
ICANN83 | PF – At-Large Leadership Wrap Up
Thursday, June 12, 2025 – 13:45 to 15:00 CEST

GISELLA GRUBER

Hello and welcome to the At-Large Leadership Wrap-Up. My name is Gisella Gruber. I'm the Remote Participation Manager for this session. Please note that this session is being recorded and is governed by the ICANN Expected Standards of Behavior and ICANN Community Anti-Harassment Policy. During this session, questions or comments will only be read aloud if submitted within the Q&A pod. Interpretation for this session will include English, French and Spanish. Please pick up your headsets if you don't have one.

If you would like to speak during this session, please raise your hand in Zoom. When called upon, virtual participants will be given permission to unmute in Zoom. On-site participants will use a physical microphone to speak. Please state your name for the record. Please do this every time you speak, our interpreters do not see you in the booth. Please state the language you will speak if speaking a language other than English and speak at a reasonable pace. Please do not speed up if you're running late. I will now hand the floor over to Jonathan Zuck, chair of the ALAC. Thank you.

JONATHAN ZUCK

Thank you, Gisella. It's Zuck, like book. Welcome, everyone, to the At-Large Leadership Wrap-Up Session. Still have a couple of

Note: The following is the output resulting from transcribing an audio file into a word/text document. Although the transcription is largely accurate, in some cases may be incomplete or inaccurate due to inaudible passages and grammatical corrections. It is posted as an aid to the original audio file, but should not be treated as an authoritative record.

discussions that are going to take place before this ICANN meeting officially comes to a close, including a discussion of how we meet, that's the last session of the day. So I think they want to catch us all half asleep while they're having that conversation. So we have to make sure and finish strong.

It's been a great week, a lot of exciting things have happened in terms of our own conversations about reviews. We just had a DNS abuse session with 110 people in the room, which was exciting. So I think we're going to continue to play a good convening role on that topic and others as well that we will hear about. And so I think without further ado, I will jump right into highlights, and so let's hear from our liaisons.

And again, let me just, as an umbrella reminder, what we're looking for most is not um a report so much as things that are worthy of us thinking about that should end up on the agenda of one of a new call or some an action item that we might want to take away from one of the conversations that's happened in one of these other sessions. So don't feel the need to be comprehensive in your report but more pointed in terms of, oh, this topic came up and we might want to partner with them on this, we might want to discuss this topic, et cetera, as a sort of a guideline when giving these highlights. So in no particular order, let's start with ccNSO.

LAURA MARGOLIS

Hello, everyone. My name is Laura Margolis for the records, and I will be speaking in Spanish this time. No, no, I will be speaking in

English. Sorry, sorry. I think it's more difficult to translate things to Spanish. Well, many things are going on at the ccNSO, so I just came out of the ccNSO council meeting, so I didn't have much time to resume everything for you, but I will do my best and I will send afterwards a complete report on everything more detailed.

So I will begin with one of the most important news about the ccNSO Council meeting held today, and during this week and during this meeting, the ICANN Board formally adopted the ccPDP 3, the review mechanism policy developed by the ccNSO. It took a lot of time, but finally it was approved. This policy, once implemented, introduces a fast, low-cost and fair review mechanisms for specific decisions made by the IANA function operator related to the delegation, transfer, revocation, and retirement of ccTLDs, if you remember.

And of course, this has been developed as a ccNSO policy development process that took lots of time. So after this, this has to be implemented, so there is a new group that will be starting soon, that is the CCPA IGRM. If you want to guess what that acronym means, you will win one of these that Avri gave to me. Well, anyway, it's the Policy Implementation Assistant Group Review Mechanism. Very easy. So they will start a call for volunteers for this group and see about the implementation of this policy.

Then, if you remember, also the PGA Group, which is the Policy Gap Analysis Group, which is running at the ccNSO, the two topics they

were going to discuss were the disaster study group, the study group on disaster recovery, sorry. Today is not my day, yes, and also the IANA Public Study Working Group. So these two terms of reference were adopted, and two new study groups will also begin. So we will have a lot of work on that. I have more news about the ccNSO Council, which I will send you later. But if I have some more minutes, I don't know. No? Okay.

JONATHAN ZUCK

Just focus your attention on things that we might want to do something about. We don't need to know all that they're up to.

LARUA MARGOLIS

No, I will not tell you all.

JONATHAN ZUCK

CCs are weird to begin with, right? So we don't need to know all their business.

LAURA MARGOLIS

You want me to stop talking, I got the message. But I will continue 30 more seconds. Well, there were a lot of presentations, very interesting ones, which I sent you by email, but I didn't see any one of you in the ccNSO room, so I don't think you're following my recommendations. But anyway, there was a WISIS+20 session, a

session held by the DASC, the DNS Abuse Standing Committee, about online fraud, which was really very, very interesting.

They use that tool, the Mentimeter, I don't know if you know it. And it's very useful because it's very easy to engage all the audience, the online audience and also the on-site, and then present the results. I think we could take the idea and use it too maybe in the ALAC sessions. Well, I will stop talking because Jonathan is--

JONATHAN ZUCK

I used your professions back in 2020.

