

**CONTENT OF CHATROOM – NBO Meeting –
NEW gTLD UPDATE AND EOI PANEL DISCUSSION**

Date:

Monday, 8 March 2010 - 11:00 - 13:00

Room:

Tsavo A

Presentation:

New gTLD Program Update & Consideration of an Expressions of Interest Round

Meeting Leaders:

Bruce Tonkin - *Moderator*, ICANN Board

Avri Doria (NCSG)

Bertrand de La Chapelle (French government)

Zahid Jamil (DNDRC)

Olivier Crepin-Leblond (At-Large)

Richard Tindal (Independent/New gTLD Applicant)

Antony Van Couvering (Minds & Machines)

<http://nbo.icann.org/node/8877>

[10:37] mib_w22oib: anybody?

[10:37] Nick_Ashton: We read you

[10:37] Nick_Ashton: Or I do at least

[10:39] nashton: Morning Fred and Elisa - and the SF remote hub :)

[10:39] Elisa_Coope: Hi Nick

[10:42] Frederick_F: hi Nick

[10:42] Jothan_Frak: scribes in LA? That's impressive and awesome

[10:42] Jothan_Frak: unless they wanted to visit Nairobi

[10:43] Jothan_Frak: bu tthe technology use is very impressive

[10:43] Doug_Barton: I don't know if it's impressive, but it is a huge acto fo faith in the streaming :)

[10:43] Jothan_Frak: +1 doug

[10:44] Jothan_Frak: I will say that this is all very impressive when contrasted against historic meetings

[10:44] Doug_Barton: Yes, they seem to be getting the remote stuff dialed in

[10:45] Nick_Ashton: Very glad it is working out for people, coordinating this effort has been an honour and th teamwork to make it work has been a pleasure to watch

[10:46] Bruce: About to hear some music from the safari cats

[10:47] Wil_Tan: do they have to scribe the lyrics too?

[10:48] Ron_Andruff: Wait til you see the kittens!

[10:48] Bruce: Pretty hard to scribe the singing

[10:50] Steve: Nick - great job - and to the IT team working with the scribes - great job as well.

Signing off for now.

[10:51] Onsakia1546: thsi is indeed great - what IT can do?

[10:56] Bruce: President's report now on. So the EOI session will start late - not sure what time yet

[10:58] Roman_Pelik: EOI Session is @ 11am NBO time

[10:58] Doug_Barton: BZZZZZZT

[10:58] Doug_Barton: -1 for Rod :)

[10:58] Wil_Tan: IANA does numbers, not names

[10:59] Doug_Barton: I'm also kind of curious about what "100% DNS uptime means"

[10:59] Tim_Cole: Well it is 11:00 NBO now, but we appear to be about a half hour late

[11:00] Constantine: Where are the new gTLDs in ICANN's strategic focus (2010-2013)?

[11:03] Constantine: "Transparency International" :)

[11:04] Bruce: Constatine - new gTLDs are covered under the strategic goal of conumer choice, competiton and innovation

[11:04] Tony_Kirsch: Thanks Tim, that's helpful

[11:04] Constantine: Thanks Bruce!

[11:05] Bruce: gTLDs are a strategic proj ectwith in that stream

[11:05] Bruce: Doug Brent is now giving an overview of opicsthat will be discussed today

[11:09] Doug_Barton: Yay ccNSO!

[11:10] Constantine: Cyprus now in GAC!

[11:12] Michele_Ney: too many WGs

[11:17] Frederick_F: where's our virtual coffee ;-)

[11:22] Suzanne: isn't there supposed to be a workshop in this room now? Eol/new gTLD?

[11:22] mib_5j8wsc: thats what i thought

[11:23] prninc_web: test

[11:23] mib_5j8wsc: 11:30

[11:23] mib_5j8wsc: its starting i think

[11:24] Roman_Pelik: test

[11:24] Marika_Koni: test

[11:25] Doug_Barton: anyone know how to get sound in the ccnsso meeting?

[11:25] PRNinc16059: <http://icann.na3.acrobat.com/shimba/>

[11:25] PRNinc16059: should be there

[11:27] Doug_Barton: I found the URL, but when I go to it I get the attendee list on the left, and the powerpoint slides on the right

[11:27] Doug_Barton: no audio, and no chat

[11:27] Suzanne: got audio of the press conference....

[11:30] Karla_Valen: Few more minutes, please

[11:31] Doug_Barton: Hi Karla :)

[11:31] Frederick_F: Hello Karla

[11:31] Karla_Valen: hello to all

[11:32] Karla_Valen: apologies for the delays

[11:33] Nick_Ashton: No audio yet fyi

[11:34] Bruce: The new gTLD update from Kurt Pritz is about to star

[11:34] Michele_Ney: where's the audio for this?

[11:35] Doug_Barton: They are working on it

[11:35] Doug_Barton: voila!

[11:35] Frederick_F: well done audio working

[11:36] Karla_Valen: and so it sarts...

[11:36] Bruce_Tonki: Kurt is now speaking

[11:36] Doug_Barton: Karla, any chance of audio in the ccNSO meeting?

[11:37] Bruce_Tonki: Kurt is going thr0ough the agenda

[11:37] Tina_Dam: audio/video is great from LA

[11:37] Roman_Pelik: Yes ...

[11:37] Karla_Valen: we will be uploading the presentation shortly

[11:38] Mary_Wong51: Looks great, Karla - thanks!

[11:39] Karla_Valen: Kurt will take questions after the panle discussion....

