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[10:37] mib_w22oib: anybody?
[10:37] Nick_Ashton: We read you
[10:37] Nick_Ashton: Or I do at least
[10:39] nashton: Morning Fred and Elisa - and the SF remote hub :)
[10:42] Frederick_F: hi Nick
[10:42] Jothan_Frak: unless they wanted to visit Nairobi
[10:43] Jothan_Frak: bu the technology use is very impressive
[10:43] Doug_Barton: I don't know if it's impressive, but it is a huge acto fo faith in the streaming :)
[10:43] Jothan_Frak: +1 doug
[10:44] Jothan_Frak: I will say that this is all very impressive when contrasted against historic meetings
[10:44] Doug_Barton: Yes, they seem to be getting the remote stuff dialed in
[10:45] Nick_Ashton: Very glad it is working out for people, coordinating this effort has been an honour and th teamwork to make it work has been a pleasure to watch
[10:46] Bruce: About to hear some music from the safari cats
[10:47] Wil_Tan: do they have to scribe the lyrics too?
[10:48] Ron_Andruff: Wait til you see the kittens!
[10:48] Bruce: Pretty hard to scribe the singing
[10:50] Steve: Nick - great job - and to the IT team working with the scribes - great job as well.
Signing off for now.

[10:51] Onsakia1546: this is indeed great - what IT can do?

[10:56] Bruce: President's report now on. So the EOI session will start late - not sure what time yet

[10:58] Roman_Pelik: EOI Session is @ 11am NBO time

[10:58] Doug_Barton: BZZZZZZT

[10:58] Doug_Barton: -1 for Rod :)

[10:59] Wil_Tan: IANA does numbers, not names

[10:59] Doug_Barton: I'm also kind of curious about what "100% DNS uptime means"

[10:59] Tim_Cole: Well it is 11:00 NBO now, but we appear to be about a half hour late

[11:00] Constantine: Where are the new gTLDs in ICANN's strategic focus (2010-2013)?


[11:04] Bruce: Constantine - new gTLDs are covered under the strategic goal of consumer choice, competition and innovation


[11:04] Constantine: Thanks Bruce!

[11:05] Bruce: gTLDs are a strategic project within that stream

[11:05] Bruce: Doug Brent is now giving an overview of topics that will be discussed today


[11:10] Constantine: Cyprus now in GAC!

[11:12] Michele_Ney: too many WGs


[11:22] Suzanne: isn't there supposed to be a workshop in this room now? EOI/new gTLD?

[11:22] mib_5j8wsc: thats what i thought


[11:23] mib_5j8wsc: 11:30

[11:23] mib_5j8wsc: its starting i think


[11:25] Doug_Barton: anyone know how to get sound in the ccnso meeting?


[11:25] PRNinc16059: should be there

[11:27] Doug_Barton: I found the URL, but when I go to it I get the attendee list on the left, and the powerpoint slides on the right

[11:27] Doug_Barton: no audio, and no chat


[11:31] Frederick_F: Hello Karla


[11:33] Nick_Ashton: No audio yet fyi

[11:34] Bruce: The new gTLD update from Kurt Pritz is about to start
Michele_Ney: where's the audio for this?
Doug_Barton: They are working on it
Doug_Barton: voila!
Frederick_F: well done audio working
Karla_Valen: and so it sarts...
Bruce_Tonki: Kurt is now speaking
Doug_Barton: Karla, any chance of audio in the ccNSO meeting?
Bruce_Tonki: Kurt is going through the agenda
Tina_Dam: audio/video is great from LA
Roman_Pelik: Yes ...
Karla_Valen: we will be uploading the presentation shortly
Mary_Wong51: Looks great, Karla - thanks!
Karla_Valen: Kurt will take questions after the panel discussion....
Karla_Valen: Kurt has 30 minutes to present and then the panel for 1 hour. After that we will handle questions
Doug_Barton: Does anyone have a URL for the decision on how to handle IDN variants? I'm not seeing it on the site (my fault undoubtedly)
juan: hello
juan: from Geneva
Michele_Ney: Doug - ICANN website = mess - finding anything on there is painful
Tina_Dam: Doug - for the gtd program its basically placing them on a desired-reserved list
Tina_Dam: both :)
Doug_Barton: Tina and Patrick, thank you :)
Bruce_Tonki: For the EOI session later this morning please see this document
Karla_Valen: want to see all the docs Kurt is talking about? see here: http://www.icann.org/en/announcements/announcement-4-15feb10-en.htm
Michele_Ney: Love Beckstrom's comment to Wired about TM
Doug_Barton: The IETF DNS extensions working group is currently considering various answers on how to handle IDN variants on the technical level, interesting how the policy issues feed into that
Suzanne_Woo: DougB: and vice versa!
Tina_Dam: doug - yes, that is one of the options being explored
Tina_Dam: others as well
Tina_Dam: hence we are not delegating these just yet
Doug_Barton: Suzanne: wouldn't that be nice :)
Suzanne_Woo: DNSEXT is considering additional possible technical measures, doesn't really change any of the options today
Doug_Barton: Tina, yes, that's the bit I was hoping to hear, when Kurt said "final decision" my heart skipped a beat.
Wil_Tan: the DNSEXT solution only solves the DNS part of the puzzle
Nick_Ashton: FYI, if you are not a presenter or a host, you may use the drop-down 'Meetings' menu and choose 'Manage My Settings' to change various things to suit you, including screen size.

