Date:
Sunday, 7 March 2010 - 14:00 - 17:00

Room:
Lenana

Meeting Leaders:
Massimiliano Minisci
ICANN Liaison to the GAC

http://nbo.icann.org/node/8936

[13:45]   Tina_Dam: goodmorning reston

[13:46]   nashton: Good morning Tina :)

[13:47]   Tina_Dam: hey nick, do you know if this meeting will have audio or if there is a dial-in?

[13:47]   Tina_Dam: :)

[13:47]   nashton: Which one is this, have them all in an IRC client

[13:47]   nashton: ah - GAC?

[13:47]   Tina_Dam: gac

[13:47]   nashton: Max, can you answer that question for Tina?

[13:48]   nashton: I see on the agenda there's an audiocast tina

[13:48]   nashton: http://nbo.icann.org/node/8936

[13:48]   Tina_Dam: got it thx

[13:48]   nashton: good audio quality imho

[13:49] RESTON11424: hi all -- is there a link to the presentation?

[13:50]   nashton: Max if there are any presentations going, are you going to put them up in here?

[13:50]   jjn030710: I can connect through the Chat room, but cannot connect to the chat function in Adobe

[13:51]   nashton: We are seeing your message in the chatroom JJN

[13:51]   nashton: in both IRC and the Adobe Connect room

[13:52] RESTON10385: this is the offending/broken chat_dev_v0.1.2c.swf adobe chat room. perhaps close this one and open a new one? or replace with presentation?

[13:52] Nick_Ashton: It is working fine Reston

[13:52] Nick_Ashton: I am asking the meeting organiser where the presentation is for this meeting

[13:53] RESTON10385: not for all -- several in the room are experiencing failed login to this chat window, as is jjn030710

[13:53]   nashton: JJN's comments are visible, in IRC and in the Adobe room

[13:53]   Tina_Dam: chat and audio wrks for me, but there are no slides that i see

[13:53]   Jeff: I just resigned in...was jjn030710, now I am Jeff

[13:53]   nashton: Saw you before as JJN, and see you now as Jeff

[13:54]   Werner: The presentation differs from the lated EOI document wrt refunds. The latest report suggest that refunds can also take place to EOI submittants unable to apply as a result of changes.

[14:02] Steve_Metal: Is the GAC new gTLD update here or somewhere else? And will audio be provided here?

[14:02] Nick_Ashton: streaming audio is available Steve, from the agenda page for the session - http://nbo.icann.org/node/8936

[14:02] Nick_Ashton: I'm listening to it now

[14:02] Massimilian: Hi Steve slide will be up in a minute

[14:03] Massimilian: sorry for delay

[14:04] Nick_Ashton: seem to have just lost audio

[14:05]   Tina_Dam: audio still good here

[14:05]   nashton: good, maybe it is just me :)

[14:05] Steve_Metal: stream.icann.org page canot be reached, is there an alternative for audio?

[14:06]   Tina_Dam: if you go to: http://nbo.icann.org/node/8936

[14:06]   Tina_Dam: there are two options

[14:07]   Tina_Dam: not sure which one you were not able to reach....but maybe the other one works

[14:07] Nick_Ashton: Both working well here

[14:08]   Tina_Dam: aha slides are now up too, thanks :)

[14:08] Steve_Metal: neither audiocast option works, I get IE cannot display this webpage

[14:09] Nick_Ashton: using this: http://stream.icann.org/lenana-64-en.m3u

[14:09] Nick_Ashton: ?

[14:11] Nick_Ashton: Just tried it on my Win7 machine, steve, worked ok - I suspect it is a local issue

[14:12]   Jeff: Can someone post the presentation? Thanks

[14:13]   Tina_Dam: nick, jeff,do you see slides? I see the front slide only

[14:13]   g140: i also see front slide only

[14:13] Nick_Ashton: I see the slides - Max, can you forward the slides alongside the in-room presentation please...

[14:14]   Tina_Dam: max, nick, can one of you chnage the slides?

