

---

ICANN75 | AGM – At-Large Leadership Wrap Up (1 of 2)  
Thursday, September 22, 2022 – 15:00 to 16:00 KUL

YEŞİM SAĞLAM:

Hello, and welcome to At-Large Leadership Wrap-Up Part 1. My name is Yeşim Sağlam and I'm the remote participation manager for this session. Please note that this session is being recorded and is governed by the ICANN Expected Standards of Behavior.

During this session, questions or comments submitted in chat will be read aloud if put in the proper form as noted in the chat. Talking via audio, if you're remote, please wait until you are called upon and unmute your Zoom microphone. For those of you in the main room, please raise your hand in Zoom, and when called upon, unmute your table microphone.

For the benefit of other participants, please state your name for the record and speak at a reasonable pace. On-site participants may pick up a receiver and use their own headphones to listen to interpretation. However, please remember to take off your headsets when using the table microphones in order to avoid the interference. Virtual participants may access the interpretation via the Zoom toolbar.

With that, I will hand the floor over to Maureen Hilyard, ALAC chair. Thank you.

---

*Note: The following is the output resulting from transcribing an audio file into a word/text document. Although the transcription is largely accurate, in some cases may be incomplete or inaccurate due to inaudible passages and grammatical corrections. It is posted as an aid to the original audio file, but should not be treated as an authoritative record.*

MAUREEN HILYARD: Well, we only seem to be a small group here at the moment. But let me tell you, this is some time for celebration. We are in the last two sessions of our formal At-Large program. As you can tell by my colleague to my left, he's just ecstatic.

JONATHAN ZUCK: I want five more days.

MAUREEN HILYARD: Right. Well, we've got quite a busy program, actually, and we've got a ton of speakers coming in our second session. But this session itself is going to be quite busy. We will of course start with the normal debrief with people being able to contribute about their views on how they think the ICANN meeting went for At-Large over the last week. We've got a few updates that we'd like to make brief updates because there we've got quite a lot happening. And then I wanted to give the regional chairs an opportunity to make mention of people who are leaving and people who are incoming within their regions over the next period.

Then, Jonathan, I will make some comment about what we're going to be doing in the incoming year. That's for this session. Of course, for the next session, I mean, we normally have it in one

---

go. But in a way, it's probably just as well we're having a bit of a break. But we'll have our ICANN guests coming along. This has actually been a tradition that the Board and the CEO have attended. During my chair anyway, every session, the final session of our ICANN meeting, they actually participated and provided some Board and Org perspectives on how the meeting has gone for them, which is really good to hear. Then we'll do a there'll be a little brief farewells and welcomes to the ALAC members during that session, and a couple of reports from Lilian and Roberto before we go through the traumatic time of the ALAC chair transition and some announcements, whatever they are.

So let's start off with highlights of ICANN75, Jonathan, especially in regards to the policy activities this week. That should be a good place to start.

JONATHAN ZUCK:

Thanks, Maureen, and hello, everyone. Good afternoon. It's fun to just be able to say good afternoon. All the virtual meetings we've had for so long, it's really exciting to be together with all of you, especially for such a significant meeting. I think that our idea to spend the weekend on kind of our internal work and spend the week in collaboration with others was a good plan and one that we should continue to try to do in the future.

---

Because the real reason to come to ICANN meetings is less to talk to each other and more to talk to the broader community and to get and form those relationships that kind of lubricate the policy development process and the reforms within ICANN, etc. that we're missing during the pandemic times.

There were a number of policy discussions that took place over the course of the week. There were discussions from the Contracted Party House on DNS abuse. We had a discussion in our face-to-face with the GNSO. We had a discussion about the results of the DNS Abuse Small Team and what their recommendations were going to be. And one of those recommendations in particular came straight from the At-Large community, which is a deeper exploration of the impact of so-called bulk registrations when people get a whole bunch of related TLDs at once. Should there be some friction introduced into that process because there's such a high correlation with DNS abuse?

