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Tina_Dam: goodmorning reston
nashton: Good morning Tina :)
Tina_Dam: hey nick, do you know if this meeting will have audio or if there is a dial-in?
Tina_Dam: :)
nashton: Which one is this, have them all in an IRC client
nashton: ah - GAC?
Tina_Dam: gac
nashton: Max, can you answer that question for Tina?
nashton: I see on the agenda there's an audiocast tina
nashton: http://nbo.icann.org/node/8936
Tina_Dam: got it thx
nashton: good audio quality imho
RESTON11424: hi all -- is there a link to the presentation?
nashton: Max if there are any presentations going, are you going to put them up in here?
jjn030710: I can connect through the Chat room, but cannot connect to the chat function in Adobe
nashton: We are seeing your message in the chatroom JJN
nashton: in both IRC and the Adobe Connect room
RESTON10385: this is the offending/broken chat_dev_v0.1.2c.swf adobe chat room. perhaps close this one and open a new one? or replace with presentation?
Nick_Ashton: It is working fine Reston
Nick_Ashton: I am asking the meeting organiser where the presentation is for this meeting
RESTON10385: not for all -- several in the room are experiencing failed login to this chat window, as is jjn030710
nashton: JJN's comments are visible, in IRC and in the Adobe room
Tina_Dam: chat and audio wrks for me, but there are no slides that i see
Jeff: I just resigned in...was jjn030710, now I am Jeff
nashton: Saw you before as JJN, and see you now as Jeff

Werner: The presentation differs from the latest EOI document wrt refunds. The latest report suggests that refunds can also take place to EOI submittants unable to apply as a result of changes.

Steve_Metal: Is the GAC new gTLD update here or somewhere else? And will audio be provided here?

Nick_Ashton: Streaming audio is available Steve, from the agenda page for the session - http://nbo.icann.org/node/8936

Nick_Ashton: I'm listening to it now

Massimilian: Hi Steve slide will be up in a minute

Massimilian: Sorry for delay

Nick_Ashton: seem to have just lost audio

Tina_Dam: audio still good here

Tina_Dam: Good, maybe it is just me :)

Steve_Metal: stream.icann.org page cannot be reached, is there an alternative for audio?

Tina_Dam: If you go to: http://nbo.icann.org/node/8936

Tina_Dam: There are two options

Tina_Dam: Not sure which one you were not able to reach....but maybe the other one works

Nick_Ashton: Both working well here

Tina_Dam: Aha slides are now up too, thanks :)

Steve_Metal: Neither audiocast option works, I get IE cannot display this webpage

Nick_Ashton: Using this: http://stream.icann.org/lenana-64-en.m3u

Nick_Ashton: ?

