
BUENOS AIRES – ICANN Community Preparation for the Multistakeholder Meeting in Brazil
Wednesday, November 20, 2013 – 07:00 to 08:30
ICANN – Buenos Aires, Argentina

FADI CHEHADE: Good morning. May I ask -- I know, surprise, but forgive me. The SO/AC leaders, if they're here, they're welcome to sit up with me, so I'm not alone here. Our community leaders, if you're here, please come on up, the -- all the SO/AC leaders, please.

Good morning again. For all of us morning people, please find your seat, and again, if you are a leader of the SO -- one SO or any AC, please come on up and sit with us here.

Board members, any board members, anyone who wants to sit here, you're welcome. This is not our room setup, so...

>> Good morning.

FADI CHEHADE: Good morning. How are you?

Excellent. Great show-up for 7:00 in the morning.

Okay. Good morning, ladies and gentlemen. If you could please take your seats. Please take your seats. Grab some food. If you are an SO/AC leader, please come on up here. If you are a

Note: The following is the output resulting from transcribing an audio file into a word/text document. Although the transcription is largely accurate, in some cases may be incomplete or inaccurate due to inaudible passages and grammatical corrections. It is posted as an aid to the original audio file, but should not be treated as an authoritative record.

community leader, come on up here. If you're just hungry, come on up here. Anything works.

I want to remind everyone this was not a setup for this meeting. This is actually set up for the next meeting. We didn't intend this setup, but it was here, so we will live with it.

Anybody who wants to see the audience, you can come on this side.

Okay. Let me start -- first of all, folks, if you could stay with me, please, so we can get started. We have only an hour and 20 minutes left.

I want to start this morning by thanking all of you for getting up this early. We would like, typically, to sleep a little bit more than that, but we're glad you're here. Thank you for taking the time.

There are two things I'd like to start with, if I could.

The first, just to let you know that this meeting is happening because we need it and because we're listening to each other. We listen to each other. And it was very clear yesterday, through the meetings -- and it happened halfway through my day -- that we need to talk about these events together. And I kept saying to all of you on Monday, "Go and let's get involved in all these things," but many of you come back and said, "Well, we need to

organize. We're a community and we just happen to all be here. Why don't we listen to each other." This is why we're here. We need to listen to each other.

And of course many of you are saying, you know, "Fadi goes too fast, and, therefore, in doing so, we skip some steps in the process."

So I'm learning, too, that whilst it's very important that we achieve our objectives, it's equally, if not more, important that we get there together.

So we're here to start that process, start my learning of moving together with you, and we will move together, because if we don't, if we lose our unity, we're not going to get there. Or we'll get there, frankly, like others do. This is what makes us unique is that we can get there together and then show them who we are.

So we will.

On a second note, I have every night a meeting around 10:00, 11:00 p.m. with my leadership team up in my room, and last night my leadership team came to visit me and they were united in a mutiny against me, and the mutiny was very simple. They said, "The system is overheating. Slow down. All of us need to slow down." So I think it's very important you know that I'm very transparent and I speak my mind and maybe I shouldn't, but I

always speak what's happening in my mind and what I'm seeing, what I'm hearing. It reflects immediately in my actions.

So this happened last night and, therefore, my I think four espressos in that white cup there have not been touched. Of course some of my team told me this morning they're worried I'm going to just stop.

I think what I'm trying to share with you is that we -- I hear you. It's very important that we, as a community, as a system, do not overheat. We need to absorb what is happening, take a deep breath, and move together. And I'm committed to that and you will see me -- you know, I took off my tie today. I'm not going to be running through the halls. I may be late to some meetings. But we need to slow down, all of us, a little bit and absorb the importance of what's ahead of us. That's my commitment.

Now, with this, this morning we're going to chat about the three tracks of Internet governance that many of you have been hearing about, and we put up the three tracks: 1net; the Brazil conference; and, of course, many of you heard that we added the final and fifth panel called the panel on Internet governance.

So we have about an hour and 15 minutes. What I suggest we do is myself or any of my colleagues just spend a minute or two on each of these and then open it up.

And then what we'll do is I hope -- next slide -- I hope by the end of this meeting we will -- I will ask the SO and AC leaders if they would help me create cross-community working groups for each of these panels, so that we have a mechanism to keep talking.

One per track would be great. If we decide we want to do one for all tracks, that's fine. I discussed this already with some of the SO and AC leaders and I sent them a note last night that if we believe that's the right approach, let's do it.

And then these working groups can guide the process in the larger arena as they become critical in the next few months, okay?

Again, this is a suggestion. If you have better suggestions, let's hear them. This is a listening and discussion morning more than anything else.

Sally, do you want to see a few words on 1net? Or if Adiel is here he can join us as well. Pardon me. Theresa, if you could please.

Theresa or Adiel, if you're here, just a couple of words on 1net. He's sipping his coffee and he's not awake, he's saying. So Theresa, you start. Come on, Adiel. He's coming. Go ahead, Theresa.

THERESA SWINEHART: And you're presuming that I'm awake. Is that -- yes. Okay.

Adiel is going to come and join, so --

Okay. I've actually never seen this kind of turnout at 7:00 in the morning so it's either the food or the topic but let's hope it's the topic overall.

So you've been hearing about the 1net, and I think that there's been a lot of questions about what is this actually about. It's actually about a movement, and it's about a movement to create the same goals around catalyzing the evolution of the multistakeholder model, and I think everybody who's interested and agrees with the importance of the multistakeholder model becomes part of this movement and becomes part of supporting that and making it clear that this is a very, very, very important thing to be moving towards and energizing and creating further dialogues and awareness about the importance of that.

Now, within a movement, you can have dialogues around specific topic areas, of course, so I think you need to see those as two sort of separate entities within the concept of the 1net.

For the purposes of also being able to have the dialogue and to enable people to sign up for a movement and participate in that, there's a Web site and that will then have background documents and materials and the ability to sign up for it, and that should be

prepared and ready in better form hopefully in a few days or in a week or so.

But that is the entire purpose around it.

I think part of the trigger factor for this, and awareness factor, was the Montevideo statement and getting people to see the Montevideo statement, support it, build around it, engage in it, and then also engage in discussions around that and contribute those discussions and those dialogues into the multiple forums that are having discussions around Internet governance overall in the multistakeholder model and process.

So that's the concept, teeing up.

Adiel, do you want to add a little bit more?

ADIEL AKPLOGAN:

Yeah. I just probably want to add that the shape of the movement started in Bali as well, because after the Montevideo statement, in Bali we have had some extended consultation with the wider community present there to, one, explain where the Montevideo statement comes from and what we had in mind.

So there is a -- although there is some very specific thing to carry there, it's a movement that started with the ISTAR, but since Bali it's become a global movement, multistakeholder movement, to

support the idea of advancing the multistakeholder model for the Internet governance.

So we have created a mailing list for -- to start the discussion, to kick off the discussion around the movement, and try to see how we structure it, how we work on its work.

So this is the opportunity for the ICANN community as well to give us feedback on the 1net and how we go forward.

But one thing I just want to say, it is a very open movement. Anyone can join. From that wide movement, we will then start to have probably a steering committee or something to guide the discussion, so -- yeah.