So we've heard some of the results there. In fact, Chantelle, I don't know if you want to do a little read-out from Justine from that session. This might be an appropriate time to do it.

---

CHANTELLE DOERKSEN: Sure. Thanks, Jonathan. This is Chantelle from staff, and I'm going to read out a couple of statements from Justine Chew, the ALAC liaison to the GNSO.

So the first statement is in relation to the Operational Design Phase, ODP concept. The ICANN Board's answer regarding the Operational Design Phase concept seemed to suggest that there won't be a review of the ODP in relation to its effectiveness, etc. Regardless, the ALAC can send advice to the ICANN Board, expressing what At-Large thinks about the ODP.

In brief, the ODP is good for transparency but the timing of its activities is also important. It would greatly help the community, especially those who are involved in the various PDPs to know what ICANN Org thinks about the direction or draft recommendations that are emerging from the PDP deliberations, especially if they think they are not implementable. This would allow for any needed course correction at the right time. In fact, the GNSO Council has suggested to the ICANN Board to consider moving much of the activities currently undertaken in the ODP earlier to when the PDP is still ongoing and not after the PDP final report and recommendations have been sent to the ICANN Board for consideration.

---

I can also inform you that as a matter of practice, the leadership of the EPDP in Internationalized Domain Names is already moving in this direction. We're even trialing a pilot to incorporate risk analysis to help inform the EPDP's deliberations for certain character questions. Assuming there is a will to improve the chartering of PDPs to include a role for ICANN Org, i.e., the Global Domain and Strategy to feature, then I wonder if we want to try and also incorporate a stronger reference to the public interest angle or application of the global public interest framework in the development of a PDP charter through the GNSO processes.

Then the second statement is in relation to the ALAC GNSO meeting, and the statement is on DNS abuse. I think we had a pretty good start in discussion with the GNSO, especially regarding the report and recommendations of the Council Small Team on DNS Abuse. An action item would be to organize a single issue CPWG call as a follow up, given that the time of ICANN75 didn't allow for the small team to provide deeper context as contained in the report. I think the GNSO needs to do a better job of explaining what contracted parties have done as well as new steps related to updating certain DNS abuse-related clauses in the RA and RAA. This is also an area which ALAC has expressed as important, in addition to investigating the use of

---

predictive analytics, whether on bulk registrations or more and adoption of KYC as preventative solutions to DNS abuse.

JONATHAN ZUCK:

Thanks, Chantelle. That last bit was a report from the meeting that we had here, which was very important because there used to be regular meetings between the ALAC and the GNSO, and it's been quite a while since the last one. So it was great to have them here and have those open conversations together with the GNSO.

The policy focus of the At-Large for the near future really does surround the next round of applications for new strings. And there's just so many different components to that, but fundamentally we're trying to maximize the benefit that a new round could have to the communities that matter to us and to applicants from underserved regions, etc., and making sure that we're doing everything we can to make that a success.

Then the other half of our objective is to minimize the risks. Those of you that were here in 2012 know that it was a lot like jumping off a cliff and trying to build an airplane as we fell to the ground, and not everybody made it. It was a launch with a lot of missteps. So getting it right this time, I think, and then for future times, it makes a lot of sense. So a lot of the conversations we're having here are part of that overall kind of policy inflection

---

point, whether it's a DNS abuse and mitigating the risks there, the work we heard from the SSAC on their studies related to name collisions and the risk management associated with those, we have become part of what was originally a bilateral discussion between the GNSO and the GAC on the topic of closed generics because that has strong end user implications.

So Greg and Alan are going to be leading our efforts to participate in those discussions as well, continue to be active on the issue of geo names and trying to promote the idea of some kind of notification when somebody attempts to register a geo name. That's something that has been near and dear to us. Even though it's difficult for us to build a cohesive consensus around it, it's a very difficult topic and one that I think we're going to have to continue to discuss as we participate in community discussions.

