# IDN 3 Character & Variant Management

Seoul



## **IDN Implementation Status**

- With responsible rules and oversight, significant consensus to launch Fast Track process soon
  - The Board will consider launching that process at this meeting
- Additionally, significant progress has been made towards the launch of the new gTLD process, including the delegation of IDNs
- Culmination of years of work that has resolved many issues, but some difficult issues still open, among them:
  - The new gTLD 3-character requirement
  - Variant management



## 3-Character Requirement for an IDN gTLD

- Practice & RFC 1591 currently requires that all two character codes be interpreted as ISO 3166-1 country codes and reserved for ccTLD use
- Many languages exist where meaningful words can be represented in less than three characters, which would pose a restriction for IDN gTLDs under current rules
- Objective: determine a set of rules (an exception) so that gTLD strings of less than three characters can be registered in some cases, without interfering with the rules reserving two-character codes as specified in RFC 1591

#### **Variant Characters and TLDs**

- Variant characters occur where a single character has two or more representations, which may or may not look visually similar
- Variant TLDs are those which contain one or more characters that have variant characters
- Allowing variant TLDs may result in user confusion, while excluding them may 'disenfranchise' cultures that use the characters in the excluded TLD strings



#### **Objectives for Addressing both Issues**

- A working team was formed to engage with relevant language communities to:
  - Develop recommendations to address the threecharacter requirement and management of variants in TLDs described earlier
  - Report back with recommendations to Board and community in time for the Seoul meeting



## **Working Team Charter: Handling IDN TLD Variants**

- Develop definition of variants as used in IDNs, i.e., what does "variant" mean as used in IDN tables (for gTLDs and ccTLDs)
- Determine whether blocking or reservation of variant TLDs is necessary to prevent user confusion
- When delegated, the user experience when using variant TLDs must be at least as good as when using TLDs without variants.
  - Determine under what circumstances TLD variants might be delegated
- Determine responsibilities of TLD operator to whom TLD and variant(s) might be delegated

#### What problems do variants solve?

#### Delegation of variant TLDs

- will allow broader participation
  - users not familiar with variant characters
  - users can only type one of the variant characters on their keyboard
  - often one region uses only one string/character and another region uses only the variant version
- may degrade the user experience due to similarity
  - unless delegated with an aliased or bundled functionality



#### **Short-term Solution**

- Requested desired variants: reserved
  - to requesting IDN ccTLD manager
  - allocation when stable solution is found
- Not requested, un-desired variants: blocked
  - list generated by tool, based on IDN tables
  - no subsequent application will be accepted
  - need for dispute mechanism



# **Long-term Solution**

- Enable the delegation of variants that
  - Avoid user confusion
  - Ensure good user experience
- Several solutions are being discussed

