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Objectives of ORs in ICANN

- The Board shall cause a periodic review (…) of the performance and operation of each Supporting Organization, each Supporting Organization Council, each Advisory Committee (…), and the Nominating Committee by an entity or entities independent of the organization under review.

- The goal of the review (…) shall be to determine
  
  (i) whether that organization has a continuing purpose in the ICANN structure, and

  (ii) if so, whether any change in structure or operations is desirable to improve its effectiveness.
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Status of reviews

- TOR writing
- Cons. selection
- Ind. review
- WG work
- Implementation
- Assess. effects

ASO -> ccNSO -> RSSAC -> ALAC -> GNSO

Board, NomCom

SSAC
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Activities until now

- In cooperation with ccNSO Chair. SIC and Board approval for posting.

- Public comments: 26 May to 28 June
  http://www.icann.org/en/public-comment/#ccnso-tor
Draft ToR: part 1

- Has the ccNSO been effective in achieving its three key objectives, as defined in Article IX of Bylaws?
- What internal or external elements – if any - prevented the full achievement of ccNSO’s objectives?
- What general or specific measures can be imagined to enhance the effectiveness of the ccNSO?
- Overall, were the initiatives carried out by ccNSO since its establishment consistent with its mandate as defined in the Bylaws?
- What are the ccNSO members’ understandings of the mandate of ccNSO?
- What are the understandings of other Supporting Organizations and of Advisory Committees of the mandate of the ccNSO?
- Does the ccNSO have a continuing purpose in the ICANN structure?
- Does the rationale for ccNSO as spelled out in the Bylaws need to be revised, and in which sense?
Draft ToR: part 2

- Does the ccNSO operate in an accountable and transparent way? Are there any changes to ccNSO ways of operating that might enhance its accountability and transparency?
- Are the ccNSO's internal working mechanisms suitable and sufficient to guide all the aspects of its present work? The Consultant is informed that ccNSO is undertaking an IDN ccPDP that might impact on its future working mechanisms. In consideration of the very early stage of this Process the Consultant is requested to refrain from envisaging changes to the ccNSO working mechanisms that might be dependent on the outcomes of this Process.
- What mechanisms can be envisaged as to further support the efforts of ccNSO to enlarge its membership to further existing and future ccTLDs?
- Has the ccNSO had the resources necessary to accomplish its tasks? Was the support provided by ICANN to ccNSO consistent and sufficient with the needs of ccNSO in terms of personnel resources, as well as in administrative and operational terms?
- Are there regular and suitable communication and collaboration mechanisms in place between the ccNSO and other SOs and ACs?
Next steps and interaction w/ community

- **September 2009**
  - TOR writing
  - Cons. selection
  - Ind. nt review
  - Interviews w/ ccNSO community
  - Validation findings
  - Comments FinRep

- **March 2010**
  - WG work
  - Comments WG Mid-term Rep
  - Comments WG FinRep

- Implementation
- Assess.nt effects

RfP, large(r) geographic reach
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