So there's been quite a bit going on. There was progress. If you were in the Board meeting that they've passed resolutions related to the new IDN policies, also something that's very important to the At-Large community is the inclusion of people that would prefer to use the Internet in their own script. We gave a brief overview from a survey that we did of individual end users in the Hindi belt in India, and their reactions to the possibility of IDN scripts and how that might affect their willingness to register new domain names for themselves or to



---

make use of government services via a browser. The message is generally a positive one, that IDNs would really be welcomed by the community. So hopefully, on the strength of this survey, which will continue to kind of sort out in the next couple of months will lead to the opportunities to do more surveys and on more topics. That was just a pilot, but I think it was a successful pilot to demonstrate to the organization that we were good stewards of those resources and capable of producing some interesting results.

Probably our number one operational objective for the next year is the implementation of the new rules regarding ALS and individual member mobilization. It's something that we've spent a lot of time on. Alan led a group on the ALSes, and Roberto was the head of the individual mobilization effort. So we have those in place, but we really have to get on top of implementation of them. So the RALOs and the ALSes are going to be front and center. Many of the RALOs are having their general assemblies in the coming year, and this topic is going to be front and center at the general assemblies. So it might be our number one sort of operational issue for the At-Large. So that's something that reaches into the entire substructure of the At-Large instead of just the CPWG and the ALAC and interaction with ICANN. So this is something we need to take care of ourselves to maximize our own effectiveness within the ICANN community. So some

---

progress was made on those fronts at this meeting as well. But it's just the beginning of what we need to do to make sure that we implement them. Beyond that, I'd be happy to open it up for anybody that would like to report on outside sessions they attended that they think are relevant to this group, if they want to give a short update. Holly?

HOLLY RAICHE:

Thank you. I think the fragmentation discussion was terribly important. I think was well done. I think the point was made. Well, look, there are many definitions of fragmentation. But if you're actually looking at the future of the Internet and what it is and how it can fragment, I'd suggest that people who didn't attend that session look at the session, but also there's some really good references in there to what is meant by fragmentation. So that in terms of our discussions, it's something that's sitting there hanging over this particular group that people should be aware of. Thank you.

JONATHAN ZUCK:

Thanks, Holly. Alan Greenberg, please.

ALAN GREENBERG:

Just a very quick note on the ALS, an individual implementation. A lot of the work is going to rest on staff. But it would be really

---

useful if we have ALAC members and perhaps RALO people as well working along with the staff, proofreading documents, making sure that what we're producing makes complete sense and is operational. The last thing we want to do is write a whole bunch of rules and processes, and then find out they don't work.

JONATHAN ZUCK: Thanks, Alan. Bill, go ahead. I guess introduce yourself, too.

BILL JOURIS: Yeah. I'm Bill Jouris, I'm incoming but not in an explosive way, incoming ALAC member for NARALO. I don't know if any of you got to the little cheering ourselves on session on the IDN root zone effort. But in case you aren't aware, we have finally got to the point done—the IDNs where the vast majority of scripts and the vast majority of languages are now in, done, and ready to go. So when we get to next ground, we actually can do the IDN stuff because we've got the infrastructure built. There may be a couple of languages that aren't quite there yet, but we've got most of them and covering most of the population of the world, so hallelujah.

JONATHAN ZUCK: That's really exciting, Bill. Cheryl Langdon-Orr?

---

CHERYL LANGDON-ORR: Thank you very much. I just want to raise two points. The first one is anybody who didn't experience with us the Geopolitical plenary that we just finished, there was a wealth of useful information in there. And even if you were in the room, I would strongly encourage you to get hold of that material and review it with the filter of what it means for you in your area. But I think there was an enormous amount of usefulness there. And the Q&A. Don't miss the Q&A. That was excellent.

The other thing is I have been particularly impressed overall with both what I've managed to experience—and there's not enough of it—the NextGen and the Fellows. They are, this time round, nothing short of extraordinary. To that end as well, recognition of what the APRALO did by bringing that 40 students and tutors and people from the universities around, again, deeply talented. These are people who we will seriously be able to get working in our community. So that was just a recognition of that. But it's up to all of us to now make that magic happen. Thank you, Jonathan.