THERESA SWINEHART:

And I think just one addition to that is: This does not replace other dialogues and -- this does not replace other dialogues. This does not replace other discussions. It is a movement to support the multistakeholder process. So just to be very clear about that.

SALLY COSTERTON:

Okay. Good morning, everybody. Just to give you an update as much as we can at the moment on the meeting in Brazil.

So the first thing to note -- so let me just explain what this is.

It is a meeting which -- in which 1net will partner with Brazil and hopefully other governments will be part of that process as well.

So although we have taken, in the community, to referring to this as "the Brazil conference," the first thing I should say -- and I'm looking straight at Hartmut here so I'm waiting for him to nod -- is that this is not -- it is a conference that is in Brazil. I want you to leave that thought. It's an important point.

There's been quite a lot of discussion about Brazil, Brazil's view on all sorts of issues.

It is a host opportunity which Brazil has stepped up to host and other governments will be involved over time.

The second important point to make is that it is a multistakeholder -- a multistakeholder event.

Now, we have spent quite a bit of time in this community talking about what is the difference between multistakeholder and multilateral.

One of the problems with this is that in different languages, these things mean slightly different things.

So in English, "multilateral" usually means between governments, and so when we as a community are thinking about a meeting to discuss the future of how the Internet is governed, how these

resources are managed, the minute somebody hears the word "multilateral," they come out in spots because they feel that it is an aggressive statement for governments to try to control the Internet.

So I wanted to take this opportunity, at this very early hour of the morning -- I don't know if this is going to make it easier or harder. I don't know. We'll find out. But it is multistakeholder, and very clearly in the way that we understand "multistakeholder." Multi-equal stakeholder.

The same point is: The process which will create the content that goes into this conference will be bottom-up.

So the event itself is a multistakeholder event looking at the future of a multistakeholder model for Internet governance, and the process by which we put ideas and thoughts and blueprints and tweets and blogs into this process will be done in a bottom-up multistakeholder way.

So let me just -- if we don't think of -- remember anything else about the Brazil event, that's the most important thing at this stage.

Now, you know the date. You have it on the screen here. The 23rd and 24th of April next year. It will be in Sao Paulo.

We will partner. 1net -- this is all of us, all of us who have signed up to 1net -- as Adiel says it's totally open and we hope that this -- that 1net will become a very significant global movement. It is not designed to stay just within our existing community. It's designed to be open to everyone.

That people will participate in a dialogue on line at events looking at what we've done in the past, what we've -- what we think today.

There are people in this room, many people in this room, who have done thousands of hours of work over the last 10 years to look at what kind of alternatives there might be. I'm looking here at Bertrand because he's catching the right-hand side of my eye. He's one of them. But there are many others who are world-leading thinkers on what the options are. And this is not easy.

So you want me to stop?

FADI CHEHADE: Yep.

SALLY COSTERTON: Okay. Well, we'll take questions in terms of the process because I think there will be some.

FADI CHEHADE:

So we can -- it's better we give it back to you so we hear you and we have a question from Maria. Okay.

By the way, just back to the last slide -- yes -- just to remind everybody, the panel, we're not going to talk about the panel. The information is out on the panel. We will be adding probably two more people to the panel. We've been waiting for someone from Nigeria to confirm and it seems like she will be coming on. And also the ccTLD community noted that they do not have someone on the panel, so we are thinking about adding someone from the ccTLD community to the panel.

So it will be 20 people on that last panel.

Sorry? As the civil society, that's right. And we discussed one civil -- one more -- because we already have Frank La Rue from Guatemala but we think one more from our community to be on that. We thought ccTLD and the Nigerian minister that we've been waiting for.

So it will be around that, and the panel will meet in London on the 13th of December. That will be their first meeting.

Okay. Enough from us. Let's listen to each other. We'll start with Maria Farrell.

MARIA FARRELL:

Thank you, Fadi. This is Maria Farrell from the NCSG. Theresa and Adiel, I know you both have been working extremely hard on the 1net side, but I need to tell you I signed up a few days ago, just got an e-mail back, and, you know, we don't have a notification that there's a mailing list, and we need that site to do an awful lot more than it's doing right now. I know you're working really hard but can we have like a really active functional site that includes the ability, you know, to write and share documents, do all of the, you know, engaging and interacting that we're going to need to do, the practical tools that we'll need to pull this community together, because there's a lot of pent-up energy in this room and a lot of good will, so let's have the tools to channel that and use it effectively. Thanks.

[Applause]

ADIEL AKPLOGAN:

Thank you very much. And we have -- we have had a lot of feedback on that, and I think there is a -- there has been some confusion on the Web site as it was published and what it meant, so we are working on that. Probably by the end of the day there will be a revamp of that Web site, with a very single page with a link to how people can join the mailing list. Very simple, until we develop the Web site to do all the functionality, including ability to upload documents, ability to discuss, beside the mailing list.

So we -- we are aware of that. We are working on it. There has been confusion because people went to the Web site, subscribed to the Web site, and expected to be automatically on the mailing list but it was two separate things. The Web site was support to the movement and the mailing list was the discussion platform. So we are trying to link the two and I hope by the end of this day we will be able to have something which will also solve all the other technical aspects of the Web site as well. Thanks.

>>

Buenos días, señoras y señores. Bonjour, Mesdames and messieurs, good morning, ladies and gentlemen.

First off, distinguished dear friend, Fadi, don't worry about being too fast. If you want to participate in a weightlifting sport, which is one of the most heaviest ones, you need a term of pretopology. You have to pick it up yourself in order to be able to tackle such a very big problem.

So don't slow down but be prudent. Be prudent and careful not to have any accident. This is number one.

Number two, people are asking me informally that why at this stage such a very important initiative? Why at it particular time?

My reply was that it doesn't matter. At any time. Now the engine starts to work. Let's go. Don't talk why at this time because that does not bring us anywhere. It is better we have forward-looking and not backward looking.

I was involved in this issue from 1998 when the minister of Tunisia submit the resolutions to the plenipotentiary conference of the ITU in Minneapolis like with respect to the WSIS in which there is Internet governance. I have been following this issue from here and there, and I think that what we need to do, we need to maintain the principle base on which the system has worked up to now and just try to improve and remove difficulties, problems, deficiencies, shortcomings and so on, but not turn it upside down and start from zero.

This would be very dangerous, in particular for Internet which is now at our daily life. From the very early morning up to late night, it is our life. It is associated with us. It is a part of our body. It is like blood. We cannot live without. So we have to maintain that.

Now, coming to Brazil and so on and so forth, I think it is a very difficult task, Fadi, that you have and we have. Not only you. You are part of this. We all -- all stakeholders -- I'm not talking about a particular entity. Stakeholder and multistakeholder is very important element we need to maintain.

All multistakeholders are involved and they have to be very, very careful about the situations.

In Brazil, what we do with all preparations is just the multistakeholder agree on something to start. In two days, we can't do anything. It is a long wait.

You told me in one of your communications to me -- very kind that you wrote to me -- you said that we have a long way to go. Yes, I agree with you. We have a long way to go. But we have to start.

We have to start in Brazil. But it is not the end of that, it takes time. I think it is the long-term. I don't know how long it would be, two years, three years. But it is not so easy. There are many, many things to be done. We have to establish the agenda. We have to establish what we do, who will be involved, what is the participation procedure, rules, activities, what is the outcome, who will be involved to implement that. Many, many things to be done. Important is that we have good preparation.