[11:39] Karla_Valen: Kurt has 30 minutes to present and then the panel for 1 hour. After that we will handle questions

[11:41] Doug_Barton: Does anyone have a URL for the decision on how to handle IDN variants? I'm not seeing it on the site (my fault undoubtedly)

[11:42] juan: hello

[11:42] juan: from Geneva

[11:42] Michele_Ney: Doug - ICANN website = mess - finding anything on there is painful

[11:42] Tina_Dam: Doug - for the gTLD program its basically placing them on a desired-reserved list

[11:42] Patrick_Jon: <http://www.icann.org/en/public-comment/#variants>

[11:43] Tina_Dam: <http://icann.org/en/announcements/announcement-4-15feb10-en.htm>

[11:43] Tina_Dam: both :)

[11:43] Doug_Barton: Tina and Patrick, thank you :)

[11:43] Bruce_Tonki: For the EOI session later this morning please see this document

[11:43] Bruce_Tonki: <http://www.icann.org/en/topics/new-gTLDs/summary-analysis-eoi-15feb10-en.pdf>

[11:44] Karla_Valen: want to see all the docs Kurt is talking about? see here:
<http://www.icann.org/en/announcements/announcement-4-15feb10-en.htm>

[11:44] Michele_Ney: Love Beckstrom's comment to Wired about TM

[11:46] Doug_Barton: The IETF DNS extensions working group is currently considering various answers on how to handle IDN variants on the technical level, interesting how the policy issues feed into that

[11:47] Suzanne_Woo: DougB: and vice versa!

[11:47] Tina_Dam: doug - yes, that is one of the options being explored

[11:47] Tina_Dam: others as well

[11:47] Tina_Dam: hence we are not delegating these just yet

[11:47] Doug_Barton: Suzanne: wouldn't that be nice :)

[11:48] Suzanne_Woo: DNSEXT is considering additional possible technical measures, doesn't really change any of the options today

[11:48] Doug_Barton: Tina, yes, that's the bit I was hoping to hear, when Kurt said "final decision" my heart skipped a beat.

[11:50] Wil_Tan: the DNSEXT solution only solves the DNS part of the puzzle

[11:50] Nick_Ashton: FYI, if you are not a presenter or a host, you may use the drop-down 'Meetings' menu and choose 'Manage My Settings' to change various things to suit you, including screen size

[11:51] Wil_Tan: when a variant domain is embedded in a URI used for `_identification_`, not `_resolution_`, applications will not be aware of it being a variant

[11:51] Doug_Barton: Wil, depending on how you look at it, that may be a feature

[11:51] Bruce_Tonki: For those not here - the meeting room s nearly full so there has been a good attendance at Nairobi despite security concerns

[11:51] Karla_Valen: Draft Delegation Rate Scenarios for New gTLDs go to:
<http://www.icann.org/en/announcements/announcement-03mar10-en.htm>

[11:52] Doug_Barton: Bruce, that's good to hear, I wish I could be there with y'all

[11:52] Bruce_Tonki: Not alot of new faces though

[11:53] Bruce_Tonki: Many local Kenyans in opening ceremony but not many stayed.

[11:53] mib_8vwgki: who's presenting @ the new gTLD update & EOI?

[11:53] Karla_Valen: Kurt Pritz

[11:53] mib_8vwgki: thanks

[11:53] Karla_Valen: he is a senior VP of Services @ ICANN and overall responsible for the program

[11:55] Jothan_Frak: there is a panel when he's done... participants are listed here:
<http://nbo.icann.org/node/8877>

[11:55] Wil_Tan: Doug: I see it as an effort that may not address the core issue. People want variant domains to behave in *exactly* the same way, and this effort tries to address it, in a poor way

[11:56] Constantine: Thanks Jothan!

[11:56] mib_8vwgki: is GAC in support of 2 character IDN TLDs?

[11:56] Tina_Dam: Wil - well, its about the only thing we ca do at this stage since the previous proposals for variant implementation was not agreeable across the community

[11:56] Doug_Barton: Wil, you can't say "this effort" in regards to the work in dnsex, since there are at least 2 proposals, and neither has garnered critical mass yet

[11:57] mib_8vwgki: tina or karla, is GAC in support of 2 character IDN TLDs?

[11:57] Constantine: Individualized obviously

[11:57] Jeff: That was interesting spin from Kurt on vertical integration...he said he sees the community coming together on a proposal...funny, he must be the only one who sees that

[11:57] Bruce_Tonki: In response to qeustions in the question forum from Muthusamy Sivasubramanian regardig protects from countries

[11:58] Doug_Barton: FWIW, I have from the very beginning been insistent that various [BCD]NAME solutions are not adequate, since they do not make the variants "jus tlike" the original domain

[11:58] Wil_Tan: @Jeff lol

[11:58] Tina_Dam: mib_8vwgki - not sure about the result yesterday, or if they made a decision on the subject

[11:58] Wil_Tan: coming together to agree to disagree

[11:58] Patrick_Jon: The paper on less than 3 character approach is at
<http://www.icann.org/en/topics/new-gTlds/idn-3-char-requirement-15feb10-en.pdf>

[11:58] Tina_Dam: if you email me then i will look it up and email you back

[11:58] Marika_Koni: To submit your questions / comments for this session, please go to:

<https://icann.wufoo.com/forms/icann-37-new-gtld-update-and-eoi-panel-discussion/>

[11:58] Constantine: vertical integration should be allowed especially for communities and restricted gTLDs

[11:58] Bruce_Tonki: The gTLD process requires approval from a Government to be able to apply for a country name like .india. In addition a community could object to the use of a community name - e.g MaaSai as a cultural group in Kenya.

[11:59] Bruce_Tonki: Also if a string is confusingly similar to an existing string - then it is possible to complain.

[11:59] Bruce_Tonki: e.g If someone applied for .ccc than .cc may raise a complaint.

