ICANN80 | PF – ccNSO: ccNSO Council Meeting
Thursday, June 13, 2024 – 13:45 to 15:00 KGL

JORDAN CARTER: Do a few more audio tests, if that's okay Claudia? Is it a good time to do that? Hello, my name is Jordan, and I will be speaking during the session today. I'm testing my audio to confirm that the technical service providers can hear me clearly.

OLGA CAVALLI: Can I do my audio test? This is Olga. Okay. Hello, my name is Olga Cavalli, and I will be speaking during the session today. I'm testing my audio to confirm that the technical service providers can hear me clearly. Thank you.

CLAUDIA RUIZ: Okay, good audio so far. Thank you. Olga, when you take the floor, if you could just please speak slowly for our interpreters. That's all. Thank you. Are we ready to begin? For Olga, yes. Okay, please start the recording.

Hello, and welcome to the NSO council meeting. My name is Claudia Ruiz, and I am joined by my colleagues, Bart Boswinkel and Joke Braeken, and we are the remote participation managers for this session.

Please note, this session is being recorded and is governed by the ICANN Expected Standards of Behavior. During this session, questions or comments submitted in chat will only be read aloud if put in the proper form. I will read questions and comments aloud during the time set by the chair or moderator of this session. Interpretation for this session will include English, Spanish, French, and Arabic. Click on the interpretation icon in Zoom and select the language you will listen to during this session.

If you wish to speak, please raise your hand in Zoom room and once the session facilitator calls upon your name, kindly unmute your microphone and take the floor. Before speaking, ensure you have selected the language you will speak from the interpretation menu. Please state your name for the record and the language you will speak if speaking a language other than English. When speaking, be sure to mute all other devices and notifications. Please speak clearly and at a reasonable pace to allow for accurate interpretation.

This session includes automated real-time transcription. Please note, this transcript is not official or authoritative. To view the real-time transcription, click on the closed caption button in the Zoom toolbar. To ensure transparency of participation in ICANN's multi-stakeholder model, we ask that you sign into Zoom using your full name. For example, a first name and a last name or a surname. With that, I will now hand the floor over to Alejandra Reynoso, Chair of the ccNSO. Thank you.

ALEJANDRA REYNOSO: Thank you very much, Claudia. This is Alejandra Reynoso. Welcome, everyone to the ccNSO Council Meeting 206. I would like to ask right now to all the councilors to please connect to Zoom and to not forget to add to your council ID, the words ccNSO council or councilor, because we will need Zoom for voting. I will put the link to the Wiki corresponding to this session, where related material and the recordings of the sessions will be posted. It is now in the Zoom chat.

So today, we have 75 minutes, and I propose that we take the items four to nine that are the admin updates and the working groups and liaisons updates from the meeting and not spend time of them. Is it okay with everyone? Yes, I see thumbs up in the room. Thank you. So before I move on with the agenda, is there anyone who would like me to know already that there is another business? I don't see any hands in the room or in the Zoom. So, okay. With that, Claudia, may I please ask you if we are quorate?

CLAUDIA RUIZ: Yes, we are quorate.

ALEJANDRA REYNOSO: Thank you so much. Does any counselor have any update to their statement of interest? Seeing no hands in the room or in Zoom. With that, let's move to item two. We don't have anything in the relevant correspondence this time because we have not received any correspondence regarding topics on this agenda.

Now on minutes and action items, the minutes were shared on the 27th of May on 2024, and as you may have seen, we have slightly different format. Do you have any comments regarding the new format or suggestions? I don't see any hands in the room or here. So if you are comfortable with that format, may I ask you to please use your green tick in Zoom or your red cross in Zoom just to confirm that we will continue to use it? Okay. Okay, Pablo, noted your green tick. But please join the Zoom room as soon as possible. Okay. So with that, we will continue to use this format. Thank you, all.

Now moving to the action items. As you will have noted, all the action items have been completed and some items are on the agenda. Any comments regarding the action items? I see none in the room and no hands raised in Zoom. So let's move on. Well, we just agreed, but just to confirm, unless anyone objects, I want to move now to item 10 of the agenda. No objections.

So moving to item 10, this is the update of chair, vice-chairs, councilors, regional organizations, and secretariat. From my side, this ICANN meeting, there was no roundtable of SOC chairs due to the high-level governmental meeting. However, the chairs did meet, and we discussed the topics that we were going to discuss already in the roundtable to prepare for the third session and these topics were around the continued topic on risk aversion, the reviews, their referrals, and theory versus practice, and also, bylaw amendments.

