



Looking at TLD DNSSEC Practices

Edward Lewis, Neustar
Presented at ICANN 43, DNSSEC Workshop
March 14, 2012

Survey* Results

- As of Feb 23rd, 79 out of 302 TLDs sign (26%)
- "Most common" choices (not universal):
 - RSA SHA-1 "old guard", RSA-SHA-256 "newbies"
 - 1024 bit ZSK, 2048 bit KSK
 - One ZSK and one KSK active and present
 - NSEC3 with 1 iteration, 4 byte (8 hex char) salts, rarely/never changed
 - DS record added 3 weeks after DNSKEY appears

* *This work, thru February 1st, was presented at APRICOT 2012, the survey work continues...*



Commentary

- This work isn't exactly a "discovery" but "looking for confirmation" of previously held conventional wisdom (CW) based on workshops (1999-2004)
- Unfortunately, there were few surprises
 - Unfortunate because it means that no one is challenging the CW
- Fortunately, there were few surprises
 - Fortunate because TLDs appear to be taking a conservative approach to security

What is significant?

- TLD adoption of DNSSEC is greater than any other high-profile segment of the DNS
 - Characterized by well-developed, standards conformant DNS operations operated by capable and well equipped staff
- Are the choices made by TLDs "the way to go?"
 - Not necessarily but they are a decent suggested practice
 - Note that requirements for DNS vary by organization
 - This is a strength of the system, not a weakness

What I'd like to do

- Not "name names" when looking at operations but there are cases where "I'm curious"
 - If you operate with DNSSEC and what to see what I've observed, contact me
 - If the results are far from "norm" I'd like to know why
 - No operation that works is "wrong"
 - DNSSEC, like DNS, has no one "right way"
- My desire is to see DNSSEC work "efficiently"