
ICANN82 | CF – At-Large CIP Discussion
Saturday, March 08, 2025 – 15:00 to 16:00 PST

MICHELLE DESMYTER

All right. This session will now begin. Please start the recording. All right, thank you. Hello, and welcome to the At-Large CIP discussion. My name is Michelle DeSmyter, and I'm the remote participation manager for this session.

Please note that this session today is being recorded, and is governed by the ICANN Expected Standards of Behavior, and the ICANN Community Anti-harassment Policy. During this session, questions or comments will only be read aloud if submitted within the Q&A pod. Interpretation for today's session will include English, French, and Spanish.

If you would like to speak during the session, please raise your hand in Zoom. When called upon, virtual participants will be given permission to unmute in Zoom. On-site participants will use a physical microphone to speak. Please state your name for the record each time you speak, and the language you will speak if speaking a language other than English. And please speak at a reasonable pace. And with this, I will hand the floor over to your moderator, Cheryl Langdon-Orr.

Note: The following is the output resulting from transcribing an audio file into a word/text document. Although the transcription is largely accurate, in some cases may be incomplete or inaccurate due to inaudible passages and grammatical corrections. It is posted as an aid to the original audio file, but should not be treated as an authoritative record.

CHERYL LANGDON-ORR

Thank you very much, Michelle. Cheryl Langdon-Orr for the transcript record. And Bukola and I have the honor of guiding you through today's session, where we're going to have a damned good attempt at looking at not just what the ALAC has been doing, which is where Bukola and I have been playing in that space in particular, with regard to continuous improvement programming. But we're going to hear from each of the regions, and we're going to find out where the opportunities may be to have some form of similarity, if not harmonization, with regard to any of the criteria that ALAC has already established.

ALAC, of course, is the one that is going to be focused under this initial system of first [inaudible] that the CIP-CCG, that's the Continuous Improvement Program, Cross-Community Group has agreed upon. We all agreed that whilst many of the substructures, which in our case, are regional At-Large organizations, RALOs, our substructures in this particular design, whilst many of them, and in fact, nearly all of us, if not all of us around the table, are well advanced in our own continuous improvement programming. Because after all, we've already gone through two specific reviews.

And so, the Continuous Improvement Program, which is simply designed to replace the modality of the continuous—let me try that once again. Organizational reviews that are by-law-based at this stage. We've already been working on that for quite some time. We've also done the work that was to do with establishing an agreement on the five principles for the ICANN-wide framework. And you'll see flipcharts around the room with my scroll on it. Sorry about that. There is a class on hieroglyphics, which will help you be

able to read my scroll, but basically it starts behind me with P1, and we'll move—surprisingly enough, that means principle one, to principle two, principle three, principle four, and just to keep you interested, a back turn to principle five in that corner.

And later on, we will have an interactive session, where hopefully any number of you from the regional At-Large organizations will be able to see where any of your own bespoke criteria and indicators may fit. And what we want to do when we're workshopping this is to get you to see where your top two or three fit. Don't care about number 19 or nine or seven, right? But we do care about one, two and three. And even if you only get one down, that's okay.

So, I think that's enough general introduction on what we're going to be doing, but we recently had a CIP-CCG call, the first one for far too long, and in that Continuous Improvement Program, Cross-Community Group, I noted down a little quote, which with the lighting keeps changing, I'll have absolutely no chance of reading, but that's all right. Should have done it in braille. It's from a woman I have an enormous amount of respect for, Erum Welling from the Government Advisory Committee, and to quote her regarding the whole pantheon of Continuous Improvement Program, her words are, "We just need to get going." So, this is our chance to just get going. And with that, I'm going to hand it over to Bukola, and the show goes on.

BUKOLA ORONTI

My name is Bukola Oronti for the record. Now, we'll go to the second part of the agenda that talks about—each RALO will make a

presentation, a very brief one, on their CIP program, the planning, and the points they've highlighted on that, their CIP program. So, each RALO will be spending five minutes each on their presentation. I don't know if they all have their presentations ready. So, we'll start with AFRALO, then we'll move on to APRALO, EURALO, LACRALO, and NARALO. So, I think I'll give the floor to AFRALO, five minutes, please. Thank you.

HADIA EL MINIAWI

Thank you so much, Bukola. And here's our presentation on the screen. So, we will be discussing briefly—it's the small team, it's AFRALO CIP small team. So, this includes, of course, AFRALO's rep to the CIP group, Bram and Chokri. And if we can have the next slide, please.

