

The background features a complex, abstract pattern of concentric circles and scattered, multi-colored squares (in shades of blue, green, purple, and black) on a light gray background. The circles are composed of many small, overlapping segments, creating a textured, mosaic-like effect. The squares are scattered across the scene, some appearing as solid shapes and others as faint outlines.

CCPDP-RM

ICANN 74 – Policy Update

202206

Background

- (1994) RFC 1591 – section 3.4 “The Internet DNS Names Review Board (IDNB), a committee established by the IANA, will act as a review panel for cases in which the parties can not reach agreement among themselves. The IDNB's decisions will be binding.”

Background

- (2015) CCNSO-FOI – section 4.8 “Note: The FOIWG believes it is consistent with RFC1591 (section 3.4) and the duty to act fairly to recognize the manager has the right to appeal a notice of revocation by the IANA Operator to an independent body.

Background

(2015) CWG Stewardship Transition - FINAL Report - DT-B - Recommendation - The CWG recommends not including any appeal mechanism that would apply to ccTLD delegations and redelegations in the IANA stewardship transition proposal.

Background

(2017) Charter for the Working Group Review Mechanism of ccTLDs - 1.1 Goal - The goal of the working group (WG) is to report on and recommend a policy for a review mechanism with respect to decisions pertaining to the delegation, transfer, revocation and retirement of (ccTLDs).

Background

The CCPDP-RM held its first meeting on March 25, 2020.

CCPDP-RM - Principals

- Low-cost of Process - The total costs of the process and costs for individual parties should be as limited as possible in comparison to litigation in courts.
- Limited Duration of process - The total duration of the review mechanism process should be limited to ensure the stability of the DNS and the availability of the ccTLD.

CCPDP-RM - Principals

- Accessibility of the process - Non- costs thresholds and barriers should be low and reasonable ensuring easy access to the procedure to the relevant stakeholders.
- Fundamental Fairness - Due process, with due notices, opportunity to be heard, being aware a matter is pending, making an informed choice whether to contest before the appropriate (independent) body.

CCPDP-RM – Progress since ICANN 73

- The CCPDP-RM received and considered ICANN Legal's response to questions regarding review mechanisms. ICANN Legal also noted that there was a question regarding the interpretation of the CWG Stewardship Transition recommendation for ccTLDs and its implementation in the Bylaws. The CCPDP-RM will consider this issue at its upcoming meetings.

CCPDP-RM – Progress since ICANN 73

- Continued the development of a draft review mechanism which meets the CCPDP-RM Principals.
- The CCPDP-RM expects to finalize the draft review mechanism prior to ICANN 75.

Highlights of the draft review mechanism

- Panelists reviewing a case would be certified specialists with respect to ccTLD matters.
- ccTLD Managers and applicants for a new ccTLD would be eligible to use this mechanism.
- Applications for a review can be undertaken without formal legal support.

Highlights of the draft review mechanism

- Objective of the panel is to decide if there were significant issues associated with the IFO decision that is being reviewed.
- If the Panel finds there were significant issues and the IFO does not address these the review mechanism can advise the ICANN CEO or the Board.



Questions?

Information on the CCPDP-RM

- Wiki
<https://community.icann.org/display/ccnsowkspc/Policy+Development+Process+%28ccPDP3%29+-+Review+Mechanism>
- Chair: Stephen Deerhake - Stephen@deerhake.as
- Vice-Chair: Eberhard Lisse - el@lisse.na
- Staff Support:
 - Bart Boswinkel - bart.boswinkel@icann.org
 - Bernard Turcotte - Turcotte.Bernard@gmail.com
 - Joke Braeken - joke.braeken@icann.org
 - Kimberly Carlson - Kimberly.Carlson@icann.org