Joint GNSO – ccNSO Council meeting
Dimensions

• Scope of activities of SO
• Scope of policies
• Structure of SO
  – Role of Councils
• Relation with TLD operators/managers
• Relation with registrars
• Relation with governments and other stakeholders
## Scope of activities of SO

### ccNSO
- Platform Exchange of information
  - Internal (ccTLD’s)
  - External (other SO’s and AC’s, ICANN as organization)
- Best practices
- Guidelines for interaction between ccTLD’s and ICANN
- Policy related activities
  - Policy development
  - Interpretation of existing policies

### GNSO
- Responsible for developing and recommending to the ICANN Board substantive policies relating to generic top-level domains
- Develops input / advice on any gTLD related issues
- Exchanges of views between stakeholders with very different views and perspectives (contracted & non-contracted)
Scope of Policies

ccNSO

- Very Limited (Annex C)
- Excludes all policies related to registration of second level domain names -> no role for the ccNSO as such, local matter

GNSO

- Substantive policies relating to gTLDs
- Scope of “Consensus Policies” is defined in gTLD Registry and Registrar Agreements (‘picket fence’), which includes areas such as: principles for allocation of registered names; resolution of disputes, and; access to contact information (Whois).
Structure of SO

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>ccNSO</th>
<th>GNSO</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>• Council (18)</td>
<td>• Council (21)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• 15 elected, 3 NomCom appointed</td>
<td>• 3 RySG, 3 RrSG, 6 CSG, 6 NCSG, 3 Nom Com</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Members (ccTLD managers)</td>
<td>• 2 Houses</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Non-members (ccTLD managers)</td>
<td>• 4 stakeholder groups (2 per house)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>• Constituencies / Interest Groups</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Role of Councils

ccNSO
- Administrative, managing activities of the ccNSO
- Representational

GNSO
- Decision making
- Manager of PDP
Relation with TLD operators / managers

**ccNSO**
- Members (voluntary)
- Policy only applies to members
- ccNSO does not represent ccTLD’s
- ccNSO recommendation are NOT binding

**GNSO**
- Registry Stakeholder Group
- Per contract, “Consensus Policies” are directly applicable and enforceable by ICANN
## Relation with Registrars

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>ccNSO</th>
<th>GNSO</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>• No direct relation</td>
<td>• Registrar Stakeholder Group</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Local accreditation</td>
<td>• Per contract, “Consensus Policies” are directly applicable and enforceable by ICANN</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Current areas of shared work

- CWG principles – next steps
- JIG – current status / next steps
- Geographic Regions Report – any common views / position?
- Use of country and territory names as TLD’s - next steps
- DNS Security and Stability (DSSA, Risk Framework) - next steps
Shared issues and interests

• Manage joint activities
• How to improve interaction at working group level?
• Interest in ICANN strategic and operational planning and budget?
• Other?
How to move forward?

• Are joint Council meetings still the most effective tool to achieve desired objectives?
• Should other models be explored?