So, we will be talking today about the principles, the criteria, and our priorities. But first, we would like to start by pointing out Section 12.2(d) from ICANN bylaws, which says that the ALAC is responsible for working in conjunction with the RALOs coordinating the following activities. And the activities are keeping the community of individual internet users informed about the significant news from ICANN, distributing an updated agenda, news about ICANN, promoting outreach activities, developing and maintaining ongoing information and education programs, establishing an outreach strategy, participating in ICANN policy development processes, making public and analyzing ICANN's proposed policies and decisions on their impact on the region, offering internet-based mechanisms.

CHERYL LANGDON-ORR

Slow down.

HADIA EL MINIAMI

Okay. I'm sorry. Okay. So, this is Hadia again for the record. So, given these responsibilities, we believe that the areas identified above should represent common goals for both the RALOs and the ALAC. I would also mention that those responsibilities are also stated in the MOU between AFRALO and ICANN. If we can get the next slide, please.

So, when defining the first principle, whether AFRALO is fulfilling its purpose, we analyzed the responsibilities of AFRALO as outlined in the ICANN bylaws, and set these as our identified objectives. As for the RALO contribution to ICANN's mission, as all stakeholders contribute to ICANN's mission in their respective roles, AFRALO evaluated its own contribution through principle five. So, when examining principle five, specifically, we assessed how AFRALO supports the security and stability of the DNS ecosystem, the multilingual internet, participation in ICANN's multistakeholder model, and the global public interest. So, you won't find this in principle one because we tried not to have an overlap in the scope of the principles. If we can have the next slide, please.

So, again, as I mentioned, in examining the principles and developing the related criteria and smart indicators, to avoid redundancy, we examined the principles in a mutually exclusive and collectively exhaustive manner. So, we avoided overlap in

scope. So, here we see principle two, three, four, and five. And five is about the multistakeholder model. Two is about the effectiveness of the RALO. So, we looked at the clarity of roles and responsibilities. We looked at the structure providing opportunities to its members for participation. We looked at the extent to which AFRALO and its structure have achieved their goals. We looked at taking cultural diversity into account when formulating technical standards. And you have the principles in the presentation, and the presentation is linked to the agenda. If we can go to the next slide, please.

And this is about the priorities. So, actually, we were not able to identify only three priorities, but because we were asked to identify three, we've highlighted those three in orange. So, our first one is participating in the ICANN, which is related to the RALO fulfilling its purpose. Participating in the ICANN Policy Development processes and providing input and advice that accurately reflects the views of individual internet users in Africa.

The second one would be the structure provides opportunities to its members in order to participate. And the third would be appropriate level of funding. AFRALO 's inclusivity, it more or less could be indicated or included in the structure provides participatory opportunities to its members. Open and transparent decision-making, this is very important as well. And then two other very important, I would say, priorities for us is the contribution of the RALO in building a multilingual internet and capacity building. So, if we are to provide five, it would be the three highlighted in orange in addition to expanding and building a multilingual

internet and offering capacity building resources. With that, I thank you.

BUKOLA ORONTI

Thank you very much, Hadia. So, I'll give the floor to APRALO. Please, let's keep to the time.

AMRITA CHOUDHURY

Thank you so much, Bukola. Amrita for the record. And I will try to be as fast as possible. So, can we go to the next slide?

What we tried to do is when we got the high-level presentation from ALAC, we had a small team, had linked together to try and identify from the 20 criteria which we had listed what could be the five or six, which is important. Now, what we found is there were more than six, which the small team found as important. And this is listed in the next slide. Next slide, please. Yes.

So, if you look at principle one, there were two criteria which was found to be important, which is fulfilling purpose contributing to ICANN's mission, and contributing to global public interest. From principle two, which is the structure of APRALO is effective, there were two criteria which were found to be important. That the processes are in place, accessible, and clearly communicated, and the processes for assessing, measuring output. From the principle three, there were three criteria which were found to be important, which is processes promoting planning, setting priorities, identifying emerging issues, workload managed, and nurturing consensus within members. And principle four, there was one

criteria which was found to be important, which is accountable to members, membership in recruiting, development, and maintenance. And principle five, the criteria of process for communicating and coordinating within ICANN.

