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Project Overview and Goals
Why this project

• Long-standing request from a number of IDN user communities.

• Board direction to develop an issues report on the subject.

http://www.icann.org/en/minutes/resolutions-25sep10-en.htm#2.5
Case Studies and Integrated Issues Report
Naela Sarras
IDN Variant Issues Project Phases 1 & 2

Completed in 2011

- Arabic Case Study Report
- Chinese Case Study Report
- Cyrillic Case Study Report
- Devanagari Case Study Report
- Greek Case Study Report
- Latin Case Study Report

Draft Integrated Issues Report Published

ICANN
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A Study of Issues Related to the Management of IDN Variant TLDs (Integrated Issues Report)

20 February 2012
Phase I: Script case studies

- 6 teams: Arabic, Chinese, Cyrillic, Devanagari, Greek, Latin
- Comprised of community experts in DNS, Registry/Registrar operations, Linguistics, Security, Policy, and IDNA.
- Case Study Teams completed reports in October 2011.
Phase II: Integrated Issues Report

• Developed with support from a Coordination Team comprised of representatives from the case study teams.

• Summarizes and synthesizes the issues identified by the case study teams.
Working practices

- ICANN project team:
  - Synthesis of issues
  - Writing and editing the report

- Coordination team:
  - Advisory and reviewing role
  - Liaison with the 6 case study teams

- Weekly teleconference meetings

https://community.icann.org/display/VIP/Home
Integrated Issues Report: Objectives

• Identify the sets of issues relevant to all the studied scripts.

• Identify any sets of issues that are script specific.

• Provide a brief analysis of the issues, including the benefits and risks of possible approaches identified.

• Identify areas where further study or work could be pursued.
Integrated Issues Report
Karen Lentz
Executive Summary
1 - Overview
2 - Project background
3 - Range of variant cases identified
4 - Establishing variant labels
5 - Treatment of variant labels
6 - Other related issues
7 - Discussion of potential additional work
What is a “variant”? 

- No commonly-agreed definition. 
- Used to refer to a number of different concepts. 
- Report continues to use the term in a loose sense. 
- More specific terms are recommended, e.g., “variant” with a qualifier to give more information.
Scope of the report

- Issues discussed concern IDN variants at the top level (i.e., IDN variant TLDs).
- Other related issues are discussed as relevant.
Classification of identified variant cases

Cases referred to as Variants

- Linguistic
  - Dialectal
    - Whole-String
  - Exchangeable Variants
    - 1
    - 1*2
  - Visually-Similar
    - 2
    - Code-Point
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Whole string variants vs. code point variants

**Code point variants:** based on a relationship between code points
- E.g., a single code point is a variant of another code point or sequence of code points.

**Whole-string variants:** based on a relationship between whole strings.
- E.g., their meaning to a language community.
Establishing variant labels

Two Elements:

• The Code Point Repertoire for the Root Zone

• The Label Generation Rules
Establishing variant labels

Establishing the Code Point Repertoire for the Zone (Root) includes:

• Establishing which code points are to be permitted for the zone.

• Excluding code points not to be permitted for the zone.
Establishing the Code Point Repertoire

Issues:

• Which code points selected for inclusion?

• What expertise required for selection?

• How future changes in the valid and/or permitted code points are to be handled?
Establishing variant labels

Label Generation Rules (LGR) for the Code Point Repertoire include:

• Identifying code points that are variants of one another, and the code point substitution rules.

• Establishing the status rules applicable to labels containing permitted code points.
Creating Label Generation Rules

Issues:

• What expertise required to determine code point variant rules?

• Which label states are permitted?

• What flexibility should be provided regarding use of code points with different script properties?
Treatment of variant labels

Possible states identified:

• Activated, Allocated, Blocked, Delegated, Mirrored, Withheld

Resulting user experience and ICANN/registry/registrar operations dependent on which states are employed.
User experience considerations

Many types of users considered:

- Capabilities w/r/t the script: full competence, limited competence, or no familiarity.

- User roles: system administrator, other network operator, domain name registrant, software developer, law enforcement/security, end user.
Themes in the report

Tension between:
- Interest in creating greater functionality to address a range of potential variant cases
- Difficulties of meeting those objectives.