[11:59] Wil_Tan: @Doug, understand that there is no critical mass

[12:00] Doug_Barton: Not a good sign if Kurt's voice is already giving up on Monday

[12:00] Wil_Tan: which brought me to the question for @Tina - does the community agree that some sort of BNAME/etc solution will solve the issue?

[12:01] Michele_Ney: Tindal is independent since when?

[12:01] Patrick_Jon: "former IP Constituency?" did something happen last week?

[12:01] Michele_Ney: Have they all lost their jobs? :)

[12:01] Mary_Wong51: Actually, Zahid is from the Business Constituency!

[12:01] Constantine: since Tindal left Neustar and Demand Media

[12:02] Wil_Tan: he apparently left Demand Media together with Paul

[12:02] Bruce_Tonki: See <http://www.icann.org/en/topics/new-gtlds/summary-analysis-eoi-15feb10-en.pdf>

[12:02] Michele_Ney: ahh - didn't realise that and he hasn't updated his linked in profile

[12:02] Bruce_Tonki: For complete document on EOIs

[12:02] Marika_Koni: To view comments / questions submitted, please see

<https://icann.wufoo.com/reports/icann-37-new-gtld-update-eoi-panel-discussion/>

[12:02] Tina_Dam: Wil - depends on who you ask....but generally I don't think there is belief that BNAME is ready yet or will be for some time - - - hence we had an earlier suggestion to divide the variants up in groups and delegate those that don't need a technical solution right away

[12:02] Karla_Valen: we will be taking questions after the panel discussion ends. it should be in one hour or so

[12:04] Wil_Tan: @Tina that sounds promising, who suggested it when? is it documented somewhere?

[12:04] Tina_Dam: it was part of the draft impl plan for the fast track process.....but it was replaced with the reservation mechanism, which is also now in for the gTLD program

[12:05] Tina_Dam: but maybe it could be one of the first things to analyse as we continue that work....

[12:05] Adrian_Kind: Bruce - is it worthwhile changing the name of the EOI to Pre-Application as suggested by folks during yesterday's GNSO Sessions?

[12:06] Constantine: is there a problem with posting questions/comments? I did not see my comments logged in

[12:07] Wil_Tan: @Tina thanks - shall take this discussion offline since we're all about EOI now

[12:07] Marika_Koni: Constantine - your question is logged, see

<https://icann.wufoo.com/reports/icann-37-new-gtld-update-eoi-panel-discussion/>, you might need to

refresh your window?

[12:07] Werner: Please address the question of what use the EOI if we refuse to learn from it. Requiring that all terms be known prior to launching EOI is tantamount to refusing to learn from what EOI will tell us.

[12:08] Marika_Koni: Please submit your questions / comments here:
<https://icann.wufoo.com/forms/icann-37-new-gtld-update-and-eoi-panel-discussion/> so they become part of the record for this meeting

[12:08] Bruce_Tonki: Karla - can we have the two minute timer up on the screen

[12:08] Constantine: thanks marika!

[12:10] Karla_Valen: we asked tech

[12:10] Bruce_Tonki: Thanks Karla

[12:10] Bruce_Tonki: It helps speakers keep track of their time

[12:13] Karla_Valen: we are trying to get a technical solution. meanwhile, I suggest: <http://www.online-stopwatch.com/>. I am using it now. Look at me

[12:14] Karla_Valen: timer is up now!!!

[12:16] Karla_Valen: all, I will be reading your questions to the panel at the end of the session. We will have 30 minutes of Q&A

[12:21] Yumi_Ohashi: Glad to meet you online, Vika! I'm in Tokyo...

[12:21] Karla_Valen: welcome!

[12:21] Doug_Barton: Yumi :)

[12:22] Barri: Thank you

[12:22] Yumi_Ohashi: Thank you! :-) Everything has been working fine, without problem. Thank you.

[12:23] Karla_Valen: it is so nice to hear that.

[12:25] Patrick_Jon: The Adobe set-up is working well Karla, very helpful to have this going

[12:25] Bruce_Tonki: Kurt - can you provide a slide that shows the timeline of issues to be addresswd for the version 4 guideboook?

[12:27] Bruce_Tonki: Karla is Kurt available in the room

[12:28] Michele_Ney: running a registry does not have to be so expensive

[12:28] Michele_Ney: dunno why people get fixated with that

[12:28] Michele_Ney: a lot of the cctlds run on a shoestring and don't have any issues

[12:28] Eckhaus: ccTLDs do not have the same ICANN requirements

[12:29] Eckhaus: and do not have to deal with all the Trademark protections

[12:29] Mary_Wong51: It's also likely that it'll be easier (relatively) for a community/non-profit to raise \$\$ for the full app fee than a more uncertain "pre-launch reservation"

[12:29] Eckhaus: these are extremely expensive

[12:29] Wil_Tan: Michele: his point is the requirement being placed on the DAG unto the applicant

[12:29] James_Blade: @Michele: Richard is right. The DAG specifies larger costs.

[12:29] Michele_Ney: Eckhaus - ccTLDs have a lot of other issues to deal with

[12:29] Doug_Barton: Apples and oranges ... expectations of regiSTRANTS in a gTLD are different, and likely should be

[12:29] Michele_Ney: Doug - disagree - look at .cat

[12:29] Jothan_Frak: many of the communities can have better opportunity to raise the necessary funds

or garner support them once there are dates communicated

[12:30] Jothan_Frak: ad Zahid is pointing out

[12:30] Constantine: VCs dont do anything under 1m.

[12:30] Constantine: Theyre called Angel investors

[12:30] Mary_Wong51: Also non-profit boards and government funding schemes

[12:31] Doug_Barton: Jothan, agree, that's a difficult issue ... there is a chicken and egg issue with questions that can't be answered until we have more information about the applicants, and vv.