LAURA MARGOLIS

I will stop because Jonathan is looking at me like your time is out. I promise I will send you all the details of everything on a report. And, of course, if you want to ask me anything, I will be available. And thank you. Back to you, Jonathan.

JONATHAN ZUCK

Yeah, the microphone. It should just know when I want to speak. The DNS abuse, an opportunity for partnership there. Is there something we should be doing to actually work together with the ccNSO on that topic? Should we have included them in our DNS abuse session as making recommendations for what we might want to be... the GNSO might want to be working on? How do we apply the work they're doing into the work that we're doing? That would be my question for you.

LAURA MARGOLIS

Okay, yes, I think we can plan some sessions together. Of course, we can interact. DNS abuse is a topic discussed all around ICANN. So I think maybe, I don't know how the agendas are, but for next maybe meeting in Oman or next one, I don't know. There are lots of things in which we can do things, discuss topics together with different perspectives about the end users and also all the work that ccTLDs are doing regarding this topic.

Of course, it's of the interests of all of us, of all the end users, so I think, yes, we could do that. After that session on online fraud, there was a meeting between the ccNSO and the registries group, which was also very interesting. They discussed common topics they have. So, I think we could work in doing something else together.

JONATHAN ZUCK

Sounds good. Thank you very much. You also mentioned there was a discussion at WSIS+20. I know some here, Amrita, maybe one of them, you attended this session on WSIS+20 as well. Again, I'm trying to sort of pull things together into things we ought to be thinking about. Are we doing enough as the At-Large community on that topic in terms of strategy, getting word out, et cetera? Is there more that we could be doing based on the discussions that took place this week?

LAURA MARGOLIS

Sorry, yes. Sorry, regarding that session, I didn't extend it much, but that was a session where they used the Mentimeter to get the audience engaged. And, for example, one of the questions they discussed there was, what's the most positive change that you witnessed over the internet governance during the last two decades? So the people in the audience were like writing, and well, you know how it works.

And that session was led by Marta Moreira from .pt, and there was also a presentation from .cv, which is Cape Verde, also with the engagement of their ccTLD with the internet governance. And also, a very interesting one was led by Pierre Bonis from AFNIC. And the name of that part of the session was Internet Made in France, which was really very interesting too. So I will extend on my report, and put all the links to the results, the presentations, and everything. And I don't know if Amrita wanted to add something else. I don't know if you attended that session, maybe.

AMRITA CHOUDHURY

Amrita, for the record. I did not attend, but what I got the understanding from Jordan is that they are trying to mobilize the ccTLDs. In many countries, they may also have government officials, though they are different, the two kinds of work together.

And from our At-Large perspective we would be discussing a mapping exercise, but something which comes to my mind and I will raise with the Chairs of OFB is we have a lot of ALS's or individual members who run the NRIs, the national IGFs, and they

are playing a critical role, we need to highlight what our community is doing. We don't have to build their understanding because they're already working on it, so we need to showcase this is what we are doing.

JONATHAN ZUCK

Great, that's great. I didn't mean to put you on the spot. What I'm trying to do is demonstrate what I mean, just a list of these are the things they talked about, it's more like here's two things they brought up that would we should really engage on, that's what we're looking for here is to try and figure out a little more depth on fewer things and less breadth, if that makes sense. So WSIS+20, the reason I'm taking the time is we don't have all of our liaisons, so we can take the time. So it was just an object lesson, not aimed at criticizing your report. Thank you very much.

LAURA MARGOLIS

No, I know, I know. Thank you, Jonathan. And, of course, I think all the actions taken by the ccTLDs in regarding all the things going on with all the internet governance process, of course, are at the end of our interests. So end users, we are always there and we are all end users at the end. So we can work maybe on something regarding that for other sessions. Thank you.

JONATHAN ZUCK

Thanks a lot. We appreciate it. We don't have Justine, we don't have Joanna, we don't have Matias. Hadia, can I put you on the

spot to see if there's anything that came up within the SSAC context that we didn't get in our meeting with them?

HADIA ELMINIAWI

Okay, so unfortunately, I wasn't able to attend many of the SSAC sessions, but I did attend, of course, the DNS blocking report here and in other sessions as well. And there is, I would say, great interest from the entire community into the DNS blocking report from different perspectives. That's, yes, from different perspectives. And I also attended the DNS workshops, and right now, I haven't really written a report about it, but what comes to my mind now, the importance of the deployment of DNSSEC in each and every country.

So it's like having a few countries deploying it does not really help. We had a session also about the automation of DNSSEC and the automation of the signing of the DS records and the importance of this issue as well. We had some insights also from people working with the IETF in developing, I would say, new, it's not a DNSSEC, I can't recall the name now, but it's something that would perform as the DNSSEC, but it's actually different. But that's something for the future. It's not something that exists now.