Wil_Tan: when a variant domain is embedded in a URI used for _identification_, not _resolution_, applications will not be aware of it being a variant.

Doug_Barton: Wil, depending on how you look at it, that may be a feature.

Bruce_Tonki: For those not here - the meeting room nearly full so there has been a good attendance at Nairobi despite security concerns.

Karla_Valen: Draft Delegation Rate Scenarios for New gTLDs go to: http://www.icann.org/en/announcements/announcement-03mar10-en.htm

Doug_Barton: Bruce, that's good to hear, I wish I could be there with y'all.

Bruce_Tonki: Not a lot of new faces though.

Bruce_Tonki: Many local Kenyans in opening ceremony but not many stayed.

mib_8vwgki: who's presenting @ the new gtld update & EOI?

Karla_Valen: Kurt Pritz

mib_8vwgki: thanks

Karla_Valen: he is a senior VP of Services @ ICANN and overall responsible for the program.

Jothan_Frak: there is a panel when he's done... participants are listed here: http://nbo.icann.org/node/8877

Wil_Tan: Doug: I see it as an effort that may not address the core issue. People want variant domains to behave in *exactly* the same way, and this effort tries to address it, in a poor way.

Constantine: Thanks Jothan!

mib_8vwgki: is GAC in support of 2 character IDN TLDs?

Tina_Dam: Wil - well, its about the only thing we can do at this stage since the previous proposals for variant implementation was not agreeable across the community.

Doug_Barton: Wil, you can't say "this effort" in regards to the work in dnsext, since there are at least 2 proposals, and neither has garnered critical mass yet.

mib_8vwgki: tina or karla, is GAC in support of 2 character IDN TLDs?

Constantine: Individualized obviously.

Jeff: That was interesting spin from Kurt on vertical integration...he said he sees the community coming together on a proposal....funny, he must be the only one who sees that.

Bruce_Tonki: In response to questions in the question forum from Muthusamy Sivasubramnian regarding protects from countries.

Doug_Barton: FWIW, I have from the very beginning been insistent that various [BCD]NAME solutions are not adequate, since they do not make the variants "just like" the original domain.

Wil_Tan: @Jeff lol.

Tina_Dam: mib_8vwgki - not sure about the result yesterday, or if they made a decision on the subject.

Wil_Tan: coming together to agree to disagree.


Tina_Dam: if you email me then I will look it up and email you back.

Marika_Koni: To submit your questions / comments for this session, please go to:
Constantine: vertical integration should be allowed especially for communities and restricted gTLDs

Bruce_Tonki: The gTLD process requires approval from a Government to be able to apply for a country name like .india. In addition, a community could object to the use of a community name - e.g. MaaSai as a cultural group in Kenya.

Bruce_Tonki: Also if a string is confusingly similar to an existing string 0- then it is possible to complain.

Bruce_Tonki: e.g. If someone applied for .ccc than .cc may raise a complaint.

Wil_Tan: @Doug, understand that there is no critical mass

Doug_Barton: Not a good sign if Kurt's voice is already giving up on Monday

Wil_Tan: which brought me to the question for @Tina - does the community agree that some sort of BNAME/etc solution will solve the issue?

Michele_Ney: Tindal is independent since when?

Patrick_Jon: "former IP Constituency?" did something happen last week?

Michele_Ney: Have they all lost their jobs? :)

Mary_Wong51: Actually, Zahid is from the Business Constituency!

Constantine: since Tindal left Neustar and Demand Media

Wil_Tan: he apparently left Demand Media together with Paul


Michele_Ney: ahh - didn't realise that and he hasn't updated his linked in profile

Bruce_Tonki: For complete document on EOI


Tina_Dam: @Tina thanks - shall take this discussion offline since we're all about EOI now

Marika_Koni: Constantine - your question is logged, see https://icann.wufoo.com/reports/icann-37-new-gtld-update-eoi-panel-discussion/, you might need to
refresh your window?