Another update that I had is that I had a brief meeting with Tripti and there were some interesting points of the topics we discussed, and one of them was the governance models across ccTLDs. I told her that we did a study a while ago and it was suggested that, well, not suggested, actually. I said, maybe it was time to refresh it, and maybe to keep it updated as much as possible. So this is something that we should work on. And also, I did mention the upcoming CSC review, and its relation to the lack of progress on the bylaw amendment that we recommended in the previous CSC review. So, we'll see how that goes.

Does anyone else want to raise a point or any other update right now? Nick?

NICK WENBAN-SMITH: Yeah. So thanks, Alejandra. I'm just curious as to why Tripti is interested in the governance models of the ccTLDs, specifically, what led to that conversation?

ALEJANDRA REYNOSO: Well, since there are other events especially related with the ITU, for example, and this topic keeps raising up as maybe governments should be the ones, well, managing the ccTLDs. So there was a curiosity to know how was the spread of government-managed ccTLDs and the rest. So this is where it started. Any other comment or update? Seeing none in the room and please, to the secretariat, if you see any hands up, please let me know. It is a little bit difficult with the interpreter's channels as well, but thank you to the interpreters for your work.

So with that, now we move on to the administrative matters. We are getting into the main thing of the agenda. So we are in item 11, approval of the board report ccPDP4, the selection of IDN ccTLD strings and variants. So as you can see, we are now asked to approve the board report. As noted in the report itself and in the draft resolution in front of us, the board report includes three additional elements. Our resolution from San Juan, by which we adopted the final report of the working group and designated part 1 of the final report as the council recommendation.

And as third element, the results of the members vote on the council recommendation. I want to use this opportunity again to thank the ccPDP working group for their work and bringing the ccPDP to conclusion from our perspective. I also want to note that this closes over seven years of policy development work, starting with the drafting of the issue report of ccPDP3 more than seven years ago. Before we move on, any questions or comments? No hands in the room, no hands in Zoom. Okay, so we have a resolution in front of us. May I have a mover? Okay, I see. Olga moves and Pablo seconds. And we have a decision in front of us.

I would like to ask for the sake of time and that we have many resolutions, if you would like me to read them. No? Okay. So the decision is in front of us. Any questions regarding the decision? No? Then we move to voting. The voting goes through Zoom, as you know. Green ticks if you agree and red crosses if you object or abstain. I saw Peter's hand up, but then it went down. So I think it was reaching for green tick. Okay, I see all green ticks. Thank you very much. This has passed and thank you once again to the working group for their huge job.

So moving along, now we're in item 12, the adoption of the ccNSO fiscal year 25-26 portfolio of activities. Although some of you were not able to join the council workshop session that we had on the 30th of May, those of you who did will remember that we had the chairs and vice-chairs of various committees and groups, and that we had an extensive run through the ccNSO portfolio. And that we'll reach the upcoming two years. The conclusion of this workshop was that all activities were captured and scheduled. Once the portfolio is approved and adopted, this overview will serve as a comprehensive baseline against which the triage committee can monitor on our behalf the progress of the various work streams and report to us on a quarterly basis.

I also want to draw your attention again that we as council still need to make an additional step that may turn out to be the hardest. And that is around including volunteer and staff resources to be able to truly start doing the priorities of our work. Words are difficult sometimes. A good example of this approach was the conflict that we had between the work of the gap analysis and the preparing of the responses for the board caucus group. So the core of the gap analysis, ad hoc was also involved in the response group. So that's when we found that conflict. It is my understanding that the triage committee will [inaudible] part of this. So Nick, do you have any comments?

NICK WENBAN-SMITH: Yes, thank you. It's Nick Wenban-Smith for the record, and my [inaudible] is the chair of the triage committee. I just want to ask for [inaudible] for the two-year plan. It has been published for transparency. We've tried very hard to get it onto a short document. So please, the more eyes on that, the better in terms of making sure that we've captured everything. I want the whole community to have a rough shape of the forward-looking work and what's coming in.