Thank you very much. You have started to establish five panels. So far, so good.

I think other people may also assist and help, and I think that you need to go ahead with that. You need to be open.

Fadi, we are very happy that we have you on board. You are young, dynamic, enthusiastic, full of energy. And I think we appreciate all you have done. Don't worry, we are behind you and we protect you and we support you.

The only thing, please consult the people. Please consult everybody. Don't do anything with particular goodwill people. All people are important. All people have views. And all their views will be taken into account. I don't want to take the time of the people but just a word that these are introductory remarks. Thank you very much. Muchas gracias.

[Applause]

ANDREW:

Okay. I'm not sure where to go after that. Okay. Look, I'm not going to talk about weight lifting. I'm going to talk about soccer.

What we have right now, what feels -- we have right now what feels like to me a kick-around. We have a ball. We have players. It is a little unclear where the goals are. It is a little unclear which team we're on, who's on the team, what the rules are going to be as we go forward.

The relationship between 1net and ICANN is not clear. The relationship between members of the stakeholder groups and the

Brazil conference and 1net are not clear. Speaking now in my personal capacity and not as a member of the BC, we need clarity. And there's a lot of discussion in very, very broad terms of about bringing people on and about making sure that everybody is a participant. We've all invested a ton of time in this model, and I'll support the model. But if we don't have clear goals, we don't have anything to shoot at, we are not going to have much of a game.

There are people all around the world, including outside of the ICANN community especially, who are keeping score. And if we're not aware of that and we act as if this is just a kick-around, I think we're really in big trouble.

I would like some real clarity what's going to happen in the Brazil conference. Who is going to be able to participate? When are we going to know? Most people don't have the resource to just drop everything once they figure it out and say, "Okay, terrific, I'm going to hop on a plane and head on down there." We really risk, I think, the credibility of our community internally and externally if we don't get our act together before then if we don't more clearly explain how 1net relates to ICANN and how all of us are supposed to relate to both of those in their newest forms. We support you, but let's get some precision.

[Applause]

FADI CHEHADE:

Thank you, Andrew. I think it's important I answer a couple of things you asked, which are very reasonable to ask. ICANN is a member of an ecosystem. There are other organizations that exist in the ecosystem. There are technical organizations. There are civil society organizations. There are business organizations. There are companies and individuals who don't belong to any organizations. So 1net is simply a place where we will start to all express together our ideas based on our commitment to a multistakeholder model of Internet governance where we remain -- we maintain what we have built to date and evolve it to the future. Period.

So you come to 1net and you express, for example, we need to form a group to define what is multistakeholderism. Another group may say, "We want to contribute principles." Let's come up with a list to discuss that. We don't have a place today to do this together. We have a place to do it at ICANN. There is a place to do it at ISOC. There is maybe a place maybe to do it in ICC-BASIS for some business organizations, and these channels shall continue.

But now we also have a commonplace for those who don't belong to all of these. There are many civil society groups that don't belong to any of these. Let me finish on all of them.

The Brazil conference is not organized by ICANN. So I cannot answer your questions. The Brazil conference is organized by an initiative from the Government of Brazil that is now being expanded to include other groups, including all the people that can show up at 1net because they didn't know how to go to 40, 50 different organizations. So they said, Great, you guys have this 1net born in Bali. So we will work through that to figure out who to talk to.

It doesn't mean if some other group wants to call them directly, they will say "No, you are not part of 1net, we won't talk to you." It is just a mechanism of organization for them to reach all the communities around the globe and involve them in their activity.

So that we're clear, they have a plan to announce in the next week, maximum two weeks, all the details you asked. But they don't want to do it until we connect with them, until we come to them. So they are calling for 1net to actually give them some mechanism to talk to the community. And as soon as they can, they will answer the questions you ask and they wish to really get the details out in no later than two weeks from today.

The good news is their government has also now organized, and they picked Virgilio Almeida as their representative. So at least they have -- on their side, it was easier. They got all the ministries

together, and last week the President picked Virgilio and said, You are now responsible for our government to speak for this.

Now we need to do the same on our side. They are saying okay, Who do we speak to, to get civil society, business, government -- pardon me, technical organizations, et cetera, NGOs all organized? They need a mechanism to do that on a global basis.

So this is to clarify Brazil. And the panel is obviously, it's our panel, right? I hope that helps a little bit.

ANDREW:

Let me just briefly suggest that a lot of people in this room think that they are already part of this process. We're already having conversations about what it means to have a multistakeholder.

And as you are talking about internationalizing ICANN, it's a little unclear to me why setting up a separate organization that is new is even necessary given that this is an open forum that people can participate in.

FADI CHEHADE:

Andrew, you are missing the point. All the people in this room is not the world. The world is much larger than us. We're just ICANN, and even not all of ICANN is here but a good chunk of ICANN is here.

There is a whole world outside of ICANN, and they need to be involved, too. We want to bring them in, into this conversation. That's all it is. So we are part of 1net, but 1net should be more people, including governments who want to express their views. So that's all it is.

Let's go to 1net as a community and express ourselves. It doesn't lessen us. It doesn't make us less. It makes us more by participating in the bigger discussion. That's all it is.

NANCY LUPIANO:

Ladies and gentlemen, would you please use your names as you state your question. Please state your name. Thank you.

KLAUS STOLL:

Klaus Stoll, NPOC. And even on the danger that I'm repeating what Andrew said before, Fadi, we are behind you 110%. No problem. We don't need to talk about it.

I think I'm one of the guys who puts a lot of hours into the whole thing. And one thing I learned from ICANN is that a multistakeholder process needs a structure, needs a way how it's done.

And the problem I think we all have at the moment is we are not clear how it will go. What is step one, step two, step three? Fadi is running, and we are learning to walk.

So, Fadi, very simply, we need some rules of engagement. We need some structures, especially with 1net. We need to know how it will be going. What are the deadlines?

And the problem is quite simply you are dealing all the time with rumors. Why are rumors there? Quite simply because nobody knows actually what the structure is. If we can get some clear rules of engagement, some clear stuff up, I think a hell of a lot will become. Thank you.

CARLOS AFONSO:

Thank you, Fadi. I'm Carlos Afonso, board member of CGI dot br.

I'm not really qualified to answer all your questions about Brazil or the Brazilian conference because we are also in Brazil having exactly the same discussions we are having here regarding forms of participation, the way the conference will be, even funding for the conference which is a big major challenge.

And I would like, first of all to say, that Sao Paulo is not in Italy. Sao Paulo is in Brazil. So it is Paulo with a u, not an o, although we have a big Italian community there.

The government works very closely with this multistakeholder organization called CGI dot br of which you know the history. CGI dot br was founded in 1995. There is a majority of non-government people that were elected by different groups of interest and so on.

And CGI dot br has written a set of principles for use of the Internet in Brazil which have been adopted by a proposal to the Congress of a -- -- -- framework of civil rights. And the president in the speech at the United Nations has adopted those principles written by CGI dot br as the basis for suggesting a basic theme for this conference or meeting or -- in Brazil which is to try to create or propose a set -- common set of international principles for the use of the Internet based on rights, rights of the citizenship.