[12:07] Werner: Please address the question of what use the EOI if we refuse to learn from it. Requiring that all terms be known prior to launching EOI is tantamount to refusing to learn from what EOI will tell us.


[12:08] Bruce_Tonki: Karla - can we have the two minute timer up on the screen

[12:08] Constantine: thanks marika!

[12:10] Karla_Valen: we asked tech

[12:10] Bruce_Tonki: Thanks Karla

[12:10] Bruce_Tonki: It helps speakers keep track of their time

[12:13] Karla_Valen: we are trying to get a technical solution. meanwhile, I suggest: http://www.online-stopwatch.com/. I am using it now. Look at me

[12:14] Karla_Valen: timer is up now!!!

[12:16] Karla_Valen: all, I will be reading your questions to the panel at the end of the session. We will have 30 minutes of Q&A

[12:21] Yumi_Ohashi: Glad to meet you online, Vika! I'm in Tokyo...


[12:21] Doug_Barton: Yumi :)

[12:22] Barri: Thank you

[12:22] Yumi_Ohashi: Thank you! :-) Everything has been working fine, without problem. Thank you.

[12:23] Karla_Valen: it is so nice to hear that.

[12:25] Patrick_Jon: The Adobe set-up is working well Karla, very helpful to have this going

[12:25] Bruce_Tonki: Kurt - can you provide a slide that shows the timeline of issues to be addressed for the version 4 guidebook?

[12:27] Bruce_Tonki: Karla is Kurt available in the room

[12:28] Michele_Ney: running a registry does not have to be so expensive

[12:28] Michele_Ney: dunno why people get fixated with that

[12:28] Michele_Ney: a lot of the ccTLDs run on a shoestring and don't have any issues

[12:28] Eckhaus: ccTLDs do not have the same ICANN requirements

[12:29] Eckhaus: and do not have to deal with all the Trademark protections

[12:29] Mary_Wong51: It's also likely that it'll be easier (relatively) for a community/non-profit to raise $$ for the full app fee than a more uncertain "pre-launch reservation"

[12:29] Eckhaus: these are extremely expensive

[12:29] Wil_Tan: Michele: his point is the requirement being placed on the DAG unto the applicant

[12:29] James_Blade: @Michele: Richard is right. The DAG specifies larger costs.

[12:29] Michele_Ney: Eckhaus - ccTLDs have a lot of other issues to deal with

[12:29] Doug_Barton: Apples and oranges ... expectations of regiSTRANTS in a gTLD are different, and likely should be


[12:29] Jothan_Frak: many of the communities can have better opportunity to raise the necessary funds
or garner support them once there are dates communicated

(Jothan_Frak) Jothan, ad Zahid is pointing out

(Constantine) VCs dont do anything under 1m.

(Constantine) Theyre called Angel investors

(Mary_Wong51) Also non-profit boards and government funding schemes

(Doug_Barton) Jothan, agree, that's a difficult issue ... there is a chicken and egg issue with questions that can't be answered until we have more information about the applicants, and vv.

(Eckhaus) People have to remember this is Round 1. There will be subsequent rounds where the application fee will be lower

(Karla_Valen) kurt is working on it

(Jothan_Frak) well, just recognize that the EoI is not bacon in the Chicken and Egg analogy

(Doug_Barton) heh

(jberryhill) @Mary_Wong - it is also difficult for some large for-profits to get board budget approval for a fee attached to an uncertain process

(Doug_Barton) I'm ambivalent on the EOI

(Mary_Wong51) yes, exactly

(Jothan_Frak) until dates are restored, communities, etc are disadvantaged

(Elaine_Pruj) so what we really need is certainty... and the EoI tells ICANN certainly how many TLDs will be applied for.

(Michele_Ney) EOI is the best idea of a bad bunch of ideas

(Elaine_Pruj) so ICANN can certainly plan resource allocation

(Bruce_Tonki) Thanks Karla - it is important to be clear on what issues will be included in the next draft of the Guidebook prior to the conclusion of an EOI round

(Doug_Barton) Eckhaus, actually this is round N, where N is at least 3

(Michele_Ney) without EOI the IP nuts will keep delaying until we all die of old age

(Constantine) .music and over 3 million followers. Global outreach consisting of over 100 countries

(Michele_Ney) Constantine - 3 million followers on social media sites does not mean that .music will be a "huge" success

(Eckhaus) Agree on the Round number ,my point being there will be additional rounds

(Constantine) .music will be a huge success

(Constantine) i disagree :)

(Jeff) Richard - It could also result in a fee increase

(Jeff) If the volume is not there

(Jeff) to cover all of ICANN's expenses

(jberryhill) The volume will be there

(Eckhaus) .music could be a huge success, depends on who runs it

(jberryhill) Better to know than not

(Eckhaus) that is still TBD

(Michele_Ney) Constantine any TLD *could* be a success, but most won't hit huge numbers

(Doug_Barton) Eckhaus, the concern at this point (i think) is that by opening it up wider that we don't start doing actual damage (which arguably we have not done so far)
Jeff: I agree with the notion that if the issues are pretty much final, then let's go straight to the application round.