And it's sort of a stark reminder when we consider our capacity and resources and volunteer time to do all the things that we want to do. So as many people as possible, please look at it. You can always talk to any of us. It's not written on the resolution, but actually it's a quarterly review that we do of that program, and any changes are then highlighted in red line so that you can see what's moved. Obviously, we don't expect it to move very quickly in terms of our priorities. But new things come on, for example, the Ad Hoc Working Group. So thank you very much for all my triage committee members. And it's a good job that it's best done with most eyes on the document, so please take a look. Thank you.

ALEJANDRA REYNOSO: Thank you, Nick. I don't see any more hands up. So with this, we do have a resolution in front of us. And to be fair, now I'm going to look to my left. And may I have a mover and a seconder? Steven moves. Okay, to my right, Tatiana seconds. Okay. With this, we have the decision in front of us. So are there any questions regarding the decision? No hands in the room. No hands in Zoom. Okay, so it is time to vote. So please use your green ticks or your red crosses. And if possible, if you could turn on your cameras for our remote councilors to see us and join us and feel more in the room with us, please do so. Okay. And also, it's easier for me to see the green ticks. So thank you very much. I see only green ticks. So this has been approved. Thank you.

Moving along, now we are in item 13. You may remove your green ticks for the moment. And item 13, it's the adoption charter of the ccNSO Policy Gap Analysis Working Group. As you will recall from our last regular council call in May, Jordan raised the point that the Ad Hoc Council Group that was asked to deal with the gap analysis has come to a point that it needs firmer ground under their feet, both in terms of mandate and volunteers. Yesterday, the idea was floated to the broader community. And based on the polling, they seem to support this idea. So Jordan, would you like to speak to this?

JORDAN CARTER: Yeah, thanks, Alejandra. Jordan Carter here, .au for the record. I think the proposed charter for the working group is probably quite simple and self-explanatory. And you've noted already that the discussion in the room yesterday, the polling at the end of the session did show support for the establishment of this group. It's also worth just remembering that as the community, through the hypotheticals we dealt with in Hamburg, and through some of the work we did in San Juan as well, and then here, it is clear that there are gaps. Some that have come up from the Lebanon case. Some that have been identified for us by IANA. And that each time we've come to one of the polls about these gaps, people have said that they are important gaps and that they are gaps that need to be filled.

And it's also important to note that that has not been a unanimous sentiment. It's been a strong sentiment in favor of those two positions. But there have been not just one or two people who have also disagreed with those statements. So from that, I think we can take it that there is something here that needs further work in a more structured way, which is what this working group offers. And that the work needs to be done delicately and sensitively because it's going to be a set of delicate and sensitive issues.

So if the working group succeeds with the call for volunteers and so on and gets its skates on, the mandate that it has to make sure that we've got an assessment of what the first couple of issues should be that we deal with and the method of dealing with them, along with the finished overview of the current policy and guidance framework. And that will be two really good pieces of work to do over the next five months. So I think it's important. I hope people can support the formation of the group and then volunteer to be part of the work. Thanks.

ALEJANDRA REYNOSO: Thank you, Jordan. Before moving to the decision, I want to note that the charter of this working group has been circulated on the email list. And also, as you have seen, it is a working group. So it means that the duration should be limited. Any questions or comments? Yes, Jordan.

JORDAN CARTER: Just on that limited duration, and we haven't really teased this out, but I would hope that this group is June to December this year. So if you are thinking about volunteering, and you're thinking about PDPs, and you're thinking about multi-year commitments of your time, don't think about that. I mean, you can think about that if you want, but don't think about it in the context of this issue. Think about a little bit of a period of fortnightly call for five months, six months or so.

Of course, the working group might need to then be extended to work, look at the next set of issues, but it's more likely, I think, that it will recommend some other process, a new set of volunteers to solve the top priority issues. So just doubling down on that message. Don't feel like this is signing your life away if you're curious about volunteering.

ALEJANDRA REYNOSO: Thank you for the clarification, Jordan. So we do have a resolution. Oh, sorry. Olga, I didn't see you. Sorry.

OLGA CAVALLI: Thank you, Alejandra. This is Olga Cavalli. Just wanted to ask if you will circulate a call for volunteers among the ccNSO.

ALEJANDRA REYNOSO: Microphone.

JORDAN CARTER: That's the next. After we talk about the charter, we'll talk about the call for volunteers.