So that's the whole idea we have. The participation was fully open. The government of Brazil, like any government, is not as quick as the ISTAR community or ICANN. We are -- we depend on a lot of bureaucratic procedures. We don't respond immediately. And I am not the government. I am just a civil society person.

And I know that even a multistakeholder organization like CGI dot br cannot respond as quick as we would like to. We will have our first meeting including the government on November 25 after -- the first meeting after the President's speech at United Nations. And we'll have the opportunity to sort of make things more

concrete in terms of a lot of the questions that you are asking.
Thank you.

[Applause]

MATHIEU WEILL:

Good morning, everybody. My name is Matheiu Weill. I am the CEO of AFNIC, the ccTLD manager for dot fr, France. I want to offer my feedback about what I hear and what I see about this Internet governance discussion in the ICANN field since I arrived a few days before.

I think this is the beginning of a marathon. I had to do a sports analogy here. And it's a long effort that's ahead of us. And it is a change, a big change. So I look at it through the lens of change management. And I know, Fadi, you are very familiar with this.

First step is establish urgency. The Montevideo statement actually framed the issue quite well in terms of there is an urgency. We need to act.

Secondly, you need to establish a team. And that is where I think we have improvement ahead of us. Establishing a team for leading change is not about engaging all stakeholders. It's also about selecting a team, a team of diverse people.

I had this offensive comment yesterday on the ccNSO panel that was addressing this issue. The panel was made of Internet governance veterans. Some heard "dinosaurs" but I met veterans because I have a lot of respect for what's being achieved. And we need to bring diversity, people from inside ICANN, outside ICANN, young people, more experienced people, et cetera.

And they need to be put in a situation that they go to the third step that's clearly missing right now which is starting to draw a vision of where we want to go.

And this cannot be done on the mailing list or in a conference with a thousand people. At some point, someone has to put a vision forward and start engaging the discussion around this. And I'm very concerned to hear us focus on process. And it's way too early to discuss process, to discuss whether -- whoever is going to be in the Brazil conference, et cetera. So I think this is a real concern I have.

I look at IETF Vancouver meeting a couple of weeks ago. They're going through exactly the same issue. They have an urgency. It's not really about Internet governance at all. It is more focused, but they have an urgency.

I think they did a pretty good job at drafting a vision. They're very far from achieving this vision. It's going to be very difficult. But

what came out of their meeting in Vancouver was very clear, even in the media, about where they wanted to go. And this is what we're currently -- the difficulty we're facing that I'm not hearing a consistent message about where as a community we want this thing to go. I hear how multistakeholder process, okay. I think we agree on that.

But where we're a little bit far behind -- and we don't have much time for that before the whole process begins to tumble. So I would really recommend that we focus our discussions about where we want things to go, instead of how.

Thank you.

[Applause]

MARILYN CADE:

My name is Marilyn Cade. I'm not sure I'm going to get applause since I am going to maybe take us in a different direction. I see three items on the agenda before us for today's discussion: 1net, the Brazil conference, and the panel on Internet governance.

We're talking about the Brazil conference. And when I look at my scary calendar of events, it's coming up really shortly. In the business constituency yesterday, we developed a series of, I think,

critical questions. I'm going to start with a couple that I think we need to get down to practicalities.

Every year the multistakeholder advisory committee of the IGF and a very community broad work for a year to develop a multistakeholder event. It is open and inclusive in the planning.

We're going very, very fast to a conference that I need to know the following: What is the role of the rest of us in setting the agenda? How many seats will there be that actually allow participation of stakeholders to help to define the agenda?

Everyone in this room knows that the agenda, the program, and the speakers heavily influence what goes on in a meeting and heavily influence the take-aways from a meeting.

It is almost impossible for me to envision in this budget environment how governments, civil society, and even businesses can afford to travel and attend. Are there going to be documents that are decisional, that the assumption is if you're in the room, you have accepted them? Where do the documents go after that? What is the scope of the discussion? Is everything on the table? Is everything off the table?

ICANN has, I think -- I said Monday on an opening session of hot topics, I see ICANN as a very strong participant. Others see ICANN as a facilitator.

I think ICANN probably has a variety of roles to play in a variety of places, but I also think that the broader issues of multistakeholder governance do go far beyond ICANN and have very broad implications for the Internet and the world that we all want, and I do think that ICANN can play a role in it, but I'm concerned about the topics for this meeting.

I want to make sure they're topics we can make a difference in and not topics that divide us.

So this community is about ICANN. The broader community that's coming together under 1net is much broader. I think that's great. But I hear perhaps that's going to shift. I hear us avoiding talking about what guidance we as a community are going to give ICANN on what ICANN should do at this conference, because after all, we're not going to be able easily to walk away.

ROBERTO GAETANO:

Roberto Gaetano talking in my individual capacity. I have two and a half points. I'll keep it short. Contrary to my habit, I'll keep it short.

The first point is about the multistakeholder model, the equal multistakeholder model. I think that this is the foundation of ICANN. This is the reason why ICANN was built, and I think that

the consensus of the community is what gives the legitimacy and the power to ICANN.

If you -- Fadi, if you go and talk to the president of Brazil or whoever, or the heads of states that you have been talking to lately, you carry the weight and the support of the whole Internet community behind you, and that is what adds strength to what you say.

It is, therefore, very important that we don't lose this.

So there have been a lot of questions about the recent facts, and I think that nobody is questioning the fact that your decisions have been the right decisions, that you were forced to run because it's not a time to slow down, but I think that we have to be extremely careful in having this dialogue with the community and so that you continue carrying this support.

The -- if I were an enemy of ICANN, the first thing that I would do is to try to break the consensus of the community because without this, if the community doesn't -- is one thing with the leaders, then the ICANN model is dead and loses its strength. That's the first point.

The second point is that as the Garfield on my T-shirt points out, I hate mornings. I'm glad to be here at 7:00. I don't mind. But I would rather having had this meeting two or three days ago,

planned as a part of the regular agenda. Why? Because this would have shown proactiveness in anticipating what the possible objections and questions and comments of the community would have been instead of leaving it a little bit the impression of being sort of overtaken by events in this internal management.

So to be proactive, I would encourage you to build in already now, for the Singapore meeting, a meeting like this immediately after the opening conference, or even better, the day before, because a lot of the community is here from before, so that we are all briefed on the things that you would have -- the things that have happened between now and Singapore, because I know that in spite of the fact that your staff is advising you to slow down, you will not slow down, and I think that if you don't slow down, we need to run faster and we need to be close to you in this -- and be one with the management of ICANN.

The last half point, although it is a minor thing, multiculturalism, if you say so in English, is one of the aspects of the multistakeholder -- of the equal multistakeholder model.

We have translation in seven languages. I would encourage people whose native language is one of those that are supported by translation to use this facility and to show also in practice that our community is multicultural and that that's another step forward towards the equal multistakeholder model. Thank you.

PATRIK FALTSTROM: Thank you. Thank you for that comment, Roberto. The reason why this takes time and why we need to slow down but still doing things as fast as possible is that you cannot design bottom-up processes with a top-down process. We need to design bottom-up processes with a bottom-up process. What works in Brazil does not work in Sweden. What works in Sweden does not fit in Denmark. Thank you.

