


Do you think that this first draft of the purpose of the reviews is heading in the right direction?



What is missing from our list of purposes?

I'm holding out for the review of review of reviews

An external voice / sanity check

The list

The top reason for review

Focus on increasing the efficiency

That timelines and deadlines are part of the purpose, not to interfere with one another.

Preventing the GNSO self-dealing and pretending its perfect

might have missed it but didn't hear much on measurable KPIs

What is missing from our list of purposes?

add efficiency at top
perhaps along with
transparent and
accountable

Are concepts and
mechanisms that were fit for
purpose in 1999 still the
most efficient way of getting
things done?

What does good look
like? Also a time limit eg
6 months.

whether it's feasible to
achieve all of them
effectively

Do we still need all the
safeguards that slow
down processes?

What went well and
what didn't go well

Will these reviews dig right
down into how the work is
done? Cos to achieve its
mission I think that's
necessary..

What are the things you think should be reviewed?

The time it takes to develop new policies

How the work is done and where any blockages are, end to end

Performance of the Org.

Processes and timelines

I wonder if changing to a system of ad-hoc reviews on any relevant theme upon demand might be an alternative approach

The bottlenecks that prevent a review to be effective and efficient.

Measurable KPI's

Board effectiveness.

What are the things you think should be reviewed?

The inability to apply continuous improvement process for minor updates of policy based on practice

Board timelines

The inefficient use of time of IANN meeting weeks. Too many parallel meetings of stakeholder groups and too few sessions that are multistakeholder

Whether as a community we are fulfilling the mission.

Impact of PDPs

Measures designed to hold ICANN accountable to mission

Succession planning in ICANN Groups.

The structure should be reviewed, especially how ccNSO and GNSO are positioned and when they are treated collectively as "Registries."

What are the things you think should be reviewed?

Council size

Focusses on a small and achievable number of problem areas and provides actionable recommendations

adopts a timeline measured in less than 18 months, meets that timeline, and recommends actions that are achievable relatively make improvement toward mission. quickly and reasonable and that

Complete this sentence: a review succeeds if it ...?

Results in effective change

Is not run in an icann setting

Reveals and makes addressable problems that are otherwise brushed over

There's more trust and accountability in ICANN

KPIs are well defined and all KPIs met.

Is fully implemented.

...is comprehensive.

Helps ICANN deliver outcomes

Complete this sentence: a review succeeds if it ...?

the results are implemented.

Comes with concrete and useful recommendations on an important subject AND those recommendations are implemented in a timely manner

In the end there is commitment of the community

identifies the weaknesses of the processes and provides potential solutions

Seen as more effective than multilateral, bilateral or unilateral moves by Governments

Lasts no more 6 month, produces max 10 recommendations that are approved by Board within 3 months and implemented within 6 month

Focusses on a small number of priority areas and delivers actionable recommendations

Confirms process and structure were followed and are still fit for purpose. And if process or structure were not followed or did not lead to expected outcome, suggest improvement.

Complete this sentence: a review succeeds if it ...?

If it have a well defined purpose that can result in better solutions or processess

allows ccNSO make informed decisions and drives positive change.

if accepted by those implementing it

It's done in max 18 months , recommendations are clear and implementable from the get go, and implementation and there's time for changes to make a difference before the next review starts.

is implementable as continuous improvement process

allows everyone to make it through in good spirits

communities involved satisfied with the outcomes.

There's no need to do another review of reviews in 10 years

Complete this sentence: a review succeeds if it ...?

is effective

Reveals not just tangible indicators but also non tangibles for purposes of meaning and legitimacy vs just measurements

A review succeeds if it provides clear, constructive insights that lead to measurable improvement without discouraging effort or innovation

Planned and Executed Successfully

ICANN84 Review of Reviews

How would you rate this session?