But this would be a call for people who are interested in this area to actually follow the work of the IETF. So when I update the At-Large reports, this is something I will be putting in. If you're interested in these topics, you're interested in the development and in the future of how security aspects, especially when we talk

about DNSSEC, then join the IETF working groups or mailing lists at least. That's with regard to the SSAC. If I can, just, I will say something about the AFRALO African session.

Yeah, the takeaways also that I have from that one, and it's also related to the security part, At-Large led capacity building workshops and awareness workshops are very important, especially when it comes, yes, to phishing, of course, to raising awareness about phishing and malware, but also about educating users to report abuse. So this is very important. Also, one of the things that came up is the importance of having access to content and material, whether technical or awareness material, in local language. So translation here is a very important aspect. And the third, I would say, important element here is sustainability. So sustainability and capacity development and awareness. Thank you.

JONATHAN ZUCK

All right. Thank you. Eunice, did you have a question for someone or what?

EUNIS ALEJANDRA PEREZ
COELLO

Okay, I want to speak in Spanish. Thank you. I took part in the SSAC sessions as well and in the other sessions that were held alongside the GAC. One of the main topics that was covered, and I'm going to cover this with regards to final users or end users. Now the tool that

Hadia was talking about is the GNNS, Graph Neutral Networks I believe it's called.

So what's happening with this? It shows different types of attacks and it shows the different threats and it also provides different stats, it also carries out analysis using AI. And also, how important it is to cover those threats in future community cybersecurity policy.

Now, in the first meeting that was held with the SSAC and ALAC, they didn't speak about this. So, in line with what Hadia said, it is of course important to ensure that there is direct and ongoing contact with SSAC so that we can properly identify the current problems and the ongoing concerns. In some meetings with ASIS, this is talked about. So, finally, they also talked about the next round. They're thinking about submitting a comment on the aspect of security.

JONATHAN ZUCK

Perfect. Yes, and in the meeting with the SSAC, it definitely came up that we need to do more intercessionally with them so that we stay in communication and look for opportunities because there were several that came up during that meeting, opportunities for us to work together in particular to carry the message of some of these things to end users and make that a kind of a virtuous cycle. So thanks for that, and let's make sure that we do stay in touch.

And that came up in our meeting with the GAC as well, so we're going to try to not just wait until we have a short 45 minutes meeting to try and coordinate some of our activities. I think that's all the liaisons we have present. So I've been asked to flip the script a little bit and give time for Arita to talk a little bit about a successful grant application.

HUMBERTO CARASCO

Hello. Oh, yeah, we can speak English, but we are going to speak in Spanish. Now, we're just going to talk for about five minutes. Now, this is the best example of work that has been done between someone from At-Large, I'm a member of LACRALO, and I was recently nominated by NomCom, and I escaped for just a few minutes. So thank you, Chair, for giving us five minutes to talk here.

We'd like to just very briefly talk about a program, and that is the ICANN Grant Program. It was presented through the university in which I work, but this actually started in 2017, not 2018. So this was thanks to a beta program by NIC Chile, which is a legal aid clinic for domain names.

And I'm going to give the floor to Margarita so she can explain the origins, and then I will continue to explain what the grant involves. And then we'd also like to invite you to participate in this project alongside us which seeks to provide assistance to those owners of domain names.

MARGARITA VALDES

Margarita, for the records. I'll go into Spanish now. My name is Margarita Valdes. I am the legal and commercial director of .cl, which is the TLD for Chile. I've been in ICANN since 1998, and that was before the third meeting of ICANN was held in Santiago, 1999. Now my work involves dealing with the needs of users, and there was always a bit of a pain here in terms of asymmetric information, in terms of the names or in terms of the owners of domain names when a disputer arose.

So what I tried to do was to find a way to replicate the free counsel system when someone can't afford a lawyer. So I want to see how I could connect this with the interests of domain names. So arbitration is how domain name disputes are solved in Chile, and the idea was to create and to add value in a number of dimensions. And one involved creating academic knowledge and also a legal and practical knowledge for law students in Chile. And also, the social impact, which involves improving asymmetric information for the .CL owner so that when they found themselves in an arbitration case, then they could have legal support.

Now, the outcome of this work, which started in 2017, so what we have recorded in terms of the results and the stats, we can see that that's all involved or that's all covered in those online documents, and it relates to what the effect is in the outcome of disputes when there is free legal counsel. So when the user is defended by a law student or when they are not defended. So before the intervention of these clinics, very few users were able to explain their defense, and there was a significant difference in the results of the decisions

when there was free legal counsel for these domain name users or owners.

HUMBERTO CARASCO

So what we're trying to show is that the trend is quite similar when it comes to gTLDs. Now, you can't see that here, but this statistic was discovered last year, and we found it in the WIPO. And it's 92% of cases where names are revoked, the case is won by the revoker. And we were quite interested by this, because if you can go on to the next slide, please, in the Chilean case, there's a significant improvement when the clinic acted, more than 60% of the cases where we defended the domain name owners were actually won by these owners.

