





At-Large Review Working Party Session

21 June 2015

Agenda







Roles and Responsibilities

Review Working Party

- Conduct selfassessment
- Assist with tailoring review methodology
- Assist with outreach and engagement
- Input into data collection – online assessment and Interviews
- Provide clarification and factual corrections
- Prepare Review Implementation
 Plan

Independent Examiner

- Assess effectiveness of prior review improvements
- Review of documents, records
- Observe proceedings
- Develop and conduct 360
 Assessment
- Conduct interviews
- Prepare report
- Engage with stakeholders for clarification and correction

SIC

- Oversight
- Scope of review
- ConfirmIndependentExaminer
- Accept Report*
- Approve Plans*

*Prepare
Recommendations for
Board Action

Staff

- Prepare RFP
- Run competitive bidding process
- Monitor timeline and resources
- Support review
- Support outreach and engagement
- Manage report and public comment process
- Prepare Review Implementation Plan



Working Party Activities and Milestones

Jul 2015 - Oct 2015

At-Large Self-Assessment Jul 2015 – Aug 2015

Input into Review Methodology

Apr 2016 – Oct 2016

Input into Data
Collection

- Surveys
- Interviews

Apr 2016 – Dec 2016

Assistance with Outreach and Engagement with Community

- Webinars
 - Blogs
 - Videos

Aug 2016 – Dec 2016

Feedback into Independent Examiner's Reports Jan 2017

Prepare Implementation Plan





Proposed Timeline



To Summarize

- The At-Large Review Working Party will be engaged from May 2015 through Implementation phase.
- The <u>At-Large Review Preparatory Questions</u> are posted on the wiki.
- The independent examiner will be appointed in early 2016.
- Planning for implementation will start in 2017; implementation improvements will start upon completion of the planning phase duration will depend on nature of findings and recommendations.



Process Improvements



Planning phase - clear scope of work & deliverables, selfassessment of implementation progress, tailoring methodology to At-Large - before competitive bidding process



Review Working Party to serve as a liaison between the independent examiner, the At-Large community, the SIC and staff



Early and continuous engagement of the Working Party with the independent examiner



Robust outreach to ensure broad and diverse participation in the review





Review Preparatory Questions



Who should be considered a relevant responder in order to evaluate and assess the operation of At-Large?

- Experienced people in At-Large operation?
- Newcomers?
- ICANN Community At-Large?
- Other ICANN structures/groups?

?

Should their questions be different?



What can At-Large ALAC, RALOs, ALSes) do to ensure a substantial number of responses are received to a survey?



Review Preparatory Questions (continued)



Besides ICANN announcements, blogs, email blasts, and social media:

- What other means of communication do you suggest?
- What existing communications or meeting mechanisms should be leveraged?



What would be an appropriate target for completed surveys, based on relevant populations?



Review Preparatory Questions (continued)





Who are the key individuals in At-Large (or any other organization) that need to be interviewed for the Review to be considered complete and accurate?

- General target of approximately 20 individuals.
- Please indicate the individuals that would require Language Services during the interview.



What months/periods would not be ideal to conduct the survey or interviews?



How long should the survey remain open?



Review Preparatory Questions (continued)



If the At-Large/ALAC has performed its own assessment of how effective the implementation of prior review recommendations has been, how has this been documented, if at all?




List any significant developments that have impacted, or will impact, the At-Large organization since the last Review.





Web Improvements



- Easier navigation
- Repository for key documents and actions
- Ability to provide feedback
- Community wiki –
 convenient means of
 documenting ongoing
 review work





Resources

- Public Comment:
 - Proposed Schedule and Process/Operational Improvements for AoC and Organizational Reviews
- At-Large Review wiki
- At-Large Review new page
- GNSO Review wiki









Thank You