JONATHAN ZUCK: You're absolutely right, Cheryl. That was a terrific session. So many thanks to APRALO for organizing it. Yrjö?

---

YRJÖ LÄNSIPURO: Thank you. We again at this GAC ALAC meeting, GAC At-Large meeting, we talked about the cooperation and contacts on the ground between ALSes' independence and then the government people who are interested. I think that that sort of idea is getting air under its wings in the GAC. Now it's a two-way street. So also, I hope that in all RALOs the message goes to the ALSes that this is something that they actually should take the initiative and try to see if there are ways to contact and cooperate with other stakeholders, especially the governments. Thank you.

JONATHAN ZUCK: Thanks, Yrjö, very important. Carlos, go ahead. Again, introduce yourself, please.

CARLOS AGUIRRE: Thank you, Jonathan. Carlos Aguirre. I feel more comfortable to speak in Spanish. So, please switch to Spanish.

Several things. First off, it was very interesting and comforting to once again, as Maureen said, to have a full meeting within our ALAC and in ICANN meeting. Secondly, there have been many interesting meetings with topics that have been quite particular, very deep in nature, and each one has its own particular complexity. With each of the representatives from this committee in those areas, we were able to make the best of it and discuss in depth all of those topics. I believe that we, as

---

Jonathan well said it on Saturday, as ALAC we are trying to look at all the political affairs considering especially the interest of the end users of the Internet.

So I believe we have to look beyond each of these topics that we have discussed like the domain transfers, the closed domains, the DNS abuse. I mean, there's many, many things. But I believe we have to look beyond that when we are talking about the SubPro, when we're talking about these new rounds of gTLDs or the possibility of a second round for gTLDs, because those that are suggesting that we have the second round for gTLDs are the people from the GNSO, fundamentally, the CPH. When I was part of the GNSO, the ones that are managing the GNSO, regardless of the fact that there is a Non-Contracted Party House, it is the contracting parties that actually make the decision. So these contracting parties do not have the same interest that the end users have. They are absolutely different.

I am concerned there need to come up with the second round for gTLDs. I even discussed this with people from the Board. We all agree that there are things that need to be resolved before we go into that second round. For instance, the domain transfers, for instance, the DNS abuse. So, these are issues that need to be resolved before we go into a second round for gTLDs. I particularly don't understand what the business is, and I feel that it's a new round of gTLDs. And more gTLDs is more

---

confusing for the end users. To have 1650 gTLDs is confusing enough today. I cannot imagine how much more confusing it would be for a common user to find more gTLDs in the context of the Internet.

I had several meetings because I started my work at the beginning of the year. And today, I have come to closure of that first year. It took me a while to understand all of this because I have been away from ALAC for 10 years. This is my third term but there was a 10-year gap. So things have evolved and they have changed a lot. So I am concerned about it. Not only do we need to discuss deeper each of these topics but also look beyond that. I believe that there is something that is not of a global interest for the users and we're defending that. So that's all. Thank you.

JONATHAN ZUCK:

Thanks. That's why we're engaged and have a number of different threads going on that very topic. So as I said, it will dominate many of the conversations that we have in the next couple of years. I see Hadia. You've got your hand up. Please go ahead.

HADIA ELMINIAWI:

Thank you, Jonathan. First, I would like to agree with Cheryl that the Geopolitical Developments plenary was extremely a wealth of information. And one of the topics actually that caught my

---

eye was about artificial intelligence, where a European regulation that came out in April of 2021 says that AI use for the purpose of security and management of the Internet is of high risk. So I would add my voice to Cheryl and encourage you to listen to the plenary.

The other thing, I've participated in two PDPs during this meeting, the ccNSO IDN PDP and the GNSO IDN EPDP, where we are developing policies for IDN variants. Again, this is important as well because, as you all know, in the 2013 round no variants were possible because there was no policy in place, and so now the policy is being developed. Thank you.