So we said that if we can replicate this system or this program in Chile, in fact, we've dealt with over 700 cases since 2017. So we're not talking about two or three, we're talking about 700 cases. And in total, it's about 7,000 or 8,000 cases which go through the Chilean system. And we think that this could be easily replicated for those legal proceedings that take place in the five arbitration centers that exist in the world. Now, if we go on to the next slide, it says that we are the leaders, yes, but the idea of the project is that through this grant, which is to the tune of \$500 million, \$500,000 rather, and it's a great responsibility, but this will allow two things.

Firstly, to internationalize the program, and that means that we won't just have people from Latin America, we'll have people from the Caribbean as well, and the US and Europe, and who knows,

perhaps from beyond. And for that, we will need the support of all of the At-Large community, because we need teams, we need an army of students so that they can meet the needs of domain name owners. And of course, this involves the uniform policy for dispute resolution. Just to conclude, I'd like to say that Margita Valdes is in the team, and she's from a ccTLD.

Now, you were just talking about the relations that you have or that you'd like to have between At-Large and ccTLD, and Cathy Clayman as well, she belongs to a different constituency. There's another professor from the Queen Mary University of London, Javier Maestri, who is from Spain. But that doesn't mean that in the future we can't extend the team that works with us. Thank you, Margarita. Margarita, next please.

MARGARITA VALDES

We are happy. And we're happy for a number of reasons. Firstly, because this crazy idea of defending users was actually acknowledged as being possible. Secondly, because our intention is to spread the word on this so that all users have access, because UDRP is, of course, a universal thing, and the global expansion depends on having sufficient numbers of people that are willing to be involved.

And one thing that we also think is important, and we're seeing this as Chileans, and that is that very rarely do countries in development have the chance to express and to innovate programs with a view to social development in the area of technology. And

personally, I would say that many of the friends who are here have seen me in a number of meetings at ICANN, in the At-Large sessions, with the aim of finding out what the grievances of this community are, how can we improve the experience of final users or end users, and that really is in line with that intention, and that is the aim behind all of this. Next, please.

HUMBERTO CARASCO

Yes, given the time that we have left, I think we can move to the last section. And of course, we can make this presentation available for you. We know that you have a lot to talk about. I'm sure it's been a very tiring day. So I would just like to thank you for giving us the chance to speak and be listened to. We're going to implement the project, and we hope that we can come back in the future and explain the good news in positive outcomes. Thank you.

JONATHAN ZUCK

Thank you. Sebastien has a quick question before you take off.

SEBASTIEN BACHOLLET

Thank you. I guess it's very positive that this project relates to end users. Humberto, you're invited to talk in the readout meeting. I think it's interesting to make this information available to as many people as possible, and we're also going to organize a cross-RALO roundtable with this topic on the agenda. So, let's do that. Thank you.

HUMBERTO CARASCO

Definitely.

JONATHAN ZUCK

Congratulations. Very worthy project. My pleasure. Thanks. Let's go right to Avri next and to talk a little bit about CPWG policy work this week.

AVRI DORIA

Hello again. Okay, thanks. I'll start, and then I'll go to Olivier because I've always felt that the person that's attending online has a good view into what's happening from the remote aspect, things that aren't necessarily seen having done a lot of meetings online.

But first, I wanted to say something just in case. Okay, thank you. First, I wanted to make a CC comment. The candies that are distributed around the table were Latvian from a Latvian CC friend. And the interesting thing about them, and I just wanted to say this even though it's extra, so they're called drop milk candies and the bag actually says "The best tables are the most delicious." So hopefully people have managed to grab one of the candies and enjoy them.

Anyway, I know. What's that got to do with anything? So anyhow, I thought that it was actually a very interesting meeting in that we came in with three priorities that we discussed quite at length. And I think watching the meetings all the way through the days, those

points got made. They got made whether they were on the DNS abuse, the AGB, or the reviews, especially on the reviews.

And I think that it was actually quite good, it was good having a small set of points, a small set of things to focus on. I think that the way those got played out in the various joint meetings, I thought it was actually a very good setup and having as many meetings with others as possible. So I thought that that was actually a very-- I haven't noticed that always being the case. So I thought it must be because I'm speaking too fast.

Anyhow, so that was the most important point that I wanted to make, is that the points got made. And I think they got made, I think that we see evidence of them being heard, and that being things that we can carry on. So I think there's things that we learned in doing this that are worth following up on in the future in terms of our style. But I've been watching for a year and it's sort of been a trial and error developing this, but I think a pattern developed well this time. So I'm not going to go into all the specific points because we've been talking about them for four days, but I do think it went well.

And also, the meetings that we had jointly with people weren't that sort of stiff conversations that used to occur. They were actually substantive. They talked about the points, they talked about issues, there was give and take, there was agreement, there was disagreement. So I want to say that that was good. And now I want to turn it over to Olivier, who had a chance, because when you have

the ability to just sit and listen to it all and take notes is really quite a luxury. And so he probably saw lots of things worth noting that I didn't see. So Olivier, to you.