Michele_Ney: anyone claiming that they will be - I refer you to .pro and other submissions.

Michele_Ney: who all claimed that they'd be "huge".

Elaine_Prui: Avri that does not make any sense.

Constantine: seriously Michele I do not think any TLD was innovative.

Constantine: all TLDs only sold domains and were commodity products.

Elaine_Prui: kenya registry meeting icann requirements cannot cost less than LA registry meeting LA requirements.

Doug_Barton: Actually .pro never claimed they would be huge, but I don't think they anticipated being microscopic either :)

Eckhaus: .TEL has been innovative. You may not like the innovation but it has been.

Jeff: Avri - Do you have concrete data to support your notion of cost models?

Michele_Ney: Eckhaus - agreed.

Patrick_Jon: Constantine, .tel is being sold as more of an application, less a domain.

Constantine: .tel was innovative for ICANNers but not users. It is a static domain.

Constantine: its like a static yellow page. Cmon. What was innovative for the users?

Jothan_Frak: right now there exists process gaming... those who oppose the process invent new overarching issues.

Constantine: amen jothan.

Karla_Valen: we do not have a bridge. if you have questions, please submit them online.

Elaine_Prui: amen Bertrand.

Doug_Barton: Jothan++

Jeff: Jothan - Which is my fear with an EOI process. My fear is that there will be some that look at the outcomes to create new overarching issues prior to the application round beginning.

jberryhill: Most new things fail. That is the nature of innovation. The opportunity to fail is not a bad thing.

Jothan_Frak: jeff I think that is temporal. many of those would occur at some point anyway.

Jothan_Frak: but I believe you mean that the Eoi intros opportunity for opponents to the new TLDs to apply an overarching issue to the entire process vs an individual string.

Elaine_Prui: karla, where? how do I submit a question?

Jothan_Frak: I still would assert that is temporal.

Jeff: Jothan - Its better to have the issues raised after the application round begins opposed to the EOI process. If at EOI process, apply for the entire process vs an individual string.

Elaine_Prui: karla, where? how do I submit a question?

Jothan_Frak: the benefit of having ICANN be able to know and staff appropriately against the captured information.

Jothan_Frak: that seems to have been missed.