PAUL WILSON: I don't want to jump the queue. Paul Wilson here from APNIC. I don't want to jump the queue but -- and I think Roberto covered what I'd like to say which is that I'm in -- I'm just not sure what part of multistakeholder we're not understanding here. I'm not sure what part of what Fadi has already said we're not understanding if we keep asking questions.

I mean, let's ask questions, but let's provide answers.

Let's not ask for clarity. Let's give clarity. Let's not ask what the issues and goals are. Let's propose the issues and goals.

I think this idea that something needs to be done is -- we have consensus, I think, and so let's -- let's move on to not -- not keep expecting to be fed, you know. That's the multistakeholder thing. Thanks.

JANICE LANGE:

Fadi? Fadi, if I could, this is Janice Lange, for the record.

I'm watching on the chatroom remotely, and just to summarize in general, we have Tyler Compton and Jorge Amodio as part of the chatroom, and one is questioning: Really what is 1net? Still not quite grasping. Is it a movement? Is it a mailing list? What is it?

And secondly from Tyler: Is Brazil a one-time event or is this something that will continue? Thank you.

ADIEL AKPLOGAN:

Okay. Thank you. Maybe I will just answer the first part of the question.

The 1net is a movement. There is no -- I think that has to be clear. It's a movement which is open to anyone that is supporting the goal of working to enhance the multistakeholder model to address some of the issues.

Let's not forget that this whole thing comes after the Montevideo statement where we had a very clear point there saying that we want a grass-roots movement to catalyze the evolution of the multistakeholder model. So it is a movement which is open to anyone who wants to join, who wants to support, who wants to work toward that evolution.

Now, the movement has a dialogue which is now the mailing list which is a temporary mailing list that we are hosting on the NRO platform to start the discussion going, and when the Web site will be ready it will have several functionalities that can allow people to upload documents, to discuss using all the forums besides the mailing list. So as I mentioned before, there was some confusion between the forum on the Web site and the mailing list and we are trying to fix that today, and as long as that will be fixed, I think it will give more clarity. You can join the movement and not participate to the discussion if you don't want, so that's what I can say.

THERESA SWINEHART:

I think just quickly to answer the second question -- Fadi had asked me to do it -- the Brazil meeting is one meeting. Obviously there are multiple meetings where there's dialogues around multistakeholder and the importance of it, and also how does one make it work very well. So the Brazil meeting is a meeting. It's an important, important opportunity to have a dialogue about an important area but is certainly not the only meeting that is in existence, and as we know, there's many meetings that are happening throughout time and on everybody's schedule.

So just to answer that question as well.

ZAHID JAMIL:

Thank you, Fadi. Zahid Jamil from Pakistan and member of the BC.

Heavy lifting for me or weightlifting is difficult. I prefer judo and Tai Chi, but I take the point. It's probably going to be easier for us to run after you if we're doing that. I wanted to say thank you, first of all, for listening to the community over the last couple of days. And I understand that this was put into the agenda as a result of your personal intervention, so I must appreciate that and also request that this continues.

Leading to Paul's point, I want to be a little more constructive, and so there are two comments and there are a couple of requests.

The first is, you mentioned yesterday in the -- with the board meeting, you said that the board is deciding the invitation- -- the - - sorry, that Brazil is deciding on invitations and is working with the community and then we will know something by next week or the week after that.

So the question obviously is: Who are they talking to right now? Probably what you meant is that they will be talking to 1net as we go along. So a little clarity on that would be helpful.

I also saw the press release that came out from the Ministry of Communications of Brazil, which is encouraging. It says, "Nongovernmental nature will be the nature of this meeting and

will require a very wide call," and it said that "Governments are invited to participate, but it will be mostly be a multi-sectoral meeting also involving representatives of civil society and private sector." That's very encouraging language coming from the minister.

My caution, however --

And, so first of all, I must say that's an opportunity. We should grab it and it's great that the ICANN community is being energizing in the Internet governance sphere, and I think that should continue, not just for this one meeting but you should have a process by which the community can feed in and then work with you to do that. But while there's an opportunity, I would also advise us caution. I'm a lawyer, so need to be cautious. The Marco Civil process within Brazil in the last few months has not been multistakeholder. Most people there will tell you that the legislation has been sort of sequestered for a moment and there are many questions that are being asked. I'm sure they'll figure it out. But the -- the draft isn't out even.

So the -- so the question that some people may ask, necessarily, is: Should we then see a further ratcheting up of the safeguards that ICANN and 1net want to see happen or put into place so that we do have that multistakeholder process come into place? And

maybe 1net alone cannot do it but you and others will have to make that happen.

The other thing I think you mentioned was, you know, everybody's asking "How will it go?" This was a question earlier this morning.

And you said, "Well, I can't tell you. Got to wait a couple of weeks."

So we have a classic sequencing problem, and so my request, as a result of that, is the following: It is important that we have, between now -- and I made this request yesterday -- between now and before even the Singapore meeting, to have meetings that ICANN facilitates so that the community can get together and is able to feed in so we have our own agenda. And there's a reason why I say that.

On the other hand, we want to be safeguarding our interests, but at the same time we want to have three or four things I want to quickly mention in words.

One, we need to figure out what scoping we desire for this meeting, figure out what agenda we desire for this meeting, and that agenda should be constructive so we can show that there are certain results that can come out that we are comfortable with.

So we have to have our plan there. And that can only happen in a face-to-face meeting.

And we must -- must -- have a contingency in case something happens. Are you authorized to sign off something or maybe bring it back to the community, of course.

So having that contingency piece is important.

And the last point -- and I think I made it before also -- is this needs to be resourced. It's such a short time scale in which we're being asked to respond, getting everybody to have budgets, getting them to have the resources will be difficult, so maybe on this I'd request you to -- to -- you know, ICANN to do something about it. Thank you.

[Applause]

BILL DRAKE:

Hi. I'm Bill Drake from the University of Zurich and NCUC.

We've got sort of two clouds: What are we going to be talking about, the substantive focus; and the process.

And the uncertainties about both are feeding each other in a way that's really unhealthy, and with the time frames and the lags, I think this is just going to get worse and worse. We've got people who are not sure how enthusiastically they want to engage the

process because they don't know what it's fundamentally going to be about and what it might do, and then the fact of the matter is, we want the idea of what it's going to do to emerge from the people interacting.

So this is a bit of a conundrum.

It seems to me that it might be useful if you could do something -- I understand the Brazilians are meeting early next week to talk about some of the stuff that has already been circulated about the structure with the four committees and all that good thing, but we need to do more quickly, I think, to start to bring expectations into some kind of boundaries.

It might be useful to have some kind of an options paper -- excuse me, I lost my voice -- an options paper of a few pages, at least, that kind of bounded the range of things that this meeting might be about and try to achieve.

When you've talked to people about what this is, repeatedly, you've said, "Well, principles, institutions, decision makings," and that's kind of abstract, and people kind of go, "Well, what exactly does that constitute?" And then when you talk to the Brazilians, you hear slightly different versions about each of those points.

So I would say you need to find some way fairly quickly, even if it's only like a three-, four-page concept page or options paper, to at

least put in front of people "This is what it could focus on," so that they have some sense of whether this is a process they're enthused about, how they want to engage, how they want to interface.