But if we look at what we found, as in there are quite a few which are listed here, what was found most important was principle five, criteria one. Then we had the two criteria on principle one, which was found to be more important than the others. In principle two, criteria two and five were important. And again, in principle three, three were important. So, if I say, it is about seven criteria, which was found to be important, but in case you want us to kind of look at only three criteria later, we will have to go back to our small team and re-discuss upon it, because this is what they found is important.

As next step, obviously, we'll go back. If you want three, we will come back with three. And then we will also look at what could be the timelines in which we can work on this. This is what is from my side. Justine, do you want to add anything? I think we are good. Thank you.

BUKOLA ORONTI

Thank you very much, Amrita. This is Bukola Oronti for the record. So, I'll go to EURALO for their presentation. No, Okay. And so, we have five extra minutes then. Then I'll go to LACRALO. Is there anyone from LACRALO?

HADIA EL MINIAMI

I think Harold is online.

HAROLD ARCOS

Bukola, gracias.

BUKOLA ORONTI

Oh, thank you. Over to you, Harold.

HAROLD ARCOS

Thank you, Bukola. I would like to speak in Spanish. Well, very briefly, I can tell you about what we are doing in LACRALO. It's an opportunity that we have as we live in different regions to establish criteria and do this CIP exercise, which is key. And obviously, we coincide in different aspects. One of them is principle number one, where we're focusing on the purpose of the structure of LACRALO as such, where we emphasize the principal mandate in obtaining the benefits of those users in Latin America and the Caribbean Islands. And one of the indicators that we use are the contributions that LACRALO can do in the ICANN policies. This is direct participation.

We're similar with regards to participation in our regions as far as developing ICANN policies, and that's key in order for the organization to remain active. With criteria number four, it shows that LACRALO is one of the five RALOs that comprises of the ICANN organization using the final user voices, where we emphasize here the contributions of the LACRALO members. As far as principle number two, regarding the structure, we made emphasis as far as

the criteria related to the decision-making. That they be open, transparent, and also, the capacity of all the participation of all the members of LACRALO. We're still having conversations regarding this, and we need to make significant changes so that we can have this CIP exercise be the most effective possible.

Principle number five, regarding efficient functioning of LACRALO, we focused on the coordination criteria along with the other RALOs, or coordination with the other RALOs. With this criteria number three, how we understand it, it's very, very important to have an active participation with the At-Large community. That's why it's important that LACRALO can coordinate this with all the other regional organizations. As far as the work that's done with the RALO presidents and all the other coordinating work that's being done, it's important for these [inaudible] committees can have a general participation in this, and this is also important for the organization.

As far as principle four, that LACRALO is responsible with the community, we focus on these exercises as far as criterion number six, where we have a memorandum of understanding where the board of ALAC, internally, we can verify whether we're progressing with our purpose in the outreach that we have with regards to the whole internet ecosystem. And also, the skills of the members and promote this participation in LACRALO within other interest groups.

In general terms, although I didn't mention this in the beginning, but we like to emphasize in LACRALO that although there were

people that were constantly present in the CIP meetings, we also created a group dedicated to CIP discussions, because we know that this is continuous progress. LACRALO has a feature that they started this continuous process three years ago when we had a General Assembly, and we established certain principles to be able to do an internal review and propel this organization. So that's why in preparing this in the General Assembly, and when we made the declaration of this in Cancun of the three RIRs as far as the outreach, the participation, and the capacity, all of this is part of the internal work that LACRALO is doing, especially based in the last General Assembly.

Obviously, these are the most representative items that in the group we emphasized and coordinated, and we still continue with this CIP exercise. And I think you'll find that we've already progressed, and we've already undertaken three principal aspects, the participation, the decision-making, and also, internal improvement in the organization. That's part of the exercise that was done with the CIP with LACRALO. Thank you. Paula.

BUKOLA ORONTI

Let's go now to NARALO. NARALO for their presentation.

ALAN GREENBERG

Thank you. NARALO took—I guess, the term is a minimalist approach to this. We have quite limited resources. For various reasons, there's an awful lot of internet-related things going on in North America, and many of our members, to be honest, are not

going to put huge amounts of time into this process. So, we wanted to focus on things that we thought would be implementable, and I guess, the best return for the effort that we put into it.

