

Subteam Task	Key Milestones	Completed	May be delayed	Late
--------------	----------------	-----------	----------------	------

Week of	Milestone events	Full Working Group	Work plan Sub-Team	Definitions Sub-Team	Deliverable 0B Sub-Team	Deliverable I Sub-Team	Deliverable II-III Sub-Team	Deliverable IV Sub-Team	Deliverable V Sub-Team
			Develop Proposed Work Plan for Carrying Out Work Group Charter	Develop working definitions of Terms for use in Work Group	Derive from ICANN charters and materials "working principles" that should underpin GNSO Policy and Implementation-related discussions and determinations	Propose process for developing gTLD and other ICANN policy in the form of GNSO "Policy Development Process" and "Policy Guidance" and propose criteria for determining when each would be appropriate	Develop criteria to be used to determine when a particular action should be addressed by a Policy Development or Guidance process and when it should be considered Implementation and develop framework for discussion of Implementation Options associated with GNSO Policy Recommendations	Draft Guidance on the formation and function of GNSO Implementation Review Teams and their relation to GNSO Policy Recommendations and Implementation programs	Finalize set of principles that underpin any GNSO policy and implementation related discussions, taking into account existing GNSO Operating Procedures, GNSO and ICANN Policy and Implementation Directives, and Community Input
28-Oct		WG Meeting to plan for BA, review status updates from sub-teams, review SO/AC input received (if any)	Meet to finalize proposed work plan and circulate to WG -- Prepare draft Descriptions of Deliverables	Start deliberations on working definitions - identify which terms need definitions and consider existing / other state of the art terms					
04-Nov				Continue deliberations on working definitions					
11-Nov		WG Meeting to plan for BA, review status updates from sub-teams, review SO/AC input received	Present Draft Work Plan for Discussion and Revision	Discuss and revise draft Definitions					
18-Nov	ICANN Meeting in BA	F2F meeting on 20 Nov; discuss & approve Work Plan; discuss & solicit feedback on working definitions	Finalize Work Plan and Draft Timetable	Provide status update on working definitions for discussion and feedback	Sub-team meeting				
25-Nov				Finalize and Publish Working Definitions to Working Group		Call for Volunteers	Call for Volunteers	Call for Volunteers	Call for Volunteers
02-Dec		WG Meeting - discuss & review (and either approve or set date for final approval) of working definitions			Sub-team meeting to review tasks as outlined in mind map and determine approach				
09-Dec					Sub-team meeting - begin to discuss working principles				
16-Dec		WG Meeting			Sub-team meeting				
23-Dec					Sub-team meeting				
30-Dec					Sub-team meeting				
06-Jan		WG Meeting - receive update on "working principles" from OB			Sub-team meeting - finalize draft working principles	Contact and Confirm Volunteers	Contact and Confirm Volunteers	Contact and Confirm Volunteers	Contact and Confirm Volunteers
13-Jan					Sub-team meeting				
20-Jan		WG Meeting -- Review "working principles" from OB			Sub-team meeting				
27-Jan					Sub-team meeting	Sub-team meeting to review tasks as outlined in mind map and determine approach	Sub-team meeting to review tasks as outlined in mind map and determine approach	Sub-team meeting to review tasks as outlined in mind map and determine approach	
03-Feb		WG Meeting - Discuss & provide feedback on "working principles"							
10-Feb					Sub-team meeting - revise & finalize "working principles"	Sub-team meeting	Sub-team meeting	Sub-team meeting	
17-Feb		WG Meeting				Sub-team meeting	Sub-team meeting	Sub-team meeting	
24-Feb									
03-Mar	Publication deadline for ICANN Meeting in Singapore (3 March)	Publish Initial Findings for public comment and/or community consideration (depending on progress made) WG Meeting							
10-Mar						Sub-team meeting	Sub-team meeting	Sub-team meeting	Sub-team meeting to review tasks and determine approach
17-Mar		WG Meeting - review overall Work Plan & progress of sub-teams				Sub-team meeting	Sub-team meeting	Sub-team meeting	
24-Mar	ICANN Meeting in Singapore	F2F meeting in Singapore & community session				Sub-team meeting	Sub-team meeting	Sub-team meeting	
31-Mar						Sub-team meeting	Sub-team meeting	Sub-team meeting	

