CCNSO – GAC
DRDWG
ICANN BRUSSELS MEETING
JUNE 2010

Progress report and
Public consultation

Progress Report

- The working group previously adopted a work plan that contemplated completion of its mandate by July 2011.
- As a result of the progress made since the Nairobi meeting and a change in its working methods the DRDWG now expects to deliver its final report at an earlier stage.

Progress Report – cont'd

- As reported in its previous progress report (http://ccnso.icann.org/workinggroups/report-drd-25feb10-en.pdf):
  - *The working group has not identified an authoritative source which has compiled all relevant policies or guidelines; and,,*
  - *There is no publicly available documentation of the current practices or procedures.*

Progress Report – cont'd

- In an attempt to understand the current policies, guidelines and practices, the working group performed an initial analysis of the following documents:
  - RFC 1591 (and earlier RFCs that are directly relevant)
  - ICP-1
  - GAC Principles 2000 and 2005

Progress Report – cont'd

- These Policy Statements provided a baseline against which to evaluate the actual practices of IANA and the ICANN Board, as reflected in the following documentation ("Documentation"):
  - All IANA reports on ccTLD delegations, redelegations and retirements
  - All ICANN Board decisions affecting delegations, redelegations and retirements.

Progress Report – cont'd

- On the basis of the initial analysis of the Documentation, 16 cases were identified that the WG considered potentially relevant to the work of the DRDWG.
- These are presented in the **Potential Issues and their Classification** public consultation document

Methodology

- The WG developed a methodology to evaluate and classify the 16 cases.
- The WG used the following classification scheme:
  - "*Significantly Interesting*" (would strongly support recommendation of a PDP)
  - "*Interesting*" (could support the recommendation of a PDP)
  - "*Possibly Interesting*" (would probably not support a recommendation for a PDP)

Methodology – cont'd

- The 16 cases fall into two main categories:
  - Cases related to policy development (implicit or explicit)
  - Cases related to the application of policy.

Methodology – cont'd

Combing the two characteristics, Issues can be qualified as falling into one of six classes:

	Significantly interesting	Interesting	Possibly interesting
Issue related to policy	Significantly departs from the Policy Statements.	Departs from the Policy Statements in some ways	Complies with the requirements set out in the Policy Statements in most or all ways.
Application of policy	Significantly departs from the requirements set out in the Policy Statements	Fails to meet some requirements set out in the Policy Statements	Meets most or all requirements set out in the Policy Statements

September 25th, 2000 - ISO 3166 Reserved List Decision

- ICANN Board Minutes (September 25th 2000)

  - It is therefore RESOLVED [00.74] that the IANA staff is advised that alpha-2 codes not on the ISO 3166-1 list are delegable as ccTLDs only in cases where the ISO 3166 Maintenance Agency, on its exceptional reservation list, has issued a reservation of the code that covers any application of ISO 3166-1 that needs a coded representation in the name of the country, territory, or area involved ;

September 25th, 2000 - ISO 3166 Reserved List Decision

- This explicit decision by the Board meets the four criteria from the decision tree and supports the DRDWG classifying this decision as a change in policy that is applicable to the delegation, redelegation or retirement-revocation of ccTLDs.
- This policy decision failed to meet all of the requirements for policy development in effect at the time. This supports the DRDWG classifying this as "Significantly Interesting".

September 25th, 2000 - ISO 3166 Reserved List Decision – cont'd

- Although this Board decision is a modification to the policies applicable to ccTLDs, it was never incorporated into ICP1 and there were never any follow on documents / updates to ICP1. This is an interesting meta issue to the work of the DRDWG, given ICP1 continues to be referred to as the only policy document applicable to ccTLDs within ICANN.

Reference

- Progress Report:
  - http://ccnso.icann.org/workinggroups/drd-progress-report-14jun10-en.pdf
- Draft Analysis Report:
  - http://ccnso.icann.org/workinggroups/drd-analysis-report-14jun10-en.pdf

Contacts:

- Keith Davidson (keith@internetnz.net.nz)
- Bernie Turcotte (turcotte@sednove.com)