

Inter-Registrar Transfer Policy Part D PDP

Update



Overview

- Fourth and last Working Group (WG) of IRTP-related PDP series
- WG started on 25 February 2013
- Four of Six Charter questions have been discussed and draft recommendations have been agreed.
- The key outstanding issue is the amendment of the TDRP in light of a possible Registrant-initiated TDRP procedure (Charter Question c)

Broader Issues Also Under Discussion

- Overall structure, usefulness and effectiveness of the Transfer Dispute Resolution Policy
- Issues from earlier IRTP WG's that it might be useful to revisit, given changes that have taken place in the interim – especially Change of Registrant policy under IRTP Part C

TDRP-related Questions

- Should the Transfer Dispute Resolution Policy (TDRP) be opened to Registrants?
 - Under what circumstances?
 - What documentation would be necessary for such a Registrant-initiated TDRP?
 - Who should pay for what in registrant-initiated dispute proceedings?
 - Processing cost
 - Incentivize desired behavior (active/early participation in the process)
 - Penalize undesired registrant behavior (e.g. frivolous or fraudulent claims)
 - Penalize undesired registrar behavior
- Or: Should Registrar be obliged (bar an 'exemption list') to file a TDRP?
- Should Registries continue to act as a first level dispute providers?

Future Milestones

- Initial Report envisaged for early December 2013
- Final Report envisaged for ICANN 49 Singapore
- Info: www.tinyurl.com/irtphome

Background to the Translation and Transliteration PDP

Charter Questions

- a) Should **reporting requirements** for registries and dispute providers be developed in order to **make precedent and trend information available** to the community and allow reference to past cases in dispute submissions?
- b) Should additional provisions be included in the Transfer Dispute Resolution Policy (TDRP) that set out **how to handle disputes when multiple transfers have occurred**?
- c) Should **dispute options for registrants** be developed and implanted as part of the IRTP (currently registrants depend on registrars to initiate a dispute on their behalf)?

Charter Questions

- d) Should certain requirements and best practices be put into place for registrars to **make information on transfer dispute resolution options available to registrants?**
- e) **Are existing penalties for policy violations sufficient** or should additional provisions/penalties for specific violations be added into the IRTP?
- f) **Did the universal adoption and implementation of EPP AuthInfo codes eliminate the need of Standard Forms of Authorization (FOAs)**