Eckhaus: Is disclosing the strings but not the applicants a viable compromise?
[12:42] Doug_Barton: Jothan, that's what I meant with the chicken/egg thing, you said it better :)
[12:42] Jothan_Frak: how are those who wish to exclude wish to exclude their information going to be discerned from potentially malicious actors
[12:42] Constantine: .co and com and .cm and .com
[12:43] Constantine: countries can do as they please
[12:43] guest322: m
[12:44] Jothan_Frak: I have listened carefully to the proposal for a string based EOI - one that focuses on the string only... this introduces the possibility of predatory activity... someone could disrupt a good actor by applying for a string once they know it exists
[12:45] Barri: $55,000 for the EOI, quite a sum for innovators from developing countries
[12:46] Jothan_Frak: Barri, wait till they are faced with the 185k and other costs and the costs as a part of meeting the requirements, trademark protections etc.
[12:46] Metalitz_3: The French proposal has never been persuasively responded to.
[12:46] Jothan_Frak: unless the EOI is mandatory, it will not be used
[12:47] Eckhaus: Please remember the $55,000 is just a deposit. The application fee is still $185,000
[12:47] Sivasubrama: If someone comes up with an interesting string and subsequently others step in to ask for the same string, the person who came up with the idea of a new gTLD with that particular string loses the Intellectual property value of his idea
[12:47] Jothan_Frak: hence the benefit of mandatory, thank you siva
[12:47] Doug_Barton: Siva++
[12:47] Elaine_Prui: thoughts are not property
[12:48] Paul_Farkas: any initiative can declare to be committed without EOI, also it is not a ruse as to progress
[12:48] Elaine_Prui: in this process
[12:48] Paul_Farkas: EOI shows demonstration of commitment, has merit
[12:48] Sivasubrama: Either the EOI process limit the information it requires, or offer the right of first refusal to the first person who has expressed an interest in a new gTLD with that string
[12:49] Sivasubrama: Keeping the string confidential may not work
[12:49] Constantine: EXACTLY.
[12:50] Jothan_Frak: but perhaps the disclosure of strings happens after the window closes to help avoid predatory 'me-too' actors
[12:51] jberryhill: @jothan, I thought that was assumed by all
[12:51] Doug_Barton: I thought that doing it that way was already decided actually
[12:51] Jothan_Frak: and why not have some justifiable confidentiality
[12:51] Eckhaus: The disclosure would happen after the EOI closes. That was never an option
[12:51] Doug_Barton: similar to a "blind bid" arrangement
[12:51] Constantine: one size fit all does not work
[12:51] Sivasubrama: Another way out of this problem is to make the EOI a non-refundable fee based process. An EOI can ask for an Non refundable fee of between $1000 and $5000 and in return ICANN can
confer the right of first refusal to the first person who filed an EOI for a string for which there are later contenders
[12:52] Bruce_Tonki: Karla - how is Kurt going with clairy around Darft Guidebook v4
[12:52] Doug_Barton: If there are objections, they will happen with or without EOI (just look at XXX)
[12:52] Michele_Ney: Like seriously
[12:52] Eckhaus: That was my comment. Thanks Zahid
[12:53] jberryhill: @siva - none of the strings are disclosed until the eoi period ends. There are no "priority" issues. Priority races only benefit insiders and the well informed
[12:53] Michele_Ney: If EOI was only 1 or 2k I'd submit a few just to annoy some applicants that bug me
[12:53] Karla_Valen: he is working on it
[12:54] Doug_Barton: So what you're saying is that the current fee level is a discouragement to nuisance applications :)
[12:54] Paul_Farkas: @Michele, I bet you would
[12:54] Michele_Ney: Doug - yes
[12:54] Michele_Ney: Paul - you *know* I would :)
[12:54] Doug_Barton: Michele++
[12:54] Constantine: Why should the most informed be penalized? Or the most interested or active?
[12:55] Paul_Farkas: yes, what is an example of a string that needs to be confidential?
[12:55] Michele_Ney: If EOI was 1k I'd apply for .blacknight and .michele
[12:55] Sivasubrama: @iberyhill, the practice of keeping the strings undisclosed till the eoi period ends is a practice that can be abused. This needs to change to an instant public update process. An eoi should be instantly made public, at e-speed, so that the name of the application it goes on record against the string applied for
[12:55] Constantine: Insiders is an interesting concept. Can you imagine businesses without these components?
[12:55] Jeff: Michele - funny I was going to apply hose stinas well
[12:55] Paul_Farkas: @michele, just do those on your own root, no one else would use them anyway
[12:56] Michele_Ney: Paul - oil! :) 
[12:56] Michele_Ney: I *think* we had .mac on our network at one point .. 
[12:56] Doug_Barton: "first come first served" is not a reasonable way to approach this
[12:57] Constantine: so Tindal condones EOI auction of slots and making money from it?
[12:57] Karla_Valen: slide requested by Bruce is up now
[12:57] Metalitz_3: What is the "0" point on slide now displayed? Today? So v. 4 will not be published for 6 months?
[12:57] Paul_Farkas: trading shouldnt happen period
[12:58] Paul_Farkas: nio support for this at all
[12:58] Constantine: then the one .blog can pay off the other and monetize.
[12:58] kurt: the zero pint is essentially the start of the callendar year
[12:58] Sivasubrama: Not a blanket 'first come first served'. For example anyone other than IBM applying for .ibm may not count under the first come firstserved policy, but if someone who comes up
with an original, imaginative string can be considered under first come first served