[12:31] Eckhaus: People have to remember this is Round 1. There will be subsequent rounds where the application fee will be lower

[12:31] Karla_Valen: kurt is working on it

[12:31] Jothan_Frak: well, just recognize that the EOI is not bacon in the Chicken and Egg analogy

[12:31] Doug_Barton: heh

[12:32] jberryhill: @Mary_Wong - it is also difficult for some large for-profits to get board budget approval for a fee attached to an uncertain process

[12:32] Doug_Barton: I'm ambivalent on the EOI

[12:32] Mary_Wong51: yes, exactly

[12:32] Jothan_Frak: until dates are restored, communities, etc are disadvantaged

[12:32] Elaine_Prui: so what we really need is certainty... and the EOI tells ICANN certainly how many TLDs will be applied for.

[12:33] Michele_Ney: EOI is the best idea of a bad bunch of ideas

[12:33] Elaine_Prui: so ICANN can certainly plan resource allocation

[12:33] Bruce_Tonki: Thanks Karla - it is important to be clear on what issues will be included in the next draft of the Guidebook prior to the conclusion of an EOI round

[12:33] Doug_Barton: Eckhaus, actually this is round N, where N is at least 3

[12:33] Michele_Ney: without EOI the IP nuts will keep delaying until we all die of old age

[12:33] Constantine: .music and over 3 million followers. Global outreach consisting of over 100 countries

[12:33] Michele_Ney: Constantine - 3 million followers on social media sites does not mean that .music will be a "huge" success

[12:34] Eckhaus: Agree on the Round number ,my point being there will be additional rounds

[12:34] Constantine: .music will be a huge success

[12:34] Constantine: i disagree :)

[12:34] Jeff: Richard - It could also result in a fee increase

[12:34] Jeff: If the volume is not there

[12:34] Jeff: to cover all of ICANN's expenses

[12:34] jberryhill: The volume will be there

[12:35] Eckhaus: .music could be a huge success, depends on who runs it

[12:35] jberryhill: Better to know than not

[12:35] Eckhaus: that is still TBD

[12:35] Michele_Ney: Constantine any TLD *could* be a success, but most won't hit huge numbers

[12:35] Doug_Barton: Eckhaus, the concern at this point (I think) is that by opening it up wider that we don't start doing actual damage (which arguably we have not done so far)

[12:35] Jeff: I agree with the notion that if the issues are pretty much final, then lets go straight to the application round

[12:35] Michele_Ney: anyone claiming that they will be - I refer you to .pro and other submissions

[12:35] Michele_Ney: who all claimed that they'd be "huge"

[12:36] Elaine_Prui: Avri that does not make any sense.

[12:36] Constantine: seriously Michele I do not think any TLD was innovative.

[12:36] Constantine: all TLDs only sold domains and were commodity products

[12:36] Elaine_Prui: kenya registry meeting icann requirements cannot cost less than LA registry meeting LA requirements

[12:36] Doug_Barton: Actually .pro never claimed they would be huge, but I don't think they anticipated being microscopic either :)

[12:36] Eckhaus: .TEL has been innovative. You may not like the innovation but it has been

[12:36] Jeff: Avri - Do you have concrete data to support your notion of cost models?

[12:36] Michele_Ney: Eckhaus - agreed

[12:36] Patrick_Jon: Constantine, .tel is being sold as more of an application, less a domain

[12:37] Constantine: .tel was innovative for ICANNers but not users. It is a static domain.

[12:37] Constantine: its like a static yellow page. Cmon. What was innovative for the users?

[12:37] Jothan_Frak: right now there exists process gaming... those who oppose the process invent new overarching issues

[12:37] Constantine: amen jothan

[12:38] Karla_Valen: we do not have a bridge. if you have questions, please submit them online

[12:38] Elaine_Prui: amen Bertrand

[12:38] Doug_Barton: Jothan++

[12:38] Jeff: Jothan - Which is my fear with an EOI process. My fear is that there will be some that look at the outcomes to create new overarching issues prior to the application round beginning

[12:38] jberryhill: Most new things fail. That is the nature of innovation. The opportunity to fail is not a bad thing.

[12:38] Jothan_Frak: jeff I think that is temporal. many of those would occur at some point anyway.

[12:39] Jothan_Frak: but I believe you mean that the Eoi intros opportunity for opponents to the new TLDs to apply an overarching issue to the entire process vs an individual string

[12:39] Elaine_Prui: karla, where? how do I submit a question?

[12:39] Jothan_Frak: I still would assert that is temporal

[12:41] Jeff: Jothan - Its better to have the issues raised after the application round begins opposed to the EOI process. If at EOI process, applictn round can be stopped (or never started). If at application round, then it only may affect 1 application

[12:41] Bruce_Tonki: Elaine - when the questions start - you can use this forum - but there is also a question forum. On the adboe acrobat screen if you click on the bok near bottom right - you can submit a formal question

[12:41] Jothan_Frak: the benefit of having ICANN be able to know and staff appropriately against the captured information

[12:41] Jothan_Frak: that seems to have been missed

[12:42] Eckhaus: Is disclosing the strings but not the applicants a viable compromise?

[12:42] Doug_Barton: Jothan, that's what i meant with the chicken/egg thing, you said it better :)

[12:42] Jothan_Frak: how are those who wish to exclude wish to exclude their information going to be discerned from potentially malicious actors

[12:42] Constantine: .co and com and .cm and .com

[12:43] Constantine: countries can do as they please

[12:43] guest322: m

[12:44] Jothan_Frak: I have listened carefully to the proposal for a string based Eoi - one that focuses on the string only... this introduces the possibility of predatory activity... someone could disrupt a good actor by applying for a sting once they know it exists

[12:45] Barri: \$55000 for the EOI, quite a sum for innovators from developing countries

[12:46] Jothan_Frak: Barri, wait till they are faced with the 185k and other costs and the costs as a part of meeting the requirements, trademark protections etc.