ALEJANDRA REYNOSO: Okay. So we do have a decision in front of us, and now, it's the time of my right. So can I have a mover? I see Sean. Seconder, [inaudible]. With this, we have the decision in front of us. Are there any questions regarding the decision itself? Seeing no hands, either in the room or in Zoom, please, now we vote. Use your green ticks in favor or your red crosses if not. There. Thank you, all. I see only green ticks. Yes. This has been approved. Thank you.

And now that we have adopted the charter, we go for the call for volunteers. So we need to get the working group up and running as soon as possible if we want to make the deadline that we are envisioning. So for that reason, you see a resolution in front of you to mandate the secretariat to do whatever is necessary to establish the working group and to seek volunteers as soon as possible. So the group can continue and work of the Ad Hoc Group and keep the momentum going.

So this is the first of a series of seven calls for volunteers that we will have in front of us. So we will try to go through them as swiftly as we can. So are there any questions or comments? No? Okay. So may I have a mover? Olga moves. Jennifer seconds. Thank you very much. We have the decision in front of us. So are there any questions regarding the resolution? See no hands in the room or in Zoom. So now we vote. So please, again, green ticks or red crosses. And a kind reminder for the councilors in the room, if you can turn on your cameras, that helps me a lot to see the voting as well. And for remote councilors that are also in Zoom. Thank you. I see all green ticks. So this has been approved.

Moving along with item 14, it's the call for volunteers for the ccNSO onboarding and mentoring committee. This call for volunteers is following the recent adoption of the terms of reference for the onboarding and mentoring committee. So are there any questions or comments? I would like to make a comment really quickly is that in the

World Cafe exercise that we were doing, it was mentioned again. Even if that was not the topic, I think we are very on point on this.

So if there are no more comments, may I have a mover? Tatiana moves, and Vicky seconds. Thank you. So we have the decision in front of us. Any questions regarding the resolution? No? Okay, seeing no hands in the room or Zoom. Now we go to the vote. So please use your green ticks or your red crosses. Seeing all green ticks, this has been approved. Thank you.

Number 15, call for volunteers or several call for volunteers. So under this item of the agenda, we are dealing with a call for four expressions of interest. To avoid any confusion, I would like to deal with them individually. But still, I'll try to keep this as smooth as possible. And we will start with the first one is the call for expression of interest to serve the liaison to ALAC. Are there any questions or comments? Seeing no hands, none in Zoom. Okay, may I have a mover? Peter, that was fast. And Jordan seconds, thank you. We have the decision in front of us. And now, we have any questions regarding the decision? No? None in Zoom? None in the room?

Okay, we go to vote. Now you know what you need to do. Green ticks for approval or red crosses, if non-approval or abstention. I see only green ticks, so this has been approved. Thank you. Now we move to the ccNSO liaison to the GNSO council. Are there any questions or comments? Seeing none in the room and in Zoom. So may I have a mover? I see Olga and Pablo seconds. Okay, so we have the decision in front of us. Any questions regarding the decision? I don't see any hands in the room or Zoom. So if no questions, then we go to voting. So again, green ticks or red crosses. Okay, green ticks. This has been approved. Thank you.

Now the ccNSO liaison to the UASG. Okay, this is the liaison to the universal acceptance steering committee. And this is a community group supported by ICANN who do a lot of work in the area of universal acceptance. It is my understanding that coordination of activities between this committee and the ccNSO universal acceptance committee is very beneficial for both of them. So are there any questions or comments regarding this? Seeing none in the room, none in Zoom. Okay, may I have a mover? I see Sean and seconder, Ali. Thank you, Ali.

ALI HADJI MMADI: Yes. Yes, thank you.

ALEJANDRA REYNOSO: Nice to see you. So we have the decision in front of us. Are there any questions regarding the decision? Seeing none in the room, and none in Zoom. Okay, we go to vote. So please, use your , green ticks or your red crosses. I see only green ticks. Thank you very much. This has been approved. Thank you all.

Now we go to the ccNSO delegate to the NomCom. The call for expression of interest is to serve as the ccNSO appointee on the nominating committee. Note that based on previous experiences, we need to appoint someone by September. And we'd be understanding that the appointee will preferably serve on the NomCom for two years.

Are there any questions or comments? I don't see any. May I have a mover? Biyi and [inaudible] seconds. Thank you. So we have the decision in front of us. Any questions regarding the decision? Seeing none in the room and none in Zoom, okay, we move to the vote. So please, use your green ticks or your red crosses. Okay, I'm seeing only green ticks. Thank you very much. This has been approved.