[12:58] Paul_Farkas: this is blackmarket tactics
[12:58] jberryhill: @constantine - no, he's not "advocating" - he is discussing hypothetical scenarios.
[12:58] kurt: it was a plan constructed in December
[12:58] Constantine: negotiate a deal = what is the max i can extract from the most serious applicant to pull off
[12:59] Jeff: video is jumping around now
[12:59] Paul_Farkas: @Tindal, I call them second-tier generic strings
[12:59] Frederick_F: could someone please explain the slide that has been posted?
[12:59] Constantine: @berryhill this is the domain world. Someone creative will auction slots and also ask for monies from competitors to pull away i.e profit from the process
[10:59] Metalitz_3: @Kurt - so VI and amendment process are concluded now?
[13:00] Jeff: If that slide was in December, that implies vertical integration is solved...but we have not even seen a proposal
[13:00] Sivasubrama: Or ICANN can consider an auction process to award the name to the highest bidder, in case of non-imaginative competitive strings
[13:00] Mary_Wong51: @Steve, @Kurt, I note that a June 2010 (Brussels) date for DAGv4 was explicitly mentioned in the latest (feb 15) EOI summary document
[13:00] Doug_Barton: Competition and capitalism are good things in the domain marketplace
[13:01] jberryhill: Yes, Constantine... discussing what may happen, however, does not amount to "advocating". In the past, ICANN has poorly thought through gaming strategies
[13:01] Patrick_Jon: Siva there is already an auction process described in the Guidebook as a contention mechanism of last resort
[13:01] Constantine: @berryhill agreed
[13:02] jberryhill: Not everyone is out to get you 24/7, you know
[13:02] kurt: Steve - no they are not concluded, it was a plan
[13:02] Constantine: @patrickjones - i think business plans should be part of comparative analysis like earlier TLD rounds
[13:02] Paul_Farkas: @jberryhill: 23/7
[13:02] Jeff: Video really skipping now
[13:02] kurt: but the plan demonstrates that they are to be concluded for the GB4
[13:02] Eckhaus: Skipping video must be Reston issue :-)
[13:02] Patrick_Jon: Jeff video seems ok here in LA
[13:02] Sivasubrama: @Patrick.. In some cases it need not wait till the last moment, or be considered as a last resort. It can be *the* process in some cases
[13:02] Eckhaus: we have 100mb fat pipe here
[13:03] Michele_Ney: Siva a lot of what you're talking about is: 1 - not new 2 - already covered and already has been discussed
[13:03] Pat_Kane: comparative analysis is then a beauty contest and then who is the arbiter
[13:03] Constantine: yup .music :)
[13:04] Constantine: and the rest
[13:04] Metalitz_3: Will there be time for remote questions? This session is already over time.
Sivasubrama: @Michele, Thanks. Comforting to know that some of what I am saying does make sense (because there points have already been discussed by someone else some time before)

Wil_Tan: i lost audio stream

Jothan_Frak: will if you lose audio stream on adobe the other audio streams are often still up

Karla_Valen: working on it

Phil_Buckin: Re strings - submit in EOI privately, then ICANN tells string if there is a problem / duplication BEFORE listing all strings at CLOSE of EOI

Wil_Tan: video and everything else seems to be ok still

Paul_Farkas: that is at innovators' peril though @Bertrand

Michele_Ney: Siva - please read the background material on DAG. You have an annoying habit of NOT reading background material on any process and then barging in - it's annoying and a waste of our time

Constantine: i like Olivier. Smart comments

RobH: steve, this session started late. I think Bruce will extend the time for a good period. questions are being taken in the order received in the AC room. if you don't have access their please ask your question here and we can load it for you

Doug_Barton: Phil, what would be the benefit of that?

Sivasubrama: Bertrand talked about a business value for a domain name after a certain stage. For a really valuable name, there would be a Venture Capitalist's value even at teh EOI stage, if the applicant gets the right of first refusal

jberryhill: Bertrand concerned about "land grab" - meanwhile his government files 3 UDRPs and one lawsuit against geographically descriptive names with "paris" in them, and refuses to submit to US jurisdiction in post-UDRP challenges

Michele_Ney: Siva - and until such time as you actually do start talking fromo a position with knowlegde we are all going to ignore you

Eckhaus: Officially stated here at ICANN - worldwide recession was brought about by gaming.

Eckhaus: thankfully that issue has been resolved

Constantine: 13% unemployment in california. nope not resolved

Eckhaus: the reason for the recession,

Eckhaus: not the solution

Eckhaus: need sarcasm font

Constantine: there is no clearcut solutions for the overarching issues. they can not be solved

Eckhaus: for last staemnt

Michele_Ney: Constantine - please refer to them as "overarching" :)

jberryhill: "overarching" is now officially "undermining"

Constantine: :) akin to solving fraud. I hope ICANN does not turn into the UN

Wil_Tan: has this timeline slid?

Karla_Valen: all: we have about 18 questions/statements. We will read them on the mic for Kurt and the panel to address, howeve....

Karla_Valen: please keep in mind we might not be able to get all read.