DEFINITIONS SUB-TEAM	DELIVERABLE 0B SUB-TEAM	DELIVERABLE I SUB-TEAM	DELIVERABLE II-III SUB-TEAM	DELIVERABLE IV Sub-Team	Deliverable V Sub-Team
Develop working definitions of Terms for use in Work Group	Derive from ICANN charters and materials "working principles" that should underpin GNSO Policy and Implementation-related discussions and determinations	Propose process for developing gTLD and other ICANN policy in the form of GNSO "Policy Development Process" and "Policy Guidance" and propose criteria for determining when each would be appropriate	Develop criteria to be used to determine when a particular action should be addressed by a Policy Development or Guidance process and when it should be considered Implementation and develop framework for discussion of Implementation Options associated with GNSO Policy Recommendations	Draft Guidance on the formation and function of GNSO Implementation Review Teams and their relation to GNSO Policy Recommendations and Implementation programs	Finalize set of principles that underpin any GNSO policy and implementation related discussions, taking into account existing GNSO Operating Procedures, GNSO and ICANN Policy and Implementation Directives, and Community Input
Identify which terms need definitions and establish working definitions for WG use	What guidance do ICANN core values (Bylaws Article 1, Section 2) directly provide with regard to policy development work and policy implementation efforts? (e.g., multi-stakeholder participation)	Review mechanisms that the GNSO has used to date to develop policy / advice outside of the PDP (e.g. STI, SCI) and what lessons can be learned from those?	What lessons can be learned from past experience? a. What are the consequences of an action being considered "policy" vs. "implementation"? b. Why does it matter if something is "policy" or "implementation"? c. Under what circumstances, if any, may the GNSO Council make recommendations or state positions to the Board on matters of policy and implementation as a representative of the GNSO as a whole? d. How do we avoid the current morass of outcome-derived labeling (i.e., I will call this policy because I want certain consequences/"handling instructions" to be attached to it)? e. Can we answer these questions so the definitions of "policy" and "implementation" matter less, if at all? (B4)	Review current practices and guidance in GNSO PDP Manual	Review Deliverable 0B (working principles)
Consider existing / other state of the art terms	What guidance do other ICANN Core values provide that relate indirectly to policy development and policy implementation? (e.g., effective & timely processes)	Review draft process outlined in Staff Discussion Paper	What options are available for policy ("Consensus Policy" [2] or other) and implementation efforts and what are the criteria for determining which should be used? a. Are policy and implementation on a spectrum rather than binary? b. What are the flavors of "policy" and what consequences should attach to each flavor? c. What happens if you change those consequences?	See also questions for deliverable II-III Sub-Team	Review Deliverables I-IV and review how additional principles may be distilled / modified from these to be included in final set of principles
	Questions for discussion' contained in the Policy versus Implementation Draft Framework prepared by ICANN staff ( <a href="http://www.icann.org/en/news/public-comment/policy-implementation-31jan13-en.htm">http://www.icann.org/en/news/public-comment/policy-implementation-31jan13-en.htm</a> )	Review 'Questions for discussion' contained in the Policy versus Implementation Draft Framework prepared by ICANN staff ( <a href="http://www.icann.org/en/news/public-comment/policy-implementation-31jan13-en.htm">http://www.icann.org/en/news/public-comment/policy-implementation-31jan13-en.htm</a> )	Who determines the choice between whether something is policy or implementation? a. How is policy set/recommended/adopted and do different paths lead to different "flavors"? b. Who makes these determinations and how? c. How are the policy vs implementation decisions reviewed and approved? d. What happens if the reviewing bodies come to a deadlock?		
	Review GNSO WG Guidelines and PDP Manual to identify possible existing principles (explicitly or implicitly)	What lessons can be learned from past experience? a. What are the consequences of an action being considered "policy" vs. "implementation"? b. Why does it matter if something is "policy" or "implementation"? c. Under what circumstances, if any, may the GNSO Council make recommendations or state positions to the Board on matters of policy and implementation as a representative of the GNSO as a whole? d. How do we avoid the current morass of outcome-derived labeling (i.e., I will call this policy because I want certain consequences/"handling instructions" to be attached to it)? e. Can we answer these questions so the definitions of "policy" and "implementation" matter less, if at all?	What is the process by which this identification, analysis, review and approval work is done? a. How are "policy and implementation" issues first identified (before, during and after implementation)? b. What is the role of the GNSO in implementation? c. In order to maintain multi-stakeholder processes, once policy moves to implementation how should the community be involved in a way that is meaningful and effective? d. Should policy staff be involved through the implementation process to facilitate continuity of the MSM process that already occurred?		
	Review proposed principles in staff discussion paper	What options are available for policy ("Consensus Policy" or other) and implementation efforts and what are the criteria for determining which should be used? a. Are policy and implementation on a spectrum rather than binary? b. What are the flavors of "policy" and what consequences should attach to each flavor? c. What happens if you change those consequences?			