Now we move to item 16, which is the CSC membership selection, and the call for expression of interest for the ccNSO appointed member and alternate and to mandate the ccNSO CSC selection committee. This is our final call for expression of interest in the agenda. We will also need to select the alternate, but note that we will not do two calls for this. We will ask each candidate in the call for volunteers to also indicate if they are willing to serve as an alternate if they are not selected as the member. So it makes things more efficient.

I would also like to draw your attention that the proposal is that the CSC selection committee will be mandated to coordinate the appointment with the Registry Stakeholder Group and the GNSO council. To be clear, all councilors are expected to participate in the selection process of the CSC member and alternate, with one exception, if a counselor is actually interested in being the position on the CSC. Are there any questions or comments? No? Okay, before we go to the resolution itself, please note that in the draft agenda that was circulated last week, the mandate of the CSC selection committee was to be discussed as a separate sub-item, but now they have been merged. So, it's easier and more efficient. With this, may I have a mover? I see Jennifer and Pablo's seconds.

Okay, next time I'm going to look to the other side if we still have more to be fair. With this, we have the decision in front of us. Are there any questions regarding the resolution? Seeing none in the room or in Zoom, now we go to the vote. So please, your green ticks or your red crosses. I see only green ticks. So this has been approved. Thank you.

Okay. Now we go to the substantive matters for discussions and decisions in our agenda and that's item 17. And it's the progress on the response to the board caucus questions on ccPDP3 review. So either Stephen or Jordan would like to give an update on this?

STEPHEN DEERHAKE: Stephen Deerhake, for the record. We made some progress. We got all the low-hanging fruit, I think pretty much dealt with, the easy ones. Some of the questions are really implementation questions, more than questions about the actual text of the policy, and we still have some more work to go, and I'll turn it over to Jordan for further clarification. Progress update.

JORDAN CARTER: Thanks, Stephen. Jordan Carter, .au for the record as co-convener of this small group by accident somehow. Yeah, progress is being made. We're trying not to overload the process and once we've got drafts, I think we'll be looking to have a discussion with the board caucus, so that we iron out whether the answer has actually answered the intent behind the question or not. It's just a bit of a grind to get there. So we will have to keep grinding.

ALEJANDRA REYNOSO: Thank you, both of you. Comments regarding this item? Sorry?

BIYI OLADIPO: Timeline.

ALEJANDRA REYNOSO: Microphone, please.

BIYI OLADIPO: This is Biyi Oladipo for the record. I just wanted to ask if there's a timeline for concluding the work of the small group?

STEPHEN DEERHAKE: I'd like to say as soon as possible. There is no formal timeline established at this point in time. As Jordan commented, we are going to be—once we get pretty much through the board caucus questions, we are going to sit down with them and probably—I hope ICANN legal as well and just do back and forth. We don't want to get in a situation where we're just sending letters back and forth where they see clarification. We see clarification, et cetera, because that will extend the process. But basically, we want to get this wrapped up as soon as possible. It's been in the hands of the board for, I think, about a year now. So as soon as possible, really. Thank you.

JORDAN CARTER: Jordan here again. In the cadence of the work, I think the idea is a fortnightly call. We do have draft content to answer each of the questions. So it's really a case of interrogating, pulling that apart, and like any group of people dealing with policy questions, sometimes it's a really easy discussion and sometimes it turns into something else. And then it can take 40 minutes to talk about one question.

But I think a couple of those calls should get us to the point where we are able to talk with the caucus and what I'm going to try to do anyway is if people get too stuck in them to just say, don't try to make it perfect. Let's get something that is at least clear and then have a conversation. Trying to de-load the expectations to speed it up.

ALEJANDRA REYNOSO: Thank you, Jordan. Bart?

BART BOSWINKEL: Just for clarity, what makes it difficult at times is to appreciate whether there is a change in policy, yes or no. And these groups try to avoid a change in policy as much as possible. It's not mandated to do it, because once there is a change in policy, it's a whole different ballgame. But you need to be careful and you need to weigh what's going on the whole time. But that makes it a little bit more cumbersome than you think. Thank you.