We ended up with several indicators that we felt needed focus, and we could benefit from. The first one is tracking and reporting. Unfortunately, most of these depend on implementation of ICANN's CRM and the ALS and individual mobilization efforts, and those are still ongoing. So, we're not active in those, but those are ones that we hope to benefit from to a great extent once they are implemented. The second one is an interesting one in that we have activities where people are involved. But to be honest, we never document it. So, if you were to go back and say who was involved in creating this, the last annual outreach plan, we could figure it out, but it's not anywhere.

And so, this one is—number one, we have to try to get more people involved. So, advertise them ahead of time, get people to buy in on them, and then actually document it. Because after the fact, it's really hard to understand who was involved last year, and who should we get involved this year, and how do you get continuity and things like that. So just documentation is not a big effort, but it's something we have to consciously do. Like most groups, we have an issue with onboarding new members, individuals, and ALSs, and that's something I think that we're going to have to focus on to a great extent in this coming year.

And lastly, although NARALO has updated its rules of procedure a number of times recently, we have a whole bunch of documents

which are still on the books. Some of which go back to 2007. It's not clear that we still use them. They may still be active, we're not quite sure. So, we have an effort we're going to be starting shortly, to go over all of our organizational documents, make sure they're appropriate, and again, just make sure that when someone comes into the organization, they can look, and find out what we're doing, and will not be distracted by things which may still be on the wiki or on the web, but don't apply at all.

So again, a somewhat minimalist thing, but we feel if we can pull these off, and I think they're all implementable, we will be a better organization, which will get its people more involved in things that are important to us. Thank you.

BUKOLA ORONTI

Thank you very much, Alan. This is Bukola Oronti again for the record. Okay. I see a question coming from Aziz. Aziz, you have the floor, please.

AZIZ HALALI

Merci. Aziz Halali takes the floor. I've listened with attention to all that was presented by the RALO. Those are ambitious program, but I have a question. As Alan just said, the leadership of one RALO cannot do it all. We need to engage the ALSs, the individuals. In this sense, did we think about some mechanism that we could do to assess, to do a follow-up of all that we will do to assess the work, the work that is done within the RALOs? Because in the past, we had a lot of ambitious projects. We put together a lot of programs,

and we always talk about engagement, participation of the ALSs, the individuals. We have a lot of ALSs in Africa, and the question remains the same. What are the mechanisms that we have at our disposal that we could use to assess this participation?

CHERYL LANGDON-ORR

Thank you, Aziz. Cheryl Langdon-Orr for the record. That's an excellent point to bring up. And one of the reasons obviously—let me start that sentence again. Apologies. Each of your regional At-Large organization activities should be managed by self, for the benefit of self, and of course, the metrics you set, because you're all using the same as the ALAC and other parts of ICANN, the SMART system. So, part of that is measuring, that M part of the S-M-A-R-T is the measuring. And so, when we look at what should happen with these measures, is a form of reporting should certainly be required.

Now, ideally, we would like those clustered into a regularized reporting in such a way where those data points of progress or not may not be a success. We need to know if it's not a success, and learn from that sort of thing. What those data points from those measurements need to come in and will be considered by future types of specific reviews, such as a holistic review. So, we need to gather those points from each of the regions.

The reason we're doing this exercise today, however, about the harmonization and where everybody's interested in exactly the same thing, is where we can manage more of a macro look and macro metrics. So, even though it's something that the ALAC will be reporting on, the expectation is, if we are all looking at a

particular criterion, that we would all be able to bring forward, not just the advisory committee metrics on these things and the improvements from where we start to where the plan was to take us, but also, integrate the particular advantages and developments of the regions, all of whom may be tracking at a different time, that's okay, are also doing.

And this is part of what my intro was going to be for the next section, which is our interactive part, right? One of the reasons we want to see if we can identify a couple of harmonized opportunities is because we also need to look at resourcing. The R in S-M-A-R-T is for relevance, but it's also for resourced, because any such improvement may require some sort of human or other form of resourcing, a tool perhaps might be required for a communication plan that somebody has. So, rather than have six groups trying to get to the same resource, it's far better to manage that as an At-Large wide request for the provision of a resource if we're going to be doing that. That also means we have to agree on the priority of when that part of the plan goes ahead. So, there's a few moving parts. Today we want to see if we can just see if we can spot a few opportunities. Back to you, but we do have to continue with the agenda. Please, go ahead.