OLIVIER CREPIN-LEBLOND

Thank you very much, Avri. As you can see, I'm out up in the sky looking all the way down and an overall view of it all. I think you described it very well. It's Olivier Crepin-Leblond speaking. I think you described it very well, that it's quite clear that the topics that the At-Large community has focused on, such as the Applicant Guidebook, such as DNS abuse, or those that are actually gaining, obviously, Applicant Guidebook is going to have traction because that's the next big thing that's happening, but certainly DNS abuse is something where I think this community can be quite happy about how much is now happening because of the relentless work of our volunteers in trying to bring this thing back to the table, back to the table.

Suddenly, today's plenary that happened just before this session was really, really interesting. And it's great to have seen so many people following this when at some point there was a case of what's DNS abuse? There is no such thing as DNS abuse. We don't even have a definition for it. So that's one thing we should be happy about. On the Applicant Guidebook, it's clear our community has kept a very close eye over this. Of course, thanks to our wonderful GNSO liaison who has been so great at keeping us up to date so we're not kind of lost with what's going on.

We've been really able to push on the Applicant Support Program with the GAC, great discussion happening there and with other communities as well. I'm looking also at the meeting we had with the NCSG, which was, I think, very fruitful as well and certainly helped in regards to community applications, etc. And then finally, the discussion that we had with OCTO earlier this morning, the Office of the CTO, interesting as well here that all the work that they're doing about DNS abuse mitigation.

And I think that in some way, we can't take all the credit, but certainly the amount of noise that we did make about this has also helped with ICANN staff being given the resources that they needed to be able to address this and to be able to help out in their own way with all the data that they're able to share. So all together, well done. Well done to everyone on the ground, well done to all those people that have taken part. Thank you.

JONATHAN ZUCK

Thanks, Olivier. And let's move on to the OFB, Claire.

CLAIRE CRAIG

Hello, are you hearing me now? Great. Hi, good afternoon to those of you in the room and good day to those of you online. I am Claire Craig, one of the Vice Chairs of the ALAC and the co-Vice Chair of the OFB Working Group. I'm not sure if the other Chair, Bukola, is online. If she is, I will give her an opportunity to add. Okay, she

isn't. So, as you know, this was a policy meeting, so there aren't very many things that came out from our group.

But what I wanted to talk specifically to were some follow up items from our meeting, which was on the first day. So, thanks for the great job on the CIP by Bukola and Cheryl and the results that came in from the survey, we know that we do have continuous work to do on the Continuous Improvement Program. So keep that at the forefront as we move forward. The next item was we had a meeting with the ICANN Planning Team, and they have promised to come back and meet with us, ALAC and At-Large, as we look at the ALAC priorities, strategic priorities for the next year.

So we are in the process of working those out now, and any information that we need moving forward, they have agreed to come back and meet with us. Then Marita. No, Amrita, sorry, reminded us about the work that is taking place at the IGF in the next couple of weeks, and she reminded those of you in the At-Large community to please update the wiki and the website with information concerning that. She also sent out an email, I think, on some work that we're going to be talking about later on mapping, and she just spoke about that a little while ago, mapping the work, the WSIS map, and maybe she might want to say something more about that, with regard to our involvement in the At-Large community.

And the other thing is the update from the ASO on the governance document. At that session, we heard that only the ICANN report is

out at this time, but they are still working on their report, and as soon as they have finished compiling that, they have agreed to come back and meet at the OFB Working Group session to provide us with more information. There was just one other thing I want to speak to, which was not at that meeting, but it has been at the forefront of this meeting, and it's the ICANN reviews.

And so the SOs and ACs have continued to meet, and we have come to a point where we thought of on the same page, but we have nonetheless decided that we will continue with the planned action that we were going to take for this time until we have a resolution on that. So those are the things I just wanted to share at this time. I don't know if Amrita wanted to add anything or if Jonathan also. So Amrita first, and then Jonathan if you wanted to say anything on the reviews. Thank you. You and the WSIS, yes.

AMRITA CHOUDHURY

I hope I'm audible this time, second time. So we had a viscous discussion yesterday, the informal discussion during lunch break, which is the ICANN one. And GNSO has done a mapping exercise to show that based upon the action line, what their community has been doing. The exercise is primarily to show how ICANN and its constituencies are aligned. The question came to ccNSO, Jordan is sitting here, as well as to At-Large in case we want to do a similar mapping.

So while we said that principally we agree we will have to see how to do it. And as an initial update, I had shared it with the leadership

team and the RALO chairs who did show some interest in doing it since we would be in break and the first OFB meeting would be during the IGF. Perhaps at the OFB group, I may be sending out a main. The process could create some kind of a chart where the RALOs fill it up and cumulatively we do it.

But I also told the community there that doing an extensive exercise may be difficult, as in it can be only at a higher level we can do it, and I don't think we need more than that. So that's it on this. And there was another discussion one of these days about WSIS and the involvement of the At-Large community. So what we decided is the staff will create a WSIS page and we will try to populate it with what are the things we plan to do or who are going to go there if they are speaking in any session. So yes, that's it.

