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So thank you very much. We'll draw a line under that session. Let's stop the recording at that point. Thank you. So we didn't need to stop the recording for this next session. I think we're going to pass over this next session. I'm just going to let Marika make a couple of points on that and then we'll move onto the next session after that.

Marika Konings: Yes, thank you Jonathan. So this is Marika. Yes, basically I think we initially had foreseen that the issue report or the preliminary issue report would've already been published prior to this meeting and hence we had allocated some time to discuss and brief you on the issue reports but staff actually needs a little bit more time to finalize it and we actually anticipated that it will be published for public comment shortly after the ICANN meeting here so my proposal is actually to bring this topic back to the agenda at the next council meeting as now we'll be able to go into a bit more detail of what is in the issue report and encourage everyone to provide input as part of the public comment
period that will be accompanying the publication of the report if everyone
agrees with that.

And just to note that of course I think as you all know there was the board
(unintelligible) process group so of course an issue report will follow the
structure and outline of issues as was agreed by that group and it will also
include a draft charter, again, following the proposed process as agreed by that
group as a proposed approach for dealing with the PDP or the fact -- more
details will follow at a next meeting.

Jonathan Robinson: Thanks Marika. So effectively this item is deferred until the next council
meeting when we can evaluate the issue report. Yes? Did I see a comment or a
question? Or, I'm sorry, Stephanie. Thank you.

Stephanie Perrin: Yes, just a question for clarification. Stephanie Perrin for the record. Just
wondering in the draft that is circulating at the moment you have invited any
call for corrections to the issue report will there still be an opportunity to get
those in prior to it being published for public comment or will there only be
the one 30 day comment period because there are a few omissions. We're just
busy digesting it now. There are a few omissions we'd like to flag.

Marika Konings: Yes, and this is Marika. So just to clarify -- the draft has been circulated to the
process group -- just to confirm that. I think the process is outlined is
(unintelligible) there but indeed if you have already noted any information
that's incorrect or missing, you know, please send us a note and it doesn't need
to be a red line. You can just, you know, send a couple of bullet points and
we'll try to already incorporate that.

You know, as I said, we are trying to publish it as soon as possible after - at
the ICANN meeting and will be 40 days. I think the new standard is 40 days
public comment and of course if there's people believe that additional time is needed as, you know, is a complex issue and, you know, a lot of things may still be going on.

That is definitely something that we'll - we can consider as well but if there is anything you've noticed, you know, just drop us a line or if you see me in the hallway catch me and we can fix that.

Stephanie Perrin: If I could just come back on that. I'm maybe old-fashioned but I'm not a fan of this stream aligned one comment period for things that are as complex as this. It seems to me the reply comments would be really helpful on something as big and messy as this. I mean, not that you didn't do a superb job on the report, Marika, don't get me wrong -- with kudos to boiling that down to the length that it is, but people may not think of things until they see the comments for the first version and then they have no reply period, so...

Marika Konings: And if...

Jonathan Robinson: Go ahead Marika. Respond and then we'll come to...

Marika Konings: Yes, if I could just respond and - or kudos actually goes to (Lisa Phipo) who's done the - all the heavy lifting on the drafting just to get that on the record. But just to note this is just the issue reports. It's really about scoping the issue -- the anticipation that the (unintelligible) should be about, "Did we miss anything? Is there anything incorrect?"

It shouldn't be about, you know, "This should be - the solutions or we don't agree with this or that." That is really for the Working Group to deal with and I think that's where indeed the Working Group may want to consider having approach, you say, you know, have responses, have the Working Group
respond, have people have that opportunity to look at it so I think there are - that's probably the place where that conversation needs to take place.

The issue reports nearly scoping the issue and it doesn't mean that it cannot be feedback or, you know, changes that need to be made but it's more about making sure the issue is properly scoped, all the relevant information is there, but then then to stop of discussion of really happen at the Working Group level at the next phase.

Avri Doria: Yes, thank you, this is Avri speaking. Yes, I just wanted to point out that in terms of this as the person that is on that group when I got the draft I did reach out to some of the people who are experts on the subject to help me understand, you know, what it was going - and I definitely plan to make the date that was given as the deadline for comments on the draft by that.

Jonathan Robinson: Oh, I'm sorry. I thought the mic was on. That is slightly more discussion than I anticipated, but thank you for those contributions. So just to be clear, the commitment is to publish the issue report shortly after this meeting and then to revisit this on the council agenda probably the first meeting after this face-to-face meeting.

So I'm sorry for any confusion if for the sound people. I'm not sure where we are in the recording. Did we - if we did start a recording that's - there's no problem. Let's just stop at this point and pause for the next session. And while we do the changeover remind everyone to announce your name before you address the group for the purposes of generally people knowing who you are but obviously specifically for the transcription and recording. Slide - I was expecting a schedule auction proceeds, not meeting.

Man: Sorry, (Unintelligible).