

Inter-Registrar Transfer Policy Part B PDP Initial Report Overview

GNSO Council Meeting - Wednesday 23 June 2010



Quarter Questions



- Should there be a process or special provisions for urgent return of hijacked registration, inappropriate transfers or change of registrant?
- Registrar Lock Status (standards / best practices & clarification of denial reasons #7)



WG Approach



- PDP was initiated in June 2009
- WG has been discussing charter questions, public comment period, constituency stakeholder group input
- Input from ICANN Compliance Team on complaints
- Publication of Initial Report on 29 May
- Opening of Public Comment Forum after meeting in Brussels (foreseen for 5 Jul)



Draft Recommendations

Examples



- WG is putting an Expedited Transfer Reversal Policy (ETRP) forward for Community consideration
- Request an Issues Report on the requirements for 'thick' Whois for all gTLDs
- Standardize and clarify WHOIS status messages regarding Registrar Lock status. The goal of these changes is to clarify why the Lock has been applied and how it can be changed.
- New language for denial reason #7



Our Input Requested



- WG is looking for input on all these draft recommendations - in this afternoon's public information & consultation session and the public comment forum
- Based on the feedback and input received the WG will finalize its report and recommendations



Further Information



- IRTP Part B PDP Initial Report - <http://gnso.icann.org/issues/transfers/irtp-b-initial-report-29may10-en.pdf>
- Public Comment Forum (to open on 5 July - 25 July) - <http://www.icann.org/en/public-comment/>
- IRTP Part B PDP WG Workspace - <https://st.icann.org/irtp-partb/>



Questions

Questions

