Proposals for Improvements to the RAA

http://brussels38.icann.org/node/12460

Monday 21 June 2010 – 17:30 – 19:00

[2010-06-21 17::47:25] Robert_Hoggarth: Welcome to the session everyone! Wendy beat me to the inch. If you have a question or statement to offer during the interactive part of the panel, please start your post with the words QUESTION or STATEMENT at the beginning of your post.

[2010-06-21 17::49:38] Bob_Connelly11414: I'm here!

[2010-06-21 17::50:26] CLO: Remember you aree welcome to post any questions or statements here and we will present them... CLO who is the moderator for this session

[2010-06-21 17::51:38] wseltzer: plenty of critics in the audience, even if none on the panel.

[2010-06-21 17::54:46] CLO: Plenty of time (EQUAL if I can make it happen) for all from the floor to make statement and or pose questions Wendy

[2010-06-21 17::54:47] Margie_Milam: Wendy- to answer your question, the panelists were picked by the working group to reflect the contributions made to the Initial Report. We hope that the other perspectives will be raised during the Q&A section of the session.

[2010-06-21 17::54:48] wseltzer: "malicious conduct" is no business of ICANN's. Imposing secondary liability on registrars is a terrible idea.

[2010-06-21 17::55:57] wseltzer: Thanks Margie, that points to problems with the working group model.

[2010-06-21 17::57:35] Scott_Pinzon: I had problems connecting and arrived late. Would someone tell me who is speaking right now?

[2010-06-21 17::57:48] wseltzer: Scott_Pinzon: Steve Metallitz, IPC

[2010-06-21 17::57:53] Scott_Pinzon: Thank you!

[2010-06-21 18::01:00] wseltzer: Of course all of the measures of support draw from a narrow pool of participants who could spend hours a wekk on phone calls. (a problem with WGs in general)

[2010-06-21 18::05:06] wseltzer: Geez, we see lots of criminality that uses telephones, shoes, and bicycles. Must we require registration of all those too?

[2010-06-21 18::06:17] Statton_Hammock: Just lost audio and video feed

[2010-06-21 18::06:23] John_McCormac: ditto here

[2010-06-21 18::06:27] Scott_Pinzon: Me too.

[2010-06-21 18::06:28] gpmgroup: ditto

[2010-06-21 18::06:29] Roland: So it's not just me then?

[2010-06-21 18::06:30] wseltzer: Yes, my only concern is "solemn responsibility for proteciton of the public" -- I just believe that counsels entirely different positions.

[2010-06-21 18::06:45] Bret_Fausett: Lost audio and video

[2010-06-21 18::07:01] wseltzer: happy to paste transcript into chat if people don't have that scrolling too

[2010-06-21 18::07:02] ¬ª Eli_Connelly joined the chat room.

[2010-06-21 18::07:07] Bret_Fausett: Video back. No audio.

[2010-06-21 18::07:08] Statton_Hammock: See video. Still no audio

[2010-06-21 18::07:17] Bob_Connelly11414: I have the vieeo but lost audio

[2010-06-21 18::07:18] Roland: transcript has stopped

[2010-06-21 18::07:59] gpmgroup: http://stream.icann.org/goldhall-64-en.m3u

[2010-06-21 18::08:10] Roland: Still no audio

[2010-06-21 18::08:26] wseltzer: http://www.streamtext.net/text.aspx?event=LwEnRAA

[2010-06-21 18::08:39] Robert_Hoggarth: apologies .... tech team is working on it

[2010-06-21 18::08:59] Statton_Hammock: Thanks Robert.

[2010-06-21 18::09:41] Bob_Connelly11414: I reloaded http://stream.icann.org/goldhall-64-en.m3u and can hear again.

[2010-06-21 18::10:02] Roland: Sound again!

[2010-06-21 18::10:04] Statton_Hammock: Working now. Thanks!

[2010-06-21 18::10:12] wseltzer: transcript to date at http://pastebin.ca/1887788

[2010-06-21 18::10:21] Bob_Connelly11414: Oops, I hear double audio

[2010-06-21 18::10:22] Scott_Pinzon: Bravo! Sound back.

[2010-06-21 18::10:53] Roland: If you get double audio, switch off the just-audio stream

[2010-06-21 18::12:15] Bob_Connelly11414: Where would that be?

[2010-06-21 18::14:00] gpmgroup: @bob Windows mediaplayer? external to adobe?

[2010-06-21 18::14:07] wseltzer: Glad to hear that protecting privacy of individuals is at least "OK"!

[2010-06-21 18::14:14] Roland: Whatever got launched when you went to: http://stream.icann.org/goldhall-64-en.m3u

[2010-06-21 18::19:22] wseltzer: "know your customer" is different from "know your speaker." The latter is what LE is demanding.

[2010-06-21 18::24:46] Roland: Speaker is someones customer, though??

[2010-06-21 18::25:54] wseltzer: no due process in this proposal, though

[2010-06-21 18::26:28] Roland: There has to be a due process in whatever country the registrar is located. LEA don't deny this.

[2010-06-21 18::42:13] Robin_Gross: This would be a more balanced session if a civil liberties / privacy expert were on it.

[2010-06-21 18::43:28] Statton_Hammock: I agree Robin.

[2010-06-21 18::44:05] Roland: We have a saying in uk "there is no confidentiality in iniquity"

[2010-06-21 18::45:23] Roland: The issue here is thieves, not freedom fighters

[2010-06-21 18::47:05] CLO: Robyn were exploring the RAA (joint a&b) work group report and exploring som ofc the diversity of view that LE and other parties in the WG have to work towards closer agreement on, but please take the mike to bring your points forward we are trying to give time to hear all voices possible here
[2010-06-21 18::47:32] Robin_Gross: If you assume everyone suspected or accused is guilty.

[2010-06-21 18::48:03] Roland: People generally know when their bank account has been emptied.

[2010-06-21 18::48:25] gpmgroup: There ought to a stricter policy on data aggregation of WHOIS data, rather than allowing registrars to repackage this data for commercial purposes

[2010-06-21 18::50:45] Jorge: and how you are planning to deal with crooks friendly cctlds that do not have and will not have a contract with icann ?

[2010-06-21 18::51:10] Roland: Reputation

[2010-06-21 19::01:09] ¬ª Mary_W left the chat room.

[2010-06-21 19::01:29] Jorge: thanks for asking the question

[2010-06-21 19::02:04] Margie_Milam: no problem!

[2010-06-21 19::02:41] Bob_Connelly11414: Hi, Margie:-)

[2010-06-21 19::03:08] Margie_Milam: hi Bob!

[2010-06-21 19::05:09] wseltzer: yes, I agree, ICANN's IP space is "slightly dubious" :)

[2010-06-21 19::17:51] Don_Blumenthal: There;s constant reference to LE agencies. Who determines what is a legiatimate LE agency?

[2010-06-21 19::20:30] Margie_Milam: Don- apologies- we are wrapping up now

[2010-06-21 19::22:30] Don_Blumenthal: I figured but thought I'd toss it out for others to see anyway. The issues goes way back to discussions of tiered access in my FTC days.

[2010-06-21 19::23:01] Scott_Pinzon: CLO, excellent! You put a nice button and a bow on a tricky session!

[2010-06-21 19::23:14] Jorge: Good Job !!

[2010-06-21 19::23:19] Bob_Connelly11414: Bye

[2010-06-21 19::23:30] Jorge: have fun tonite