplus.to/icann](https://plus.google.com/icann)



facebook.com/icannorg



weibo.com/ICANNorg



linkedin.com/company/icann



flickr.com/photos/icann



youtube.com/user/icannnews



slideshare.net/icannpresentations



ICANN|56 | POLICY HELSINKI | FORUM

27–30 June 2016

**Tell us what you think of the Policy Meeting Format.
Download the ICANN56 Mobile App and complete a
short survey.**

meetingapp.icann.org