JONATHAN ZUCK

Thanks, Amrita. And as far as the reviews, the reviews about which we're speaking, most of you, I think we've been trying to keep you in the loop across the course of the week, but we're talking about these specific reviews that had their genesis from the Department of Commerce many years ago in a document called the Affirmation of Commitments that then found its way into the bylaws. And this is the ATRT review, the CCT review, the Security and Stability review, the RDS review, and another one. I said that one, I think, CCT.

There's one more. WHOIS, I guess, right. And so these were 10 years ago brought into the bylaws. And we've been diligently

having these reviews and generating many, many recommendations from them, and we recently had a community-wide prioritization, and I don't know what the other word would be, Cheryl, but determination of things that have become unnecessary, a shedding exercise as well on recommendations to sort of bring those in line.

But most recently, there was some of the community who became overwhelmed and communicated that to the Board, and the Board decided to pause ATRT another time, a second time, putting us in breach of the bylaw, a further breach, I guess, of the bylaw than we already were. And so that's the conversation we've been having fundamentally is, do we create a new process now to evaluate the reviews that we have set up, or is ATRT the bright process for doing that, or some hybrid, et cetera? And so those are the conversations that we've been in and out of for most of the week.

And we seem to have gotten to a place that can work in terms of getting us back in line with the bylaws, creating a very narrowly scoped discussion about a review of the reviews and a place from which we can carry on. It definitely falls in that category of everybody being dissatisfied with the outcome, but hopefully that means that it's something that we can live with. So we will be engaging in that process very shortly because it's on an accelerated time frame.

But we've also decided that at the same time we're going to take advantage of the empowered community capability of beginning a

petition for a request for consideration by the community so that in parallel if this other process doesn't go well, we haven't missed the deadline to do it. We're sort of deadline driven that in that we only have until the 17th to file something.

So we're going to move ahead with that, but bearing in mind that if this other process goes as planned, that we won't need to carry that process to completion necessarily. So that's what's going on with the reviews, there'll be more on this as these different bodies pop up. We'll need volunteers to participate in these conversations that are taking place around the reviews in the very near term. So be aware of that. Hadia has her hand up about something, i don't know what.

HADIA ELMINIAMI

Yeah, this is Hadia for the record. This is an old hand with regard to the WSIS. But I would like to say that the mapping exercise is actually mapping At-Large activities against WSIS action lines. But there is an important element here that it is good to have this mapping done by July as a deadline given that the WSIS+20 will be happening after that. So in order for that mapping to be actually useful, it's good to have it by July as a deadline. Thank you.

JONATHAN ZUCK

And do we have a plan for how that will take place?

AMRITA CHOUDHURY

Just to clarify, it is at a higher level. WSIS is not happening in July. WSIS+20 high-level meeting of ITU is in July 1st, week one. The negotiations are going to happen in September, and the final voting will happen in December. And the elements paper is supposed to be out on 20th June, the first zero draft will be out in August. So we do have time.

HADIA ELMINIAWI

I would say that we need to have it before August, but this is only me.

JONATHAN ZUCK

Well, that might be easier to accomplish than July. Everyone's going to be recovering from this meeting. All right, any other questions on the policy or OFB meetings? I think the next thing we're talking about is ACES. I don't know if there's anything to report there. That's just a standing item on the--

AMRITA CHOUDHURY

So before we go to ACES, I think Heidi wanted a bit of an update from our fellow/At-Large member who wants to share in two minutes what they did in the booth in terms of promoting things and in ACES, I'll just give her one minute.

PRANAV TIWARI

Thanks. Pranav Tiwari for the record. I'm a second time fellow. And Heidi got us a nice request to engage, to meet with folks at the

ICANN engagement booth where we were talking about the new rounds and sharing how this is moving forward. We had Shita Laksmi, Sana, and Ankita who are also NextGen fellows or former fellows engaging with everyone. And we got some interesting questions on gTLDs, what are the languages support is available in, how can they seek more support in the various languages that there are?

And I think the most interesting part was something that Avri was repeating in the last meeting that ask everyone to read the handbook, support handbook, and give feedback. So that's something that we as fellows also started and was requesting those who were joining us for conversation. So I think that was a good start, and we got some interesting questions also on how does conflict resolution work out in terms of application support. And we got more answers from the larger ICANN Org, and we were able to assist them. Thank you.

JONATHAN ZUCK

Excellent. Thank you for your work. I guess on the topic of the NextGen fellows, I don't know if you want to talk about the event, Sebastien, that you had with the NextGen, the RALO event, and you could go from there into your GA if you want to give any bit of an update about that as well. Oh, okay. Alan, do you want to go ahead on Seat 15? I didn't realize I was blowing up the earth by changing the-- Go ahead.

ALAN GRENNBERG

That's the Chair's job. All right. This was presented at the last monthly ALAC meeting in preparation for the Seat 15 selection on the Board. We need a couple of changes to the rules of procedure. Well, it's one critical one and some other cleanup. Do you want me to go through them or we've already done them?