[14:14] Nick_Ashton: I can but I do not know where they are in the room

[14:14]   Tina_Dam: there

[14:14]   Tina_Dam: slides changing, thanks

[14:15] Nick_Ashton: I have no idea where she is since I'm not in the room

[14:15]   Steven: we are on economic study

[14:15]   Tina_Dam: :)

[14:16]   Tina_Dam: i think its one of the next slides

[14:16] mib_eh12f6: You can find the slides on http://nbo.icann.org/meetings/nairobi2010/presentation-gnso-gtld-update-06mar10-en.pdf

[14:17]   Tina_Dam: you aretoo faron the slides now

[14:17]   Tina_Dam: go back

[14:17]   Tina_Dam: there

[14:17]   Tina_Dam: good

[14:17] Nick_Ashton: I'm not moving it perhaps Max is
[14:17]   Steven: we are now in sync with in room
[14:17] Massimilian: yes, now am here
[14:18] Nick_Ashton: Great
[14:19] Eric_Brunne: this set of slides is not really about the zone scaling, but about application management, with the limiting factor being the 3.6 apps/lawyer/day, not any iana/vgrs/usdoc limitation
[14:25]   Jeff: OK...so when will the Board / Staff release the paper on vertical integration that was discussed during the last Board meeting?
[14:25]   Jeff: And let us know why the paper has not been published....
[14:26]   Jeff: There is supposed to be a session on Vertical integration, but nothing new to discuss
[14:28]   Steven_S: ping
[14:28] Nick_Ashton: read you steve
[14:29]   Steven_S: had to scroll down to see text. all good now
[14:29] Eric_Brunne: And please don't fail to mention that one of the policy choices is to make no change to the existing cap on registry ownership of registrars.
[14:45] antony_van_: Remote participants are very lucky - it's very hot and sweaty here
[14:47]   ohigashi: it's about to snow in Tokyo
[14:56] Kevin_Saimo: Ohigashi-You decided to Stay! It is snowing heavily in Karuizawa, Nagano
[14:56]   Wil_Tan: Hello Ohigashi, hello Kevin :)
[15:04] Kevin_Saimo: Hi Wil!
[15:04] dwi_elfrida: hi
[15:13] Eric_Brunne: are we chasing the 27 million pay-per-click landing pages or serious crime?
[15:15]   g140: what was the cop's name?
[15:20] Jothan_Frak: this doesn't really make sense...   a criminal is not typically going to be providing accurate information anywhere
[15:21] Jothan_Frak: putting this requirement onto registries and registrars seems like it creates a lot of work to solve very little
[15:24] Eric_Brunne: well, we get to increase our prices ... to above $10 ... i feel like i'm watching anti-trust law being violated by price fixers chasing very finite needles in vast haystacks
[15:24] Jothan_Frak: what percentage were new TLDs?
[15:25] Jothan_Frak: in the context of WIPO not Eric Brunner Williams comment
[15:25] Eric_Brunne: he use the five biggies, and then (amazingly) hypothesized that things are worse in the new gtlds
[15:28]   Jeff: When WIPO talks about getting together with the impacted parties including the good registries....we have made offers on many occassions to do so and WIPO has not met with us
[15:29]   Jeff: WIPO presented proposals to the IRT and basically told us that their position came from the top of WIPO and did not have the authority to make any compromises
[15:31] Jothan_Frak: probably good to get facts too
[13:36]   Jeff: It is interesting now that WIPO keeps referring to the IRT, when their comments about the IRT report were just as critical on a number of items
[15:42] Eric_Brunne: @staff, please update the adobe input so that amadeu's slides are up
[15:42] Jothan_Frak: 4 years, 4 wipo cases

[15:43] Jothan_Frak: total

[15:43] Kevin_Saimo: Eric - Thank you

[15:43] Jothan_Frak: very illustrative of the very minimal impact of new TLDs

[15:43] Eric_Brunne: one was a automobile dealership who lost his franchise post-delegation, so the count is 3 (or less)

[15:44] Massimilian: apologies with remote participants but the upcoming presentation won't be vailable

[15:44] Eric_Brunne: @massimiliano thanks for trying

[15:44] Jothan_Frak: and there have been no UDRP in the past 2-3 years under .CAT

[15:45] Jothan_Frak: so I am confused about how reality and fact don't seem to match what WIPO just said

[15:51] Jothan_Frak: Great presentation Amadeu

[15:52] Eric_Brunne: i'll ask vint, we're chatting now

[16:03]   Jeff: If the fear is about a registry taking pre-applications, can't they just add a rep to the EOI Ts and Cs in which a registry agrees by submitting an EOI request, it will not solicit applications unless and until it is selected by ICANN?