[12:46] Metalitz_3: The French proposal has never been persuasively responded to.

[12:46] Marika_Koni: You can submit your comments / questions here:
<https://icann.wufoo.com/forms/icann-37-new-gtld-update-and-eoi-panel-discussion/>

[12:46] Jothan_Frak: unless the Eoi is mandatory, it will not be used

[12:47] Elaine_Pru: yes bruce

[12:47] Eckhaus: PLease remember the \$55,000 is just a deposit. The application fee is still \$185,000

[12:47] Sivasubrama: If someone comes up with an intersting string and subsequently others step in to ask for the same string, the person who came up with the idea of a new gTLD with that particular string loses the Intellectual property value of his idea

[12:47] Jothan_Frak: hence the benefit of mandatory, thank you siva

[12:47] Doug_Barton: Siva++

[12:47] Elaine_Pru: thoughts are not property

[12:48] Paul_Farkas: any initiative can declare to be comitted without EOI, also it is not a ruse as to progress

[12:48] Elaine_Pru: in this process

[12:48] Paul_Farkas: EOI shows demonstration of comitment, has merit

[12:48] Sivasubrama: Either the EOI process limit the information it requires, or offer the right of first refusal to the first person who has expressed an interest in a new gTLD with that string

[12:49] Sivasubrama: Keeping the string confidential may not work

[12:49] Constantine: EXACTLY.

[12:50] Jothan_Frak: but perhaps the disclosure of strings happens after the window closes to help avoid predatory 'me-too' actors

[12:51] jberryhill: @jothan, I thought that was assumed by all

[12:51] Doug_Barton: I thought that doing it that way was already decided actually

[12:51] Jothan_Frak: and why not have some justifiable confidentiality

[12:51] Eckhaus: The disclosure would happen after the EOI closes. That was never an option

[12:51] Doug_Barton: similar to a "blind bid" arrangement

[12:51] Constantine: one size fit all does not work

[12:51] Sivasubrama: Another way out of this problem is to make the EOI a non-refundable fee based process. An EOI can ask for an Non refundable fee of between \$1000 and \$5000 and in return ICANN can

confer the right of first refusal to the first person who filed an EOI for a string for which there are later contenders

[12:52] Bruce_Tonki: Karla - how is Kurt going with clairy around Darft Guidebook v4

[12:52] Doug_Barton: If there are objections, they will happen with or without EOI (just look at XXX)

[12:52] Michele_Ney: Siva - 1000 - 4000? Are you nuts?

[12:52] Michele_Ney: Like seriously

[12:52] Eckhaus: That was my comment. Thanks Zahid

[12:53] jberryhill: @siva - none of the strings are disclosed until the eoi period ends. There are no "priority" issues. Priority races only benefit insiders and the well informed

[12:53] Michele_Ney: If EOI was only 1 or 2k I'd submit a few just to annoy some applicants that bug me

[12:53] Karla_Valen: he is working on it

[12:54] Doug_Barton: So what you're saying is that the current fee level is a discouragement to nuisance applications :)

[12:54] Paul_Farkas: @Michele, I bet you would

[12:54] Michele_Ney: Doug - yes

[12:54] Michele_Ney: Paul - you *know* I would :)

[12:54] Doug_Barton: Michele++

[12:54] Constantine: Why should the most informed be penalized? Or the most interested or active?

[12:55] Paul_Farkas: yes, what is an example of a string that needs to be confidential?

[12:55] Michele_Ney: If EOI was 1k I'd apply for .blacknight and .michele

[12:55] Sivasubrama: @iberyhill, the practice of keeping the strings undisclosed till the eoi period ends is a practice that can be abused. This needs to change to an instant public update process. An eoi should be instantly made public, at e-speed, so that the name of the application it goes on record against the string applied for

[12:55] Constantine: Insiders is an interesting concept. Can you imagine businesses without these components?

[12:55] Jeff: Michele - funny I was going to apply hose stinas well

[12:55] Jeff: stri

[12:55] Paul_Farkas: @michele, just do those on your own root, no one else would use them anyway

[12:56] Michele_Ney: Paul - oi! :)

[12:56] Michele_Ney: I *think* we had .mac on our network at one point ..

[12:56] Doug_Barton: "first come first served" is not a reasonable way to approach this

[12:57] Constantine: so Tindal condones EOI auction of slots and making money from it?

[12:57] Karla_Valen: slide requested by Bruce is up now

[12:57] Metalitz_3: What is the "0" point on slide now displayed? Today? So v. 4 will not be published for 6 months?

[12:57] Paul_Farkas: trading shouldnt happen period

[12:58] Paul_Farkas: nio support for this at all

[12:58] Constantine: then the one .blog can pay off the other and monetize.

[12:58] kurt: the zero pint is essentially the start of the callendar year

[12:58] Sivasubrama: Not a blanket 'first come first served'. For example anyone other than IBM

applying for .ibm may not count under the first come firstserved policy, but if someone who comes up

with a original, imaginative string can be considered under first come first served

[12:58] Paul_Farkas: this is blackmarket tactics

[12:58] jberryhill: @constantine - no, he's not "advocating" - he is discussing hypothetical scenarios.

[12:58] kurt: it was a plan constructed in December

[12:58] Constantine: negotiate a deal = what is the max i can extract from the most serious applicant to pull off

[12:59] Jeff: video is jumping around now

[12:59] Paul_Farkas: @Tindal, I call them second-tier generic strings

[12:59] Frederick_F: could someone please explain the slide that has been posted?