ALEJANDRA REYNOSO: Thank you, Bart. All right. Seeing no more hands on this topic, moving along to item 18 and it's the outcomes, observations of the sessions here at ICANN80 that are relevant to the ccNSO. So remember, in our prep for ICANN, we assigned ourselves to different sessions. If you think that there is something that we need to be aware of right now of what you've seen during the sessions here, this is the moment to raise them. So anyone? Sorry, Chris?

CHRIS DISSPAIN: Thanks, Alejandra. I just wanted to say how good I thought the joint DNS Abuse session with the GNSO people was, and how that, I think, is illustrative of a closer working relationship between the registries that ICANN is worth pursuing even further, because that was kind of an introduction of, let's try it with this and see how it goes and I just think it went really well, and it was a very interesting session. I know that Sam and the rest of the gTLD registries that were here also appreciated it enormously. So thanks.

ALEJANDRA REYNOSO: Thank you, Chris. Anyone else? Yes, Olga.

OLGA CAVALLI: Thank you, Alejandra. Olga Cavalli here. This is about our own meetings. It's a comment. I find extremely useful and informative the space that we have for ccTLD news and we have few time to make all the questions. I had more questions to do, and I think it's also a good interaction in between those presenting. Maybe we could have more time, or perhaps in between sessions, just an idea.

ALEJANDRA REYNOSO: Thank you, Olga. I will pass that over to the MPC. It's always tricky to know when the presentations will take longer time and give enough room for questions, or whether there will be too much room for questions, and that we could have hit one more. But yes, I see your point. So it will be moving along. Nick?

NICK WENBAN-SMITH: It just struck me, when we were going through the call for volunteers to various liaisons and such that it would be nice if we're not doing it at this meeting, but maybe at the next meeting or at the end of terms that we could formally record our thanks to the volunteers for those positions. I was thinking particularly of my friend, Sophie, who's just done two years of hard [inaudible] on the nominations committee. So, I think here we should recognize that. And obviously, it only works if we get people in to volunteer for those roles and we should recognize those contributions appropriately. Thank you.

ALEJANDRA REYNOSO: Thank you, Nick. I did send a letter to them thanking them on behalf of all of us. But still, they could be published, I agree. Yes, Bart?

BART BOSWINKEL: In case of, say, these global volunteers, they are still volunteers, and they are still liaisons, and they're still on the NonCom. So thanking them already in advance is [inaudible].

ALEJANDRA REYNOSO: Okay, I do see hands in Zoom. Peter?

PETER KOCH: Thanks, Alejandra. This is Peter for the record. I am one of two appointees to the Root Server System Governance Working Group which has been working for an extended period of time and it's still work in progress. I just wanted to notify the colleagues on the council that while the working group is not really finished, we're converging to a draft proposed solution and structure of this governance structure that is the [inaudible] outcome, which will, as of now, contain multiple seats for ccTLDs as well as gTLDs, which might result in another task for the council in the very future to appoint more volunteers.

But more importantly, which at some time needs to be discussed by the council and confirmed in a way. So just a heads up. There will be, because the minutes aren't out yet and the meetings ended yesterday, there will be an extended report to the council from that. Thank you.

ALEJANDRA REYNOSO: Thank you, Peter. Bart?

BART BOSWINKEL: Maybe just, I don't know, administrative curiosity, when do you expect something that the council needs to discuss? Because then we can put it already on the tentative action items list for the council meeting. What is your guesstimate?

JORDAN CARTER: I could not possibly comment on the delivery date, but I would suggest that on the next occasion, we discuss the current draft of the structure so the council is prepared to decide on any potential formal submission.

BART BOSWINKEL: That would be for the July meeting.

JORDAN CARTER: Yes, thank you.

ALEJANDRA REYNOSO: Thank you. Jordan, you have your hand up in Zoom.

JORDAN CARTER: Yeah. Still doing the session observations point. I wanted to draw a link between Chris Disspain's comments in the plenary session about WSIS+20 and Rosemary Sinclair's provocation in the session about the ICANN draft strategic plan, around the need to strengthen the language and multistakeholder governance and so on. And I guess, to observe that there's still a bit of a gap, I guess, between what numbers of us think ICANN should be doing in terms of a leadership role and accelerating and improving [inaudible].

TATIANA TROPINA: The strong lead and the lack of action or inaction, there are various areas where ICANN could improve coordination, at least listen to its own community. This is the first thing. And secondly, honestly, I think that even if we drop this letter and it would never be sent, at least we would know, A, what we are not happy about, B, how we can coordinate better, C what our messages are to ourselves and to other parts of the community.