JONATHAN ZUCK:

Thanks, Hadia. And last intervention, Marita Moll, please.

MARITA MOLL:

Good morning, everyone, or good afternoon for you. No masks here as I'm sitting in a country farmhouse in Middle Germany, but very sad not to have been able to be with you there in KL. However, it's been a great meeting. One of the things I wanted to point out is something that I like doing in these meetings is actually going to the chats between the Board and other stakeholder groups, and looking for areas of where their concerns align with our concerns. And they do come up. So that's something that I tried to contribute to.



---

One thing that did happen that I was able to take the opportunity at the ALAC GAC meeting too, because I had noticed that they had suggested to the Board that there'd be sort of more extensive contextual and qualitative discussions with the Board around strategic priorities, and this of course affect the multistakeholder model issues, and suggested that that could be an area of cooperation between our groups. We'll see if that goes anywhere. But as I say, I find a number of different areas of potential cooperation. NCUC was also talking about the need to take another look at how different types of volunteers are valued or incorporated into the system. So that's it. Thanks. It has been a great meeting.

JONATHAN ZUCK: Thanks, Marita. We have a couple of updates, next on the agenda. The first is from Alan Greenberg on the Data Accuracy. So take it away, Alan.

ALAN GREENBERG: Thank you very much. As many of you know, the ALAC has two slots on the two members on the Data Accuracy Scoping Team, only one of which has been filled. I'd like to make a suggestion to fill the second one. Specifically, many of you know Michael Palage. Michael was chair of the group until as of yesterday, and he has stepped down as chair. Michael has participated in many

---

of our CPWG meetings and I think has made very useful contributions. His views on accuracy I think are very much in support of of ours. And he has, in addition to that, vast experience in ICANN and specifically with Registrars and Registries. It's rather hard to pull the wool over his eyes. I could elaborate but I won't in a public forum. So I would like to suggest to Maureen that she or someone make a motion to the ALAC to appoint Michael as the second member of the Scoping Team.

JONATHAN ZUCK:

I'm not sure this is an ALAC meeting per se. But yeah. So, moved that we appoint Michael Palage as the second member to the Scoping Team. We have a full house here of ALAC members. Is there anybody that's opposed to the appointment of Michael to this team? Go ahead.

DAVE KISSOONDOYAL:

I think the procedure that somebody brings the motion, and then somebody seconds, and then we ask for the members too because it's something that the ALAC is proposing. So as Alan mentioned that I think, Maureen, if you can propose the motion, and then we second and vote for it. Thank you.

---

ALAN GREENBERG: Jonathan is an ALAC member.

JONATHAN ZUCK: I've already proposed a motion. I'm trying to get through it quickly. Is there anybody that's opposed?

DAVE KISSOONDOYAL: Okay. I second the motion then.

JONATHAN ZUCK: All right. Thanks. Is there anyone that's opposed to it? Then I'm assuming everybody's in favor of it and that the motion passes and he's appointed.

ALAN GREENBERG: I suggest you do a count of hands because there may not be everyone here.

MAUREEN HILYARD: Just to note that the motion was actually put onto the e-mail and I think just your suggestion that Michael—I mean, everybody on the ALAC actually—it was everyone? Was it minus one?

---

JONATHAN ZUCK: Okay. If you're a member the ALAC and you support adding/appointing Michael Palage to this committee, raise your hand. Okay. All right. It's a done deal. Thanks, Alan.

ALAN GREENBERG: Thank you.

JONATHAN ZUCK: Then a social media update. Shah Rahman?

SHAH RAHMAN: Hello, everyone. This is Shah Rahman for the record, social media culture from APRALO. Just a few brief before the meeting we started, we have whittled down three weeks' works. It started from 28<sup>th</sup> of August, one week. We have launched several types of videos for the enticement of participants from different regions. We also made around 30 to 40 seconds video from our APRALO leadership team. So that is our reason. We can encourage also the APAC people. It was mostly focused on the At-Large last sessions and meetings' discussions.