AZIZ HALALI

Aziz Halali takes the floor. Thank you, Cheryl. My idea is to deepen the search and go to the ALSs. Cheryl, you were behind the ideas of measuring the activities that it is the measures of the RALOs and the ALSs. You know it didn't work very well. It was a complicated

task. We have that problem. All RALOs have that problem when it comes to the ALSs and their activities. We have to deepen the principles. The RALOs must establish more criteria when it comes to the ALSs today. If you ask me how many ALSs are active within the RALOs, you'd be surprised if I gave you the number.

So today, this is our main problem at At-Large, and the problem for the RALO. I'm for all of this, all these measurements, but I always give the example of ISOC. I always give the same example. The chapters, the ISOC chapters, we have one. They are not necessarily active. And when they are not active, we let them know. They are measures. There are statuses for each chapter. Each chapter are classified on the world level and at the regional level. We have to do that. I am for a work, a deep work in order to rank all the activities of the ALSs to see how active they are.

CHERYL LANGDON-ORR

Cheryl Langdon-Orr for the record. And I think the quickest way of responding to that is to remind all of us around the table that we have the guidance of the yet to be fully implemented mobilization reports for both the ALSs and individual members. So, one would expect that many of the RALOs may seek to have criterion that enable the implementation of all or some of that already set of a very accepted work. So that's kind of where you put it is up to you. Does it need to be done? Absolutely. But you've got quite a queue. Back to you.

BUKOLA ORONTI

Yeah, Amrita, please, go ahead.

AMRITA CHOUDHURY

Thank you. Just to add to the comments. Amrita, for the record. Aziz, when the CIP plans were supposed to be made by the RALOs, in each of the phases, whatever, your small team or the community was discussing, they had to go back to the ALSs, individual members, share what the developments are, get their inputs, and then come back. So that's something which we have been practicing, so that at least whatever we present is something which is more achievable rather than trying to reach out for the moon.

So, that was one of the criteria which was laid down and drilled down rather to the CIP group that you have to go back to your community, get their feedback, and come back. And that's how we were supposed to make the plans. And that's what, at least from APRALO we tried to do. I'm sure others have also done that.

UNIDENTIFIED MALE

Can we have clarification on whether we're using cards or Zoom room for hands?

BUKOLA ORONTI

So, I'll give the floor to Sébastien, and I'll come to Jonathan.

SÉBASTIEN BACHOLLET

Thank you very much, Bukola. This is Sébastien Bachollet speaking in French. I didn't talk earlier for EURALO because we just finished

a vote. We just finished voting on two points. On the work procedure for EURALO, and for the continuous improvement in EURALO. We finished 38 minutes ago. So, it's very difficult to work in parallel like that. A vote just happened.

And secondly, let's start with simple things. And I would like to give you an example, very simple. For more than one year, I have requested to have a mapping of the EURALO members, and it's not possible. We don't have that mapping of EURALO members. So, we can be very ambitious. But to have something very simple, just have a mapping, knowing where the members are, seems to be quite complicated. So, I don't think we should decide those points.

We were talking about working groups yesterday. It would have been different. And tomorrow, it will be different again. So, we have to be flexible, and we have to be very careful. And we have to make sure we go step by step. Thank you.

BUKOLA ORONTI

Bukola Oronti for the record. Thank you for that contribution. Though it's not like we are making final decisions with all these things. But the point is, it's a kick-off like we are initiating the conversation, initiating the discussion, and let's see how far we can go even in this meeting, and then towards the next meeting. I give the floor to Jonathan, sorry.

JONATHAN ZUCK

Not with the microphone, sorry. Hello, everyone. I'm Jon. I speak like a child, sorry. One of the things I was struck by, and this is

related to Aziz's comment, was the lack of reference to ALSs in the plans that we heard. And I wonder if that's reflective of a weakness in the principles because the principles were designed for the seven major structures at ICANN that all have a very ICANN focus to them. There's one of the principles about communicating with ICANN, for example. And the RALOs have this special purpose of managing relationships and communicating, for lack of a better term, away from ICANN, deeper into the regions, into the localities. And is that a place where the RALOs may need to veer from the principles that have been handed down from on high and adopt something that's common to the RALOs, but different from the ones that the rest of us are using because of that special responsibility.

Because, like I said, I was really surprised. I wasn't hearing about that. And it seems like such a critical part, as you say, Aziz, of what the RALOs do and what their responsibilities are, that in order for it to be part of this process may mean stepping outside of the strictures of the imposed five principles for an additional one which is reaching out to the community as a whole or something like that.

