Policy & Implementation Update

Chuck Gomes - Co-Chair J. Scott Evans - Co-Chair Michael Graham - Vice-Chair Olevie Kouami - Vice Chair





Recent Developments



- WG convened in August 2013
- Broad representation (35+ participants)
- Outreach to all SO/ACs for input and participation, including ICANN Board
- Developed work plan to be reviewed in BA and approved shortly thereafter
- Formed sub-team to develop working definitions
- Forming sub-team to consider P&I principles



Work Plan



- Form sub-teams to address charter questions, some of which are expected to work in parallel
- Aim to publish initial findings or results for community input / discussion at the first two ICANN meetings in 2014
- Aim to deliver Initial Recommendations Report for public comment by ICANN meeting in L.A. (Oct 2014)



Overview of Proposed Work Plan



- + See work plan at https://community.icann.org/x/aBaMAg
- Note that further discussion will take place in the WG meeting on Wednesday at 4:45 pm ART



WG - Next Steps



- F2F meeting in Buenos Aires
 (Wednesday 16.45 18.15) –
 aimed to obtain community input
 on some of the initial questions /
 issues
- Review input received
- Await feedback from GAC (deadline 30 November)
- Regular updates from sub-teams







Background



- GNSO agreed to create a DT in Beijing to develop a charter for a Working Group to address issues that have been raised in the context of the recent discussions on policy & implementation that affect the GNSO
- DT was formed and started its discussions on 10 June
- Proposed charter submitted to GNSO Council for consideration on 5 July and approved on 17 July 2013



Charter

WG tasked to provide recommendations on:

- 1. A set of **principles** that would underpin any GNSO policy and implementation related discussions, taking into account existing GNSO Operating Procedures.
- 2. A **process** for developing gTLD policy, perhaps in the form of "Policy Guidance", including criteria for when it would be appropriate to use such a process (**for developing policy other than "Consensus Policy"**) instead of a GNSO Policy Development Process;
- 3. A **framework for implementation** related discussions associated with GNSO Policy Recommendations;
- 4. **Criteria** to be used to determine when an action should be addressed by a policy process and when it should be considered implementation, and;
- 5. Further **guidance** on how **GNSO Implementation Review Teams**, as defined in the PDP Manual, are expected to function and operate.



Further information



- WG Workspace https://community.icann.org/x/y1V-Ag
- Project info
 http://gnso.icann.org/en/group activities/active/policy-implementation