Right now we've got like a Rorschach test. Everybody is projecting their hopes and fears and fantasies because it's all abstract. So anything you could do fairly quickly to start to move this into a little bit more -- it doesn't have to close off possibilities. It should be open. But it could at least lay out a few options that people might consider for where this all goes. I think that would be very useful.

By the way, Zahid mentioned meetings. NCUC is supposed to have a policy conference in Singapore the day before the ICANN meeting. We could open that up and make it more generalized and have it focused on this, if you want. It's -- that would be one way -- that would be another option for people to be able to engage on this.

[Applause]

ALEJANDRO PISANTY:

Good morning. My name is Alejandro Pisanty. I am a former board member, a professor at the National University of Mexico and chair of the Internet Society chapter in Mexico.

I will speak of two things: Design constraints and some practicalities at the end.

I think that design constraints of the whole process -- I'm addressing one at the Brazil conference on the panel on Internet governance with this. One important thing to keep in mind, a result that you need to have is that you don't get -- you don't finish having one single of the following.

You need to make sure -- we need to make sure as a community -- and I'm not disputing speed or process or anything. Let's go.

Make sure that we don't end up with one single country with a role, the with one single point of failure for the whole process.

The Internet community and the Internet governance community is very broad, as you have mentioned, Fadi. There's lots of issues being dealt with elsewhere, and they're working.

So make sure that we don't create a point of failure for people who are actually being successful or are on the road to success.

Not one single definition of Internet governance. Even that aspiration is self-defeating. Not a single set of principles. You can ask Wolfgang, for example, the time -- the hard time he's having compiling the two dozen officially announced sets of Internet governance principles that are around only in the IGF and they are

so diverse like privileging freedom of speech or privileging growth of the community and development of the country, to make sure that we stay away from a single set of definitions.

Single set of principles. Single list of issues. And that includes the effect on people's lives of Internet governance in many ways and let's keep that diversity as well.

I dispute the use of term of "governance gap" and even of "orphan issues." There may be orphan issues. They are few and far between. If there's an issue identified, there's already someone trying to do something about it. At least it already is known to be there.

Don't allow to get the creation of any single point of extortion upon the community or on the community leadership. If you depend on someone to make a phone call and it's not being made, you are already subject to extortion and the extortion is not on you but on the whole community that backs you.

And, therefore, also be sure to not create a single target for extortion or any other attack. Do not convert the nimble, maybe old, maybe not very efficient -- the nimble torpedo boats and small frigates of the Internet governance community into a single aircraft carrier that just sits there with a target painted already upon it. Don't melt the steel that way.

Coordinate like fish. Look like clockwork, like trout on a stream.

One very important thing, at the end of this always avoid the possibility of becoming "the Internet," which governments want to talk to. When someone in government or some big enterprise says, "I want to talk to someone to fix this thing on the Internet," we should make sure that there are many of those left.

Going to a very practical point directed to Adiel and Theresa and of course to you, Fadi, if 1net is going to be a movement and it's going to work by campaigns, do take in the experience of many of us who have led viral campaigns and have been moderately successful in some of them. You need one rallying cry at a time. You need mostly one -- not a total of excessive focus on one issue but basically one issue at a time. They have their times to mature, to peak, and to die out. tetanization And you can't -- there's what biologists call tetanization of the muscle. You can't keep acting on that muscle until it has some rest. And you have to use things like the 1net not only to live and speak, but my prescription is usually 5 percent to speak, 95% to listen. Thank you.

[Applause]

KEN STUBBS:

Good morning. My name is Ken Stubbs. First of all, I would like to thank the Brazilian community for taking up the banner here. I

mean, this is a tremendous effort by a bunch of very dedicated people who really believe in this.

Secondly, I would like to say that I think it is extremely important to develop a way of informing people of the impact of what the potential is for this meeting.

One of your directors, Erika Mann, made some very strong suggestions which I fully support yesterday. People need to understand that we need to be poised to facilitate growth and change in many sectors in the future, not just business: Education, cultural awareness issues, and so forth.

And in order for us to develop a hook -- I'm going to call it a "hook" -- we need to create an environment that shows how impactful an issue like this and a conference like this could be so that organizations, NGOs, countries and so forth will want to participate because they have something in front of them, a goal.

So I think it's extremely important that we try to develop something at this conference and it could give us -- I won't call it a benchmark, but a platform for moving forward. And we need to be able to show that the results of this conference will benefit many communities. This isn't just a facilitate business, you know.

Just look at the changes over the last 25 years. We're positioning ourselves for the next 25 years. Thank you.

OLAF KOLKMAN:

Olaf Kolkman, netizen as I call it, private individual. I have a very simple question. I'm not going to introduce it.

How does a movement coordinate? Let that sink in for a moment. How does a movement coordinate? I've heard in the introduction that 1net is going to coordinate with the organizers of the Brazil conference. Coordination needs process. Coordination needs process to be legitimate. Movements are visions and ideas. They're not institutions.

I think for me there is a big tension between having a movement and having a coordination body. And I think we need to be fair about what 1net is because if it needs to coordinate, we need to build collectively a process. And in a bottom-up system that's currently a mailing list, that's going to be very hard in a timely scale for Brazil.

How does a movement coordinate?

[Applause]

ADIEL AKPLOGAN:

Okay. Let me just provide some simple response. As you mentioned, the movement is a vision and people will join the movement towards the goal.

The coordination is shaping slowly. There has been a call on the mailing list for those who are on the mailing list for people to provide or to select representatives so we can have a steering committee that can at least coordinate the movement.

Now, for sure, we have a very short period of time to have the perfect process in place.

What we will do is to make the movement -- again, I want to separate the support to the movement and the idea of the movement which can be a statistic -- a static thing from the dialogue and the discussion that can happen on separate topics and you have a different platform to do that. So that discussion and the dialogue part will be coordinated by probably volunteers, by the steering committee, or coordination group that will be put in place toward Brazil.

But I also think that Brazil should not be seen as the end point of the movement. Brazil is one stop. The movement is a process that will continue. There will be some specific organization mechanism for the Brazil meeting by itself. As I said, the Brazil meeting will live by itself through its own organization thing. That has nothing to do with 1net, in fact, because it has to be -- live by itself.

What 1net should do is to be able through its dialogue to provide input to the Brazil meeting. And that will happen through the different parts we put in place.

OLAF KOLKMAN:

Adiel, this is intended to be a very constructive comment. I believe that constructing a movement and having a long-lived movement is a very good thing. But you started your answer with a lot of "we's," "we will," "we will," "we will." My question is: Who is "we"? And the answer is about "we will select," "we will coordinate." Who is "we"? What is the process to determine who "we" is? In a movement, that is very difficult.

And I'm calling this out because it provides the legitimacy. And I think that's important that we call that out.

ADIEL AKPLOGAN:

Okay. As you know, we will not select. We have asked a group to select their representatives. But the "we" here is because I believe in 1net. I suppose I'm part of the movement. So the movement is something live which has been initiated by, you know, the selector of Montevideo. But it is more bigger than that.

So anyone in there that wants to contribute should be able to -- and I would like to talk more with you after this session on what you have.

CARLOS AFONSO:

Hi. Very quickly, just to try to respond to some of the concerns that were presented and are very legitimate concerns. The Brazilian government or the group that is trying to organize the meeting is not, of course, able to reach everyone on a proactive basis. But they are very open, very open to receive all the suggestions, all the proposals.