Then in the preparation week, we have shared lots of information through the Twitter and Facebook and other social media channels like LinkedIn as well what is going on in preparation. Because most of those who are new, they don't know to the next meeting how effectively they could participate.

---

So the main purpose was to bring in the registration as well, because it was also important to remind them to make the registration with the 14<sup>th</sup> of September so that they can participate in the main meeting.

The main meetings from 17<sup>th</sup> for this time after COVID, lots of participants that didn't have in touch with the ICANN, maybe after the Montreal first in-person meetings, many of the members have lost from our community. So it was our focus to bring back them to our discussions, especially in the At-Large. So my team, we thought to take initiative to bring back the social media contest—me with Laura, Lilian, Alfredo, and Bukola. There was [inaudible] on contest. So we have decided to make the contest only for the Twitter because sometimes it's typical to count all the posts like we have in Facebook, lots of lots of posts but it is difficult to identify who is going to be the most posts. So we have to select some winners to encourage them. So we fixed Twitter contest most and relevant to that of At-Large. We have selected the hashtags icann75, atlarge, askme, that sort of six categories or hashtag.

From the staff side, I have also got good support. They have also announced that in the mailing list as well as in the At-Large Facebook page. So lots of participants have come. So from there until to date, 1 PM, we have got on the posts from them. So I counted them from a software. The top influencer I got from this

---

that is here in the screen. This is the top most posted that came. Others have other sorts of analyzers. I also have but due to the time concern, I could not share it now. But here just to mention that the number showing here is 26. It's like the comments here. And it has another part of that, how many they have posted and all those things. So I want to go to the next slide, please, to announce the top most winners for this contest.

This is the related hashtag I found out from the little analysis of up to date. So I make sure that all this hashtag has been done by them. Yes, next slide, please.

Yeah. Now I'm going to declare the winners in concern with my team. This is the third position. He is in onsite. He's a Fellow, Abdullah Qamar. I guess he's from Pakistan. He has 80 plus posts, and he got the third position.

The next one. This post is really good. So very interesting and lots of retweets and also like from the APRALO pages I found. I think someone from AFRALO will be happy to see that as well. This is very interesting. And the contest is very high. Before this, I was seeing that one of the others that are going to be across this AFRALO. So the time was up. So this is the second position from that I found in AFRALO.

The next one is the first winner of that contest is Syanseke Levy. He has done very good posts as well. So these are the three

---

people, those who had promoted in Twitter. But I told you that it's other social media also have lots of posts as well as comments. So this is the update from my side. I hope that in extra report, I can make some more details. Thank you, everyone.

JONATHAN ZUCK: Thanks so much for your update. Maureen, I think it's back to you.

MAUREEN HILYARD: Okay. At this particular point in time, we felt it was a good opportunity for RALO chairs to make mention of outgoing and incoming members within their RALOs. So I know that we would normally start off with AFRALO but I noticed too that in the list of outgoing and incoming, there wasn't very much of a change. Did I see Seun come in? Yes, Seun?

SEUN OJEDEJI: For AFRALO, if it's about new incoming or outgoing, I don't think we have any of such. It will still be naming the same person, actually, unless you want me to do that. Do I need to do that? Let me just save your time. Thank you.

---

MAUREEN HILYARD:           Actually, can we have Chantelle’s form? Can we have that up? Okay. I know that Satish isn’t available just yet. So who’s after? EURALO?

NATALIA FILINA:            Sorry. Maybe I can continue.

MAUREEN HILYARD:        Yes.

NATALIA FILINA:            It is Natalia Filina speaking. I’m a secretary of EURALO. We have same situation with a set of leaders in our RALO, but we would like to welcome Tommi Karttaavi. Tommy is a new EURALO representative selected by NomCom to ALAC for the next two years. We I would like to thank Pari for your work and say not goodbye, just continue to work together. Thank you very much.