So in any case, even if it will not be sent, even if we cannot agree on its content – is it okay when I say we? Even if we don't agree on its content, at least there would be a clear idea what we think ICANN could do, what we should talk about because when Jordan says, talk to execs, well, the messages can be different. Maybe people think differently. At least we will have a clear idea. Are we actually on the same page?

ALEJANDRA REYNOSO: Thank you, Tatiana. Chris?

CHRIS DISSPAIN: Thanks, Ale. Just to respond to Peter, I agree with you and to be clear, no, that's not what I'm saying. What I'm saying is that ICANN has traditionally been a central voice for the ICANN community not for the technical community, for the ICANN community in discussions that take place in various different places and that's what's currently, in my view, missing. So, it's very much focused around that. I would be quite happy to work with a couple of other people to just start something we don't have to – as you say, Tatiana, if we end up not being able to agree, we end up not being able to agree, but it will be useful exercise. And if we can agree, then we can send it, and it's probably worthwhile. Thanks.

ALEJANDRA REYNOSO: Thank you, Chris. Bart?

BART BOSWINKEL: Just a question. If you're going down this path, may I suggest that we, after this call, after this meeting, so early next week, send out a call for drafters. It's one or two days, but settle down, think about what you want to have in this call, so that's one. Secondly, going back to Jordan's point, because there is clearly a link with the strategic planning, it might be very useful to make that observation this afternoon as well with the board. This was raised yesterday in the conversation and that should be refracted one way or the other from your perspective in the strategic plan. Thanks.

ALEJANDRA REYNOSO: Are we all good with that approach?

BIYI OLADIPO: There's already [inaudible] in the chat.

ALEJANDRA REYNOSO: There's already volunteers in chat.

ROSEMARY SINCLAIR: Alejandra, if I may. Rosemary Sinclair from .au. I just wanted to make the point that, of course, we'll have an opportunity during the public comment phase of the strategic plan. So we just need to make sure that all our comments are coordinated and if the work is done to produce the letter, then that could provide the basis for SOPC to work on the strategic plan comments. Thanks.

ALEJANDRA REYNOSO: Thank you, Rosemary. So I believe that this exercise would be really useful, as Tatiana mentioned. At least to get ourselves in the same mindset and then we can move on from there. So yes, we will do a call for volunteers for drafting the letter. And after that, we will see what our next steps are and yes, we will coordinate with SOPC.

BART BOSWINKEL: This afternoon as well, start raising this this afternoon. After this session, there is this public session on the strategic plan.

ALEJANDRA REYNOSO: Yeah. Okay. Any more outcomes from ICANN that anyone would like to share? If none, then we are moving along with AOB. Does anyone have any AOB or any other business? I don't see any in the room or in the Zoom room. All right. So next in the agenda is our next council meeting. It will be on the 18th of July at 1200 UTC.

And last item, it's the thank yous. So for inviting us to the Kigali Genocide Memorial and showing us that it is possible to heal and grow. Today, Rwanda is big, together, and accountable, and it shows. Thank you to our host, RICTA, Grace Ingabire and the rest of their team. For keeping our traditions in place and keeping us together as one tribe.

Thank you to our sponsors for the cocktail and the activities, aftld.africa, ZARK, .zadna, RICTA, [.ng], [.km], and [inaudible] for nine years of priceless support to the ccNSO, always keeping the wheels turning forward, thank you to Kimberly Carlson. We wish you lots of success in your new role at ICANN.

And with this, welcome Claudia Ruiz as full-time ccNSO secretariat. For organizing the sessions at ICANN80, thank you to the NPC, IGLC, tech working group, UAC, TASK, SOPC, Gap Analysis, Ad Hoc group, and the GRC. For the outstanding efforts and support before and during this meeting, thank you to the secretariat. For the hard work and patience in allowing more participants to engage in the ccNSO activities, thank you to the interpreters.

Finally, for participating either in person or remotely, thank you all. This meeting is adjourned.

OLGA CAVALLI: And thanks to you, Alejandra. Alejandra deserves also an applause.

ALEJANDRA REYNOSO: We can stop the recording now.

UNIDENTIFIED MALE: Okay, bye-bye.

UNIDENTIFIED FEMALE: Thank you, Ale.

[END OF TRANSCRIPTION]