[16:04]   Jeff: Seems like a real simple solution

[16:06] Eric_Brunne: we've already seen what must be moonbats offering some of core's applications ... so the abuse isn't restricted to the applicants, but includes some "eager" registrars

[16:08]   Jeff: Eric - Ok, but that is not an issue that is really related to an EOI; that has to do with new gTLDs in general.

[16:09] Eric_Brunne: Jeff - true, the moonbat marketing existed before the mic got mobbed at seoul resulting in this "eoi" thing

[16:14] Massimilian: test

[16:17] Nick_Ashton: read you Max

[16:20] Eric_Brunne: @staff -- having a large chat window is very good, but not having private chat (available and widely used during the dcconsultancy both by remote and in-room participants) would be helpful

[16:20] Nick_Ashton: thanks Eric, we're going to make exactly that improvement for Brussels

[16:20] Nick_Ashton: we wanted to do it in time for Nairobi, but it wasn't possible

[16:21] Eric_Brunne: we had it at the wdc event in jan. ... really? why not? isn't it just an adobe connect config issue?

[16:22] Nick_Ashton: no, the AC chat only allows those in AC to chat, you cannot access it from outside. using an IRC module allows low bandwidth participants to be in the same chat with those in AC

[16:23] Eric_Brunne: Nick - thanks.

[16:23] Jothan_Frak: eric what is a moonbat?

[16:24] Eric_Brunne: Jothan - crazy marketing, like products that don't yet exist and who's eventual existance is both absolutely conditional and availability in time cannot be predicted

[16:25] Jothan_Frak: ah, like taking pre-registrations as a registry

[16:25] Eric_Brunne: exactly.

[16:25] Jothan_Frak: thank you for the clarification

[16:25] Eric_Brunne: pre-registrations as a pre-applicant

[16:27] Jothan_Frak: I wonder how many members of the GAC have read the staff paper

[16:27] Jothan_Frak: I am hopeful that all have

[16:31] Eric_Brunne: i've amadeu's slide set if anyone wants a copy send me mail -- ebw(at)abenaki.wabanaki.net

[16:48] Jeff: test

[16:48] Jeff: What does it say when the time spent on the EOI process was much longer than the time spent on the substance of the new gTLD process

[16:48] Jeff: And people believe EOI will not slow down the new gTLD process????

[16:53] Eric_Brunne: Jeff - I tried to work the timeline and got to 4q2012 or 1q2013 before the first delegation ... but I didn't drink the eoi koolaid

[17:23] Jothan_Frak: I think this is an important distinction that Stephane is making

[17:24] steve_metal: It does not respond to Bertrand's point that this is really the launch of the application process.

[17:25] Eric_Brunne: It would be nice, even remarkable, if the spokesperson for the GNSOC reflected the plurality of opinion of the GNSOC on the AVC/Staff EOI proposals and not just the uncritical "benefits us all" message.

[17:27] wseltzer: once again, we need "neutral, objective, and fair documented policy"

[17:27] wseltzer: echo

[17:35] Jothan_Frak: I am hearing that people are assuming that the EoI is the application process

[17:37] wseltzer: Jothan: and as such, we need to clarify the commitments an EoI participant gets in return for the application/EoI fee

[17:37] Eric_Brunne: Well, it has a cut-off, so its pretty clear that the proposals that intend to close the application process have a non-trivial relationship to the application process.

[17:40] Jothan_Frak: ok, yet on the benefits side, it allows for ICANN to quantify the number of applications, would elevate the matter of public morality concerns even being an issue, and elevate awareness of contention sets to ICANN

[17:48] Eric_Brunne: The ITU's initial position on IPv6 allocation -- the CIR (Country Internet Registry) is highly problematic. The first meeting on IPv6 is in Geneva next week.

[18:11] Eric_Brunne: Well said Bertrand!!