MAUREEN HILYARD:        Thank you very much, Natalia. Satish just come in. But, Eduardo, do you want to do NARALO? We’re actually just doing the regional leaders at the moment.



---

EDUARDO DIAZ: Regional leaders means the chair? Okay. So we have an outgoing NARALO chair. Incoming is Greg Shatan who was the NomCom NARALO rep elected. So he's an outgoing and he participated in the election of NARALO, and he won the position of NARALO, was elected there. That's it, right? No ALAC yet?

MAUREEN HILYARD: Right. I think the most changes are actually in APRALO, and it's really great to see that Satish is here. So you're just in time, Satish.

SATISH BABU: Thanks very much, Maureen. Can we please scroll up to the top? All right.

So we managed our leadership transition reasonably well. We have a bit of churn among the leaders. The chair, that's me, I'm outgoing. Both the vice chairs, Amrita and Lianna, both of them are outgoing as well. So we have a total transformation in the top level in the leadership team of APRALO.

The incoming, there is continuity as well. So we have Amrita coming up as the chair of the RALO. So that is continuity. We have two new people, new community members, Gunela and Aris coming in. What has happened is that Lianna has actually resigned because of her personal commitments. So in that

---

interim role, we have Gunela who will serve for one year. And the regular two-year term that Amrita was occupying, Aris who's occupying that chair. And I will be moved to ALAC. So quite a bit of change for APRALO. Thank you. Back to you, Maureen.

MAUREEN HILYARD: Thanks, Satish. Okay. So I think with LACRALO, which is the only one that's left, the regional members are the same. And it's just the ALAC members who are now—

AUGUSTO HO: Hello. Indeed, the outgoing member is Sindy Obed, and Marcelo Rodriguez is our incoming member. He is next to me. So I am very happy that he made it safe and sound after an odyssey of many hours waiting at the airport in order to be able to travel. So he made a great effort to be here with us. Thank you very much for having this team. These are the changes for LACRALO. Thank you.

MAUREEN HILYARD: Thank you, Augusto. Okay. So there's lots of changes, lots of changes within each of the regions. I would just like to say and add my thanks to those regional leaders and the ALAC members who have been mentioned and how much I've actually appreciated the support that we've actually been given with,

---

that the ALAC has been given, that the At-Large has been given from the work that has been done within the RALOs, very much appreciated. Really looking forward to, especially as I will be working a little bit more actively within my APRALO region under a new chair and new vice chair, that's sort of like a whole new change, really, but it's a new beginning, fresh start for a lot of us. I think that it bodes well for a great start for our new chair who has got about five minutes to talk about 2023 or vision.

JONATHAN ZUCK:

I didn't know I had five minutes. Thanks, everyone. I'm Jonathan Zuck. I am the chair-elect of the ALAC. I'm honored and humbled by being selected for this position. I have been spending the week learning how much I don't know about all of the inner workings of the At-Large structures and community. I've been safely ensconced in my Policy Committee and working on one thing, and that's been a luxury in many respects. And this is a very different undertaking for me but I'm very excited to do it.

I think that the At-Large community has a great deal to offer the policy development and community development inside of ICANN. The At-Large has had ups and downs in terms of its reputation within that community. I think that we have a kind of sacred mission to represent the interests of individual end users. Because if we're honest, the mission of everyone in this building

---

today is to serve the interests of individual end users, whether it's Facebook in the Business Constituency or it's GoDaddy in the Registrar Constituency, when all is said and done, this whole purpose of all the work that goes into the Internet is to make it function for the broad number of people whose interests we attempt to represent. At the core of that I think is being focused on those interests. Focus on bringing that unique perspective to the discussions that take place sometimes at the expense of our own opinions. That is what will increase our credibility within the ICANN community, increase our influence in terms of ICANN policy, and ensure that changes that are made and events that take place within the ICANN's remit are done with the best interests of end users at heart.