JONATHAN ZUCK

We went through them.

ALAN GREENBERG

There was one added at the end, which is purely a spelling mistake which we're correcting. I have had no comments back from anyone following the meeting, so I'm assuming there is no concern with them, which means you need to initiate a vote of the ALAC. We need a two thirds majority of people voting for it to pass, and staff has three days to reach out to anyone who is not physically at this meeting.

Given that this is the last day of this meeting, three days is how you do that while you're on the plane, I don't know. But we should, to the extent possible, reach out to anyone who's not at this meeting to get as large a vote as possible. I turn it over to you if you want to put the motion to the table.

JONATHAN ZUCK I don't know what critical mass we have in terms of an ALAC here, if it's worth trying to have.

ALAN GREENBERG I believe you have at least a quorum of the ALAC at this meeting, but you don't need. Yeah, do we have a quorum at this meeting? If not, we have a problem. I counted eight people when I looked around.

JONATHAN ZUCK Oh, we do have a quorum. Okay. All right.

GISELLA GRUBER Bukola and Shah online.

JONATHAN ZUCK Okay. Well, then I put forward the motion to accept these changes to the rules of procedure. So anybody would like to second it.

ALAN GREENBERG Don't need a second.

JONATHAN ZUCK And then all those in favor of it, raise your hand.

ALAN GREENBERG This is ALAC members only.

JONATHAN ZUCK

I love that there's so much enthusiasm of opinions in the room at all times, so that's excellent. Thank you for your suggestion. All right, how did that go? Anyone opposed? Could have just asked that question. All right, I think that passes.

ALAN GREENBERG

Can we hear the count from a staff member who would turn on a microphone?

HEIDI ULLRICH

We have Eunice, Judith, Claire, Jonathan, Natty, Catherine, Aziz, I believe Amelia. So right there, that's quorum. And Rohana. Okay, so that's 5, 6, 7, 8, 9. We have nine, and I don't know about the online. Did Bukola say yes?

GISELLA GRUBER

If we could please repeat the question for Bukola. This is Gisella for the record, and Shah had to leave for a meeting, although his name is still showing online. Thank you.

HEIDI ULLRICH

So it's passed, and we'll follow up with the others, including Justine.

JONATHAN ZUCK

Thank you, everyone. We'll follow up to make sure.

ALAN GREENBERG

Staff will handle it, I presume.

JONATHAN ZUCK

I think we should have just waited, had the vote offline. All right, but thank you. Thanks, everyone. I love these kinds of votes about changes to language of rules of procedure. All right, are we good? All right, thank you. And thank you, Alan, for your work on getting this organized. It's a thankless job, but thank you very much for it. All right, and now I'm going to go to Sebastien for a report on some of the RALO activities and both the GA and the NextGen session, as you hope. And whatever help you want to get from your minions on it.

SEBASTIEN BACHOLLET

Thank you very much, Jonathan. I will speak in English as I am reporting about the GA. I have no many things to say that we all the hybrid GA and a lot of people were in the room and people were online also. I guess it was largely great, we didn't have any vote, therefore it was not really necessary. We went through all the activity of last year until, I would say, today. Natalia makes a report of our activities, and we had a discussion about the... Olivier told us about what's happening at the CPWG, and I talked about what's happening at the OFB.

And we had an interesting discussion with... Leon Sanchez bought it 15 in the Board about reviews. It seems that it's recurring discussion in every places. I hope that we go to some resolution about that but it's a pity that we didn't have the possibility to have a real cross community discussion about that. And then we had a gathering with NextGen, they were all from Europe, we were happy to have the 10, 11 of them here in the room, and we tried to do some exercise, team building. The objective of this was to hopefully have a relation one-to-one between one EURALO member and here on site and one NextGen with the winner of the quiz will be talking at the readout the 1st of July.

I heard good things from NextGen, therefore I hope it went well, and I want to thank a lot Emilia and Nati who helped me for organizing the part of technical things with Mentimeter, and it was great. Thank you, both of you, and thank you for all who helped to organize both the General Assembly and this get-together with NextGen. And particularly, I would like to underline that without Gisella, we will not be able to do anything in this organization. Thank you, Gisella.

JONATHAN ZUCK

And Laura, they were using Mentimeter in their session with the NextGen. Richard, would you like to talk a little bit about--

SEBASTIEN BACHOLLET

May I add one little thing? Just to say, I know where we are going with the reviews. I just want to say to everybody here that I am really concerned with the fact that when we have a trouble in this organization, now we will change the bylaws. It means a lot. I know that we may go to that direction, but just think for future and I am concerned. I wanted to put that on the table here even if I will follow everything we will put in the future and support the fact that we are going in that direction, I really feel that it's a bad way to go, generally speaking, not specifically speaking. Thank you.