Doug_Barton: Karla, I hope you're not including any of my blather in there :)

Phil_Buckin: I have an .dot application. Working on it one year. I do not want somebody else
coming along and submitting the same string and destroying my business idea
[13:10] Karla_Valen: we need to share the time with the people here as well
[13:11] Eckhaus: For those of you participating remotely. YES, Paul Foody is here and in the queue
[13:11] Jothan_Frak: thank you for that authenticity stephen
[13:12] wil_Tan: oh i’d better go for my break now too.. JIT for Foody
[13:12] Doug_Barton: who is speaking?
[13:12] wil_Tan: Thanks Jeff for the heads-up
[13:12] Doug_Barton: thanks
[13:12] Metalitz_3: he owns a lot of hats
[13:12] Mary_Wong51: And as usual, Mr Foody's been filming :( 
[13:12] Jeff: And Stephan is with who?
[13:13] jberryhill: foody is three slots back at mic1 - mic2 has 4 slots. alex schubert on deck at mic1
[13:13] Paul_Farkas: @mary, but no memory card in the camera
[13:13] Jothan_Frak: Does this really have to do with new TLDs or EoI
[13:13] Doug_Barton: New TLDs won't add stress to the root servers, other than to the extent that overall DNS traffic grows
[13:14] Phil_Buckin: Those that want to apply but cant afford it. Need to find globally VCs/ investor to evaluate business model .Govts will not invest . We still have a global recession . Money is spent elsewhere. We still have no start date - wont invest !
[13:14] Doug_Barton: millions more new tlds would require a different method of provisioning the roots, but we’re not even in that neighborhood yet
[13:15] Mary_Wong51: @Paul, that's even spookier
[13:15] Constantine: @phil but ICANN does not care about business models unfortunately right? I did not see that in comparative analysis
[13:15] mib_twxfpp: Google is your friend
[13:16] Mary_Wong51: @Phil, isn't it possible some govts will invest in some community gTLDs (e.g. minorities, cultural heritage)?
[13:16] Jothan_Frak: maybe if they have dates to work with
[13:18] mib_twxfpp: remembered the memory card
[13:18] mib_twxfpp: foody foody
Phil_Buckin: Many - dot scot and dot wales ( cym) - no money to invest by respective parliaments. Many other examples. How can govt's budget if they do not have gTLD start date

mib_twxfpp: no money - why did all the MP's steal it to spend on rent and furniture?

Eckhaus: Please ask questions. We have comment periods

Eckhaus: Thank you Bruce for cutting off the sermons

Mary_Wong51: Phil, that's true - that's why (in addition to the single fee) NCSG is concerned about the uncertainties still in the process

Sivasubrama: @Michele_Neylon Your suggestion to ignore my comments is what needs to be ignored, with the understanding that most of what I say in the working groups do annoy you for various reasons

Elaine_Prui: thank you bruce for reminding the commenters to focus on EoIs

Tony_Kirsch: Shut him down Bruce. We've had enough.

Metalitz_3: Has Bruce rejected all the remote questions?

Constantine: @metalitz it seems so

mib_twxfpp: Nope - he was waiting for a list

Elaine_Prui: too late! comment periods (2!) closed

Sivasubrama: @Michele, If there is something that we need to discuss, lets do that by mail, not get into discussions about one another

Constantine: but waiting for new list to be made

Eckhaus: Someone please stop him

Elaine_Prui: 2 minute limit please

Wil_CloudR: how far is Foody from the mic?

Constantine: foody is next!

Wil_CloudR: thanks Costa

jberryhill: foody is #2 at mic1 behind a schubert - there are two at mic2

Phil_Buckin: Yes we all need to email or via ICANN website. We have to get solutions to problems before Board meet!

Wil_CloudR: sweet, thanks John

jberryhill: going to Mr Metalitz online

Eckhaus: That is a good question, but not an EOI question

jberryhill: Mr. Foody on deck, unless Bruce takes more online questions, which he really should

Eckhaus: Berryhill is closer, should take pic of Foody filming

Jothan_Frak: Online Q: If board votes down the Eoi under the belief that it is a replacement of the application start, will they commit staff to a june start of applications.

Elaine_Prui: yes david

Paul_Farkas: agree communication from paris

Elaine_Prui: amen zahid

Constantine: tell the GAC and the governments to communicate

Elaine_Prui: and the ccNSO

Elaine_Prui: and the ISPs

nashton: FYI, unfortunately, the chair is not taking statements and questions in the order received, and is reading them out on a more subjective basis
Elaine_Prui: hopefully he is only reading questions relevent to the discussion

nashton: We are working with him behind the scenes. As you all know, we are integrating remote participants much more

nashton: fully in the meetings than ever before, and this is the very first main session that works this way

Elaine_Prui: Nick, its really great.

nashton: Pardon our dust a bit :)

Frederick_F: has the communications plan changed since last year's announcement? Is it still PR focused with no media?

nashton: Be advised: If a question doesn't get asked, it will get forwarded internally to be dealt with

Phil_Buckin: Yes yes - I have started communication in my country to put message out there. Others need to do same in their country and link up with ICANN

Constantine: ANOTHER working group?

nashton: and all questions and statements will get annexed to the record

Constantine: are you kidding me?