[12:59] Constantine: @berryhill this is the domain world. Someone creative will auction slots and also ask for monies from competitors to pull away i.e profit from the process

[10:59] Metalitz_3: @Kurt - so VI and amendment process are concluded now?

[13:00] Jeff: If that slide was in December, that implies vertical integration is solved...but we have not even seen a proposal

[13:00] Sivasubrama: Or ICANN can consider an auction process to award the name to the highest bidder, in case of non-imaginative competitive strings

[13:00] Mary_Wong51: @Steve, @Kurt, I note that a June 2010 (Brussels) date for DAGv4 was explicitly mentioned in the latest (feb 15) EOI summary document

[13:00] Doug_Barton: Competition and capitalism are good things in the domain marketplace

[13:01] jberryhill: Yes, Constantine... discussing what may happen, however, does not amount to "advocating". In the past, ICANN has poorly thought through gaming strategies

[13:01] Patrick_Jon: Siva there is already an auction process described in the Guidebook as a contention mechanism of last resort

[13:01] Constantine: @berryhill agreed

[13:02] jberryhill: Not everyone is out to get you 24/7, you know

[13:02] kurt: Steve - no they are not concluded, it was a plan

[13:02] Constantine: @patrickjones - i think business plans should be part of comparative analysis like earlier TLD rounds

[13:02] Paul_Farkas: @jberryhill: 23/7

[13:02] Jeff: Video really skipping now

[13:02] kurt: but the plan demonstrates that they are to be concluded for the GB4

[13:02] Eckhaus: Skipping video must be Reston issue :-)

[13:02] Patrick_Jon: Jeff video seems ok here in LA

[13:02] Sivasubrama: @Patrick.. In some cases it need not wait till the last moment, or be considered as a last resort. It can be *the* process in some cases

[13:02] Eckhaus: we have 100mb fat pipe here

[13:03] Michele_Ney: Siva a lot of what you're talking about is: 1 - not new 2 - already covered and already has been discussed

[13:03] Pat_Kane: comparative analysis is then a beauty contest and then who is the arbiter

[13:03] Constantine: yup .music :)

[13:04] Constantine: and the rest

[13:04] Metalitz_3: Will there be time for remote questions? This session is already over time.

[13:04] Sivasubrama: @Michele, Thanks. Comforting to know that some of what I am saying does make sense (because there points have already been discussed by someone else some time before)

[13:04] Wil_Tan: i lost audio stream

[13:05] Jothan_Frak: will if you lose audio stream on adobe the other audio streams are often still up

[13:05] Karla_Valen: working on it

[13:05] Phil_Buckin: Re strings - submit in EOI privately , then ICANN tells string if there is a problem / duplication BEFORE listing all strings at CLOSE of EOI

[13:05] Wil_Tan: video and everything else seems to be ok still

[13:05] Paul_Farkas: that is at innovators' peril though @Bertrand

[13:06] Michele_Ney: Siva - please read the background material on DAG. You have an annoying habit of NOT reading backjground material on any process and then barging in - it's annoying and a waste of our time

[13:06] Constantine: i like Olivier. Smart comments

[13:06] RobH: steve, this session started late. I think Bruce will extend the time for a good period. questions are being taken in the order received in the AC room. if you don't have access their please ask your question here and we can load it for you

[13:06] Doug_Barton: Phil, what would be the benefit of that?

[13:06] Sivasubrama: Bertrand talked about a buisness value for a domain name after a certain stage. For a really valuable name, there would be a Venture Capitalist's value even at teh EOI stage, if the applicant gets the right of first refusal

[13:06] jberryhill: Bertrand concerned about "land grab" - meanwhile his government files 3 UDRPs and one lawsuit against geographically descriptive names with "paris" in them, and refuses to submit to US jurisdiction in post-UDRP challenges

[13:06] Michele_Ney: Siva - and until such time as you actually do start talking fromo a position with knowlegde we are all going to ignore you

[13:06] Eckhaus: Officially stated here at ICANN - worldwide recession was brought about by gaming.

[13:06] Eckhaus: thankfully that issue has been resolved

[13:07] Constantine: 13% unemployment in california. nope not resolved

[13:07] Eckhaus: the reason for the recession,

[13:07] Eckhaus: not the solution

[13:08] Eckhaus: need sarcasm font

[13:08] Constantine: there is no clearcut solutions for the overarching issues. they can not be solved

[13:08] Eckhaus: for last staement

[13:08] Michele_Ney: Constantine - please refer to them as "overarching" :)

[13:08] jberryhill: "overarching" is now officially "undermining"

[13:09] Constantine: :) akin to solving fraud. I hope ICANN does not turn into the UN

[13:09] Wil_Tan: has this timeline slid?

[13:09] Karla_Valen: all: we have about 18 questions/statements. We will read them on the mic for Kurt and the panel to address, howeve....

[13:10] Karla_Valen: please keep in mind we might not be able to get all read.

[13:10] Doug_Barton: Karla, I hope you're not including any of my blather in there :)

[13:10] Phil_Buckin: I have an .dot application . Working on it one year . I do not want somebody else

coming along and submitting the same string and destroying my business idea

[13:10] Karla_Valen: we need to share the time with the people here as well

[13:10] Marika_Koni: Submitted questions are here: <https://icann.wufoo.com/reports/icann-37-new-gtld-update-eoi-panel-discussion/>

[13:11] Eckhaus: For those of you participating remotely. YES, Paul Foody is here and in the queue

[13:11] Paul_Farkas: :)

[13:11] Tony_Kirsch: Wow, been looking forward to that.

[13:11] Jothan_Frak: ok, back in 20 min

[13:11] Constantine: Foody! awesome some entertainment and interesting questions

[13:11] Jothan_Frak: thank you for that authenticity stephen

[13:12] Wil_Tan: oh i'd better go for my break now too.. JIT for Foody

[13:12] Doug_Barton: who is speaking?