AVRI DORIA

Yeah, if I could just add a comment. I pressed it twice and I can hear me. I just wanted to let you know that while there may be an AC/SO decision to go on temporary changes to the bylaws. I will continue to fight it, so don't give up. If you don't want it, write, do stuff, we got three weeks, we got time. It'll probably happen, but still, we can fight it.

JONATHAN ZUCK

Roberto and Denise, go ahead, please.

ROBERTO GAETANO

Yes. In the interest of time, it's just two points that I want to make. The first point is related to what has happened. And the big difference between this meeting and the previous meeting in Seattle was the large participation by the ICANN community, the ICANN Board, and the ICANN Org to the presentations of the

NextGenners. Incidentally, we have three NextGen participants in the audience in this session, and that is also an indication of the interest and of the mutual connection.

I think I would like to take a little bit of the merit in this because of my yelling at the public forum in Seattle. But most of the merit went to the NextGen staff who have worked in months in order to prepare things so that they were happening in a good way, in the best way. And I heard from somebody that Tripti Sinha wanted explicitly to have the NextGen presentations in the calendar of the Board to make sure that there was some attention. So that is a big thing, and I'm confident that in the future that's going to be much more interest than sometimes in the past.

The second thing is related to the future, because the question is, okay, and now, so what? We have achieved the first step, which is good communication and involvement of the NextGen in our activities, our generically, not specifically At-Large, and the participation of the community in this. But tomorrow, the NextGenners will leave Prague, and there is something that we must do in order to capitalize on the investment. ICANN has spent money and resources on this, they have spent time to come, and I think that there must be something in order to retain the people and make them participate to this.

And this is something, so the first step was done, and the second we must continue. One of the easy things is to invite them to participate as individual member in EURALO, and then with this

participation as individual members they will still keep the link and maybe then become interested in other in other parts, and eventually they will end up in other constituencies and another part of the organization. But this will be for the benefit of our community. That's it. Thank you.

DENISE HOCHBAUM

Hi, I'm Denise Hochbaum. I have very few things to share because Roberto already completed my thoughts. I think what I can add to this this meeting is about my function now is to work in the resumes and applications, and then it was extremely interesting how this group that are here in Prague presented their resumes, their proposals, it was really impressive. It was 67 guys and girls, all together from different countries, but connected in Europe.

It was very hard to get a selection, but what you selected was present here and it was outstanding. We have three representatives in NextGen that are very connected with ALAC, not only At-Large, because the end user proposals. But this term that I am working, still working, was 80 resumes from Asia, and was also pretty much from India, was outstanding. It's very hard to decide. And anyway. I think it will be the same ways, very, very good results.

And in India, we have very, it's not so much, but I notice is much more technical than the end user. And connected to this section, we have, Roberto mentioned, is one large number, the people, the leaders from SSAC, RSAC, and GNSO, ccNSO, and the Board. So the

room was full of participants that promoted a very, very high-level question for this NextGen that they all answered very, very well. I recommend that you are interested to see the papers and the answers and the dialogue, to attend the online, the records. It works very well. But I feel very sorry, very little people from At-Large. Many, many people are around the world connected first with At-Large, so I feel sorry the participation is very little.

I think you only, Judith, you went, and one person more. So it says I think it will be very interesting for the next round, you have selected and invited some people from At-Large to be present, it'll be good. Exactly. Usually, Jonathan promotes a phone call with the NextGen and fellows what is very productive, but it's a little different. What you do, continue to do, please. That's okay. Thank you.

JONATHAN ZUCK

Okay, quick.

OKSANA PRYKHODKO

Thank you very much, Oksana Prikhodko, for the record. I was a member of NextGen Selection Committee for four years, and I would like to draw your attention to very serious problems. Four years ago, we received nearly 50 applications, and this maximum score of 100. The average score was nearly 50.

Previous year, we received more than 150 applications, and the average score was near 100. So difference between scores is

minimal, and the evaluation system has to be changed. I will be happy to join the work on changing the evaluation system. Thank you.

JONATHAN ZUCK

All right. We have really reached the end of our session. This is the toughest session because people have so many things they want to talk about, and we need to just plan to have conversations about them intersessionally as well. If you'll indulge me, I'm going to make a reference to a movie from the 80s called *Amazing Grace and Chuck*. And it's all about a boy who decided to go on strike from Little League Baseball until there were no more nuclear weapons.

And then a famous basketball player noticed it and joined him, that led to professional athletes all over the world joining the strike. And this led to the boy being called to the White House to meet the president, who was played by Gregory Peck, which is a pretty imposing person. And Gregory Peck, he quoted Supreme Court Justice, Blackburn, who once said that the First Amendment doesn't give you the right to go into a crowded theater and yell fire. And this little boy turns to Gregory Peck, and he says, but sir, what if there's a fire?

And I've always found that to be a very poignant moment in the movie. Go check it out. I want to encourage everybody that wants to keep arguing about the processes that we do, there's a lot of pressure to go along with the group, but it never hurts to be a voice

and to bring others along. So you have my full support. Thanks, everyone.

[END OF TRANSCRIPTION]