Constantine: comment to Bertrand: this is not the United Nations

Paul_Farkas: uh oh

Constantine: there is a thing called the law of diminishing returns

Jothan_Frak: uh

Elaine_Prui: oh no! take away from verisign and give to the masses!

Paul_Farkas: who is verisign?

Paul_Farkas: :)

Constantine: foody thinks he has a great .com portfolio and does not want .com to lose its value

Elaine_Prui: is this about EoIs?

Elaine_Prui: two minute rule please

Eckhaus: Foody is concerned the internet will be taken away from Verisign and given to a bunch of rich companies

Elaine_Prui: unbelievable

Phil_Buckin: Get Foody off .... Exactly same thing said in Seoul. Wasting time

Jothan_Frak: uh

Tony_Kirsch: Want a job Pat Kane? Looks like new gTLDs are going to completely replace dotcom

Doug_Barton: *perk* jobs?

Constantine: Zahid agrees with Foody?

Elaine_Prui: snap the line!!!!

Jothan_Frak: lets have the board commit to june if no EoI

Michele_Ney: Foody should be limited to 30 seconds

Karla_Valen: Hello Jothan, can you please clarify.

Elaine_Prui: put up the clock please

Karla_Valen: just to clarify... we HAVE started communications since June 2008!

Constantine: the incumbents that have been lied about 3 times concerning timelines :)
Elaine Prui: $5000 encourages gaming
Elaine Prui: i would bid for 10 at that pricer, personally.
Jothan Frak: if it is true, as zahid states, that june is the completion, and the EoI is voted down under that assumption, then trade teh EoI for the start
Susan Reyno: back in June 2008 new gTLDs was on the media ll around the world
Karla Valen: thanks
Elaine Prui: dot frogen?
Constantine: no such thing as consensus at ICANN. who will make the final decisions?
Eric Brunne: other than the threshold, determining who games, what is the difference between $55k and $5k?
Eckhaus: Frogens is now the #1 searched term on Google
Elaine Prui: $50K or more than the average american annual salary
Jothan Frak: unless there is a city named frogens
Eric Brunne: we're not making an elaine pruis rule
Elaine Prui: what is that supposed to mean?
Eric Brunne: is the average american relevant?
Jim Galvin: www.frogans.com
Jothan Frak: eric please don't antagonize elaine
Michele Ney: ROFL
Michele Ney: I'm loving the lack of translation
Paul Farkas: Jothan Frogens has a ring to it
Susan Reyno: is there no translation at this meeting?
Eric Brunne: the market capitalization of incumbents, and applicants, is slightly greater than the average american gross income
Constantine: c'est pas possible Bertrand
Staffan Jon: JAag kan 0cksÅ¥ bidra till mÅ¶tet pÅ¥ mitt eget sprÅ¥k - men till vilken nytta?
Michele Ney: Constantine - Bertrand isn't speaking
Constantine: i am asking him to respond
Elaine Prui: the board is voting on the staff proposal
Constantine: that is why an idea should be called a business plan and treated as such. If someone has a better business plan and execution strategy then why not?
Constantine: would be great to have open competition, business plans and presentations of their strategy.
James Blade: He means "peasant" in a good way...
Paul Farkas: @Constantine, which color shirt would you wear for the preso?
Elaine Prui: icann decided years ago not to judge the validity of a string with this new round
Eric Brunne: four months ago the city of new york qualified as an expendible peasant
Paul Farkas: unreak
Jothan Frak: I think he said CityTLD not ccTLD
Constantine: @paul i would wear a .music shirt
Eckhaus: No Working Group has ever sped up a process
Elaine Prui: how does a working group speed things up?
Jothan_Frak: did I hear that working groups speed up processes?
Jothan_Frak: huh
Constantine: @frakes lol funny
Jothan_Frak: thank you bruce
Paul_Farkas: @Constantine, yes, but black or white?
Constantine: thanks bruce
Karla_Valen: thank you all for participating
Constantine: thanks karla
Karla_Valen: upcoming New gTLD related session is trademark in few hours
Phil_Buckin: ICANN staff - Nick, Karla et all - this RP is very impressive. many Thanks
Eric_Brunne: for those interested, i have amadeu's slideset on linguistic and cultural TLDs, presented to the GAC yesterday
Eric_Brunne: email ebw(at)abenaki.wabanaki.net if you want a copy (.pdf)
Mikey183341: testing... sorry folks. pls ignore
Michele_Ney: ICANN - please stop pushing those form pages into my browser - it's really annoying