BUKOLA ORONTI

Thank you for that, Jonathan. Do I add some other presentation that talks about evolving the ALSs, evolving their members? But I think each of them can speak to that. So, I'll give Amrita the floor. Okay, Hadia. After Hadia, I give it to Amrita. Okay, Hadia, go ahead. Then the next was...

HADIA EL MINIAWI

Okay, thank you. Thank you, Bukola. I want to note several points. So, to Jonathan's point, actually the principles, as is, allows us to actually include the ALSs. And this is actually what we have done. So, if you look at the criteria and the associated indicators developed by AFRALO, you will find us mentioning the ALSs a lot and in many parts. So, I don't want to keep on scrolling, but I will mention as an example, ALSs are expected and given the room to share their activities, planned and completed. This can be via AFRALO mailing list, newsletters. But we have the ALSs.

We have also many other indicators and criteria speaking to communication with ALSs and well-planned communication methods with the ALSs. And we have measurements for that as well. And things that we are not doing that well we have tried to identify them as well, and those were put under future ambitions. So, I would argue that the principles as drafted were tailored by the RALO so that it does include the ALSs, it does include our members. And that's one point.

And then the other one in relation to what Aziz was saying, getting input from the At-Large instructions and members, individual members, actually, after the small group had developed this continuous improvement program, it was sent on the [Afri] list, which includes all individual members and all At-Large structures. And we actually got many comments that were incorporated. And the members were asked to contribute directly to the Google Doc. And we had members putting in criteria. So, they did not only provide comments, but they also added indicators, they added

criteria, they added also future ambitions, or what we intend to improve. With that, I stop. Thank you.

BUKOLA ORONTI

Thank you very much, Hadia. So, I give the floor to Alan.

ALAN GREENBERG

Thank you. Alan Greenberg speaking. Both Cheryl and I mentioned the individual and ALS mobilization activities. It strikes me as I listen to people around the table that everyone is identifying—not everyone, many people are identifying problems, very similar problems, and they're problems that we have been discussing for five to six years, and that we have plans to fix, but we don't do it. There's no point in writing plans unless we actually carry them out. And then maybe we'll have new problems that we can discuss instead of the same ones over and over and over again with solutions identified, but we don't do. If you sense a little bit of frustration in my voice, you're wrong. It's a lot.

BUKOLA ORONTI

Thank you. So, I give the floor to Amrita.

AMRITA CHOUDHURY

Thank you so much. Amrita for the record. Just to respond to you, Jonathan. While the principles are ICANN-specific, criteria can be tailored to the RALO or the ALSs. For example, if I look at criteria one, which is where we say APRALO is fulfilling its purpose, and then we also kind of make the areas, for example, if you're talking

about strengthening reach and engagement in APAC, what are the SMART criteria we have kept it. So, you can drill down to the ALS level. So that's the flexibility we have out there. Thank you.

BUKOLA ORONTI

Thank you very much. So, we'll go back to Cheryl for the next part of the session.

CHERYL LANGDON-ORR

Thank you, Bukola. Oh, dear. Just deafened myself. That was a scary thing indeed. Cheryl Langdon-Orr for the record. I'm delighted to hear all of this interchange, and it's very much what we wanted to do is get as – as Bukola described the kick-off. Your juices going and your thought process has started. And yes, as Alan pointed out, some of these issues have been on our to-do list since they were sort of carved in rock, let alone written on paper. It's been so long. But the whole concept of having indicators, which you can see some sample ones up there just randomly, principle two, is the structure of whatever the entity is in a box. For us, ALAC, for you, your regional At-Large organizations. Is it effective?

We're pretty confident that this is going to be one of those principles which will be relatively universal. That you will all be able to find some criterion and, I dare say, some SMART indicators, which will give you an opportunity to show that, if you answered yes to this question, how your entity is effective. If you answer no to this question, you can find indicators based on criterion that work for you to see what you can do about changing things to make

your entity effective. And it may be a communication issue. It may be all sorts of things. But that's local analysis of a more global issue.

So, we have a very narrow amount of time, very narrow. Let me try that again. A very short amount of time, and we really, really, really want you guys to have a go at seeing of your regional interests or just your interests as a member of your region where you think some of your top priorities, in other words, up to your top three, belong. So, I'm going to read out, and somebody can, of course, write these things on P1, P2, P3, P4 and P5. But just for your edification, principle one is, does your entity fulfill its purpose? First question is yes or no. And if it's no, see about criterion indicators. If it's yes, see about criterion indicators.