I think that, as I mentioned earlier, one of the biggest things we're going to be working on is this sort of virtuous round trip through the RALOs and the ALSes and mobilizing ALSes and their members, both in terms of activation to get messages out to end users, but also feedback as we discuss issues that more directly affect end users like closed generics or geo names, and things like that. So getting our own house in order I think should be our number one priority for the coming year. I'm really excited to work with all of you to do that so that we're a stronger, more articulate, more serious, and more influential organization within this greater ICANN organization. I think the folks here in

---

the room are the ones to do it. So I'm excited. I thank you. And I'm really excited for the coming year.

This diagram, I didn't know this was happening, I apologize. Do you have the organigram? Yeah, I hate this organigram. I don't hate the content of it. I hate the design of it because I'm putting something on the screen that none of you have any possibility of being able to see or read or necessarily comprehend. So we need to find a way to represent this information. But what is obvious from this diagram is the variety of people that are engaged in the work that we do.

You can see across the top is the whole At-Large Advisory Committee, including on the right-hand side the At-Large leadership team in the form of the two new co-chairs. Well, one new co-chair, which is Maureen, who's going to be focused on some outreach and engagement. And Joanna, I wish that she was here. Laura Margolis and Dave Kissoondoyal remain in the roles that they have. They've played so well in the past year.

Below this is the larger leadership team that we have that involves all the regional leaders so that we make sure that we're getting a regional perspective in all the discussions that take place at the ALAC level, so that we are able to make sure that those policies we put in place are fully fleshed out across the community. There's an At-Large advisory group that involves

---

past chairs of the ALAC who have so ably kept the rest of us honest and afloat as we continue on. We also have a former Board member here as well in the form of Sebastien. So we have a lot of advisors to help us navigate our way through the efforts of the ICANN community.

Below that are—I don't know, maybe expand. Is there a way to blow this up so that we are looking at the left-hand side of the blue level there, so that people can see? There's these pillars, if you will, for the work that we do. There's the operations issues which is our relationship to the ICANN organization and how ALAC interacts with that organization. And that's where Marita has been so successful with the multistakeholder model and the evolution of that and what that's going to look like. We have Dave again there.

Policy at the ALAC level has been focused on me and Joanna, and that will continue to evolve. I continue to believe that policy is at the core of what we do. I think it's easy to forget that the reason we are here is to participate in policy discussions inside ICANN and that everything else we do is in service to that goal. It's recruiting people to participate in those conversations. It's doing engagement in order to make sure that our participation is well informed. Its outreach to make sure that the end user community to the extent we're capable is aware of the decisions that are being made here. But all of that in the end is in service

---

to policy development inside of ICANN, and I think that remains central to all that we do. There's Outreach and Engagement and Maureen is going to be working together on that with Laura. I don't know, Maureen, if you want to say a couple of words about what you're trying to do there.

MAUREEN HILYARD: Probably not at this point of time because Heidi is hurrying us up.

JONATHAN ZUCK: Okay. Yeah. But we also have important working group leaders. We have the Overhead and Budgets Working Group that Holly and Ricardo have been leading. We have the Consolidated Policy Working Group that I've been heading up along with Olivier. And Hadia has stepped up to be the new co-chair of the CPWG alongside Olivier. Then we also have Outreach and Engagement with Daniel and Natalia. So there's a real infrastructure here in terms of all the things that we're trying to accomplish, and then there's working group members from all over the community that are participating in the policy development all around ICANN, and we value that all of you are doing.

Sorry, that's a little bit of a mishmash of a presentation. But we'll work on that. But if nothing else, if you take a step back from this, you can see all the faces of the people that are engaged in

---

these various activities, and you should find your photo there. And if you don't, let's make sure we see your photo on the next version of this diagram. So thank you. Back to you, Maureen.

MAUREEN HILYARD: Thank you. Thank you so much. Okay. We've got a bit of a break before we get the ICANN Board and the CEO. So we're going to be taking up most of the chairs along here so we might have to remove some people. Thank you.

**[END OF TRANSCRIPTION]**