

Misguided Warnings of DNS Checker Tools

Peter Thomassen <peter@desec.io>

ICANN81 – SSAC Lightning Talk
November 12, 2024

DNS Replication 101

— — —

- Default mode
 - Secondaries check SOA serial every REFRESH seconds
 - When check fails, try again after RETRY seconds
 - Drop zone if you haven't heard anything for EXPIRE seconds
- deSEC: 15 PoPs, ~50k zones, ~1s reaction time
→ SOA queries at 750 kqps – tough!
- Improve by using NOTIFY to each PoP, and set REFRESH to 60
 - 12,500 SOA qps when primary is unreachable
 - Does not cater for zone removal!
 - Blows up Thursdays 12:00am UTC (when PowerDNS bumps serial and serves new signatures)

deSEC Replication 101

— — —

nslord (signer) → nsmaster (hidden master) → secondaries

HTTPS server → API server ↗

- Orange components in VPN (UDP, and ensure that tunneled traffic is TCP)
- Use IP multicast to NOTIFY secondaries
- Every minute, secondaries fetch <https://desec.io/api/v1/serials/> (VPN-only)
 - Returns JSON array, mapping zone names and serials (in memcache for 60s)
 - Allows catching missed NOTIFYS, and zone addition/deletion
- Set REFRESH to 86400 → smear out resigning (only affects nslord↔nsmaster!)
- Set RETRY to 3600 → catch up on resigning when signer was unavailable
- Set EXPIRE = 4w > RRSIG expiration window – never else drop a zone!

Facing Reality ([deSEC forum post](#), 10/2022)

— — —

DENIC Predelegation Check ([spec](#), Section 2.1.4)

- RETRY: “The value SHOULD be in range of [900,28800] seconds AND SHOULD be a fractional part between 1/8 and 1/3 of Refresh.”
- Our REFRESH is 86400 → DENIC likes RETRY in range [10800, 28800]

[RIPE Recommendation](#): RETRY = 7200, and indeed REFRESH = 86400 ⚡

- Trying to combine: RETRY = 7200, REFRESH in [21600, 57600] (6–16h)
- But we wanted to smear resigning across the whole day!

(RFC 1912 also has guidance, according to which all our values are fine.)

More SOA Fun

— — —

- What are good things to put in MNAME?
 - “the name server that was the original or primary source of data for this zone” (RFC 1035)
 - Might or might not be reachable (hidden, see RFC 2136 Section 4.3)
- And RNAME?
 - zonemaster email
- deSEC: `IN SOA set.an.example. get.desec.io. 0 86400 ...`
- Perfectly valid!
 - You can actually send email to get@desec.io
 - Also, when entering `get.desec.io` in a browser, redirects to main page

Facing Reality ([deSEC forum post](#), 2020)

— — —

- “The mname points normally to the primary name server [...]”
 - Yes? It’s a great easter egg!
 - If anything, such things should be warnings, not errors
- “According to RFC 2606 is “.example” a reserved top-level domain.”
 - Perfect! It can’t interfere with anything!
 - Also, which “better” value should we put? Some random host?
- “for [...] Zonemaster, this is not fully compliant.”
- “many of those checkertools [...] are not exactly happy with “set.an.example” and seem to lack the sense of humor required these days”

Reality Continued ([other forum post](#), 2020)



Ron

Jun 2020

There are several warnings, I got with from different tools.

[Warn] SOA MNAME entry | WARNING: SOA MNAME (set.an.example) is not listed as a primary nameserver at your parent nameserver!

[Warn] SOA Serial |Your SOA serial number is: 2020065239. This can be ok if you know what you are doing.

[Warn]SOA RETRY|Your SOA RETRY value is: 86400. That is NOT OK

The nmane field is not filled in correctly:

No IP address found for SOA 'mname' nameserver (set.an.example).

- "I wonder, though, how in fact this is supposed to be called an "easter egg" as it sticks out like a sore thumb from any checker-tool report"
 - OK, giving up. It is now: IN SOA get.desec.io. get.desec.io. 0 86400 ...

SOA Fun the Italian Way

— — —

- NIC.it: CNAMEHostTest FAILED ([June 2022](#))
 - get.desec.io. is a CNAME
 - Parent rejects delegation
- There's no CNAME prohibition for MNAME in RFC 1035
 - MNAME used only by NOTIFY and DNS UPDATE, both don't care
- Anyway, we flattened it ...

⊖ CNAMEHostTest

FAILED

It verifies that the nameservers inserted in the SOA, NS and where indicated MX records, are not a CNAME

Name	Details	Test Status
mail.tutanota.de.		SUCCEEDED
get.desec.io.	 get.desec.io. is a CNAME	FAILED
ns2.desec.org.		SUCCEEDED
ns1.desec.io.		SUCCEEDED

Serially, MXToolbox? ([forum](#), 10/2024)

— — —

Type	Domain Name	IP Address	TTL
NS	ns1.desec.io	45.54.76.1 NetActuate, Inc (AS63911)	60 min
NS	ns2.desec.org	157.53.224.1 NetActuate, Inc (AS36217)	60 min

	Result
	Primary Name Server Not Listed At Parent <code>get.desec.io</code>
	SOA Serial Number Format is Invalid <code>ns1.desec.io</code> reported Serial <code>2024095870</code> : Serial day was 58 which is invalid.
	SOA Refresh Value is outside of the recommended range <code>ns1.desec.io</code> reported Refresh <code>86400</code> : Refresh is recommended to be between 1200 and 43200.

Thank you!

... also to our supporter



Questions?