[13:12] Wil_Tan: Thanks Jeff for the heads-up

[13:12] Jothan_Frak: Speaking = Stephan Deerhake

[13:12] Doug_Barton: thanks

[13:12] Metalitz_3: he owns a lot of hats

[13:12] Mary_Wong51: And as usual, Mr Foody's been filming :(

[13:12] Jeff: And Stephan is with who?

[13:13] jberryhill: foody is three slots back at mic 1 - mic2 has 4 slots. alex schubert on deck at mic1

[13:13] Paul_Farkas: @mary, but no memory card in the camera

[13:13] Jothan_Frak: Does this really have to do with new TLDs or EoI

[13:13] Tony_Kirsch: i don't think so Jothan

[13:13] Elaine_Prui: not at all

[13:13] Doug_Barton: New TLDs won't add stress to the root servers, other than to the extent that overall DNS traffic grows

[13:14] Phil_Buckin: Those that want to apply but cant afford it. Need to find globally VCs/ investor to evaluate business model .Govts will not invest . We still have a global recession . Money is spent elsewhere. We still have no start date - wont invest !

[13:14] Doug_Barton: millions more new tlds would require a different method of provisioning the roots, but we're not even in that neighborhood yet

[13:15] Mary_Wong51: @Paul, that's even spookier

[13:15] Constantine: @phil but ICANN does not care about business models unfortunately right? I did not see that in comparative analysis

[13:15] mib_twxfpp: Google is your friend

http://www.linkedin.com/ppl/webprofile?vmi=&id=6963751&pvs=pp&authToken=88fC&authType=name&locale=en_US&trk=ppro_viewmore&lnk=vw_pprofile

[13:16] Mary_Wong51: @Phil, isn't it possible some govts will invest in some community gTLDs (e.g. minorities, cultural heritage)?

[13:16] Jothan_Frak: maybe if they have dates to work with

[13:17] mib_twxfpp: step by step step by step

[13:18] mib_twxfpp: remembered the memory card

[13:18] mib_twxfpp: foody foody

[13:18] Phil_Buckin: Many - dot scot and dot wales (cym) - no money to invest by repective parliaments . Many other examples . How can govts budget if they do not have gTLD start date

[13:19] mib_twxfpp: no money - why did all the MP's steal it to spend on rent and furniture?

[13:19] Eckhaus: Please ask questions. We have comment periods

[13:19] Eckhaus: Thank you Bruce for cutting off the sermons

[13:19] Mary_Wong51: Phil, that's true - that's why (in addition to the single fee) NCSG is concerned about the uncertainties still in the process

[13:19] Sivasubrama: @Michele_Neylon Your suggestion to ignore my comments is what needs to be ignored, with the understanding that most of what I say in the working groups do annoy you for various reasons

[13:19] Elaine_Prui: thank you bruce for reminding the commenters to focus on Eols

[13:20] Tony_Kirsch: Shut him down Bruce. We've had enough.

[13:20] Metalitz_3: Has Bruce rejected all the remote questions?

[13:20] Constantine: @metalitz it seems so

[13:20] mib_twxfpp: Nope - he was waiting for a list

[13:20] Elaine_Prui: too late! comment periods (2!) closed

[13:20] Sivasubrama: @Michele, If there is something that we need to discuss, lets do that by mail, not get into discussions about one another

[13:20] Constantine: but waiting for new list to be made

[13:21] Eckhaus: Someone please stop him

[13:21] Elaine_Prui: 2 minute limit please

[13:21] Wil_CloudR: how far is Foody from the mic?

[13:21] Constantine: foody is next!

[13:22] Wil_CloudR: thanks Costa

[13:22] jberryhill: foody is #2 at mic1 behind a schubert - there are two at mic2

[13:22] Phil_Buckin: Yes we all need to email or via ICANN website. We have to get solutions to problems before Board meet !

[13:22] Wil_CloudR: sweet, thanks John

[13:24] jberryhill: going to Mr Metalitz online

[13:24] Eckhaus: That is a good question, but not an EOI question

[13:26] jberryhill: Mr. Foody on deck, unless Bruce takes more online questions, which he really should

[13:28] Eckhaus: Berryhill is closer, should take pic of Foody filming

[13:29] Jothan_Frak: Online Q: If board votes down the Eol under the belief that it is a replacement of the application start, will they commit staff to a june start of applications.

[13:29] Elaine_Prui: yes david

[13:30] Paul_Farkas: agree communication from paris

[13:31] Elaine_Prui: amen zahid

[13:31] Constantine: tell the GAC and the governments to communicate

[13:31] Elaine_Prui: and the ccNSO

[13:31] Elaine_Prui: and the ISPs

[13:31] nashton: FYI, unfortunately, the chair is not taking statements and questions in the order received, and is reading them out on a more subjective basis

[13:32] Elaine_Prui: hopefully he is only reading questions relevant to the discussion

[13:32] nashton: We are working with him behind the scenes. As you all know, we are integrating remote participants much more

[13:32] nashton: fully in the meetings than ever before, and this is the very first main session that works this way

[13:33] Elaine_Prui: Nick, its really great.

[13:33] nashton: Pardon our dust a bit :)

[13:33] Frederick_F: has the communications plan changed since last year's announcement? Is it still PR focused with no media?

[13:34] nashton: Be advised: If a question doesn't get asked, it will get forwarded internally to be dealt with

[13:34] Phil_Buckin: Yes yes - I have started communication in my country to put message out there . Others need to do same in their country and link up with ICANN

[13:34] Constantine: ANOTHER working group?

[13:34] nashton: and all questions and statements will get annexed to the record

[13:34] Constantine: are you kidding me?