APC, the Association for Progressive Communications, has already wrote a letter. And this is already in the hands of all the ministers involved, CGI .br, et cetera, et cetera.

What I want to stress is that any organization, any individual that wants to spread opinions or proposals, et cetera, has any difficulty in reaching this group that is trying to organize the meeting in Brazil, CGI dot br is open to facilitate that. Please contact us to reach -- to make sure that your opinions are heard. We can help in that and you know who we are. We are very easy to find, okay?

JONATHAN ROBINSON: Thank you, Fadi. This is Jonathan Robinson. I suppose I have heard this thing about 1net being a canvas or a movement. Bill even went so far to talk about it as a Rorschach test, and then we talked about the circularity of substance and process.

For me, this feels amorphous. I mean I'm kind of someone who likes to drive through to something. So I hope I'm not going too far down the track. But it feels very amorphous, very hard to get a grip on. And I think that's what many of us are struggling with.

What resonated more readily with me is when Matheiu talked about change management. He came up with three very simple things: Establishing urgency, assembling a team, which I think is the "we" -- obviously, the method to get the "we" still remains a challenge -- and then creating a vision which gave us some substance.

I guess I will make one very simple proposal about the substance, and that is to make -- make ICANN a hook for a sort of proof of concept.

We can demonstrate success within ICANN. Now, it's not -- it's not the whole agenda. But it's one piece of the substance that says, This is a proof of concept. It's a bottom-up multistakeholder model that's been working for many, many years. And whilst there are some flaws and chinks in the armor, we can certainly

build a case around something that actually works and does in many ways what it is supposed to do. That's my one proposal. In terms of looking for substance and looking for hooks to take to Brazil, we take the ICANN component of all of this and demonstrate success within that one part of it and use that as something we can all get behind. Thank you.

JONATHAN ZUCK:

Jonathan Zuck for the Association for Competitive Technology. I guess with such a long line, there is always a risk of saying the same thing over and over again.

But maybe early in the morning, it is a little bit like the alarm going off after you keep hitting the snooze alarm.

So I guess in a way I wish this was a conference coming up on world hunger or nuclear proliferation or something like that because what I think is missing -- and this is very similar to what Bill said and others said is that what we are missing is a problem statement. You know, we have the whole multistakeholder group, and we have a movement. And I'm not sure what that movement wants to see happen. I think most of the people that are part of the multistakeholder process think that it's working.

So the question we really have to ask ourselves is: What is the problem statement? What is the problem we're trying to solve?

Because that will inform everything else. Is it the incursion of the multistakeholder process into traditional multilateral or multigovernmental roles? Is it the -- is it the role of governments in the multistakeholder process? Because if it's that, why aren't governments a part of 1net then since those are the proponents of multistakeholderism?

So, I mean, it's a little confusing because you don't want to set up an opposition if what we're talking about is multistakeholderism. Right? Let me figure out who the multistakeholder people are, those people are, and let's talk to them and get them to send a representative to a meeting, right. Already sets up something that's anti-multistakeholder.

So I think we have to really take a step back and say what problem is it we're trying to solve or trying to discuss at the conference and I don't think it should be expansive. I really want to build on what Bill Drake said. I mean, I think, in order to have any relevance whatsoever, there should be a single question.

And what I fear the most is that that single question exists in everyone's mind but that it's different and that there's competing agendas and that that's why we all are sitting around in fear of what the outcome might be because we don't know what someone else's agenda really is for the meeting.

And so, I mean, again, in order to have a movement -- are we fighting for multistakeholderism in an environment in which people don't want it? Is there a specific particular area where we're trying to figure out where the boundaries of multilateralism/multistakeholderism should be? I think if we don't boil this down to a question, we won't have anything what we call in the tech industry call fear, uncertainty and doubt.

[Applause]

MILTON MUELLER:

Okay. I'm Milton Mueller, Syracuse University, Internet Governance Project, Noncommercial Users Constituency.

Let me give Jonathan his problem statement. It is about legitimacy. You guys have been running the Internet through technically oriented institutions for a couple of decades and a half, and it has never been fully accepted by the world's governments and the world's states.

This isn't the first time we've tried to do this, that we've had to do this. Do you remember the international forum on the white paper? You think this is ramshackle? That was even more so.

Do you remember the self-mobilization of WSIS civil society which was the reaction to the attempts by governments to sort of steal

the show? Do you remember the formation of something called the Internet Governance Forum? Do you remember that? Another attempt to establish legitimacy for multistakeholder dialogue. So your problem statement is: It hasn't quite been pulled off yet.

And I don't understand the fear that I'm sensing from the business community. I really don't understand it. I'm not afraid. I don't know why you are.

So let's get on track. 1net, 1net is not a movement. Please stop saying that. I'm a social scientist who studies social movements. This is not a movement. 1net is an open mailing list that you guys are running.

[Applause]

I'm on it and it worked for me in one day. I know how to join a mailing list. But, yeah, there were some glitches in its implementation.

But this is not to belittle 1net, okay? What 1net is, is a platform, a platform for coordinating the diverse groups in a single place. And in that respect, it was really needed. It was a tremendous blunder to be untransparent about even the registration of the domain name.

I mean, proxy registration of a domain name by ICANN?

[Applause]

What's up with that? So get transparent about your steering committee, who's on it and most of the problems with 1net will go away. Understand what it is. It is a way for the Best Bits people and the civil society people and ICANN and the business people to get on a single platform.

Interesting question about whether you get rid of it after this Brazil meeting is over or not. We don't have time to go into that.

So, second track, Brazil conference, I feel that the scope has been defined well. I agree with Bill. It is very abstract. But in terms of legitimacy, it's clear that we do need to discuss institutional frameworks and that we do need to have a roadmap for very specific reforms that can be taken place in the next, you know, two to three years, particularly regarding unilateral control by particular governments and the role of governments in general.

Principles I'm a little more worried about. That can be a real sticking point. They can become meaningless. As Wolfgang knows, there is a bunch of them floating around. It might be useful to have a discussion of common principles. But if they just turn into things like everybody should have the Internet, yeah, okay.

[Laughter]

In terms of the size of the meeting, I think that's a very interesting question. We've been discussing that. One idea I came up with is if you really want to come and have a decisional meeting, you need to limit the number. It can't be completely open. So we just talked about a two-tiered system in which you have a Congress-size set of delegates who fully participate and open observation and some kinds of interactions between those two tiers at some point. Maybe 50 each of the four groups, 50 civil society, 50 governments, 50 technical community and 50 business representatives. People have talked about the weirdness of trying to get governments to agree on 50 members. And I really enjoy that weirdness. I'd like to see them deal with that.

[Laughter]

Let's remember, though, this conference is important. It is not decisional in the sense it has coercive authority over everybody. Maybe it will fail, and everybody will ignore it.

Finally, the panel, I'm not enthusiastic about the panel. It seems to be a contradiction. On the one hand, you are asking the community to come together and define these principles. On the other hand, you are setting up a hand-picked elite group that's going to give us an agenda, you know, right from the beginning. I

think there's a bit of a tension between those two goals. I hope that you handle the panel in a way that doesn't seem preemptive. Thank you.