Risks and costs are significant
- Need for cost-benefit analysis for each potential mechanism to balance risks, costs, and benefits.
Next Steps: Project Plan
Francisco Arias
Potential next steps

Several potential projects identified in the Integrated Issues Report.

Open for public comment:


Comment period through 18 March 2012, with reply period through 8 April 2012
Project 1: Label Generation Ruleset Tool

Estimated Costs:
$41,880 FY12
$80,520 FY13

Already ongoing; Not dependent on having variants in the root

Description:

Develop the specification for a standard tool for listing the allowed code points and the label generation rules, and for the generation of the corresponding variant labels, if any.

(Specifies a standard format for an IDN table)
Project 3: Examining the Feasibility of Whole-String Variants

Estimated Costs:
$122,512 FY12
$130,550 FY13

Description:
Study the feasibility of unambiguously identifying and implementing whole-string variant TLDs.
Project 5: Examining the Technical Feasibility of Mirroring

Description:

Study the technical feasibility of mirroring variants in the root. Particularly the feasibility of ensuring that mirroring works beyond DNS, in applications like Web, email, FTP, etc.

(“Mirroring” means a mapping of 2 or more namespaces)
Project 6: Examining the User Experience Implications of Active Variant TLDs

**Estimated Costs:**

$53,930 FY12  
$426,512 FY13

**Description:**

Study the implications on user experience of variant TLDs in both mirrored and non-mirrored implementations.
Project 2: Label Generation Ruleset Process for the Root Zone

**Project 2.1:**
Determining the approach to developing the code point repertoire and the label generation process for the root zone.

**Project 2.2:**
Depending on the outcome of project 2.1, work is to develop the code point repertoire and the label generation process for the root zone.

**Estimated Costs:**

**Project 2.1**
$627,420 FY13

**Project 2.2**
Not estimated.
Project 4: Enhancing Visual Similarity Processes

**Project 4.1:**

Develop an enhanced visual similarity process for the root that is predictable and repeatable.

**Project 4.2:**

Depending on the outcome of project 4.1, work ranges from keeping status quo to using tools like the LGR tool to identify visual similarity using a deterministic approach.

**Estimated Costs:**

- **Project 4.1**
  - $661,230 FY13

- **Project 4.2**
  - Not estimated.
Project 7: Updates to ICANN’s gTLD and ccTLD Programs

Description:

Scope of work depends on the outcome of projects 2.1 and 4.1.

This project would implement the changes that may be needed as a result of the Label Generation Ruleset and the enhanced Visual Similarity processes in the new gTLD and ccTLD processes.
Project 8: Updates to ICANN and IANA Operations

Description:

Scope of work depends on the outcome of projects 2.1 and 4.1.

This project would implement the changes that may be needed in ICANN and IANA processes and operation as a result of the Label Generation Ruleset and the enhanced Visual Similarity processes.
IDN VIP Next Steps

- **Feasibility Studies 2012**
  - Includes board decision on types and states of variants to Implement.

- **Develop Key Processes 2013**
  - Includes board decision directing staff to implement the variant processes.

- **Implement Processes**
  - Proposed Plan for Next Steps
### Timeline

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>ICANN FY</th>
<th>FY12</th>
<th>FY13</th>
<th>FY14</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Calendar Year</td>
<td>CY12</td>
<td>CY12</td>
<td>CY13</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Month</td>
<td>2 3 4 5 6</td>
<td>7 8 9 10 11 12</td>
<td>1 2 3 4 5 6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ICANN Mtg</td>
<td>43 44</td>
<td>45</td>
<td>47 48 49 50</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

#### P1 Label Generation Ruleset Tool

- P3 Whole-String Variants Feasibility Study
- P5 Mirroring Variants Feasibility Study
- P6 Variants with and without Mirroring, User Experience Study

#### P2.1 Label Generation Ruleset Process Development

- Decision on Types of IDN Variant TLDs to implement

#### P2.2 Implement Label Generation Process for the Root

- P4.1 Visual Similarity Process Enhancement

- Decision to implement the IDN Variant TLDs processes

- P4.2 Improved Visual Similarity Process Implementation

- P7 Updates to ICANN’s gTLD and ccTLD Programs

- P8 Updates to ICANN and IANA Operations
Discussion and Questions
Thank You