[13:35] Constantine: comment to Bertrand: this is not the United Nations

[13:35] Paul_Farkas: uh oh

[13:35] Constantine: there is a thing called the law of diminishing returns

[13:37] Jothan_Frak: uh

[13:37] Elaine_Prui: oh no! take away from verisign and give to the masses!

[13:37] Paul_Farkas: who is verisign?

[13:37] Paul_Farkas: :)

[13:37] Constantine: foody thinks he has a great .com portfolio and does not want .com to lose its value

[13:37] Elaine_Prui: is this about EOIs?

[13:38] Elaine_Prui: two minute rule please

[13:38] Eckhaus: Foody is concerned the internet will be taken away from Verisign and given to a bunch of rich companies

[13:38] Elaine_Prui: unbelievable

[13:38] Phil_Buckin: Get Foody off Exactly same thing said in Seoul . Wasting time

[13:38] Jothan_Frak: uh

[13:38] Tony_Kirsch: Want a job Pat Kane? Looks like new gTLDs are going to completely replace dotcom

[13:39] Doug_Barton: *perk* jobs?

[13:39] Constantine: Zahid agrees with Foody?

[13:39] Elaine_Prui: snap the line!!!!

[13:40] Jothan_Frak: lets have the board commit to june if no EoI

[13:40] Michele_Ney: Foody should be limited to 30 seconds

[13:40] Karla_Valen: Hello Jothan, can you please clarify.

[13:41] Elaine_Prui: put up the clock please

[13:41] Karla_Valen: just to clarify... we HAVE started communications since June 2008!

[13:41] Constantine: the incumbents that have been lied about 3 times concerning timelines :)

[13:41] Elaine_Pru: \$5000 encourages gaming

[13:41] Elaine_Pru: i would bid for 10 at that pricer, personally.

[13:41] Jothan_Frak: if it is true , as zahid states, that june is the completion, and the Eol is voted down under that assumption, then trade teh Eol for the start

[13:41] Susan_Reyno: back in June 2008 new gTLDs was on the media ll around the world

[13:42] Karla_Valen: thanks

[13:43] Elaine_Pru: dot frogens?

[13:43] Constantine: no such thing as consensus at ICANN. who will make the final decisions?

[13:43] Eric_Brunne: other than the threshold, determining who games, what is the difference between \$55k and \$5k?

[13:43] Eckhaus: Frogens is now the #1 searched term on Google

[13:43] Elaine_Pru: \$50K or more than the average american annual salary

[13:43] Jothan_Frak: unless there is a city named frogens

[13:43] Eric_Brunne: we're not making an elaine pruis rule

[13:44] Elaine_Pru: what is that supposed to mean?

[13:44] Eric_Brunne: is the average american relevant?

[13:44] Jim_Galvin: www.frogans.com

[13:45] Jothan_Frak: eric please don't antagonize elaine

[13:46] Michele_Ney: ROFL

[13:46] Michele_Ney: I'm loving the lack of translation

[13:46] Paul_Farkas: Jothan_Frogans has a ring to it

[13:47] Susan_Reyno: is there no translation at this meeting?

[13:47] Eric_Brunne: the market capitalization of incumbents, and applicants, is slightly greater than the average american gross income

[13:47] Constantine: c'est pas possible Bertrand

[13:47] Staffan_Jon: JAg kan ocksÅ¥ bidra till mÅ¶tet pÅ¥ mitt eget sprÅ¥k - men till vilken nytta?

[13:47] Michele_Ney: Constantine - Bertrand isn't speaking

[13:48] Constantine: i am asking him to respond

[13:49] Elaine_Pru: the board is voting on the staff propoasl

[13:49] Constantine: that is why an idea should be called a business plan and treated as such. If someone has a better business plan and execution strategy then why not?

[13:50] Constantine: would be great to have open competition, business plans and presentations of their strategy.

[13:50] James_Blade: He means "peasant" in a good way...

[13:51] Paul_Farkas: @Constantine, which color shirt would you wear for the preso?

[13:51] Elaine_Pru: icann decided years ago not to judge the validity of a string with this new round

[13:51] Eric_Brunne: four months ago the city of new york qualified as an expendible peasant

[13:51] Paul_Farkas: unreak

[13:52] Jothan_Frak: I think he said CityTLD not ccTLD

[13:52] Constantine: @paul i would wear a .music shirt

[13:52] Eckhaus: No Working Group has ever sped up a process

[13:52] Elaine_Pru: how does a working group speed things up?

[13:53] Jothan_Frak: did I hear that working groups speed up processes?
[13:53] Jothan_Frak: huh
[13:53] Constantine: @frakes lol funny
[13:53] Jothan_Frak: thank you bruce
[13:53] Paul_Farkas: @Constantine, yes, but black or white?
[13:53] Constantine: thanks bruce
[11:53] Karla_Valen: thank you all for participating
[13:53] Constantine: thanks karla
[11:53] Tony_Kirsch: Thanks, was great Karla
[13:53] Doug_Barton: Great to see/chat with everyone :)
[13:53] Susan_Reyno: thank you Karla
[13:53] Eric_Brunne: thanks karl
[13:53] Karla_Valen: upcoming New gTLD related session is trademark in few hours
[13:55] Phil_Buckin: ICANN staff - Nick , Karla et all - this RP is very impressive . many Thanks
[13:59] Eric_Brunne: for those interested, i have amadeu's slideset on linguistic and cultural TLDs, presented to the GAC yesterday
[13:59] Eric_Brunne: email ebw(at)abenaki.wabanaki.net if you want a copy (.pdf)
[14:12] Mikey183341: testing... sorry folks. pls ignore
[14:14] Michele_Ney: ICANN - please stop pushing those form pages into my browser - it's really annoying