[Applause]

FADI CHEHADE:

Thank you, Milton. Remarkable input and, frankly, we'll take it to heart. Very, very helpful. In fact, I'm going to be calling you right after this to recruit you to see if you could join us in the working groups. I think you could be bringing a lot of value.

We are running out of time. There is a meeting that starts in this room in three minutes. And there are many remote participants ready to join it.

So what I suggest is if each speaker please can keep their comments to a minute. I'm sorry about that. We'll continue this dialogue.

And I'd like to close by, again -- because I won't speak again because we are running out of time. I'm going to ask the SO and AC leaders to consider forming cross-community working groups so we can continue this dialogue and keep it transparent and open over the next few weeks.

My suggestion is one per subject because 1net will survive for a long time while the Brazil conference will end at some point.

But I'm open to their leadership. I'll let the SO and AC leaders think about that and get back to us. Please.

PAUL FOODY:

All right. Paul Foody speaking on my own behalf. I hadn't heard anything about 1net until I arrived at this meeting. Based on what I've heard about it so far, it strikes me that 1net is simply what ICANN would be had ICANN opened up some sort of means to email all of your multistakeholders. You know, I'm talking about the Internet users. I'm talking about the domain registrants.

So why is it that ICANN is backing 1net now? Well, I can only think that ICANN is looking for a place to hide from the public reaction when they find out the mess that the new gTLD program is going to make of the Internet.

Let's get back to common sense. Why is it that we're even having any discussion about who should own dot amazon? Why is it we are having any discussion about whether or not dot doctor should be owned by guys who are interested in money?

Let's get back to common sense. Give it -- let the world make the decisions that ICANN has proved unable to make in any common-sense way. Thank you.

BERTRAND DE LA CHAPELLE: Hi, I'm Bertrand de La Chapelle. I'm a future/former board member. And just one thing -- there are many other issues -- I don't think we should have several cross-community groups. I think one is enough because we are multiplying a lot of things. The mailing list is an absolute priority to make sure that it is easy to access.

I understand that it is now fully accessible and open. Can you please, Adiel, give everybody precisely now where they can go and register. And, finally, in terms of the message, I think there is one simple, very unique message which is the issues that relate to Internet governments must be addressed in the multistakeholder manner. The issues that deal with the governance of the Internet are dealt with in existing institutions. Thank you very much.

The issues dealing with the governance on the Internet are not dealt with in this manner. This is the one single message that we are uniquely placed to put forward because we do it here.

KHALED FATTAL:

Khaled Fattal, Multilingual Internet Group. Historically I've always been vocal and critical of ICANN failing to listen perpetually. I think for a time I'd like to go on the record to say I'm very pleased that ICANN is starting to listen. I challenged ICANN on the need to make the revelation about the Snowden affair public. And it became center stage at IGF in Bali, and I thought that was excellent. So my current congratulations to you, Fadi.

When it comes to the Brazil summit, I think if there was a doctor here in the audience and there was somebody who's got an illness, the first thing you'd want to ask is what are the symptoms. If I recall correctly, we've had an Internet Governance Forum for eight years dealing with Internet governance issues and promoting multistakeholderism.

What is it that prompted Brazil to say we want to have a new conversation on Internet governance? And if that is not the Snowden revelation, I don't know what is. So let's be clear as to why the agenda has now resurfaced. And knowing this, at least we know what to expect in the pitfalls. And I wish to first concur with the previous speakers, with Milton who made his points eloquently and -- I fully agree with him -- and Jonathan Zuck because at the end of the day, if we don't know what is it we're trying to accomplish and not be afraid of what's going to happen and serve the global public interest in what is -- what the heart of

the issue is. Governance, legitimacy, trust is at the heart of what we aim to deliver. If we can't deliver on this, we failed. Thank you.

THOMAS:

Thank you. My name is Thomas. I work for a small government of a small country in the middle of Europe where we have cheese and snow at the moment. So it's very good to be here actually with the climate.

I just wanted to quickly react to many of those who have said we need clarity, we need control. This is unclear. Of course, this is unclear. This is perfectly right because I think those who say that the ICANN community, as big as it may be, is not the Internet community. It is not the world.

The U.N. Internet Governance Forum community, which is probably as big as the ICANN community, is not the world. So the world is bigger. There's some people who don't know that ICANN exists. There are also some that maybe don't know that the U.N. exists.

But if -- and some people are happy with the U.N. Some are less happy. Some people are happy with their businesses. Some people are happy with the multistakeholder approach, the way it's lived, for instance, by ICANN. Others are less happy.

And if you believe that the multistakeholder process is a bottom-up thing, that is built on freedom of everybody to participate and to express yourselves, then it is a little bit difficult to ask for control because if you believe in freedom, the duty side which you want, you also have to give it to the others. And that means you can't really control what is coming out of any bottom-up multistakeholder process because there are others in there, too. And in the country where I live, we don't even have a president because we don't want any government to control us. We only have a president which we call president because the other countries have a president. And if they want to meet our president, we need to have one. But actually we don't have one. We have seven members of the government and that's it because we don't want anybody to control us. And I think the same is on the Internet. People, maybe they have people they trust, they listen to but they actually want to decide themselves. And I think the multistakeholder model as we understand it should be built on self-decision and on freedom. The question is what are the rules to take these decisions.

And some people prefer the multistakeholder rules of this -- of this institution. Others would like to have the rules that are closer to ITU or to the U.N. This is something that has been debated in the world at least since the World Summit of the U.N. on Information Society in 2003 and '05. This debate is ongoing. And

not everybody not only here but also outside this is equally happy or unhappy. This is why the Brazilians this time took the initiative and say, We are currently unhappy with many things. Some of them we have been unhappy for a long time. Others are newer. So we need to do something. We ask other people, do you want to join us in doing something improving the world" like ICANN is trying to improve the world. The Brazilians have taken an initiative where they are trying to improve the world the way they see it.

And I want to stop by, Fadi, thanking him that he's not trying to control everything but to actually be serious about the multistakeholder model and try to prove that ICANN is a model that listens to people, that doesn't try to control, tries to integrate everybody into a process and move on, stumble forward together as Bill Clinton said. Thank you very much.

[Applause]

OLIVIER CREPIN-LEBLOND: My name is Olivier Crepin-Leblond, the chair of the At-Large Advisory Committee. I understand we are reaching the end of this meeting. I don't like leaving meetings without having action items or having something to take away. I think we've heard a lot of questions, an enormous number of questions here. I would

suggest perhaps we put together a frequently asked questions document that is a living document that will grow, that will not only include answers provided by the people at the moment who have been providing the answers or some of the answers to the questions you have been asking but also providing your answers because, really, that's where we are going to be able to do this. So that's the first thing.

The second thing is once we've -- I can't say we've answered all the questions that will be asked, let's not focus on this. How many of you are actually looking at my finger? How many of you are looking at where we're going? We have to focus now on where we're going, not on the finger.

[Applause]

FADI CHEHADE:

I cannot top this. Thank you. I think that's exactly what I was going to say. We need to move forward. We will move forward together. That's my commitment. I heard you, loud and clear. We will do this together. Let's take in all this input. I will reread the script multiple times with my team in the next couple of days, and we will organize to answer as many questions as we can together. So thank you, again. Sorry that I woke you up early. I hope you have a wonderful day. Thank you.

[Applause]