For today's exercise, we just want to know, does your RALO have that as a priority? So, if you believe principle one is one of your top priorities, just put your RALO down. You don't have to go into the gory details. You don't have to give us criterion which you've already provided us with. Just indicate you're part of that mix. For principle two, is your structure effective? Same goes. If that's one of the things you want to have as a priority for your work plan over the next couple of years, pop your entity's name in that space. If you want to annotate it, more than happy to have annotations. But what we're after now is indicators of interest. Principle three, are the operations of your entity efficient? Again, yes, no, we could do better. Is that something you're interested in prioritizing? If so, pop your entity's name down on the sheet that says principle three. Again, annotate it as you wish.

Surprisingly enough, I'm going to say the same thing about principle four. But here, we're talking about accountability to the wider ICANN community. Now, I've removed half the words in principle four there when I've said that principle. Because the principle, as it's written, is far more appropriate to the advisory committees and the support organizations than it is to their substructures. But if accountability is your thing, pop, and I don't expect many of them, your entity into principle four.

And lucky last, principle five, which we did have mentioned in a number of the reports, how your entity does or does not see itself collaborating to further the mission of ICANN and the effectiveness of ICANN's multistakeholder model. And that may be where you go – oh, we are very interested in internet governance and WSIS thingies. I need to put my entity's identity on principle five.

Ladies and gentlemen, you have 10 minutes starting now to do that. No questions, have a go. Just for your edification, get up off your seats, go to the places and start identifying. And you don't have to be the boss of the RALO. Just make your opinions clear. Yes, go ahead, please, Emilia.

EMILIA
CZAJCZYŃSKA

ZALEWSKA- Yes, if I just may, I have a question. Emilia for the record. What is meant by entity? Is it like EURALO, for example, or—

CHERYL LANGDON-ORR Yes, EURALO. But if you want to make a notation that say, and my ALS can do it through, feel free.

EMILIA ZALEWSKA- Okay, thank you very much.
CZAJCZYŃSKA

CHERYL LANGDON-ORR Just for your edification in the Zoom room, we've stopped recording, but I will be having a conversation with you all in the Zoom room if you are not in the room. If you are in the room, get your bottoms off your seats, and walk around the sheets. Ladies and gentlemen, you're going to have to gather yourselves back to your seats now. We need to wrap up the exercise at this point in time.

Okay, one of the pieces of feedback that we have, and I'm just going to continue on, but I will keep raising my voice, so that I will drown out the voices that are behind me. And believe me, I can do better than I am now. Therefore, please feel free to quiet down, if not sit down, as we wrap this session up.

Ladies and gentlemen, thank you very much for that exercise. I'm so pleased my growling wasn't recorded. There's no proof of it now. There you go. Nothing happened, nothing to see here. We did a little 10-minute exercise that's taken us a couple of minutes past the hour. What we will do with this now is the ALAC small team in continuous improvements, will look at all of this data, and we will then reach out to the regions, and the membership [inaudible]

large of those regions, and see have we got what you've told us right. Are these the things that have the greatest priority?

The next step for any of this particular criterion, and again, we're not too worried about the indicators at this stage, just the criterion, where we have what we would see as a high degree of harmonization on a particular principle is we're going to be asking you another question. Listen carefully, because what we're going to be asking you to do, and we will provide you with a quadrant which will help us do this, is for each of our criterion, where we all agree we need to work on it, we're going to ask ourselves the question. Does it have high or low priority? And whether it has high or low priority, is it urgent or not? And that is going to allow us to put out a four-part quadrant, which will then give us a, this is where we need to put our resources. This is the thing we need to do first.

Bukola, thank you so much for running this session. I'm sorry I took over a little bit at the end, but I had to bring my parade ground voice to get you all to come and sit back down. Can I just thank the fabulous job that the interpreters and the captioner has done, particularly for running a very ad hoc and not terribly effective, but we tried remote breakout session. Thank you all for your attention, and apologize for the overrun. Did you want any last words?

BUKOLA ORONTI

Thank you everyone for coming, and we look forward to having the next step of this session after this ICANN82 meeting. Thank you very much.

[END OF TRANSCRIPTION]