Nick_Ashton: I have no idea where she is since I'm not in the room

Steven: We are on economic study

Tina_Dam: Yes, I think it's one of the next slides


Tina_Dam: You are too far on the slides now

Tina_Dam: go back
Nick_Ashton: I'm not moving it perhaps Max is
Steven: we are now in sync with in room
Massimilian: yes, now am here
Nick_Ashton: Great
Eric_Brunne: this set of slides is not really about the zone scaling, but about application management, with the limiting factor being the 3.6 apps/lawyer/day, not any iana/vgrs/usdoc limitation
Jeff: OK...so when will the Board / Staff release the paper on vertical integration that was discussed during the last Board meeting?
Jeff: And let us know why the paper has not been published....
Jeff: There is supposed to be a session on Vertical integration, but nothing new to discuss
Steven_S: ping
Nick_Ashton: read you steve
Steven_S: had to scroll down to see text. all good now
Eric_Brunne: And please don't fail to mention that one of the policy choices is to make no change to the existing cap on registry ownership of registrars.
antony_van_: Remote participants are very lucky - it's very hot and sweaty here
ohigashi: it's about to snow in Tokyo
Kevin_Saimo: Ohigashi-You decided to Stay! It is snowing heavily in Karuizawa, Nagano
Wil_Tan: Hello Ohigashi, hello Kevin :)
Kevin_Saimo: Hi Wil!!
dwi_elfrida: hi
Eric_Brunne: are we chasing the 27 million pay-per-click landing pages or serious crime?
g140: what was the cop's name?
Jothan_Frak: this doesn't really make sense... a criminal is not typically going to be providing accurate information anywhere
Jothan_Frak: putting this requirement onto registries and registrars seems like it creates a lot of work to solve very little
Eric_Brunne: well, we get to increase our prices ... to above $10 ... i feel like i'm watching anti-trust law being violated by price fixers chasing very finite needles in vast haystacks
Jothan_Frak: what percentage were new TLDs?
Jothan_Frak: in the context of WIPO not Eric Brunner Williams comment
Jothan_Frak: probably good to get facts too
Jothan_Frak: it is interesting now that WIPO keeps referring to the IRT, when their comments about the IRT report were just as critical on a number of items
Eric_Brunne: @staff, please update the adobe input so that amadeu's slides are up
Jothan_Frak: 4 years, 4 wipo cases
Jothan_Frak: total
Kevin_Saimo: Eric - Thank you
Jothan_Frak: very illustrative of the very minimal impact of new TLDs
Eric_Brunne: one was a automobile dealership who lost his franchise post-delegation, so the count is 3 (or less)
Massimilian: apologies with remote participants but the upcoming presentation won't be available
Eric_Brunne: @massimiliano thanks for trying
Jothan_Frak: and there have been no UDRP in the past 2-3 years under .CAT
Jothan_Frak: so I am confused about how reality and fact don't seem to match what WIPO just said
Jothan_Frak: Great presentation Amadeu
Eric_Brunne: I'll ask vint, we're chatting now
Jeff: If the fear is about a registry taking pre-applications, can't they just add a rep to the EOI Ts and Cs in which a registry agrees by submitting an EOI request, it will not solicit applications unless and until it is selected by ICANN?
Jeff: Seems like a real simple solution
Eric_Brunne: we've already seen what must be moonbats offering some of core's applications ... so the abuse isn't restricted to the applicants, but includes some "eager" registrars
Jeff: Eric - Ok, but that is not an issue that is really related to an EOI; that has to do with new gTLDs in general.
Eric_Brunne: Jeff - true, the moonbat marketing existed before the mic got mobbed at seoul resulting in this "eoi" thing
Massimilian: test
Nick_Ashton: read you Max
Eric_Brunne: @staff -- having a large chat window is very good, but not having private chat (available and widely used during the dcconsultancy both by remote and in-room participants) would be helpful
Nick_Ashton: thanks Eric, we're going to make exactly that improvement for Brussels
Nick_Ashton: we wanted to do it in time for Nairobi, but it wasn't possible
Eric_Brunne: we had it at the wdc event in jan. ... really? why not? isn't it just an adobe connect config issue?
Nick_Ashton: no, the AC chat only allows those in AC to chat, you cannot access it from outside. using an IRC module allows low bandwidth participants to be in the same chat with those in AC
Eric_Brunne: Nick - thanks.
Jothan_Frak: eric what is a moonbat?
Eric_Brunne: Jothan - crazy marketing, like products that don't yet exist and who's eventual existance is both absolutely conditional and availability in time cannot be predicted
Jothan_Frak: ah, like taking pre-registrations as a registry
Eric_Brunne: exactly.
Jothan_Frak: thank you for the clarification
Eric_Brunne: pre-registrations as a pre-applicant
Jothan_Frak: I wonder how many members of the GAC have read the staff paper
Jothan_Frak: I am hopeful that all have
Eric_Brunne: i've amadeu's slide set if anyone wants a copy send me mail --
ebw(at)abenaki.wabanaki.net
Jeff: test
Jeff: What does it say when the time spent on the EOI process was much longer than the
time spent on the substance of the new gTLD process
Jeff: And people believe EOI will not slow down the new gTLD process????
Eric_Brunne: Jeff - I tried to work the timeline and got to 4q2012 or 1q2013 before the first
delegation ... but I didn't drink the eoi koolaid
Jothan_Frak: I think this is an important distinction that Stephane is making
steve_metal: It does not respond to Bertrand's point that this is really the launch of the
application process.
Eric_Brunne: It would be nice, even remarkable, if the spokesperson for the GNSOC reflected
the plurality of opinion of the GNSOC on the AVC/Staff EOI proposals and not just the uncritical "benefits
us all" message.
wseltzer: once again, we need "neutral, objective, and fair documented policy"
wsettzer: echo
Jothan_Frak: I am hearing that people are assuming that the EoI is the application process
wseltzer: Jothan: and as such, we need to clarify the commitments an EoI participant gets in
return for the application/EoI fee
Eric_Brunne: Well, it has a cut-off, so its pretty clear that the proposals that intend to close the
application process have a non-trivial relationship to the application process.
Jothan_Frak: ok, yet on the benefits side, it allows for ICANN to quantify the number of
applications, would elevate the matter of public morality concerns even being an issue, and elevate
awareness of contention sets to ICANN
Eric_Brunne: The ITU's initial position on IPv6 allocation -- the CIR (Country Internet Registry) is
highly problematic. The first meeting on IPv6 is in Geneva next week.
Eric_Brunne: Well said Bertrand!!