| | Arabic | Chinese | Cyrillic | Devanagari | Greek | Latin |
|-------------------------------------------------------------|-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-----------------------------------------------------|-----------------------------------------------------------------|-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|----------------------------------|---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| I. Code point substitu | tability | | | | | |
| A. Intra-script | | | | | | |
| 1. Same abstract character | | | | | | |
| 1a. Abstract characters that have more than | | one encoding | | | | |
| | Identical: decomposables, esp. if not normalized (6.1) Optional diacritics (notably vowels) (6.4) | Non-identical: Generic variant characters (5) | Identical: decomposables, esp. if not normalized (3.8) | | TONOS may be absent (11) | SMALLER TURNED E, SMALL LETTER SCHWA (6.2) (Discussed at 6.5: Precomposed characters) |
| 1b. Apparently the same abstract character in some contexts | | | | | | |
| | Identical: ZWNJ/zero (5.21), KAF HEH YEH (6.1.abc), TEH MARBUTA (6.1g) HEH with HAMZA (6.1h) NOON (6.1j); Non-identical: KAF YEH ALEF with HAMZA (above, below, wavy); ALEF with MADDA; YEH with HAMZA; variants of dot orientation (all in 6.2) | Japanese-only Kanji (6.3) | | Correct spelling of inflected forms in Nepali requires use of ZWNJ (4.3.2) | SIGMA and FINAL SIGMA (5, 12) | (Discussed at 6.4: decorative and contrastive variants) |

| | Arabic | Chinese | Cyrillic | Devanagari | Greek | Latin |
|-------------------------------------------------------------|----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|--------------------------------------------------------------------|--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-----------------------------|--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| 2. Different abstract characters | | | | | | |
| 2a. Different abstract characters interchangeable for users | | | | | | |
| | vowels with/without HAMZA, ALEF with/without composed form (6.3ab) | Simplified characters (SC) / Traditional characters (TC) (5) | | | | (Discussed at 6.6: combining marks) |
| 2b. Cases and lost information | | | | | | |
| | Optional diacritics (notably vowels) (6.4) | | IE/IO in Russian (3.1); SMALL LETTER I WITH GRAVE (3.3); APOSTROPHE/zero in Ukrainian (3.6) | MODIFIER LETTER APOSTROPHE/zero in Boro/Dogri/Maithili languages (3.4); EYELASH RA represents a different phoneme from RA, and is currently only rep'd by combination of RA with ZWJ (4.3.1) | TONOS may be absent (11) | Case folding (6.3) (Discussed at 6.7: Punctuation: Latin characters correctly substituted for APOSTROPHE) |
| 2c. Simple visual confusability | | | | | | |
| | Identical: BEEH/E FEH VEH (6.1def), TTEY/RNOON NOON/PEH (6.1ik); Non-identical: GHAIN/FEH | | GHE/GHE WITH UPTURN in Ukrainian (3.2); Old Letters, e.g YAT/SEMISOFT SIGN (3.4); ZE/DIGIT THREE | GHA/DHA BHA/MA etc (3.2.1 + Appx III); composite characters DGA/DNA/DRA etc (3.2.2 + Appx IV); Potential mistaken | | (Discussed at 6.7: Punctuation: Latin characters confusable with APOSTROPHE) |

| | Arabic | Chinese | Cyrillic | Devanagari | Greek | Latin |
|--------------------------------------------------------|---------------------------------------------------------------------------|---------|-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| | QAF/AIN with 2 DOTS ABOVE AIN/FEH/QAF with 2 DOTS ABOVE (6.2) | | (3.7); various forms of GHE, KA and EN (3.9); Appx I passim | characters due to rendering errors of poor font design (3.3.1) | | |
| 2d. Compatibility ma | ppings | | | | | |
| | TEH MARBUTA/HE (6.3c) | | Rarely used character/sequence of characters, e.g EN WITH HOOK/EN + GHE (3.5); C WITH ACUTE/ C + J in Montenegrin (9.1) | | | (Discussed for Swedish and German umlaut in 6.3) |
| 3. Code point substitutability dependent on the string | | | | | | |
| | digits?? (6.3d) | | | Homophonous spellings (4.2) | | |
| B. Inter-script | | | | | | |
| | | | Especially of concern for WHOLE-SCRIPT CONFUSABLE strings with Greek and Latin (4) | Especially of concern for WHOLE-SCRIPT CONFUSABLE strings with other Brahmi scripts, e.g. Gujarati (3.5, 4.1) | Especially of concern for WHOLE-SCRIPT CONFUSABLE strings with Cyrillic and Latin | (Discussed at 6.1., 7 and 8.) Especially of concern for WHOLE-SCRIPT CONFUSABLE strings with Greek and Cyrillic |
| II. Linguistic variant | 8 | | | | | |

| Arabic | Chinese | Cyrillic | Devanagari | Greek | Latin |
|--------|---------|----------|------------|------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-------|
| | | | | Equivalence between corresponding words in Dimotiki and Katharevousa dialects (13) | |